## Evidence Synthesis Number 89

# Screening for and Management of Obesity and Overweight in Adults

#### **Prepared for:**

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 540 Gaither Road Rockville, MD 20850 www.ahrq.gov

#### Contract No. HHSA-290-02-0024

#### **Prepared by:**

Oregon Evidence-based Practice Center Center for Health Research Kaiser Permanente Northwest 3800 North Interstate Avenue Portland, OR 97227

#### **Investigators:**

Erin LeBlanc, MD, MPH Elizabeth O'Connor, PhD Evelyn P. Whitlock, MD, MPH Carrie Patnode, PhD, MPH Tanya Kapka, MD, MPH

#### AHRQ Publication No. 11-05159-EF-1 October 2011

This report is based on research conducted by the Oregon Evidence-based Practice Center (EPC) under contract to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), Rockville, MD (Contract No. HHSA-290-2007-10057-I). The findings and conclusions in this document are those of the authors, who are responsible for its contents, and do not necessarily represent the views of AHRQ. No statement in this report should be construed as an official position of AHRQ or of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

The information in this report is intended to help clinicians, employers, policymakers, and others make informed decisions about the provision of health care services. This report is intended as a reference and not as a substitute for clinical judgment.

This report may be used, in whole or in part, as the basis for the development of clinical practice guidelines and other quality enhancement tools, or as a basis for reimbursement and coverage policies. AHRQ or U.S. Department of Health and Human Services endorsement of such derivative products may not be stated or implied.

This document is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission, except those copyrighted materials noted for which further reproduction is prohibited without the specific permission of copyright holders.

None of the authors have any affiliations or financial involvement that conflicts with the material presented in this report.

#### **Suggested Citation:**

LeBlanc E, O'Connor E, Whitlock EP, Patnode C, Kapka T. Screening for and Management of Obesity and Overweight in Adults. Evidence Report No. 89. AHRQ Publication No. 11-05159-EF-1. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; October 2011.

#### **Acknowledgements:**

The authors acknowledge the EPC project team: Tracy L. Beil, MS, Catherine Livingston, MD, MPH, Kevin Lutz, MFA, Leslie A. Perdue, MPH, Daphne Plaut, MLS, Caitlyn A. Senger, MPH, Victor J. Stevens, PhD, and Sarah Zuber, MSW, for their contributions to this report.

# **Structured Abstract**

**Background:** Overweight and obesity in adults are common and associated with cardiovascular risk and other adverse health effects.

**Purpose:** To review benefits and harms of screening for and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults to assist the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) in updating its 2003 recommendation.

**Data Sources:** We searched MEDLINE, the Cochrane Central Registry of Controlled Trials, and PsycINFO from January 1, 2005 through September 9, 2010. Relevant trials published prior to 2005 were identified through good-quality systematic reviews.

**Study Selection:** Two investigators independently reviewed 6,499 abstracts and 649 articles against a set of a priori inclusion criteria. Two investigators rated the quality of each study based on USPSTF methods. We included trials that involved behavioral-based treatment (38 trials, n=13,495) or the use of orlistat (18 trials, n=11,256) or metformin (3 trials, n=2,652) for weight loss or weight maintenance in adults in settings that are generalizable to U.S. primary care. Additional studies were included for the evaluation of weight loss treatment harms (4 additional behavioral trials, 6 additional orlistat trials, and 1 additional metformin trial).

**Data Extraction:** Selected elements were abstracted into standardized tables from each study by one investigator and checked by another investigator.

Data Synthesis: Data were qualitatively and quantitatively (using meta-analysis) synthesized separately for each type of intervention. Behavioral treatment resulted in an average weight loss of 3.0 kg more in intervention participants compared with control, with greater weight loss in trials with more treatment sessions (generally 4–7 kg lost in the intervention group in trials with 11–26 treatment sessions in the first year). Orlistat was additive to behavioral counseling, resulting in even greater weight loss (generally 6–9 kg total). Metformin trials were heterogeneous, but one large, good-quality trial showed a weight loss of 2.3 kg more in the intervention group. Weight loss treatments did not improve health outcomes, but they were sparsely reported and most trials were not powered for outcomes such as death and cardiovascular events. Weight loss treatment resulted in a reduction in diabetes incidence in two large, good-quality behavioral-based trials of diabetes prevention. Behavioral-based treatment showed small positive effects on blood pressure. Orlistat improved blood pressure and lowered low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (by 7-16 mg/dL) and plasma glucose (by 12 mg/dL in patients with diabetes) compared with placebo. Metformin did not improve lipid levels or blood pressure, but reduced the incidence of diabetes. Withdrawals due to adverse effects were more common among medication users than placebo users and were primarily related to gastrointestinal complaints.

**Limitations:** There were minimal data on the distal health outcomes of death and cardiovascular disease. Many intermediate outcomes were sparsely reported, especially in the behavioral treatment literature. There were minimal data on behavioral-based treatment in people with class III obesity (body mass index >40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Behavioral-based treatments were heterogeneous and specific elements were not always well reported. Many medication trials had high attrition and

most were conducted outside of the United States. There was one good-quality trial of orlistat and one of metformin but no data on maintenance of weight loss after medications were discontinued. Medication trials were not powered to identify group differences in rare but serious adverse effects.

**Conclusions:** Behavioral-based treatments are safe and effective for weight loss, although they have not been studied in persons with class III obesity. Medication may increase weight loss beyond behavioral approaches alone, although side effects are common.

# **Table of Contents**

Chapter 1. Introduction	1
Scope and Purpose	1
Background	1
Condition Definition	1
Prevalence and Burden of Disease/Illness	2
Etiology and Natural History	4
Rationale for Screening	4
Screening Strategies	5
Interventions/Treatment	5
Current Clinical Practice	7
Recommendations of Other Groups	7
Previous USPSTF Recommendation	8
Chapter 2. Methods	9
Key Questions and Analytic Framework	9
Literature Search Strategy	9
Study Selection	10
Data Extraction and Quality Assessment	10
Data Synthesis and Analysis	11
USPSTF Involvement	12
Chapter 3. Results	14
Key Question 1	14
Key Question 2	14
Death	.14
Cardiovascular Disease	.15
Hospitalization	.15
Quality of Life and Depression	.15
Common Elements of Efficacious Interventions	.16
Results in Different Subgroups	.16
Key Question 3	16
Behavioral-based Interventions	.16
Pharmacotherapy	.23
Key Question 4	30
Behavioral-based Interventions	.32
Pharmacotherapy	.33
Chapter 4. Discussion	36
Benefits of Screening for Adult Obesity	36
Benefits of Weight Loss Treatment	36
Weight Loss	.36
Weight Loss Results in Different Patient Subgroups	.37
Clinical Health Outcomes	37
Lipids	.38
Blood Pressure	.38
Diabetes	.39
Glucose Tolerance	.39

Harms of Screening for Adult Obesity	
Harms of Weight Loss Treatment	
Effectiveness of Specific Weight Loss Strategies	
Applicability to Primary Care	
Cost/Cost Effectiveness	
Limitations of the Review	
Future Research	
References	

#### Tables

Table 1. Summary of Medication and Behavioral-Based Interventions	62
Table 2. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Weight Loss in Behavioral Trials, 12- to 18-	-
Month Outcomes	65
Table 3. Long-Term Weight Loss and Blood Pressure Outcomes in Behavioral-Based	
Interventions Beyond 18 Months	66
Table 4. Weight Change in Behavioral-Based Weight Maintenance Interventions	68
Table 5. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Lipids Data in Behavioral Trials, 12- to 18-	
Month Outcomes	69
Table 6. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Changes in Blood Pressure in Behavioral	
Trials, 12- to 18-Month Outcomes	70
Table 7. Diabetes Incidence	71
Table 8. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Glucose Tolerance in Behavioral Trials, 12-	to
18-Month Outcomes	72
Table 9. Behavioral Intervention Components	73
Table 10. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Weight Loss in Medication Trials, 12- to 1	8-
Month Outcomes	. 75
Table 11. Longer-Term Outcomes, Medication Trials	76
Table 12. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Central Adiposity in Orlistat Trials, 12- to	18-
Month Outcomes	. 77
Table 13. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Lipids Data in Orlistat Trials, 12- to 18-Mo	onth
Outcomes	78
Table 14. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Changes in Blood Pressure in Orlistat Trial	ls,
12- to 18-Month Outcomes	. 80
Table 15. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Changes in Glucose Tolerance in Medication	on
Trials, 12- to 18-Month Outcomes	. 81
Table 16. Harms Data Summary for Medication Interventions.	82
Table 17. Summary of Medication Harms	. 84
Table 18. Summary of Evidence	. 86
Table 19. Interquartile Range of Weight Change in Intervention and Control/Placebo Group	ıs93

#### Figures

#### Appendixes

Appendix A. Detailed Methods

- Appendix B. Search Strategies
  - Table 1.Review Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
  - Table 2.Quality Rating Criteria
  - Table 3.Inclusion or Exclusion of Articles From the 2003 USPSTF Review,<br/>Behavioral Trials
  - Table 4.Inclusion or Exclusion of Articles From the 2003 USPSTF Review,<br/>Medication Trials
  - Figure 1. Search Results and Article Flow

Appendix C. Evidence Tables

- Tables 1a–d. Behavioral Trials
- Tables 2a–d. Medication Trials
- Tables 3a–b. Behavioral Harms Trials
- Tables 4a–c. Medication Harms Trials

#### Appendix D. Excluded Studies

- Table 1.Studies Excluded From Review for Key Question 1
- Table 2.Studies Excluded From Review for Key Question 2
- Table 3.Studies Excluded From Review for Key Question 3
- Table 4.
   Studies Excluded From Review for Key Question 4
- Appendix E. Trials Pending Assessment
- Appendix F. Details on Pharmacology Harms
- Appendix G. Surgical Interventions

# **Chapter 1. Introduction**

# **Scope and Purpose**

This systematic evidence review examines the benefits and harms of screening adults for obesity and overweight. The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) will use this review to update its previous 2003 recommendation on screening adults for obesity and overweight. This targeted systematic review addresses the benefits and harms of programs that screen for overweight and obesity in adults in primary care settings, and articulates the benefits and harms of primary care–feasible or –referable weight loss interventions (behavioral-based interventions and/or pharmacotherapy) for obese or overweight adults. Because the previous evidence report found good-quality evidence for using body mass index (BMI) to identify adults with increased risk of future morbidity and mortality, we did not systematically address reliable and valid clinical screening tests for obesity and overweight. As part of the –Screening Strategies" section, we briefly discuss whether waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), waist circumference, or other related measures of central adiposity have independent predictive value for future mortality and health risks compared with BMI measures only.

This review focuses primarily on cardiovascular health effects in addition to weight loss. Although we do report on health outcomes beyond cardiovascular events and mortality, the intermediate health outcomes are limited to those related to cardiovascular disease or its precursors—blood lipid levels, blood pressure, diabetes risk, and glucose tolerance.

The weight loss interventions covered in this review include behavioral-based interventions, pharmacological (orlistat and metformin) interventions, or a combination of both. Behavioral intervention programs had to include a primary focus on weight reduction through a decrease in caloric intake, increase in physical activity, or both. We did not review studies focused only on changes in dietary content without a decrease in calories or stated goal of causing weight loss. Physical activity had to include aerobic- and/or strength-related activity that resulted in increased energy expenditure. The USPSTF determined that surgical treatment for weight loss was not within the scope of this report, as surgical treatment is not considered to be in the purview of preventive primary care.

# Background

## **Condition Definition**

Obesity and overweight are most commonly defined by BMI, which is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Overweight is defined as a BMI of 25 to 29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Obese is defined as a BMI of  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. The category of –obese" is further divided into subcategories of class I (BMI 30.0–34.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), class II (BMI 35.0–39.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and class III (BMI  $\geq$ 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).<sup>1</sup>

1

#### Prevalence and Burden of Disease/Illness

According to the most recent National Health and Nutrition Exam Survey data, the prevalence of obesity in the United States is high, exceeding 30 percent in most age- and sex-specific groups. In 2007–2008, 32 percent of U.S. men and 36 percent of U.S. women were obese and an additional 40 percent of men and 28 percent of women were overweight.<sup>2</sup> About 1 in 20 Americans has a BMI of >40 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (class III obesity).<sup>2</sup> The prevalence of obesity and overweight has increased by 134 percent and 48 percent, respectively, since 1976–1980.<sup>3</sup> Between 1999 and 2008, while overweight/obesity trends stabilized for women, overweight/obesity rates continued to rise for men.<sup>2</sup> In the Framingham cohort, the long-term risk for becoming overweight or obese was more than 50 and 25 percent, respectively.<sup>4</sup>

Using standard BMI definitions across ethnic groups, nonwhite adults have a higher prevalence of overweight and obesity than white adults. Among women, for example, the age-adjusted prevalence of obesity (BMI  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) is higher among nonHispanic black (49.6 percent) and Hispanic women (43 percent) than among nonHispanic white women (33 percent). The difference in obesity prevalence is less marked among men (37.3 percent in nonHispanic black men, 34.3 percent in Hispanic men, and 31.9 percent in nonHispanic white men).<sup>2</sup> Rates of obesity among Asian Americans (8.9 percent) are much lower compared with other racial groups. Given that the relationship between BMI and disease risk appears to vary among ethnic groups (as discussed below), differences in the prevalence of obesity cannot be directly translated into comparable differences in disease risk.

Obesity is associated with an increased risk of death, particularly in adults younger than age 65 years.<sup>5-9</sup> Obesity has been shown to reduce life expectancy by 6 to 20 years depending on age and race.<sup>7,10</sup> Ischemic heart disease, diabetes, cancer (especially liver, kidney, breast, endometrial, prostate, and colon), and respiratory diseases are the leading causes of death in persons who are obese.<sup>8</sup>

Whether being overweight is associated with an increased mortality risk is less clear. Some,<sup>5,8-11</sup> but not all,<sup>5,6,12,13</sup> studies have found an increased risk of death in those who are overweight. The association between overweight/obesity and mortality risk, however, varies by sex, ethnicity, and age, which may be why data are mixed. The BMI value that is associated with the lowest mortality risk varies among different ethnic subgroups. For some groups, the lowest mortality risk is a BMI that falls in the normal range, but for other ethnic groups, the lowest mortality is associated with a BMI in the overweight range. Black populations, for example, appear to have lowest mortality rates at a BMI of 26.2 to 28.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in women and 27.1 to 30.2 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in men.<sup>12,14</sup> In comparison, white women and men experience lowest mortality at a BMI of 24.5 to 25.6 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and 24.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively.<sup>12,14</sup> On the other hand, certain Asian populations may experience lowest mortality rates at a BMI of 23 to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.<sup>15-18</sup>

The relationship between BMI and mortality is different in adults older than age 65 years.<sup>19,20</sup> In this population, waist circumference appears to have an association with mortality, but BMI does not. It is hypothesized that in the older adult population, a high BMI may be a marker of more lean mass (and thus decreased mortality risk), whereas waist circumference is a better marker of adiposity and thus more correlated with cardiovascular risk.

Being overweight or obese is associated with an increased risk of coronary heart disease (CHD),<sup>21-23</sup> even after adjustment for established risk factors.<sup>21,24</sup> In a meta-analysis of 21 cohort studies including more than 300,000 predominantly white persons, overweight increased the risk of CHD events by 17 percent and obesity increased it by 49 percent after adjustment for age, sex, physical activity, smoking, blood pressure, and cholesterol levels.<sup>21</sup> Recent adjusted estimates of CHD and hypertension health risks among nonHispanic white, nonHispanic black, East Asian, and Hispanic Americans suggest that all groups have increased cardiovascular disease risk with increasing BMI, but there are significant group-specific differences in absolute risk and the level of BMI at which increased risk occurs.<sup>25</sup> In black populations, increasing BMI is less associated with increasing cardiovascular disease risk compared with whites.<sup>26-28</sup> Data for Latino populations suggest a lesser association of cardiovascular disease and BMI compared with whites and other higher risk subgroups.<sup>25</sup> However, increasing BMI is associated with increased cardiovascular disease risk seems to begin to rise at a lower BMI level in Asian compared with white populations.<sup>29-31</sup>

Type 2 diabetes is strongly associated with obesity or overweight. According to a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies, overweight and obese men had a respective 2.4- and 6.7-fold increased risk of type 2 diabetes compared with normal weight men.<sup>32</sup> Overweight and obese women had a respective 3.9- and 12.4–fold greater risk of type 2 diabetes compared with normal weight women.<sup>32</sup> A BMI of >25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> was associated with a 2.2-fold greater risk of death from diabetes, a greater association than with any other cause of death.<sup>8</sup>

Evidence suggests that the relationship between BMI and diabetes risk also varies by ethnicity. As with cardiovascular disease, there are significant group-specific differences in absolute risk and the level of BMI at which increased type 2 diabetes risk occurs.<sup>25</sup> For example, many nonwhite populations appear to have a higher diabetes risk at similar BMI levels than white populations, and diabetes risk can begin to increase at lower BMI levels in some ethnic groups. This has been best studied in East Asians (Chinese, Japanese, and Korean populations), and is also being increasingly recognized among South Asians and Latinos (two large subpopulations that also have a higher overall prevalence of diabetes relative to other groups).<sup>33-36</sup> Reacting to this trend, the World Health Organization (WHO) recently adjusted screening guidelines for Asia to recommend country-specific BMI cut-off points that may start as low as 23 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for some populations.<sup>37-39</sup>

The incidence of many types of cancer increases with increasing BMI. In particular, endometrial, gallbladder, esophageal, and renal cancer incidence is increased in obese women and esophageal, thyroid, colon, rectal, and renal cancer incidence is increased in obese men.<sup>40-42</sup> The risk of dying from several types of cancer (i.e., liver, pancreas, and stomach cancer in men and uterine, kidney, and cervical cancer in women) is increased with increasing BMI.<sup>42,43</sup>

Other diseases that have been associated with obesity include ischemic stroke, <sup>31,44,45</sup> heart failure, <sup>24</sup> atrial fibrillation/flutter, <sup>46,47</sup> dementia, <sup>48</sup> venous thrombosis, <sup>49</sup> gallstones, <sup>50,51</sup> gastroesophageal reflux disease, <sup>52</sup> renal disease, <sup>53,54</sup> and sleep apnea. <sup>55</sup> Obesity also increases the risk of developing osteoarthritis <sup>56,57</sup> and is associated with functional disability. <sup>58</sup> In addition,

3

maternal obesity is associated with pregnancy complications and adverse pregnancy outcomes and adversely influences fetal and neonatal health.<sup>59-62</sup>

Some observational studies suggest that obese individuals, even those without comorbid diseases, can have a decreased quality of life compared with normal weight individuals.<sup>63</sup> Among normal weight and overweight women, quality of life (especially physical function) decreased with weight gain. In contrast, quality of life improved in overweight women who lost weight.<sup>64</sup> A recent meta-analysis suggests a reciprocal link between obesity and depression.<sup>65</sup> As a result of the increased morbidity, there is increased use of health care services and costs among the obese.<sup>66,67</sup> Compared with adults with a BMI of 20 to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, those with a BMI of 30 to 34.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $\geq$ 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> had 25 and 44 percent higher mean annual total (inpatient and outpatient) health service costs, respectively. There was no increase in health service costs in overweight adults (BMI 25 to 29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).<sup>67</sup>

## **Etiology and Natural History**

Overweight and obesity ultimately result from an imbalance between energy intake and energy output. Energy balance appears to have both environmental and genetic influences.<sup>68,69</sup> Environmental factors that play an important role in the growing obesity epidemic include an increasingly sedentary lifestyle,<sup>70</sup> television watching,<sup>71</sup> fast food consumption,<sup>72</sup> and sleep deprivation.<sup>73</sup> Exposures in early development may influence the risk of developing obesity later in life. For example, maternal smoking,<sup>74</sup> maternal gestational diabetes,<sup>75</sup> and short or no exposure to breastfeeding are associated with an increased risk of childhood obesity.<sup>76</sup> Childhood obesity increases the risk of adult obesity.<sup>77,78</sup>

In terms of the natural history of obesity, weight gain occurs until about the sixth decade of life, when weight appears to stabilize and then decline with age.<sup>79-81</sup> Having an elevated BMI in early adulthood (ages 20 to 22 years) appears to increase the risk of developing obesity within 15 years. For example, in a study of the natural history of the development of obesity in young U.S. adults, 41 percent of white, 47 percent of Hispanic, and 66 percent of black women who had a BMI of 24 to 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> at ages 20 to 22 years became obese by ages 35 to 37 years.<sup>82</sup>

## **Rationale for Screening**

Screening for overweight/obesity would be beneficial if persons with increased weight have an elevated disease risk and if interventions to reduce weight successfully decrease that disease risk. However, the harms of screening must also be considered. The act of obtaining BMI, as noted in a previous USPSTF statement, is –not associated with any direct physical harm.<sup>\*\*83</sup> Other methods of measuring obesity, such as waist circumference, WHR, or percent body fat, are still quite inexpensive and similarly not associated with any direct physical harm.<sup>\*\*83</sup>

Possible secondary harms might include labeling stigma, as well as potential financial cost to patients in the form of higher insurance premiums, or reinforcement of poor self-esteem. However, there are no data about how often these potential secondary harms actually result from screening for obesity.

4

## **Screening Strategies**

Measurements that can be used to estimate body fat and quantify health risks include BMI, waist circumference, WHR, bioimpedance, and dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA).<sup>3</sup> Measuring height and weight to calculate BMI in a clinical setting is a low-cost, relatively quick, and reasonably reliable way to screen for obesity. Reference charts and BMI calculators are available to allow clinicians to look up a patient's BMI from his/her height and weight without manual calculation. The previous evidence report found good-quality evidence that BMI identifies adults with increased risk of future morbidity and mortality. As such, we did not systematically address the question of the relative value of different measures to screen for excess body fat.<sup>84</sup> Since that last evidence report, however, data from large (more than 10,000 persons) prospective studies have been published suggesting that WHR offers independent predictive value for mortality in addition to BMI.<sup>85-93</sup> WHR has an added benefit in that its cut-off points are similar even in different populations, simplifying interpretation.<sup>94-96</sup>

Of the central adiposity measures, waist circumference is probably the most reproducible and the simplest to measure, and is independently associated with risk. As such, waist circumference is emerging as the most useful measure to add to screening recommendations.<sup>86,94,95,97-99</sup> The bulk of the recent identified literature supports waist circumference as having an independent association with morbidity and mortality, especially in many higher-risk populations, such as South Asians or Mexicans, who might have a higher prevalence of obesity-associated morbidity such as diabetes.<sup>36,98</sup> It also appears to be more sensitive in detecting persons who are at increased cardiometabolic risk, even in the normal BMI categories.<sup>86,97,99-105</sup>

For waist circumference, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) has defined cutoff points for abdominal obesity as >88 cm in women and >102 cm in men.<sup>106</sup> However, WHO has recommended lower cut-off points for Asian populations of >80 cm in women and >90 cm in men, meant to correspond to the lower cut-off points defined by NHLBI.<sup>107,108</sup> A review and meta-analysis of waist circumference and WHR variation in cut-off points among different ethnic groups supports a lower waist circumference cut-off point for East Asian populations, consistent with WHO's guidelines, and that South Asian populations in particular may need similar or possibly even slightly lower cut-off points (>80 cm in women and >85 cm in men).<sup>98</sup> In Latino populations, data are mixed, likely in part due to cultural practices as well as genetics and body type variation within the overall categorization of –Latino" or –Hispanic." Black populations may have similar cut-off points to whites, but data in that population are not sufficient and require further study, as different components of risk exist in that population. Pacific Islander and Middle Eastern populations are not adequately studied to identify different cut-off points.<sup>98</sup> There are also increasing populations of adults in the United States of mixed ethnicity, and disease risk for them is complex and largely unstudied.

### Interventions/Treatment

Clinical interventions to achieve and maintain weight reduction include behavioral-based interventions to induce lifestyle change (dietary restriction, increased physical activity, or both), pharmacotherapy, and surgery. Behavioral-based clinical interventions optimally will combine information on safe physical activity and healthy eating for weight loss with cognitive and

behavioral management techniques to help participants make and maintain lifestyle changes.<sup>1</sup> Several medications are currently approved in the United States for the management of obesity, including weight loss and maintenance of weight loss, in conjunction with a reduced calorie diet: orlistat, phentermine, and diethylpropion. These medications are recommended for obese patients with an initial BMI of  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or  $\geq$ 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in the presence of other risk factors (e.g., diabetes, dyslipidemia, or controlled hypertension).

Orlistat decreases fat digestion by inhibiting pancreatic lipases. Ingested fat is not completely hydrolyzed, resulting in increased fecal fat excretion. The recommended prescription dose is 120 mg three times a day (tid) with each main meal containing fat. The patient should be on a nutritionally balanced, reduced-calorie diet that contains approximately 30 percent of calories from fat. A lower dose of 60 mg is available as an over-the-counter medication. Per the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the safety and effectiveness of orlistat beyond 4 years have not been determined at this time. Orlistat is contraindicated in patients with chronic malabsorption syndrome or cholestasis and in patients with known hypersensitivity to orlistat or to any component of this product.

Sympathomimetic drugs block the reuptake of norepinephrine and serotonin into nerve terminals, thereby leading to early satiety and reduced food intake. The only currently approved sympathomimetic drugs, phentermine and diethylpropion, are for short-term use (usually interpreted as up to 12 weeks). The use of these short-term drugs in the treatment of obesity was not included in this systematic evidence review.

Sibutramine is a sympathomimetic weight loss drug that was previously approved for longerterm use. However, it was voluntarily removed from the market by Abbott Laboratories at the request of the FDA on October 8, 2010. The FDA recommended against continued prescribing and use of sibutramine because it concluded that the drug may pose unnecessary cardiovascular risks to patients. The FDA's recommendation was based on new data from the Sibutramine Cardiovascular Outcomes trial, a trial of persons older than age 55 years with cardiovascular disease. The FDA concluded that the risk for adverse cardiovascular events from sibutramine outweighed any benefit from the modest weight loss observed with the drug.

Metformin is primarily a medication used to treat diabetes, but has been used off label to promote weight loss and prevent diabetes in high-risk persons. Metformin decreases hepatic glucose production, decreases intestinal absorption of glucose, and increases peripheral glucose uptake and utilization. The mechanism by which metformin reduces weight is not clear. Metformin might enhance glucagon-like peptide (GLP-1) secretion.<sup>109-111</sup> GLP-1 has been shown to slow gastric emptying and reduce food intake.<sup>112,113</sup> There is no fixed dosage regimen for the management of hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. Dosage must be individualized on the basis of both effectiveness and tolerance, while not exceeding the maximum recommended daily dose. The maximum recommended daily dose of metformin is 2,550 mg in adults. It should be taken in divided doses with meals. Metformin is contraindicated in patients with renal disease or renal dysfunction, known hypersensitivity to metformin, or acute or chronic metabolic acidosis.

Another medication that is used off label for weight loss is zonisamide, an antiepileptic agent.<sup>114</sup>

6

We did not include this medication in our systematic evidence review. There are also several novel antiobesity drugs in development. Lorcaserin, a selective 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor agonist, was voted against by an FDA advisory panel on September 16, 2010 because of concerns over both safety and efficacy. Qnexa, a combination of phentermine and topiramate, an antiepilepsy and migraine drug, was rejected by the FDA on October 28, 2010 because of safety concerns. Contrave, a combination of naltrexone (an opioid receptor antagonist) and bupropion (a dopamine and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor), was rejected by the FDA on January 31, 2011, who cited the need for a large-scale study of the cardiovascular effects of the drug before it could be approved.<sup>115</sup> A combination of bupropion and zonisamide is currently being studied in phase III trials.<sup>114</sup>

## **Current Clinical Practice**

Despite the ease of determining BMI, surveys have indicated that only 38 to 66 percent of overweight or obese patients have received diagnoses of overweight or obesity, and less than half of obese patients report that their physicians have advised them to lose weight and/or provided specific information about how to lose weight.<sup>116,117</sup> According to the most recent data from the U.S. National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, almost 50 percent of clinic visits lack complete height and weight data needed to screen for obesity using BMI.<sup>118</sup> Of those visits where BMI was determined to be  $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ , 70 percent of patients were not given a diagnosis of obesity and 63 percent did not receive any counseling for weight reduction.<sup>118</sup> Even among those who suffer from obesity-related comorbidities, only 52 percent were screened for obesity, 34 percent were diagnosed with obesity, and 46 percent were counseled about their obesity.<sup>118</sup> When overweight American adults were surveyed, only 24.4 percent of obese Americans were referred by their physician to a dietician or nutritionist and 11 percent were recommended to a formal diet program; less than 10 percent of those who were overweight were referred for these nutritional services.<sup>119</sup> Close to 10 percent of obese adults were prescribed a weight loss medication.<sup>119</sup> However, many who are prescribed weight loss medications may not meet approved indications and/or may have contraindications.<sup>120</sup> For example, a Swedish survey found that 6 percent of patients prescribed orlistat did not meet the BMI requirement ( $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> with no cardiovascular risk factors or  $\geq 27$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> with cardiovascular risk factors).<sup>120</sup>

# **Recommendations of Other Groups**

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care recommend measuring BMI and waist circumference to screen adults for obesity.<sup>1,121</sup> The frequency of screening is not specified. The American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) advises physicians to evaluate patients for overweight and obesity during routine medical examinations.<sup>122</sup> In terms of interventions, NIH and the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care recommend that weight loss and weight maintenance therapies should include the combination of a reduced-calorie diet, increased physical activity, and behavioral therapy.<sup>1,121</sup> Weight loss drugs could be used as part of a comprehensive program in patients who are obese or overweight (BMI >27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) with comorbidities.<sup>1,121</sup> AAFP recommends that providers discuss the health consequences of further weight gain with at-risk patients.<sup>122</sup>

7

# **Previous USPSTF Recommendation**

In 2003, the USPSTF recommended that clinicians screen all adult patients for obesity and offer intensive counseling and behavioral interventions to promote sustained weight loss for obese adults (B recommendation). However, the USPSTF concluded that the evidence was insufficient to recommend for or against the use of moderate- or low-intensity counseling together with behavioral interventions to promote sustained weight loss in obese adults (I recommendation). Likewise, the USPSTF concluded that there was insufficient evidence to recommend for or against the use of any intensity together with behavioral interventions to promote sustained weight loss in overweight adults (I recommendation).

# **Chapter 2. Methods**

# **Key Questions and Analytic Framework**

Building on the methods and approach of the 2003 USPSTF evidence review, we developed an analytic framework (Figure 1) and formulated four key questions (KQs) to guide our literature search and targeted systematic review.<sup>83</sup> The KQs were designed to evaluate the benefits of programs to screen for and manage overweight and obesity in adults in primary care, and the benefits and harms of primary care–feasible or –referable weight loss interventions for obese or overweight adults.

KQ 1. Is there direct evidence that primary care screening programs for adult obesity or overweight improve health outcomes or result in short-term (12 months) or sustained (over 12 months) weight loss or improved physiological measures (e.g., glucose tolerance, blood pressure, and dyslipidemia)?

KQ 1a. How well is weight loss maintained after an intervention is completed?

KQ 2. Do primary care–relevant interventions (behavioral-based interventions and/or pharmacotherapy) in obese or overweight adults lead to improved health outcomes?

KQ 2a. What are common elements of efficacious interventions? KQ 2b. Are there differences in efficacy between patient subgroups (e.g., ages 65 years or older, sex, race/ethnicity, degrees of obesity, baseline cardiovascular risk status)?

KQ 3. Do primary care–relevant interventions in obese or overweight adults lead to short-term or sustained weight loss, with or without improved physiological measures?

KQ 3a. How well is weight loss maintained after an intervention is completed? KQ 3b. What are common elements of efficacious interventions? KQ 3c. Are there differences in efficacy between patient subgroups (e.g., ages 65 years or older, sex, race/ethnicity, degrees of obesity, baseline cardiovascular risk status)?

KQ 4. What are the adverse effects of primary care–relevant interventions in obese or overweight adults (e.g., nutritional deficits, cardiovascular disease, bone mass loss, injuries, and death)?

KQ 4a. Are there differences in adverse effects between patient subgroups (e.g., ages 65 years or older, sex, race/ethnicity, degrees of obesity, baseline cardiovascular risk status)?

# Literature Search Strategy

In addition to evaluating all trials included in the previous reviews for inclusion in the current review, we conducted a search (Appendix B) for relevant existing systematic reviews in databases (Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of

Effects, and MEDLINE), as well as Web sites (Institute of Medicine, NIH, and National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence [NICE]). We identified a 2006 NICE systematic review with detailed reporting on behavioral weight loss interventions and orlistat which was current through November 2005. We used this review as the foundation for our literature search for KQs 1–4.<sup>123</sup> The NICE review, however, did not include metformin, so we identified an additional review to locate metformin trials published since the previous USPSTF review. This review focused specifically on metformin treatment for weight loss and searched into February 2008.<sup>124</sup> We then conducted a search for all four KQs (Appendix B) in MEDLINE, the Cochrane Central Registry of Controlled Trials, and PsycINFO beginning in January 1, 2005 through September 9, 2010. We supplemented our searches with suggestions from experts and reference lists from other relevant publications.

# **Study Selection**

Two investigators independently reviewed all abstracts and articles against inclusion and exclusion criteria (Appendix B Table 2). Discrepancies were resolved by consensus. Articles excluded for not meeting inclusion criteria or for poor quality are listed in Appendix D Tables 1–4. Briefly, we included randomized or controlled clinical trials (additionally, cohort or case-control studies for KQ 4) conducted among adults (ages 18 years and older) in settings generalizable to or referable from primary care. Because we were examining the effects of weight loss programs versus usual care, we excluded trials with control groups receiving frequent weigh-ins, advice more frequently than annually, or at-home study materials; these studies were considered to be comparative effectiveness studies. Interventions were excluded. Only outcomes reported at 12 months or longer were included (with the exception of KQ 4).

# **Data Extraction and Quality Assessment**

Two independent investigators dual-reviewed 6,498 abstracts and 648 articles (Appendix B Figure 1) for inclusion and critically appraised all included articles using design-specific criteria (Appendix B Table 2) and USPSTF methods.<sup>125</sup> The USPSTF has defined quality ratings of -good," -fair," and -poor" based on specific criteria. Discrepancies in quality ratings were resolved by consultation with a third investigator. All studies rated as poor quality were excluded from the review.

Briefly, for KQs 1–3, we assessed the validity of the randomization and measurement procedures, attrition, similarities between the groups in baseline characteristics and attrition, intervention fidelity, and statistical methods. Among other things, good-quality trials blinded researchers to participant randomization if they performed tasks related to assessment, had followup data on 90 percent or more of participants, reported group-specific followup with less than 10 percent difference between groups, and described important details related to the measurement of anthropomorphic measures. Trials were rated as —por" if attrition in the treatment and control groups differed by more the 20 percent or if overall attrition was higher than 40 percent, or had other important flaws. All trials meeting quality criteria for KQs 1–3 were also examined for KQ 4 outcomes.

In addition, we developed separate quality assessment procedures for trials that were not included for KQs 1–3 (either due to quality issues or other inclusion criteria) but reported harms outcomes. The quality rating of KQ 4-only studies specifically focused on the assessment and analysis of harms. We did not have minimum attrition standards or duration of followup requirements because high attrition may be directly related to harms and a 12-month duration requirement would miss immediate harms. Because we had different standards for KQ 4 that focused only on factors specifically related to the assessment of harms, we simply rated them as -acceptable" or -poor." A poor-quality study was one that had a fatal flaw that made the harms data of questionable validity.

One investigator abstracted data from included studies into standardized evidence tables and a second investigator reviewed abstracted data for accuracy. We abstracted study design, setting, population characteristics, baseline health, intervention characteristics, outcomes, and adverse events (Appendix C Tables 1–3).

For KQ 1, no trials were included in this review. For KQs 2 and 3, 98 articles representing 58 unique trials were included, 30 of which were conducted in the United States. For KQ 4, we included an additional 12 articles representing 10 randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) and two cohort studies that were not included in KQs 2 and 3 for various reasons, including three trials for poor quality, <sup>126-128</sup> four for short duration (<12 months), <sup>129-132</sup> three for study design (not RCTs), <sup>133-135</sup> two for comparative effectiveness, <sup>136,137</sup> and one because the exercise intervention was not designed to promote weight loss. <sup>138</sup>

# **Data Synthesis and Analysis**

We separately synthesized identified evidence for trials of behavioral-based interventions and each weight loss medication. Within each intervention type, trials were grouped according to the study population risk status (cardiovascular risk, subclinical risk, unselected/low risk) and then ordered by the intensity of the behavioral interventions within each risk status (number of sessions for behavioral trials, brief or intensive intensity for medication trials). Risk status and intensity are discussed in detail in Appendix A.

We conducted random effects meta-analyses to estimate the effect size of weight loss interventions on intermediate health outcomes (adiposity, systolic and diastolic blood pressure [SBP, DBP], total cholesterol, high-density and low-density lipoprotein [HDL, LDL] cholesterol, triglycerides, and glucose). For continuous outcomes, we analyzed change from baseline. Risk ratios were analyzed for dichotomous outcomes. Absolute risk difference was also estimated through meta-analysis in many cases so that the number needed to treat (NNT) could be calculated. We selected a single intervention arm for trials that included multiple active treatment arms and calculated change from baseline and standard deviations based on the information provided in the individual articles if they were not provided. We converted measurements into common units using standard conversion factors, which are provided in Appendix A. Additional details of the meta-analysis data management and calculations can also be found in Appendix A.

We assessed the presence of statistical heterogeneity among the studies using standard chi-square tests and estimated the magnitude of heterogeneity using the  $I^2$  statistic.<sup>139</sup> We considered an  $I^2$ 

statistic of <50 percent to represent low heterogeneity, 50–75 percent to represent moderate heterogeneity, and >75 percent to indicate high heterogeneity among the studies. Tests of publication bias on whether the distribution of the effect sizes was symmetrical with respect to the precision measure were performed using funnel plots and Egger's linear regression method<sup>140</sup> when the number of studies was about 10 or more.<sup>141</sup>

Meta-regressions were used to explore heterogeneity in effect sizes among the KQs 1–3 trials. Due to concerns about type I errors, we limited most exploration of heterogeneity to a single outcome—weight loss. Some factors were explored for the entire body of trials, combining behavioral interventions and all three medication types, while other factors were run separately for the medication trials only and the behavioral trials only. Continuous variables were left as continuous variables, and categorical variables were converted to one or more dummy variables.

Heterogeneity was explored with several factors. Prominent sources of heterogeneity were the risk status of the populations and the participant identification approach (see Appendix A for more details). Additional factors explored for the entire combined body of literature were: percent of participants retained at 12 to 18 months, whether the trial focused on weight maintenance as opposed to weight loss, whether primary care was the setting for either recruitment or the intervention, whether the trial was set in the United States, study quality rating, and selected patient-level characteristics.

For medication trials, we also examined the percent of participants that were retained after a runin period, the specific type of medication, and whether the behavioral intervention was more intensive than would be delivered in primary care (see intensity definitions in Appendix A). The variables explored for the entire group of trials listed above were also examined separately in the medication trials. All meta-regression of the medication trials controlled for medication type and population risk status.

For behavioral trials, we also examined the number of sessions in the first year and, in separate models, the presence of each of the following intervention components: supervised physical activity sessions, group sessions, individual sessions, technology-based assessment or intervention, specific weight loss goals, spouse or family involvement, addressed barriers to weight loss, pros and cons of weight loss or similar motivational assessment, self monitoring, use of incentives for weight loss or intervention participation, and support for weight loss or lifestyle maintenance after active intervention phase. The variables examined in the combined medication and behavioral trials were also examined separately in the behavioral subgroup. Number of sessions in the first year and risk status of the patients were included in all models.

All analyses were performed using Stata 10.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

# **USPSTF Involvement**

The authors worked with four USPSTF liaisons at key points throughout the review process to develop and refine the analytic framework and KQs, to address methodological decisions on applicable evidence, and to resolve issues regarding scope of the final evidence synthesis. This research was funded by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) under a

contract to support the work of the USPSTF. AHRQ staff provided oversight for the project, reviewed the draft report, and assisted in external review of the draft evidence synthesis.

# **Chapter 3. Results**

We identified 58 trials of benefits of weight loss interventions, reported in 98 publications. Of these, 38 trials examined the benefits of behavioral-based interventions<sup>142-178</sup> and 21 examined the benefits of medication (orlistat or metformin) for weight loss.<sup>142,179-203</sup> One of the trials included both medication and behavioral-based intervention arms and was counted in both groups.<sup>142</sup> Table 1 lists all included trials assessing benefits of weight loss or weight maintenance interventions, grouped by the risk status of the population. We also identified an additional 12 studies (in 14 publications) on harms of weight loss interventions; four of these targeted behavioral weight loss methods and eight addressed harms of orlistat and/or metformin.

The participants in the behavioral interventions had mean BMI values that ranged from 25 to 39 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Only three of the trials were limited to obese persons, <sup>162,173,204</sup> and the remaining included overweight as well as obese persons, usually requiring a BMI of at least 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Almost all of the medication trials required participants to have a BMI of at least 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. The mean BMI values in the medication trials were all in the obese range (32 to 38 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). For the purposes of this report, we use the term overweight and obese to refer to studies which had a minimum BMI criteria of 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, even if the mean BMI of 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, we refer to the subjects as obese.

# KQ 1. Is There Direct Evidence That Primary Care Screening Programs for Adult Obesity or Overweight Improve Health Outcomes or Result in Short-Term or Sustained Weight Loss or Improved Physiological Measures?

We identified no trials of adult obesity screening programs (i.e., randomizing participants to either be screened or not and then providing appropriate management for those screening positive for obesity).

# KQs 2–2b. Do Primary Care–Relevant Interventions (Behavioral-Based Interventions and/or Pharmacotherapy) in Obese or Overweight Adults Lead to Improved Health Outcomes? What Are Common Elements of Efficacious Interventions? Are There Differences in Efficacy Between Patient Subgroups?

Health outcomes (see methods for a full list of outcomes eligible for systematic review) were minimally reported in the included trials, and almost all showed no effect on the health outcomes that were examined. The Diabetes Prevention Project (DPP) provided the most complete examination of health outcomes for behavioral treatment and metformin, covering cardiovascular disease events and deaths, deaths from any cause, hospitalizations, and depressive symptomatology.<sup>142,205-207</sup> DPP was a large (n=3,234), good-quality randomized trial of persons with prediabetes (impaired fasting glucose [IFG] or impaired glucose tolerance [IGT]) with up to

3.2 years of followup. In addition to DPP, six behavioral-based trials (three fair-quality<sup>156,177,208</sup> and three good-quality<sup>170,172,175</sup>) and eight fair-quality pharmacotherapy trials<sup>181,185,189,198,199,201, 202,209</sup> reported health outcomes.

### Death

DPP reported deaths, but there were too few deaths to be able to draw conclusions about the effect of the program in the approximately 3 years of followup.<sup>206</sup> In the oldest age group (60 to 85 years), where deaths were most common, the death rates were 0.31 and 0.48 per 100 personyears in the lifestyle and metformin groups, respectively, compared with 0.86 per 100 personyears in the control group; neither active intervention group was statistically significantly different from the controls.<sup>210</sup> All of the remaining behavioral,<sup>170</sup> metformin,<sup>185</sup> and orlistat<sup>181,189</sup>, <sup>202,209</sup> trials that reported deaths had no more than one death in each treatment group.

## **Cardiovascular Disease**

DPP also reported that metformin and lifestyle participants showed no differences from control groups in nonfatal cardiovascular disease events or in cardiovascular disease-related deaths at 3 years postrandomization,<sup>207</sup> and data were very similar in another large good-quality behavioral trial in persons with prediabetes.<sup>172</sup> Another good-quality behavioral trial of weight loss in older adults with hypertension<sup>175</sup> reported no differences in cardiovascular events (stroke, transient ischemic attack, myocardial infarction, angina, congestive heart failure, arrhythmia, and other) over 30 months of followup. The proportion with cardiovascular events was 14.3 percent in the weight loss group compared with 16.7 in the usual care group. Smaller trials also found no effect of behavioral treatment on use of medication for cardiovascular disease after 1 year,<sup>165</sup> and no effect of metformin treatment on the development of ischemic cardiovascular disease.<sup>185</sup>

## Hospitalization

There were no differences in hospitalizations between the active treatment groups and control groups in DPP.<sup>206</sup> Among adults ages 60 to 85 years, the rate of hospitalizations per 100 person-years was 12.3 in the lifestyle intervention group, 13.3 in the metformin group, and 10.6 in the control group.<sup>210</sup>

## **Quality of Life and Depression**

Of the few trials that examined depressive symptomatology or quality of life, almost none found positive effects of behavioral or medication treatment for weight loss. DPP,<sup>156</sup> two additional behavioral trials,<sup>177,205</sup> and two orlistat trials<sup>199,201</sup> reported depression or quality of life outcomes using validated screening instruments, including one that was specifically designed for obese adults.<sup>199</sup> None found group differences for depression, but DPP did report improvement in health-related quality of life (HRQL). The researchers characterized the HRQL effects as small and correlated with weight loss but not treatment assignment when weight loss was controlled for.<sup>211</sup> One orlistat trial did find less overweight distress after 1 and 2 years in those taking orlistat.<sup>199</sup> Another orlistat trial found greater improvement in the vitality subscale of the 36-item Short-form Health Survey (SF-36) in those taking orlistat compared with placebo (mean increase

of 5.42 vs. decrease of 1.5 in placebo; p=0.006). However, there were no statistically significant differences on the seven other SF-36 subscales in this trial.<sup>201</sup>

### **Common Elements of Efficacious Interventions**

Too little data were provided to allow conclusions regarding components of efficacious interventions.

## **Results in Different Subgroups**

Only very minimal data were found to shed light on whether some subpopulations benefit more from treatment than others. DPP found no treatment-by-age interaction effects in hospitalizations or deaths for either treatment group, although it reported inadequate power to assess the significance of effects within the subgroups.<sup>206</sup> Two behavioral trials that examined differential response to treatment on depression found no sex differences in response to treatment.<sup>177,205</sup>

# KQs 3–3c. Do Primary Care–Relevant Interventions in Obese or Overweight Adults Lead to Short-Term or Sustained Weight Loss, With or Without Improved Physiological Measures? How Well is Weight Loss Maintained After an Intervention is Completed? What Are Common Elements of Efficacious Interventions? Are There Differences in Efficacy Between Patient Subgroups?

### **Behavioral-Based Interventions**

**General characteristics of the trials.** All 38 trials of behavioral-based interventions reported some measure of weight loss (n=13,495 randomized to behavioral-based or control treatment arms), although other intermediate outcomes were more sparsely reported.<sup>142-149,151-178,204,208</sup> Three of these trials focused exclusively on maintenance of weight after weight loss had already been achieved.<sup>148,164,170</sup> One trial did not report 12- to 18-month outcomes, but did report 36-month outcomes.<sup>143</sup> The body of included behavioral treatment trials was a fairly high-quality, recent body of literature, overall. Twenty-six percent of the trials were rated as good quality,<sup>142, 143,152,167-170,172,174,175</sup> and 34 percent were published in 2008 or later. Among those rated as fair quality, randomization procedures (including generation of a random numbers table and blinding of allocation) were frequently not reported. In addition, a substantial number failed to report blinding of outcomes assessment. It was possible for a trial without evidence of outcomes blinding to be rated as good if assessment of anthropometric measures appeared to be highly standardized and involved training and/or quality assurance measures, although this was uncommon. Another common threat to internal validity in trials rated as fair was followup of less than 90 percent. Only approximately one fifth of the fair-quality trials had followup of 90 percent or more.<sup>144,147,156,161,163,171</sup> Average followup for the entire group of trials, weighted by study sample size, was 88.2 percent.

Almost two thirds of the trials were conducted in the United States, <sup>142,143,146-149,152-154,157-159,163,164, 167-170,173,175-177,204,208</sup> but only four of the trials were conducted in primary care settings. <sup>146,147,158, 159,204,208</sup> Five more trials were conducted in primary care settings in other countries, primarily Europe<sup>155,162,171</sup> and Australia. <sup>165,178</sup> Just over one third of the trials identified potentially eligible patients prior to recruitment and used individual outreach and screening for study recruitment (referred to as –study-identified" in this review). <sup>144,146,147,157-160,162,163,165,171, 172,174,178</sup> The remaining trials either failed to report how they recruited patients (13 percent)<sup>154-156,168,208</sup> or used broadbased media approaches that required potential participants to contact study staff in order to be screened for study eligibility (referred to as –self-identified" in this review). <sup>142,143,143,145,148, 149,151-153,161,164,166,167,169,170,173,175-177,204</sup>

Thirteen of the trials were limited to overweight and obese persons with diabetes, hypertension, or dyslipidemia.<sup>144-147,149,154,155,157,159,170,171,175,178</sup> Nine additional trials included only overweight and obese persons who had prediabetes, <sup>142,156,160,172,208</sup> prehypertension, <sup>143,168,169</sup> or increased waist circumference.<sup>161</sup> One trial was limited to overweight or obese patients ages 60 years or older who also had some evidence of functional limitation or poor physical fitness.<sup>173</sup> The remaining trials (n=15 [39 percent]) either had no limitations related to cardiovascular risk factors or accepted only those without cardiovascular risk factors.<sup>148,151-153,158,162-167,174,176,177, 204</sup>

On average, the participants in the behavioral treatment trials were not extremely obese. The weighted average baseline BMI for participants across all trials was 31.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Two trials, however, did have substantially higher average BMI values: one in black women in Chicago<sup>204</sup> and one in frail obese older adults.<sup>173</sup> All but two trials included both overweight and obese participants.<sup>162,173</sup> Ethnicity was only reported in 18 of the 24 U.S.-based trials.<sup>142,143,146,149,152-154, 157-159,163,168-170,175,177,204,208</sup> Eight trials included more than 25 percent black participants, <sup>149,154,157-159,170,175,204</sup> one reported 45 percent of participants were nonwhite, <sup>142</sup> and there were additionally trials comprised of exclusively or predominantly Hispanic/Latino<sup>146,208</sup> and exclusively Pima Indian participants.<sup>163</sup> Overall, the weighted average percent of nonwhite participants was 41.5 percent among the trials reporting ethnicity.

Six trials included only women<sup>148,152,158,166,167,204</sup> and two included only men.<sup>174,176</sup> The overall weighted average percent of female participants in all trials was 59.3 percent. Age ranges varied substantially across the trials. Two were limited to younger adults (ages 25 to 44 or 45 years)<sup>151, 153</sup> and two to older adults.<sup>173,175</sup> Five trials focused on middle-aged adults (ages 30–44 to 50–55 years).<sup>144,148,167-169</sup> The remaining trials covered a broader range of ages. The overall weighted average age of the entire group was 51.4 years (range, 38 to 70 years).

**Weight loss.** Participants in behavioral-based interventions generally lost more weight than those in control groups. A meta-analysis combining the 21 weight loss trials reporting kilograms or pounds lost at 12 to 18 months estimated an average effect of 3.0 kg more lost in the intervention than control groups (95% CI, -4.0 to -2.0;  $I^2$ =94.9%; k=21; n=7,343) (Figure 2). Differences in the amount of weight change were highly variable, ranging from 1.7 kg greater weight gain<sup>163</sup> to 8.3 kg greater weight loss<sup>177</sup> in the intervention groups compared with placebo for all trials that reported these data (including those not included in the meta-analysis). The vast majority of weight loss trials did show a statistically significant effect on weight loss at 12 to 18 months (2 to 7 kg), including 16 of the 21 trials included in the meta-analysis and 10 of the 13 trials not

included (Table 2). Three additional trials examining weight maintenance interventions<sup>148,164,170</sup> and one that reported only long-term outcomes<sup>143</sup> are discussed in the section titled –Weight maintenance and longer-term results."

In addition to reporting amount of weight loss, six trials also reported the proportion of participants losing at least 5 percent of their baseline weight (Figure 3).<sup>146,158,166,172,204,208</sup> Intervention groups had an almost 2.5 times greater probability of losing 5 percent of their initial weight compared with control groups (relative risk [RR], 2.39 [95% CI, 1.72 to 3.31]; n=1,387). Absolute risk reduction was 19 percentage points, which translates into a NNT benefit of 5 (risk difference [RD], 0.19 [95% CI, 0.06 to 0.32]). Only one trial reported the proportion who lost 10 percent or more of their baseline weight, and found an almost fivefold increase in the intervention group compared with the control group (Figure 4).<sup>166</sup> Taking all trials into account, participants in behavioral-based interventions lost an average of 4 percent of their baseline weight, based on average baseline and followup weights.

Interventions with more sessions generally showed greater amounts of weight loss. Metaregression indicates that number of sessions was a predictor of variability in effect size (coefficient, -0.01; p<0.02), after controlling for the risk status of the population. The effect remained statistically significant even after including each of the following factors: study quality, specific outcome reported (weight vs. BMI/other), year of publication, followup rate, method of participant identification (self vs. study identified), presence of physical activity sessions, use of group sessions, type of control group used, role of primary care, US vs. nonUS setting, and baseline BMI. Trials with interventions that involved 12 to 26 sessions generally reported 4 to 7 kg of total weight loss (weighted average, 5.3 kg [6 percent of baseline weight]) in intervention group participants. Weight loss in less intensive interventions was more on the order of 1.5 to 4 kg (weighted average, 2.3 kg [2.8 percent of baseline weight]) compared with less than 1 percent average weight loss in the control groups.

One trial, although being coded as low intensity because it had no face-to-face or phone contact sessions, had an average of 269 text messages or Web site contacts with participants over 1 year. The intervention group lost 3 kg more compared with the control group.<sup>151</sup>

A meta-analysis limited to primary care-based trials showed a statistically significant but smaller effect size than seen in all trials (weighted mean difference [WMD], -1.1 kg [95% CI, -1.7 to - 0.6];  $I^2$ =0.0; k=5; n=957) (figure not shown).<sup>146,147,158,171,178</sup> Examined individually, only one of the five trials showed a benefit of treatment.<sup>171</sup> Three of four additional primary care-based trials (one U.S.-based<sup>159</sup> and three nonU.S.-based<sup>155,162,165</sup>) that were not included in the meta-analysis showed a benefit of treatment. Of the four U.S.-based trials, three focused on training primary care clinicians to deliver weight loss interventions,<sup>146,147,158</sup> and two<sup>146,158</sup> of these offered training in motivational techniques. The fourth trial had two treatment arms, one of which was designed for implementation in primary care and involved one individual and three 1-hour group visits with a study interventionist.<sup>159</sup>

Six trials either screened consecutive patients in primary care practice<sup>158,162,165</sup> or identified potentially eligible participants through medical records or disease registries.<sup>146,159,178</sup> Only two of these reported greater weight loss in intervention participants,<sup>159,165</sup> although all but one<sup>159</sup>

involved interventions with fewer than 10 sessions.

**Weight maintenance and longer-term results.** Data from 12 trials (36 percent) demonstrated that weight loss can be maintained in the longer term (Table 3).<sup>142,143,149,153,155,160,166,167,169,172,174, 175</sup> Six of these trials reported outcomes immediately after a long-running (24 to 54 months) intervention was completed and all found greater weight loss at the end of the trials, with participants generally showing 2 to 4 kg greater weight loss than controls.<sup>143,160,167,169,172,175</sup>

The other six trials reported long-term outcomes 4 to 18 months after an intervention had ended.<sup>142,149,153,155,166,174</sup> Weight loss was greater in the intervention group in four of these six trials.<sup>142,149,155,166</sup> The trials showing a treatment benefit varied in intensity from five to 30 intervention contacts. Of the two that showed no benefit, one had an online-only intervention<sup>174</sup> and the other was a high-intensity (27 contacts over 12 months) behavioral program in which some treatment arms received meal provisions and/or cash incentives.<sup>153</sup>

Three trials targeted maintenance after weight loss in seven different active treatment arms (Table 4).<sup>148,164,170</sup> The intervention arms with 26 or more sessions over 18 to 24 months had better weight maintenance.<sup>164,170</sup> These intensive intervention groups generally had weight regain of 2 to 4 kg compared with 5 to 7 kg in the control groups over the 1- to 2-year maintenance sessions. In lower-intensity interventions (two added maintenance sessions or Web only), there were no group differences.<sup>148,170</sup>

**Decrease in waist circumference.** Waist circumference was reported in only 14 of the 38 trials, 12 of which were included in the meta-analysis (Figure 5).  $^{142,145,146,151,152,156,160,161,171,172,174,208}$  Waist circumference declined by an average of 2.7 cm more for participants in weight loss interventions than those in control conditions (WMD, -2.7 [95% CI, -4.1 to -1.4];  $I^2$ =93.8%; n=4,427). Statistical heterogeneity was very high, but most trials did show statistically significant group differences. Statistical heterogeneity was reduced slightly (to 78 percent) when DPP was dropped from the analysis. In DPP, a good-quality study of adults with prediabetes, the estimated 23 intervention sessions resulted in an almost 6.4 cm reduction in waist circumference in the lifestyle intervention group, almost 6 cm more than the control group.<sup>212</sup> Because DPP was a very large trial, the confidence interval was very small, so it did not overlap estimates from many of the other trials. While generalizability to primary care may be somewhat questionable in the self-identified sample, internal validity was good and its generalizability was improved by the use of a large number of interventionists at many different sites. The two trials not included in the meta-analysis were contradictory.<sup>155,163</sup> Three additional trials reported only WHR.<sup>167,177, 178</sup> Two of these trials found a greater improvement in the intervention group than in the control group.

**Improvement in lipid levels.** Only 16 of the 38 weight loss or weight maintenance trials reported lipid outcomes.<sup>144-146,152,155,156,160,161,163,167,171,172,176-178,208</sup> According to meta-analysis, weight loss intervention groups showed an average 5.8 mg/dL greater decline in total cholesterol (95% CI, -8.6 to -2.9;  $I^2$ =26.1%; k=10; n=2,414) (Figure 6), 4.9 mg/dL greater decline in LDL cholesterol (95% CI, -7.3 to -2.6;  $I^2$ =0.0%,;k=8; n=1,755) (Figure 7), and 11.1 mg/dL greater decline in triglycerides (95% CI, -15.6 to -6.5;  $I^2$ =25.0%; k=8; n=1,955) (Figure 9) compared with control groups at 12 to 18 months. The pooled average showed no group differences in

HDL cholesterol (Figure 8). Five additional trials could not be included in the meta-analysis, and most showed no statistically significant group differences in lipid level changes (Table 5).<sup>144,145, 155,163,178</sup> Because outcomes were sparsely reported (and therefore subject to reporting bias) and more likely to have null findings if not included in the meta-analysis, the meta-analysis likely overestimated the true effect size. The three good-quality trials reporting lipid levels had either null findings or small group differences in only some lipids outcomes.<sup>152, 167,172</sup> No trials were limited to patients with dyslipidemia. Results were mixed in the three trials limited to patients with hypertension or dyslipidemia.<sup>144,170,171</sup>

**Improvement in blood pressure.** Twenty-two of 38 trials reported blood pressure.<sup>143-147,149,154-157,161,163,167-169,171,172,174,175,177,207,208 In the 14 trials combined by meta-analysis, <sup>144-146,156,161,167-169, 171,172,174,177,207,208</sup> intervention groups showed an average 2 mm Hg greater reduction in both SBP and DBP compared with control groups (SBP: WMD, -2.5 [95% CI, -3.2 to -1.7];  $I^2$ =32.8%; DBP: WMD, -1.9 [95% CI, -2.6 to -1.2];  $I^2$ =64.0%; n=6,427) (Figures 10 and 11). Although blood pressure was not frequently reported in the behavioral trials, the pooled effect sizes are less likely to be biased than the pooled effect sizes for lipid outcomes. Most of the good-quality trials reported blood pressure, and the nine trials that could not be included in the meta-analysis were mixed, but generally supported the meta-analysis results of a small treatment benefit (Table 6).<sup>143,144,147,149,154,155,157,163,175</sup> In addition, 12 of the 13 trials that recruited participants with hypertension, <sup>145,147,149,154,155,157,175</sup> prehypertension, <sup>143,168,169</sup> or hypertension or another cardiovascular risk factor <sup>144,171,178</sup> provided blood pressure outcomes, and effect sizes were very similar in these trials.</sup>

Five out of six long-term (24 to 54 month) intervention trials reported blood pressure outcomes at the end of the intervention phase (Table 3). All five interventions found group differences.<sup>143, 167,169,172,175</sup> A good-quality trial, the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study, reported the largest intervention effect: an average reduction of 5 mm Hg in both SBP and DBP (compared with 0 and 3 mm Hg in the control group, respectively) after 24 months.<sup>172</sup>

Maintenance of blood pressure improvements after intervention completion varied. After two long-term (30 to 34 months) intensive interventions ( $\geq 10$  sessions), blood pressure improvements were maintained for 4 to 18 months.<sup>142,149,207</sup> Two less intensive trials (0 to 5 sessions) showed no group differences 12 to 18 months later.<sup>155,174</sup>

Behavioral treatment was successful in reducing the risk of a hypertension diagnosis in participants with prehypertension. Trials of Hypertension (TOHP) I and II, both good-quality trials, reported reduced risk of incident hypertension at 12 and 18 months of 34 and 22 percent, respectively.<sup>168,169</sup> By 3 years in TOHP II, fewer participants in the intervention group (32 percent) met criteria for hypertension compared with the control group (39 percent) (absolute RD, 7.3 [NNT=14]).<sup>169</sup> The effect was no longer statistically significant at 4 years.

**Development of diabetes.** Two large, good-quality behavioral trials of diabetes prevention in overweight and obese patients with elevated plasma glucose showed reduced onset of diabetes in the intervention group compared with control, with similar effect sizes (Table 7).<sup>172,206</sup> In DPP, twice as many people in the control group than the lifestyle management group had developed diabetes by 3 years (absolute RR, 14.5 [28.9 vs.14.4%]; NNT=7).<sup>206</sup> Ten-year followup from

DPP reported long-term diabetes onset, but did not meet inclusion criteria (see discussion section).<sup>213</sup> Similarly, the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study intervention resulted in incidence rates that were less than half of the control group rates at 2- and 6-year followup (2 years: 5.7 vs. 14.4%; 6 years: 10.2 vs. 23.0% in intervention and control groups, respectively).<sup>172</sup> There was no reduction in diabetes onset at 12-month followup in a third, smaller (n=90) fair-quality trial of persons with prediabetes who were primarily Hispanic residents of the East Harlem neighborhood in New York City. This population had very high rates of elevated fasting glucose levels; only 29 percent of those screened had normal glucose levels.<sup>208</sup>

**Glucose tolerance.** Twelve of 38 trials reported glucose tolerance.<sup>145,146,152,156,161-163,167,171,172,208, 212</sup> When eight were pooled, behavioral interventions reduced fasting glucose levels by an average of 3.4 mg/dL more than control conditions (WMD, -3.4 [95% CI, -5.5 to -1.4];  $I^2$ =82.8%; k=8; n=3,849) (Figure 12), although with high statistical heterogeneity.<sup>156,160,161,167,171,172,208,212</sup> These outcomes were rarely reported, and the four trials that could not be included in the meta-analysis<sup>145,146,152,163</sup> were uniformly lacking in group differences (Table 8), suggesting that the pooled result overestimated the true effect.

Six of the seven weight loss trials targeting adults with type 2 diabetes or type 2 prediabetes measured change in glucose control at 12–18 months.<sup>142,146,156,159,160,172,208</sup> Five trials measuring change in fasting glucose levels that could be pooled showed similar treatment effects, ranging from a 1.0 to 6.1 mg/dL greater decline in fasting glucose level in the intervention group compared with the control group (WMD, -5.3 [95% CI, -6.2 to -4.5];  $I^2$ =0.0%; k=5; n=2,901) (figure not shown). The sixth trial, which was not in the meta-analysis, showed no differences in hemoglobin A<sub>1C</sub> levels between treatment groups.<sup>146</sup> Pooled results from this subset of trials were less subject to bias since most trials limited to populations with diabetes or prediabetes reported glucose outcomes, which was presumably identified a priori as a major outcome.

**Common elements of efficacious interventions.** We present a number of intervention components in Table 9. However, it was difficult to qualitatively and quantitatively determine important components of efficacious interventions in this body of literature. First, some trials provided much greater detail about their interventions, so the reliability of coding was limited. Second, because most interventions were successful, there were very few nonefficacious trials for comparison. Finally, with so many outcomes of potential interest, there was a risk of over-interpreting spurious results. To address these concerns, we limited our analysis to a single outcome—weight loss. And, instead of comparing efficacious with nonefficacious trials, we used meta-regression to examine whether any components were predictive of effect size. The components examined were chosen based on expert advice and our ability to robustly identify that component in the published trials.

As described previously, meta-regression suggests that the number of sessions provided in the first 12 months was predictive of weight loss; a greater number of sessions correlated with greater effect size. After controlling for number of sessions in the first year, none of the following components demonstrated a relationship with effect size: physical activity sessions, group sessions, individual sessions, technology-based intervention, specific weight loss goals, spouse or family involvement, addressed barriers to weight loss, motivational assessment (i.e., pros and cons of weight loss), self monitoring, incentives for weight loss or participation, or

support after active intervention phase. However, our confidence in these results is limited because these components were not always explicitly reported, especially not in primary care settings and trials with less intensive interventions.

**Differences in patient subgroups.** Data on subgroup differences should be viewed as exploratory due to incomplete reporting of these data across all included trials.

*Age.* Data on age effects were mixed, but suggest that older adults may benefit even more than younger adults. Of five trials examining the effect of age on treatment effect, <sup>152,169-171,210</sup> two good-quality studies found increasing treatment benefits with increasing age. <sup>169,210</sup> In DPP, increasing age was associated with more weight loss, greater decrease in waist circumference, and lower diabetes incidence with treatment. <sup>210</sup> In DPP, diabetes incidence decreased more in the oldest age group compared with the youngest in the behavioral intervention group, although the effect disappeared after controlling for weight loss and behavior change. <sup>210</sup> However, the older DPP participants were likely healthier than the general population, so the results may not be representative. <sup>142</sup> In a trial of hypertension prevention in adults ages 30 to 54 years, increasing age was associated with greater weight loss at 36 months (but not 18 months).

*Sex.* Five trials examined sex differences in the impact of treatment on weight loss<sup>168-171,214</sup> and four found that men showed greater weight loss than women.<sup>168,169,171,214</sup> However, in one study (DPP), the difference was primarily seen in black women, as black women in the intervention group lost little weight; five other sex-by-race groups showed comparable differences between intervention and control group participants.<sup>214</sup> In another trial (TOHP I), the sex-by-treatment interaction disappeared after controlling for baseline BMI.<sup>168</sup>

Six of the included trials were limited to women.<sup>148,152,158,166,167,204</sup> One focused on weight maintenance<sup>148</sup> and had comparable findings to a similar intensity weight maintenance trial of men and women.<sup>170</sup> Four<sup>152,166,167,204</sup> of the five<sup>152,158,166,167,204</sup> weight loss trials demonstrated a treatment effect, with 1.4 to 3.3 kg greater weight loss in the intervention groups than in control groups, which was slightly less than the overall pooled effect of 3.3 kg. Four studies examined sex differences for additional intermediate health outcomes.<sup>145,157,168,177</sup> Sex differences were absent for blood pressure outcomes.<sup>145,157,168</sup> In one trial, men had improvements in HDL cholesterol, while women showed no group differences. In contrast, women had improvements in LDL and total cholesterol while men did not, but the sex-by-treatment interactions were not directly tested.<sup>177</sup> In DPP, diabetes incidence did not differ significantly according to sex. However, DPP was not powered to assess the significance of effects within the subgroups.<sup>206</sup>

*Race.* Four trials<sup>169,170,175,214</sup> examined the effect of race on response to behavioral weight loss or weight maintenance treatment. Three<sup>169,175,214</sup> found that black participants lost a smaller amount of weight than nonblack participants. In one of these trials, the effect was limited to black women.<sup>214</sup> However, in another trial, the effect of race remained after controlling for sex and multiple other covariates.<sup>175</sup> Two trials examined the effect of race on hypertension,<sup>157,168</sup> with mixed results: one trial found no race-by-treatment interaction,<sup>168</sup> but another reported that black participants were twice as likely to resume taking hypertension medications compared with white participants.<sup>157</sup> In DPP, diabetes incidence did not differ significantly according to ethnicity. However, DPP was not powered to assess the significance of effects within the subgroups.<sup>206</sup>

*Baseline obesity*. Four trials examined whether weight loss was modified by baseline  $BMI^{152,168, 169,171}$  and three found no relationship.<sup>152,169,171</sup> One trial's finding that greater weight loss was associated with a higher  $BMI^{168}$  was not replicated in a similar, larger followup trial by the same author, in which the effect of baseline obesity that had been present at 6 months disappeared by 18- and 36-month followup.<sup>169</sup> In meta-regression, baseline BMI did not predict effect size in the behavioral trials (p=0.70).

## Pharmacotherapy

All 21 pharmacotherapy trials reported a measure of weight loss, and most also reported one or more other physiologic intermediate health outcomes.<sup>142,179-203</sup> Eighteen of the included trials tested the effects of orlistat (n=11,256 randomized to orlistat or placebo treatment arms)<sup>180-184, 187,189-191,193,194,197-202</sup> and three examined metformin (n=2,652 randomized to orlistat or placebo treatment arms).<sup>142,185,186</sup>

#### Orlistat.

*General characteristics of trials.* Eighteen trials examined the effect of 120 mg tid of orlistat on some measure of weight over at least 12 to 18 months. One was rated as good quality<sup>215</sup> and 17 were rated as fair quality.<sup>180-184,187,189-191,193,194,197-202,215</sup> Three of the trials were conducted in primary care settings.<sup>181,189,209</sup> Three additional studies were possibly conducted in primary care.<sup>180,187,215</sup> The role of the primary care provider was not described in any study. Five trials were conducted in the United States,<sup>182,189-191,197</sup> but only one study was conducted in a U.S. primary care setting.<sup>189</sup> This fair-quality study suffered from higher attrition in the control group (43 percent) compared with the orlistat group (28 percent) at 12 months.<sup>189</sup>

The orlistat data were limited in that there was only one good-quality trial.<sup>215</sup> All of the remaining trials were rated as fair quality. The most common defect was a high attrition rate. Only five studies had greater than 80 percent followup at 12 to 18 months (followup ranged from 61 to 96 percent among all orlistat trials).<sup>189,191,199,202,215</sup> Followup in the control group was often more than 10 percent lower than in the orlistat group.<sup>189,191,199,202</sup> In addition, randomization procedures (including allocation concealment) and medication adherence rates were rarely reported.

Participants in the orlistat studies were required to have a BMI of at least 28 to 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Participants with at least one established or subclinical risk factor were allowed to have a minimum BMI in the overweight (27 to 28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>)<sup>180,181,187,191,197,209</sup> to obese range (at least 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).<sup>183,201,202,215</sup> In studies of unselected or low-risk populations, a minority of trials required a BMI of at least 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.<sup>182,184,189</sup> The remaining trials required a BMI of at least 28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.<sup>190,193, 199,200</sup> Participants overall were moderately obese, with a weighted average baseline BMI of 36.1 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (range, 32 to 38 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) across all trials.

Nonwhite Americans were not highly represented in the included trials. Only eight of 18 trials (including all of the U.S. trials) reported the percentage of nonwhite participants.<sup>180,182,184,189-191, 197,215</sup> The weighted average percent of nonwhite participants was 12.3 percent among the eight trials reporting ethnicity (range, 0 to 19.2 percent). All studies included both men and women.

The weighted average percent of female participants in all trials was 65.9 percent (range, 45 to 88 percent). The age ranges were wide in most of the trials. Thirteen trials included participants ages 18 to at least 60 years.<sup>181,182,184,187,189-191,193,194,198-200,215</sup> The remaining five trials included participants ages 30 to 40 years to at least 60 years.<sup>180,183,197,201,202</sup> The average age of participants ranged from 41 to 59 years and the overall weighted average age of the entire group was 46.2 years.

The trials were conducted in a range of participants, from those who were healthy to those with multiple risk factors. Seven of the trials were conducted in overweight and obese participants who did not necessarily have a cardiovascular risk factor.<sup>182,184,189,190,193,199,200</sup> Six trials were conducted in overweight and obese subjects with diabetes<sup>180,187,191,197,215</sup> or prediabetes (IGT or IFG).<sup>202</sup> One included only obese participants with dyslipidemia.<sup>183</sup> Four additional trials were conducted in overweight and obese participants who had at least one cardiovascular risk factor.<sup>181,194,198,201</sup>

One trial implemented a 6-month, very low calorie diet (VLCD) with the requirement that overweight and obese participants lose at least 6 percent of their body weight prior to entry in the orlistat phase of the trial.<sup>190</sup> This study was considered a weight maintenance trial. Only 55 percent of the participants from the weight loss phase of the trial were entered into the randomized weight maintenance phase of the trial.

The majority (64.7 percent) of the weight loss studies (not counting the weight maintenance trial) used a pretrial run-in period prior to randomization to orlistat or placebo. The duration of the run-in period ranged from 2 to 5 weeks. To be randomized, participants often needed to meet a certain level of compliance with the medication and/or behavioral component and/or a prespecified degree of weight loss during the run-in period. Seventy-five to 98 percent of the participants successfully fulfilled the run-in requirements.

All of the studies applied some dietary education and/or behavioral therapy to both the orlistat and placebo groups. Almost all trials prescribed a low-calorie diet, and 10 of 18 trials reported that a physical activity recommendation was given to participants.<sup>180,182,183,189,194,197,198,201,202,215</sup> Fourteen trials provided enough detail to ascertain the intensity of their behavioral intervention, and they were all rated as having an –intense" behavioral intervention (i.e., monthly to quarterly dietary reinforcement, with or without behavioral modification, combined with monthly to quarterly weigh-ins). While all 18 studies prescribed 120 mg tid of orlistat, three trials (two weight loss trials and one maintenance trial) randomized additional intervention groups to smaller doses of orlistat (30 or 60 mg tid).<sup>189,190,199</sup>

No trials examined whether treatment effects were maintained after medication was discontinued; however, two trials provided data on the effects of longer-term (beyond 12 to 18 months) orlistat treatment on intermediate health outcomes.<sup>198,199</sup> One trial examined the effects of orlistat over 24 months in an unselected overweight and obese population in Europe.<sup>199</sup> The other examined 36 months of orlistat treatment in obese Scandinavians following a pretrial 8-week VLCD.<sup>198</sup> We did not include long-term data from two additional trials<sup>189,202</sup> because there was high attrition at 2 to 4 years (41 to 43 percent followup at 2 to 4 years); however, 12-month data from these studies were included.

*Weight loss.* Treatment with orlistat resulted in more weight loss than treatment with placebo. All 18 trials of orlistat reported some measure of weight loss over 12–18 months (N=11,256). Of these, 17 addressed weight loss, <sup>180-184,187,189,191,193,194,197-202,215</sup> and one addressed weight maintenance. <sup>190</sup> Twelve of the 17 weight loss trials could be combined into a meta-analysis (n=5,190). <sup>181-183,187,189,191,193,194,197,199,201,215</sup> Overweight and obese participants who were randomized to orlistat lost an average of 3 kg more than those randomized to placebo after 12 months (WMD, -3.0 [95% CI, -3.9 to -2.0];  $I^2$ =84.9%; k=12) (Figure 2). With one exception, <sup>215</sup> the studies were not highly variable, with 1.0 to 3.8 kg more lost in the orlistat group compared with the placebo group. The outlier study was the only good-quality study. In this study, obese participants with uncontrolled diabetes who were randomized to orlistat lost nearly 7 kg more than those given placebo. <sup>215</sup> In terms of overall weight loss, most trials reported a weight loss of 6 to 9 kg among those taking orlistat compared with 3 to 6 kg in those taking placebo. Five orlistat weight loss trials could not be included in the meta-analysis (Table 10), <sup>180,184,198,200,202</sup> including one of the largest and better conducted studies, <sup>202</sup> but these studies generally confirmed the meta-analysis results. The only trial conducted in a U.S. primary care setting had very similar results to the other trials, showing a weight loss of 7 kg in those taking orlistat and 4 kg in those taking placebo. <sup>189</sup>

Visual inspection of the forest plots suggests that weight loss did not vary by risk status. This impression was confirmed by a meta-regression of all medication trials, controlling for medication type (samples with cardiovascular risk factors vs. unselected or low-risk samples; p=0.75).

In 13 of 18 studies, the probability of losing 5 percent of one's initial weight was evaluated.<sup>180-182,184,187,189,191,193,194,197,198,200,202</sup> Overweight and obese participants who were randomized to orlistat had a 1.6-fold greater chance of losing 5 percent of their initial weight than those who were randomized to placebo (RR, 1.57 [95% CI, 1.40 to 1.75];  $I^2 = 76.2\%$ ; k=13; n=8,579) (Figure 3). This is an absolute risk difference of 19 percentage points, which translates into a NNT benefit of 5 (RD, 0.19 [95% CI, -0.05 to 0.43]). The relatively high statistical heterogeneity is likely due to one trial with a substantially larger risk reduction than the other trials.<sup>180</sup> The reason for the higher risk reduction in this trial is not clear, although there was a particularly low rate of 5 percent weight loss in the placebo group. The probability of losing 10 percent of one's initial weight was about 2 times greater in overweight and obese patients receiving orlistat compared with placebo (RR, 1.99 [95% CI, 1.69 to 2.35];  $I^2$ =49.2%; k=11; n=7,500) (Figure 4). The absolute risk difference was 12 percentage points, which translates into a NNT benefit of 8 (RD, 0.12 [95% CI, -0.05 to 0.29]). Based on average baseline and posttreatment weight, the orlistat trials reported an average weight loss of 5 percent in the placebo groups and 8 percent in the orlistat groups.

No trials screened consecutive patients in primary care practices. Three studies identified potentially eligible participants through medical records or disease registries, and then invited them for further screening. Two found that orlistat was associated with more weight loss than placebo,<sup>200,215</sup> but the other did not.<sup>183</sup>

*Dose effects*. Different dosages were compared in two weight loss trials and in the maintenance trial. In the two weight loss trials, weight loss in both the 60 mg and 120 mg tid dosage groups

was greater than in the placebo groups.<sup>189,199</sup> Neither trial tested for group differences between the 60 mg and 120 mg groups, but absolute weight loss appeared very similar; those in the 60 mg tid groups lost 7.1<sup>189</sup> and 6.6 kg<sup>199</sup> compared with 7.9 and 7.4 kg in the 120 mg tid groups. In the maintenance trial of orlistat after a VLCD, only overweight and obese participants who took orlistat 120 mg tid (not 30 or 60 mg tid) had a statistically significant smaller weight regain than placebo over 12 months.<sup>190</sup>

*Long-term weight loss*. According to two trials, weight loss was maintained in the longer term (24 to 36 months) with continued treatment (Table 11).<sup>198,199</sup> Overweight and obese participants who were randomized to orlistat lost 2 to 3 kg more than those receiving placebo in both trials.<sup>198,199</sup> The amount of weight loss at 24 to 36 months was not greater, and perhaps a bit less, than at 12 months, although statistical testing of weight loss between the time points was not conducted. No trials reported long-term outcomes after an intervention had ended.

*Maintenance of weight loss.* One trial found that orlistat was helpful in maintaining the weight loss that occurred during a 6-month VLCD combined with an intensive behavioral intervention, which led to an average weight loss of 10 kg.<sup>190</sup> By 12 months followup, those who were randomized to 120 mg tid of orlistat regained 2.7 kg compared with 4.4 kg in those taking placebo, which was statistically significant.<sup>190</sup> Only 55.5 percent of participants who started the VLCD were ultimately randomized to orlistat or placebo.

*Effect of orlistat on other measures of adiposity.* Orlistat was generally associated with a decrease in waist circumference, although data were somewhat mixed. Twelve trials reported the effects of orlistat on waist circumference. <sup>180,181,183,187,191,193,194,198,199,201,202,215</sup> Seven studies could be combined by meta-analysis. <sup>180,183,187,191,193,201,215</sup> Waist circumference declined 2.3 cm more in participants taking orlistat compared with placebo over 12 to 18 months (WMD, -2.3 [95% CI, - 3.6 to -0.9]; k=7;  $I^2$ =87.7%; n=2,227) (Figure 5).

The pooled data on waist circumference were quite heterogeneous ( $I^2$ =87.7%), as were the results from studies that could not be pooled (Table 12). The main outlier was a good-quality trial that reported a decrease in waist circumference of 5 cm more in participants with diabetes taking orlistat compared with those taking placebo.<sup>215</sup> Among the 12 trials, there was an absolute 5 to 7 cm decline in waist circumference in those taking orlistat compared with a 2 to 6.5 cm decline in the placebo groups. No trials reported WHR.

*Effect of orlistat on lipid levels.* Orlistat was associated with a greater decrease in total and LDL cholesterol than placebo, but also a decrease in HDL cholesterol. Triglycerides were not affected. All 18 trials examined the effect of orlistat on at one least lipid measure. Twelve of the weight loss trials had data that could be combined in meta-analyses.<sup>180,183,184,187,189,191,194,197,199-201,215</sup> Overweight and obese participants in the orlistat group had a 12.6 mg/dL greater decline in total cholesterol (95% CI, -17.0 to -8.2;  $I^2$ =84.1%; k=12; n=4,213) (Figure 6), 11.4 mg/dL greater decline in LDL cholesterol (95% CI, -15.8 to -7.0;  $I^2$ =86.3%,;k=12; n=4,213) (Figure 7), and 0.9 mg/dL greater decline in HDL cholesterol (95% CI, -1.7 to -0.1;  $I^2$ =58.0%; k=12; n=4,213) (Figure 8) compared with placebo over 12 to 18 months. Triglycerides did not change differently between groups (WMD, -4.8 [95% CI, -10.4 to 0.7];  $I^2$ =80.1%,;k=10; N=3,626) (Figure 9). The five weight loss trials that measured lipid levels but could not be included in the meta-analyses

reported similar results (Table 13).<sup>181,182,193,198,202</sup> Additionally, the trial of weight maintenance showed greater improvement in total and LDL cholesterol in participants taking any dose of orlistat, but minimal effect on HDL cholesterol and triglycerides.<sup>190</sup> Two studies examined the effects of orlistat on the use of lipid-lowering medications and did not find any differences between groups.<sup>198,201</sup>

Only one trial recruited participants with dyslipidemia.<sup>183</sup> Obese participants in this study who received orlistat showed greater declines in LDL and total cholesterol, but did not have a greater change in triglycerides or HDL cholesterol compared with placebo.<sup>183</sup> In the intervention group, LDL cholesterol declined by 37 mg/dL (vs. 24 mg/dL in placebo group) and total cholesterol declined by 39 mg/dL (vs. 32 mg/dL in placebo group). In the one study that examined the subgroup of participants with dyslipidemia, overweight and obese participants who received orlistat had a significant decrease in total and LDL cholesterol but experienced no change in HDL cholesterol compared with the placebo group.<sup>181</sup> This result was similar to the study's findings for the entire population.<sup>181</sup>

Only two trials reported long-term effect of orlistat treatment (>12 to 18 months) on lipid levels (Table 11).<sup>198,199</sup> One trial found group differences in the longer term (LDL and total cholesterol)<sup>199</sup> and one did not (LDL cholesterol).<sup>198</sup> The latter trial also reported no differences at 12 months.

*Effect of orlistat on blood pressure.* Orlistat treatment was associated with a decrease in blood pressure compared with placebo. Fourteen of 18 RCTs of orlistat evaluated blood pressure.<sup>180-183,</sup> <sup>187,189,190,197-202,209</sup> Seven of the weight loss trials could be included in a meta-analysis.<sup>182,189,197,199-201, 209</sup> Participants who were randomized to orlistat had a 2.0 mm Hg greater decline in SBP (WMD, -2.0 [95% CI, -3.1 to -1.0];  $I^2$ =0.0%, k=7; n=3,683) (Figure 10) and a 1.3 mm Hg greater decline in DBP (WMD, -1.3 [95% CI, -2.5 to -0.2];  $I^2$ =52.2%; k=6; n=3,179) (Figure 11) after 12 to 18 months compared with those given placebo.

Five trials, including one of the largest and better conducted trials,<sup>202</sup> measured blood pressure but could not be included in the meta-analysis (Table 14).<sup>180,181,187,198,202</sup> They supported the meta-analysis results in that they all reported no or small changes in blood pressure.

There were little data about the effect of orlistat on persons with hypertension. No trials evaluated only participants with hypertension. One trial examined separately the 43 percent of participants with hypertension at baseline and found no treatment effect.<sup>181</sup> Two studies examined the effects of orlistat on the use of blood pressure medications with conflicting results.<sup>198,201</sup>

There was also very little data on the long-term effect of orlistat on blood pressure. Two studies had longer-term followup (Table 11).<sup>198,199</sup> Neither study found that long-term orlistat use was associated with a greater decrease in blood pressure compared with placebo. However, neither study had found a difference in blood pressure in the treatment groups at 12 months.<sup>198,199</sup> No study evaluated whether the decrease in blood pressure associated with orlistat was maintained after stopping the medication.

*Development of diabetes.* Limited data suggest that orlistat may be associated with a decreased risk of type 2 diabetes in both low- and high-risk obese individuals. Two of 18 orlistat trials reported the risk of developing new-onset type 2 diabetes.<sup>198,202</sup> Both studies were rated as fair quality for attrition issues; one study had 35.3 percent attrition at 36 months<sup>198</sup> and the other had somewhat differential attrition (90 percent followup in the orlistat group compared with 77 percent in the placebo group) at 12 months (Table 7).<sup>202</sup>

The first orlistat trial examined type 2 diabetes risk in obese individuals with an elevated waist circumference and IFG and/or dyslipidemia. To enter into the trial, participants had to lose at least 5 percent of their weight during an 8-week VLCD (600–800 kcal); 80.7 percent of participants were retained after the run-in period. Eight percent of participants who were randomized to orlistat compared with 17 percent of those who were randomized to placebo were newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes by the final visit at 36 months (p=0.04).<sup>198</sup>

In the largest trial of orlistat, cumulative incidence of diabetes was reported over 4 years of study followup. Although the study's attrition by 4 years was high (48 and 68 percent in orlistat and placebo groups, respectively), we present these data because they are cumulative (see methods for a full description of quality rating and data abstraction) and because the data on the association between orlistat and diabetes risk are limited. Both high-risk (IGT) and low-risk (normal glucose tolerance) obese populations who received orlistat had a lower incidence of type 2 diabetes compared with those given placebo. In the high-risk population, the cumulative incidence of type 2 diabetes was 19 percent in the orlistat group compared with 29 percent in the placebo group over 4 years. The respective cumulative incidence in the low-risk population was 6 percent versus 17 percent.<sup>202</sup> In both studies, participants in the orlistat group lost more weight than those in the control group. However, the relationship between the degree of weight loss with orlistat and the subsequent risk of type 2 diabetes was not evaluated.

*Effect of orlistat on glucose tolerance.* Orlistat was generally associated with a decrease in fasting glucose level, but with mixed results. Fourteen trials examined the effect of orlistat on fasting glucose in individuals with diabetes and prediabetes and in unselected/low-risk overweight and obese populations.<sup>180,181,187,189-191,194,197-202,215</sup> Nine weight loss trials could be combined in a meta-analysis.<sup>187,189,191,194,197,199-201,215</sup> Those participants who were randomized to orlistat experienced a 5.7 mg/dL greater reduction in fasting glucose over 12 months compared with those given placebo (95% CI, -8.3 to -3.0;  $I^2$ =79.6%; k=9; n=3,727) (Figure 12). These results were heterogeneous due to different degrees of glucose reduction in participants with diabetes were combined, <sup>187,191,197,215</sup> overweight and obese individuals with diabetes who were randomized to orlistat had a 12 mg/dL greater decline in fasting glucose level compared with those given placebo (WMD, -12.1 [95% CI, -21.9 to -2.4];  $I^2$ =86.6%; k=4; n=1,428) (figure not shown), with absolute reductions of up to 36 mg/dL.<sup>197</sup>

A greater effect of orlistat on glucose reduction in individuals with diabetes compared with those without it was supported by a subgroup analysis in a study of overweight and obese participants with multiple cardiovascular risk factors. The 26 percent of the population with diabetes had a greater decrease in fasting glucose (-29.4 vs. +5.0 mg/dL for orlistat compared with placebo) compared with the entire population (-9.9 vs. -1.6 mg/dL for orlistat compared with placebo),

although this interaction was not statistically tested.<sup>209</sup> A second study suggested that these effects do not extend to individuals with prediabetes. The small subgroup with IGT (17 percent [n=125]) did not have a greater improvement in fasting glucose compared with the whole population.<sup>181</sup>

The five orlistat trials that were not included in the meta-analysis were heterogeneous, but generally showed that orlistat improved fasting glucose levels with a similar effect size as the meta-analysis, with the largest effect seen in the trial of patients with diabetes (Table 15).<sup>180,181, 190,198,202</sup>

Orlistat appeared to have a favorable impact on diabetes medication use. Three trials found that orlistat resulted in either a greater discontinuation rate (12 percent) or greater dose reduction than placebo.<sup>191,197,201</sup> However, a fourth trial found that orlistat did not affect the use of diabetes medications.<sup>187</sup> Neither of the two trials reporting longer-term effects found group differences at 24 to 36 months.<sup>198,199</sup>

*Results in different subgroups*. Differences in efficacy between ethnic, sex, or age subgroups could not be determined. No study examined weight loss by ethnicity and the percentage of minorities included in the trials was very small (5.1 to 19.2 percent of the study population in the few studies that reported ethnicity).<sup>182,184,189-191,197</sup> No study examined results by sex, age, or baseline BMI. Weight loss with orlistat did not vary by the cardiovascular risk status of the population.

#### Metformin.

*General characteristics of studies.* We included three trials examining the effect of metformin (850 mg twice daily) on weight loss over 12 to 18 months in 2,652 overweight and obese participants selected for prediabetes,<sup>142</sup> polycystic ovary syndrome,<sup>186</sup> or an elevated WHR.<sup>185</sup> None of the studies recruited exclusively from primary care or were conducted in the primary care setting. Only one study, DPP, was conducted in the United States.<sup>142</sup> The largest trial (n=2,155 in the metformin and placebo arms), DPP was rated as good quality and was conducted in overweight and obese participants with prediabetes (IFG or IGT).<sup>142</sup> The other two trials were rated as fair quality. Neither trial described how treatment allocation was concealed<sup>185,186</sup> and one trial also suffered from high attrition: only 70.9 percent had followup at 12 months.<sup>185</sup> Although the other fair-quality study had adequate followup, the number of participants was quite small (N=40).<sup>186</sup> This small study was also not double blind—the providers were aware of the participants' treatment allocation and the blinding of the outcome assessors was not described.<sup>186</sup>

All of the studies applied some dietary education and/or behavioral therapy to both the metformin and placebo groups. Only one study specifically prescribed a hypocaloric diet.<sup>186</sup> In the other trials, participants were told to follow the NHLBI National Cholesterol Education Program step 1 diet (DPP) or were given dietary advice to reduce insulin resistance.<sup>185</sup> Two studies recommended an increase in physical activity,<sup>142,185</sup> while the other encouraged participants to continue their usual activities.<sup>186</sup> The trials provided enough detail to ascertain the intensity of their behavioral intervention (see methods for definition). One was rated as having an intensive behavioral intervention.<sup>186</sup> Participants had monthly meetings and weigh-ins with
the dietician.<sup>186</sup> In DPP, there was a yearly 20- to 30-minute meeting with a case manager addressing the importance of a healthy lifestyle, so we considered this trial to have a brief behavioral component. We also considered the third study as brief, as there were quarterly weigh-ins with dietary and exercise advice of unclear frequency.<sup>185</sup> All three studies prescribed a dose of metformin of 850 mg twice daily.

The second largest trial examined overweight and obese participants with an elevated WHR.<sup>185</sup> The two larger trials included both men and women (67 percent female) and the mean age of the population was 50 years.<sup>185,212</sup> The final trial was a small study of relatively young (average age, 27 years) overweight and obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome.<sup>186</sup> Participants in the studies were required to have a BMI of at least  $24^{142}$  or  $28 \text{ kg/m}^{2 \text{ 186}}$  or an elevated WHR ( $\geq 0.95$  for men;  $\geq 0.80$  for women).<sup>185</sup> Participants overall were moderately obese, with baseline mean BMI values ranging from 33 to 37 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

Only one trial, DPP, reported the ethnicity of participants: 54.7 percent were white, 19.9 percent black, 15.7 percent Hispanic, 5.3 percent American Indian, and 4.4 percent Asian/Pacific Islanders.<sup>206</sup>

No study examined weight loss after stopping metformin or the use of metformin for weight maintenance.

The validity of the meta-analyses were limited by the marked differences in study populations. None of the studies used the same adiposity or risk factor criteria for study entry and had varying baseline demographics. Therefore, we include the metformin trials in Figures 2–12 for comparison purposes, but do not discuss meta-analysis results.

*Effect of metformin on weight loss.* Metformin treatment generally led to more weight loss than placebo. All three RCTs of metformin reported some measure of weight loss over 12 months.<sup>185, 186,212</sup> In DPP, participants who were randomized to metformin lost 2.7 kg after 12 months, 2.3 kg more than those who were randomized to placebo.<sup>212</sup> After 3 years, weight loss was greatest in the older (ages 60 to 85 years) participants, who lost an average of 2.7 kg compared with 1.5 to 1.7 kg in younger age groups. Effect size did not appear to vary by sex, race, or ethnicity, but DPP reported inadequate power to assess subgroup effects.<sup>206</sup> A second study examined the effects of metformin in overweight and obese individuals with a high WHR.<sup>185</sup> Approximately 22 percent had abnormal glucose tolerance. The metformin group lost 2 kg over 12 months, which was 1.2 kg more than in the placebo group, a nonsignificant difference.<sup>185</sup> The final study involved younger overweight and obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome.<sup>186</sup> There was no differential weight change between the metformin and placebo groups: both lost 4 to 5 kg. None of the studies examined weight loss of 5 and 10 percent of baseline weight.

*Long-term weight loss with metformin.* Longer-term metformin treatment (>12 to 18 months) was associated with greater weight loss than placebo (Table 11). In DPP, overweight and obese participants who were randomized to metformin lost 2.0 kg more after 2.8 years than those in the placebo group. This was similar to the 1-year results of 2.3 kg more than the placebo group. <sup>206</sup> Ten-year followup from DPP is reviewed in the discussion section (this 10-year outcomes study did not meet criteria for inclusion in this evidence review).

*Effect of metformin on other measures of adiposity.* Metformin decreased waist circumference by 1.5 cm compared with placebo in DPP.<sup>212</sup> Waist circumference declined more in the oldest age group (-2.8 cm in ages 60 to 85 years vs. -1.2 in ages 25 to 44 years; p<0.001).<sup>210</sup> However, there were no group differences in the small trial of patients with polycystic ovary syndrome, in which both groups had 4 to 5 cm declines in waist circumference.<sup>186</sup> No trials reported WHR.

*Effect of metformin on lipid levels.* Twelve months of metformin treatment did not have favorable effects on total, HDL, or LDL cholesterol or triglycerides compared with placebo in the two fair-quality trials.<sup>185,186</sup> In DPP, long-term (36 months) metformin treatment led to favorable effects on HDL cholesterol compared with placebo, but the changes in both groups were less than 1 mg/dL (Table 11).<sup>207</sup> No trial recruited participants with dyslipidemia at baseline.

*Effect of metformin on blood pressure*. Metformin treatment did not improve blood pressure outcomes compared with placebo in DPP.<sup>207</sup> In DPP and a second trial, blood pressure changes between metformin and placebo groups did not differ by more than 1 mm Hg after 12 to 36 months.<sup>185,207</sup> No study recruited participants with elevated blood pressure.

*Effect of metformin on diabetes incidence.* Data reported in two trials suggest that metformin reduced the risk of developing diabetes (Table 7).<sup>185,206</sup> In DPP, overweight and obese participants with IFG or IGT who were randomized to metformin had a reduced cumulative incidence of diabetes after 3 years compared with those given placebo (21.7 vs. 28.9 percent, respectively).<sup>206</sup> This absolute risk reduction of 7.2 percentage points translates into a NNT of 14. Ten-year followup from DPP is reviewed in the discussion section (it did not meet inclusion criteria for this evidence review). In DPP, diabetes incidence was marginally lower in the youngest age group in the metformin intervention group compared with the oldest, but this effect disappeared after controlling for baseline glucose levels. There was no difference in diabetes incidence by age in the placebo group.<sup>210</sup> Metformin had greater effects in those with lower fasting glucose levels and higher BMI compared with those with higher values for those variables. Treatment effects did not differ significantly according to either sex or ethnicity. However, DPP was not powered to assess the significance of effects within these subgroups.<sup>206</sup>

A smaller, fair-quality study examined overweight and obese participants with a high WHR, 22 percent of whom also had IGT.<sup>185</sup> Five (2.2 percent) overweight and obese participants with prediabetes who were given placebo were diagnosed with diabetes during the study compared with none of those with prediabetes in the metformin group.<sup>185</sup> However, diabetes diagnosis was done at the local investigator level, with unclear adjudication.

*Effect of metformin on glucose tolerance.* Data suggest that metformin may reduce fasting glucose levels. All three trials examined the effect of metformin on fasting glucose. In DPP, participants taking metformin had average reductions of 4.2 mg/dL in fasting glucose level compared with an average 0.6 mg/dL increase in those taking placebo at 12 months.<sup>212</sup> Neither of the other two fair-quality trials showed group differences.<sup>185,186</sup>

31

Heterogeneity of medication studies (meta-regression analysis). To examine how study characteristics may have influenced the treatment effects of the medications, we performed a meta-regression analysis on the main outcome of weight loss. We examined multiple trial factors, including how many participants returned for followup, the percentage of participants that were retained after a run-in period, whether subjects were self- or study-identified, the intensity of the behavioral component, the role of primary care in the study, whether the study was conducted in the United States, and study quality. Study quality was associated with treatment effect sizes; however, the results should be interpreted with great caution because of the truncated range of study quality-only two of the medication trials were rated as goodquality trials,<sup>142,215</sup> both of which had very large effect sizes. Meta-regression also showed that trials which relied on participants to contact the researchers to enroll in the trial (self-identified) had smaller effect sizes than trials which identified potentially eligible participants through medical records or registries (study-identified). However, again, this result should be interpreted very cautiously because this effect was driven primarily by a single trial with a very large effect size;<sup>215</sup> the participant identification approach was not statistically significant when this trial was dropped from the analysis. None of the other factors influenced treatment effect size. The characteristics of the participants, including the presence of cardiovascular risk factors, sex, age, and ethnicity, also did not predict effect size for weight loss with medications. The type of medication also did not influence treatment effect size.

### KQs 4 and 4a. What Are the Adverse Effects of Primary Care– Relevant Interventions in Obese or Overweight Adults? Are There Differences in Adverse Effects Between Patient Subgroups?

In addition to evaluating all 58 studies from KQs 2 and 3 for harms, we abstracted an additional 12 weight loss studies for harms data (Appendix A).

#### **Behavioral-Based Interventions**

**General characteristics of studies.** Ten studies reported on possible harms of behavioral weight loss interventions. Six were RCTs from KQs 2 and 3, <sup>142,152,160,167,173,175</sup> three were additional published RCTs, <sup>128,137,138</sup> and one was a prospective cohort study. <sup>135</sup> The three additional trials did not meet inclusion criteria for KQ 3 due to high or differential attrition.

**Adverse events.** Four fair- to good-quality trials of adults ages 40 to 80 years examined bone density.<sup>135,167,173,175</sup> In three studies, weight loss reduced total<sup>175</sup> or hip bone mineral density (BMD).<sup>167,173</sup> In one trial, a small subset of participants (67/975) were studied, and those who lost weight had a greater decrease in total bone density (0.05 percent decrease in BMD per pound of weight lost) at 12 months, although there was not a statistically significant difference between the intervention and control groups. The other two studies noted a decrease in hip (0.9 to 2.4 percent) BMD with 12 months of intervention that was greater than the control condition.<sup>167,173</sup> Changes in body weight were correlated with changes in BMD.<sup>167,173</sup> A more recent trial reported no change in bone mineral content at any site after a 12-month weight loss program, even among those in the highest tertile of weight loss.<sup>135</sup> No study noted a significant decrease in

spine BMD.

Four trials reported no serious adverse effects or serious injuries with increased physical activity over 1 to 2 years.<sup>128,138,152,160</sup> One trial of only female participants ages 25 to 44 years reported an increase in physical activity- and strength training-related injuries in the intervention group compared with the control group (odds ratio [OR], 4.0 [95% CI, 1.8 to 9.0] and OR, 10.1 [95% CI, 3.0 to 34.2], respectively).<sup>138</sup> The cumulative incidence of physical activity- and strength training-related injuries was 46.9 and 33.3 per 100 women, respectively, although the number of participants who lost work time or had to make major changes in daily activities was low (7 percent) and not different from the control group.

One trial found that participants in the intervention group either showed no difference or greater improvement in eating disorder measures.<sup>137</sup>

#### Pharmacotherapy

#### Orlistat.

*General characteristics of studies.* We included a total of 24 placebo-controlled studies on the harms of orlistat (120 mg tid) and one comparing orlistat with metformin (Table 16).<sup>136</sup> Eighteen were RCTs from KQs 2 and 3,<sup>180-184,187,189-191,193,194,197-202,215</sup> five were additional published RCTs,<sup>126,127,129,130,132</sup> and one was an event monitoring study from the United Kingdom.<sup>133</sup> The event monitoring study relied on doctors' retrospective reports of adverse events and had low response rates. We chose to include the study because we wanted to capture rare adverse events that might not be picked up in relatively small RCTs. Of the placebo-controlled RCTs, eight recruited unselected populations<sup>129,182,184,189,190,193,199,200</sup> and 15 recruited participants with at least one clinical or subclinical cardiovascular risk factor.<sup>126,127,130,132,180,181,183,187,191,194,197,198,201,202,215</sup>

Seven of the 23 placebo-controlled trials (30 percent) were conducted in the United States.<sup>126,127, 182,189-191,197</sup> All trials included both men and women (overall weighted average percent of female participants, 66 percent). The overall weighted average age of the entire group was 47.1 years (range, 41 to 59 years). Only 10 of 23 trials reported ethnicity of the participants, and in these trials the weighted average percent of nonwhite participants was 14.7 percent (range, 0 to 28 percent). The median trial duration was 52 weeks (range, 24 to 208 weeks), but five trials provided data beyond 52 weeks.

*Adverse events*. Participants who were randomized to orlistat were more likely to experience adverse effects (Figure 13) and withdrawals due to adverse effects (Figure 14) compared with those who were randomized to placebo. However, a similar number of participants reported serious adverse effects in the orlistat group compared with the placebo group (Figure 15). Data were limited and contradictory regarding whether orlistat led to hypoglycemia in drug-treated participants with type 2 diabetes.<sup>127,187,197</sup> Data were insufficient to determine whether orlistat had detrimental effects on bone density.<sup>216</sup>

Gastrointestinal-related adverse effects were more common in the orlistat group compared with the placebo group and were the main cause of excess adverse effects in the orlistat group (Figure

16). Gastrointestinal side effects included loose stools, increased defecation, uncontrolled oily discharge/oily evacuation, oily spotting, fatty/oily stool, fecal urgency, discolored feces, flatus with discharge, fecal incontinence, and abdominal pain. Most gastrointestinal adverse effects were mild to moderate in intensity, occurred early in treatment, and resolved spontaneously. Orlistat treatment appeared to be associated with a decrease in some fat-soluble vitamin levels compared with placebo.<sup>129,190,191,199,202</sup> Data were strongest for vitamin E and beta-carotene, but there were also several reports for vitamin D. There were insufficient data to evaluate orlistat's effects on the liver.

In the trial comparing orlistat and metformin, there were no differences in withdrawals due to adverse effects, but more people reported abdominal discomfort using orlistat (44 percent) than metformin (28 percent). These percentages were not tested for statistical significance. Table 17 and Appendix F provide more details on adverse events.

*Dosage effects.* All 24 trials prescribed orlistat 120 mg tid.<sup>126,127,129,130,132,136,180-184,187,189-191,193,194, <sup>197-202,215</sup> Four trials included additional dosage regimens (30 to 240 mg tid), but did not present statistical comparisons between dosage groups.<sup>129,189,190,199</sup> Data do not suggest that higher dosages were associated with elevated adverse effect rates, although the results were somewhat mixed.</sup>

*Subgroup analysis*. Withdrawals due to adverse effects and serious adverse events were more likely in trials of unselected participants taking orlistat<sup>129,182,184,189,190,193,199,200</sup> than in participants with cardiovascular risk factors,<sup>126,127,130,132,180,181,183,187,191,194,197,198,201,202</sup> regardless of age.

#### Metformin.

*General characteristics of studies.* We included a total of four trials on the harms of metformin (850 mg twice daily) (Table 16). Three trials were RCTs from KQs 2 and 3<sup>142,185,186</sup> and one was an additional published RCT.<sup>131</sup> Recruitment criteria included IFG or IGT,<sup>142</sup> high WHR,<sup>185</sup> or polycystic ovary syndrome.<sup>131,186</sup> One trial additionally compared metformin with orlistat, and was described previously.<sup>136</sup> Only one of the four trials was conducted in the United States.<sup>142</sup> The overall weighted average percent of female participants in all trials was 68.7 percent (range, 67 to 100 percent); two small trials included only women. The overall weighted average age of participants was 49.7 years (range, 27 to 50 years), and 45.3 percent of the participants in the largest trial of metformin were nonwhite.<sup>142</sup> The other trials did not describe ethnicity. Two trials had a duration of 1 year (range, 26 to 208 weeks).

*Withdrawals and adverse effects.* Participants who were randomized to metformin were more likely to have any adverse event and to withdraw due to adverse effects (Table 17) compared with those who were randomized to placebo.<sup>131,185,186</sup> No studies reported the proportion of participants with serious adverse effects, although one listed all adverse effects and none fit our criteria for serious.<sup>186</sup> There were no data about the effects of metformin on bone density or hypoglycemia. Gastrointestinal adverse effects (abdominal swelling, diarrhea, flatulence, nausea, vomiting) were more likely to occur in those who were randomized to metformin compared with placebo and were the main reason for excess adverse effects (Table 17).<sup>131,185,186,210</sup> Table 17 and Appendix F provide more details on adverse events.

*Dosage effects.* We were unable to examine the relationship between metformin dose and adverse effects, as all studies prescribed the same dose of 850 mg twice daily.

*Subgroup analysis.* In DPP, the relative increase in gastrointestinal adverse events in the metformin group did not appear to differ by age.<sup>210</sup>

**Heterogeneity of medication studies (meta-regression analysis).** We performed metaregression to examine whether study characteristics influenced the association between medication and the proportion of participants who withdrew due to adverse effects or reported any adverse effects, any serious adverse effects, or gastrointestinal-related adverse effects, in all cases controlling for risk status of the participants and medication type. We examined multiple trial factors, including how many participants returned for followup, whether the study was conducted in the United States, and the duration of the study. None of these trial factors influenced the harms effect size of the medications. Sex and age did not predict effect size for any adverse event associated with medications. We were unable to examine ethnicity because of the paucity of reporting and low percentage of nonwhite participants in the medication studies.

The type of medication did not influence withdrawals due to adverse effects, total adverse effects, or serious adverse effects in any of the meta-regression models, although the number of metformin trials was fairly small. We had limited ability to detect differences in harms between medications since we did not include trials that did not have placebo comparison groups. Only one trial of obese women included a head-to-head comparison of orlistat and metformin.<sup>136</sup> Two participants withdrew due to side effects (none serious) from the orlistat group and none withdrew from the metformin group.

# **Chapter 4. Discussion**

# **Benefits of Screening for Adult Obesity**

We found no trials directly examining the benefit of screening for adult obesity. Six behavioralbased trials either screened consecutive patients in primary care practices<sup>158,162,165</sup> or identified potentially eligible participants through medical records or disease registries and then invited them for further screening.<sup>146,159,178</sup> All of these trials included fewer than 10 treatment sessions. Two of the five trials (both fair-quality) showed greater weight loss in intervention participants.<sup>159,165</sup> No medication trials screened consecutive patients in primary care practices; however, three orlistat studies (one good- and two fair-quality) identified potentially eligible participants through medical records or disease registries and then invited them for screening.<sup>183, <sup>200,215</sup> These trials showed mixed but generally positive results. These trials suggest that weight loss programs can be effective in screen-detected patients, although it cannot be determined if screening affects the likelihood of success in weight loss (Table 18).</sup>

# **Benefits of Weight Loss Treatment**

#### Weight Loss

Participants of behavioral interventions lost an average of 3.0 kg more than control groups. Participants in control groups generally lost little or no weight, while the average weight loss in intervention groups ranged from 0 to 7 kg, with most falling in the 1.5 to 5 kg range, losing 4 percent of baseline weight on average (Table 19). These results are consistent with the previous review, despite the fact that only five of the trials in the current review were included in the 2002 review (Appendix B Table 3). Also consistent with the previous review, we found that intervention intensity influenced the amount of weight loss. Trials that provided 12 to 26 intervention sessions during the first year had a weighted average weight loss of 5.3 kg (generally 4 to 7 kg), or 6 percent of baseline weight) in control groups. The 2002 review reported an average weight loss of 2.7 to 5.5 kg in trials that involved more than monthly face-to-face contact for the first 3 months.

Weight loss could be maintained for an additional year or more after completion of an active weight loss phase, particularly with additional support after completion. No other factors were clearly related to effect size in the included trials, but high variability in the intervention approaches, trial design, and populations may have obscured important relationships.

Taking a weight loss medication generally increased the amount of weight loss over and above that of the accompanying behavioral-based intervention (Table 19). These results are generally similar to the previous evidence review, despite the fact that only two of the 13 medication trials from the previous review were included in the current review (Appendix B Table 4). The absolute amount of weight loss varied substantially between trials, as did the extent of the treatment's behavioral component. Orlistat resulted in 5 to 10 kg of weight loss (8 percent of baseline weight). Metformin was associated with a smaller degree of weight loss (2 to 4 kg). The

previous evidence review did not conclude that metformin led to significant weight loss, but it included only one study of metformin<sup>217</sup> and that study was not included in our review.

Although the medication trials were conducted in more obese samples than the behavioral trials, the placebo groups that received an intensive behavioral intervention typically experienced 3 to 6 kg of weight loss, which is roughly comparable with that seen in behavioral weight loss trials with 12 or more intervention sessions (Table 19). Weight loss in placebo groups that received no or minimal behavioral treatment was minimal to nonexistent, consistent with the control groups of behavioral trials.

Weight loss of 5 and 10 percent of baseline weight was frequently reported in orlistat trials but not for metformin. This outcome was only rarely reported and varied substantially in the behavioral-based trials. Five percent weight loss is considered to be clinically meaningful by the FDA, where it is considered a primary weight loss outcome.<sup>218</sup> Most orlistat trials reported that between one third and three fourths of intervention participants lost 5 percent or more of their initial weight after 1 year (compared with one tenth to one half in placebo participants). About half as many participants lost 10 percent of their initial weight as those who lost 5 percent.

Behavioral-based weight loss interventions consistently showed 2 to 5 cm greater reductions in waist circumference than placebo. The absolute reduction in waist circumference with orlistat was generally 5 to 9 cm compared with 2 to 7 cm in the placebo groups. Metformin led to a smaller, but still significant, reduction in waist circumference (2 to 5 cm).

#### Weight Loss Results in Different Patient Subgroups

Data on the effects of weight loss or maintenance programs in subgroups were sparsely reported and somewhat mixed. Behavioral interventions appeared, on average, to lead to less weight loss in blacks and women than nonblacks and men.<sup>28,145,152,157,168,170,171,175,177,214</sup> The only trial of medication examining subgroup effects was the metformin arm of DPP, which found that ethnicity and sex were not related to amount of weight lost.<sup>214</sup> Older participants showed greater weight loss than younger participants in both the lifestyle and metformin arms of DPP.<sup>142</sup> Although another good-quality behavioral trial<sup>169</sup> also found increased weight loss with increasing age, three other behavioral trials showed no age-by-treatment interactions.<sup>152,170,171</sup> Baseline BMI generally did not have an impact on treatment effect size at 12 months or beyond.

# **Clinical Health Outcomes**

The amount of weight loss apparent in the included trials did not demonstrate an effect on mortality, cardiovascular disease events, hospitalizations, or depression, although data were sparse for all outcomes. The two good-quality trials reporting one or more of these outcomes were not powered to detect group differences in these outcomes, other than depressive symptoms.<sup>142,172</sup>

Epidemiologic data about whether the degree of weight loss seen in the behavioral and medication trials is associated with reduced mortality were mixed. The relationship is likely confounded by a number of factors, particularly health status. Most,<sup>219-222</sup> but not all,<sup>223</sup> data

suggest that intentional weight loss of less than 9 kg was not associated with reduced mortality. However, these studies generally assessed the intentionality of weight loss at only one time point and several relied on retrospective assessment of weight loss. Prospective cohort studies of obese adults undergoing bariatric surgery show substantial improvements in health; however, weight loss in these patients is generally on the order of 25 to 50 kg.<sup>224,225</sup>

### Lipids

The pooled estimates for lipid changes with behavioral interventions were at high risk of reporting bias because lipid outcomes were rarely reported. We concluded that there were either no or very small effects of weight loss interventions on lipid outcomes in the included trials. In the few studies that did report lipid changes with behavioral weight loss interventions, the reduction in LDL cholesterol (generally 2 to 11 mg/dL) was substantially smaller than that seen with statin medications, which can cause LDL reduction on the order of 70 mg/dL.<sup>226</sup> These negative results for total cholesterol are not unexpected, based on data from the Swedish Obesity Subjects Study. This observational study of surgically and conventionally treated obese persons found that a weight loss of 20 to 30 kg was required to detect improvements in total cholesterol. Triglycerides and HDL cholesterol demonstrated marked improvements in response to large amounts of weight loss in this study.<sup>227</sup>

Orlistat had favorable effects on lipid outcomes compared with placebo. Reductions in LDL cholesterol ranged from 3 to 27 mg/dL. Patients with dyslipidemia, however, had LDL reduction of more than 37 mg/dL with orlistat.<sup>183</sup> Orlistat may cause a decrease in lipid levels by a mechanism independent of weight loss;<sup>228</sup> it may decrease lipids as a result of decreased absorption and increased fecal fat loss. Although still substantially smaller than statins' effects, an LDL reduction of 38 mg/dL has been associated with a 50 percent or more reduction in ischemic heart disease-related mortality in persons ages 45 to 59 years.<sup>9</sup> In contrast, metformin did not improve lipid profiles compared with placebo.

### **Blood Pressure**

Behavioral weight loss interventions led to a greater reduction in blood pressure compared with placebo. SBP and DBP decreased by 2.5 and 1.9 mm Hg more, respectively, in behavioral intervention groups than in control conditions. Our findings are consistent with the findings of a previous meta-analysis of behavioral weight loss RCTs,<sup>229</sup> which estimated that each kilogram of weight loss led to a 1.0 and 0.9 mm Hg decrease in SBP and DBP, respectively.<sup>229</sup> Translated to our trials, we would expect a decrease of roughly 5 mm Hg in SBP and 4.8 mm Hg in DBP in the high-intensity intervention groups, which is what we observed.

Participants taking orlistat showed a 2.0 mm Hg greater reduction in SBP and a 1.3 mm Hg greater reduction in DBP than those taking placebo medications. However, the absolute reduction in blood pressure (SBP: 2 to 6 mm Hg; DBP: 2 to 5 mm Hg) with orlistat was about the same as in the behavioral trials, despite the greater weight loss achieved with orlistat. The reduction was highest in studies of participants with any cardiovascular risk factor, including hypertension. Metformin did not have favorable effects on blood pressure compared with placebo.

Reductions of 5 to 6 mm Hg in DBP over 5 to 10 years have been associated with 33 percent or more reduction in stroke incidence and 16 percent reduction in CHD events in persons with and without hypertension.<sup>230</sup> Reductions of this magnitude were reported in some orlistat and behavioral-based trials in this review over 12 to 36 months, although none reported outcomes beyond 3 years.

#### Diabetes

Diabetes outcomes were rarely reported in behavioral trials. We therefore focused on two large, good-quality behavioral trials of diabetes prevention.<sup>142,172</sup> Behavioral interventions (7 to 23 sessions in first year) led to weight loss of 4 to 7 kg and decreased the incidence of diabetes by approximately half or more over 2 to 3 years. One of these trials, DPP, also examined metformin and noted a 31 percent reduction in diabetes incidence.<sup>213</sup> The authors continued to follow participants after unblinding them and offering all participants the lifestyle treatment program, as well as additional booster sessions. Ten years after the original randomization, lifestyle and metformin participants still had a median delay of diabetes onset of 4 and 2 years, respectively, compared with controls.<sup>213</sup> In two studies of persons with and without IGT, orlistat was associated with a reduced incidence of diabetes, although we had concerns about the reliability and generalizability of the data.

### **Glucose Tolerance**

Because trials of low-risk populations inconsistently reported fasting glucose outcomes, we focused on studies of individuals with prediabetes or diabetes, which more consistently reported fasting glucose changes. Behavioral-based interventions, orlistat, and metformin all led to a greater decline in fasting glucose than controls. Glucose reduction was greatest with orlistat (12 mg/dL greater reduction than placebo), possibly because those studies were all conducted in persons with diabetes. In behavioral and metformin studies of persons with prediabetes and diabetes, the decrease in fasting glucose was more modest (group differences of 5.3 and 4.8 mg/dL with behavioral intervention and metformin, respectively).

We did not find recent epidemiologic data that would allow us to gauge whether the effects of weight loss on diabetes risk or glucose tolerance in the included trials was consistent with the effects in real-world settings.

# Harms of Screening for Adult Obesity

No trials directly examined the harms of screening for adult obesity. The methods of measuring obesity in common practice (BMI, waist circumference, WHR) are low cost and have no direct physical harms. Possible secondary harms include labeling stigma, higher insurance premiums, or reinforcement of poor self-esteem. Misclassification is possible if BMI is used for screening because of differences in BMI's ability to predict future health risk, especially in different ethnic groups. Evidence is still being obtained on how we should adjust guidelines for more accurate identification of those at risk in order to better target management once screening positive.

### Harms of Weight Loss Treatment

Possible harms that could accrue from weight loss interventions include bone loss and increased fracture risk, injuries from increased physical activity, decreased self-esteem from being labeled as obese or failure to lose weight, use of extreme or unhealthy dietary approaches, and weight cycling. Limited data suggest that weight loss may be associated with decreased bone density at the hip. However, whether it is valid to measure bone density changes during weight changes is unclear; changes in fat distribution may alter bone measurements despite no real change in bone density.<sup>231-233</sup> Also, the clinical significance of the bone loss is unclear, given the lack of data on changes in bone density after weight loss has stopped and subsequent fracture risk. Risk of minor, but not serious, injuries increased with a supervised exercise component. However, the mild injuries did not result in lost work time or a major change in daily activities. The included trials found no evidence that weight loss interventions are associated with an increased risk of eating disorders or depression, but these data were limited. No studies evaluated whether weight loss interventions increase the risk of weight cycling. However, whether weight cycling even leads to increased morbidity or mortality is unclear.

Medications can lead to additional harms due to side effects. Orlistat and metformin caused mild to moderate gastrointestinal side effects that resulted in medication discontinuation.

Although orlistat did not cause more serious side effects than placebo in the included trials, the FDA recently (May 2010) approved a revised label for orlistat 120 mg (prescription strength) and 60 mg (over-the-counter strength). The revised label includes –new safety information about cases of severe liver injury that have been reported rarely with the use of this medication."<sup>238</sup> The FDA noted the possibility of severe liver injury during routine monitoring of submitted postmarketing adverse events. In the FDA's review, 13 cases of severe liver injury were identified. Two persons died and three required liver transplantation. Twelve of the identified persons had taken 120 mg tid and one had taken 60 mg tid. The FDA could not establish if there was a cause and effect relationship because other factors or drugs may have contributed in some of the cases.<sup>238</sup>

As described in Appendix G, surgery is another treatment option for obesity. There are shortterm risks associated with surgery, including perioperative mortality, infection, bleeding, deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, and gastrointestinal leaks.<sup>239,240</sup> Long-term harms include symptomatic ulcers, gastroesophageal reflux disease, diarrhea, cholelithiasis,<sup>241</sup> and nutritional deficiencies.<sup>242</sup> Surgical reoperations (excluding reoperation in the perioperative period for complications) range from 17–31 percent depending on the type of surgery.<sup>239,243,244</sup>

# **Effectiveness of Specific Weight Loss Strategies**

Greater treatment intensity was associated with greater weight loss. The association with treatment intensity was apparent despite the fact that our measure of treatment intensity (number of sessions in the first year) was imperfect, and particularly broke down at the extremes (e.g., one trial with  $-\theta$ " sessions involved extensive electronic contact, and one trial with 128 sessions was targeted toward physical activity and provided little counseling for dietary change). We also defined treatment intensity slightly differently in the behavioral and medication trials, but found

similar weight loss in medication trials labeled as -intense" and behavioral trials involving 12 or more intervention sessions. Most of the higher-intensity behavioral-based interventions included coverage of behavioral management activities, such as self-monitoring, setting weight loss goals, addressing barriers to change, and strategizing how to maintain long-term behavioral changes. However, we found no association between effect size and any of these components or any other specific intervention characteristics.

We examined reviews and comparative effectiveness trials (which were excluded from this evidence review) to provide more information on the effectiveness of specific weight loss approaches. In two systematic reviews, all diets—if adhered to—resulted in weight loss, and the difference in weight loss between the various diets was negligible.<sup>123,245</sup> Some reviews have found slight benefits to protein sparing modified fasts (e.g., Optifast and Modifast products),<sup>246</sup> the Atkins diet (low carbohydrate),<sup>247</sup> or a low carbohydrate/high protein diet.<sup>248</sup>

Weight loss may be sustained better over time when diet and exercise are combined.<sup>249-252</sup> Higher-intensity exercise led to greater improvement in cardiovascular disease risk factors.<sup>251</sup> In the National Weight Control Registry, a database of over 4,000 persons who successfully maintained their weight after a weight loss, those who successfully maintained weight loss had a high level of physical activity, consumed low-calorie, low-fat diets, consumed a regular breakfast, self-monitored weight and food intake (e.g., kept food diaries), maintained consistent eating patterns across weekdays and weekends, and recovered from small weight regains quickly. The most common weight loss trigger for this population was a medical event (23 percent), which included diagnosis of diabetes, a family member having a heart attack, or a doctor telling them they must lose weight.<sup>253</sup>

## **Applicability to Primary Care**

Only four trials of behavioral-based interventions were conducted in primary care settings in the United States.<sup>146,147,158,159</sup> All reported small amounts of weight loss in the intervention groups (0.1 to 2.2 kg), and only one showed greater weight loss compared with the control group (by 1.7 kg) after 1 year.<sup>159</sup> This trial had the most intensive intervention arm of all four trials, including 22 group sessions with a nutritionist in the first year. The same trial had a lower-intensity intervention arm (only four sessions over the course of the first year) that was not effective in helping participants lose weight. Aside from this trial, most of the successful behavioral-based interventions in the United States were not highly applicable to primary care. The participants had to be motivated to respond to advertisements or other media announcements. The interventions usually involved 12 or more sessions in 1 year, a high burden for a primary care clinic to undertake.

One fair-quality orlistat trial was conducted in a U.S. primary care setting.<sup>189</sup> Only study physicians (not dietitians) were involved, along with video presentations. Weight loss was 3 kg greater in the intervention group (7 kg vs. 4 kg). None of the metformin studies recruited exclusively from primary care or were conducted in the primary care setting.

41

## **Cost/Cost Effectiveness**

The only included study that had accompanying cost effectiveness data was DPP.<sup>254</sup> Compared with placebo, cost per quality-adjusted life year (QALY) gained was estimated at approximately \$1,100 for the DPP lifestyle intervention and \$31,300 for the metformin intervention in year 2000 dollars.<sup>254</sup> (The standard threshold of cost effectiveness in the United States is \$35,000 to \$50,000 per QALY gained.) Because the weight loss effect was greater in DPP compared with other trials, this cost effectiveness evaluation may be a best care scenario. Over 3 years, implementing the lifestyle or metformin arms of DPP was estimated to cost a health care plan \$2,250 per participant and reduce health care utilization and direct medical costs by \$423 and \$272 in the lifestyle and metformin intervention groups, respectively.<sup>254</sup>

Simulation studies are the main source of data on the cost effectiveness of behavioral interventions. For example, a recent Monte Carlo simulation study<sup>255</sup> estimated that a weight loss intervention that included dietary counseling, physical activity, and behavioral modification training in otherwise healthy overweight or obese women ages 35 years would cost \$12,600 per QALY gained over their lifetime.

A systematic review modeled the cost effectiveness or cost utility of orlistat treatment for obesity.<sup>256</sup> The median incremental cost effectiveness ratio for orlistat was \$36,400 per QALY, with a median modeled time horizon of 7.5 years.

# Limitations of the Review

Although we included 58 unique trials of weight loss efficacy, they were variable in the specific outcomes reported, and about one third of the trials could not be included in the meta-analysis of our primary outcome—weight loss. Intermediate physiologic outcomes (blood pressure, lipid levels, and fasting glucose) were sparsely reported, and also could not often be included in meta-analyses.

The applicability of our findings to primary care patients is unclear. Few of the studies were conducted in primary care settings and the interventions were often intensive and difficult to implement within a primary care setting (although overweight and obese patients could be referred into such programs by primary care providers). Participants in the behavioral-based weight loss trials generally fell into the overweight or class I obesity range, and the generalizability of these results to extremely obese persons is unknown. Most of the medication trials had run-in periods before randomization and usually required a certain degree of weight loss and/or compliance for inclusion in the main trial. Therefore, trial participants were likely more highly motivated, compliant, and responsive than primary care patient populations. The medication trials were almost exclusively financed by pharmaceutical companies; however, the one orlistat trial not financed by a pharmaceutical company had the largest effect size of all the trials.<sup>215</sup>

Our results, especially our medication findings, could also have been biased by high attrition. We chose to include studies with up to 40 percent attrition and/or 20 percent differential attrition. We made this decision because we believed it might be challenging for overweight and obese

populations to continue participating in a trial for a full year or longer. We felt that early discontinuation might be common regardless of trial design and not necessarily due to a design flaw. The majority of medication trials included all randomized participants using the last-observation-carried-forward method of imputing intention-to-treat results. Epidemiological studies have shown that most weight loss occurs early in the intervention and that weight is often regained toward baseline or even higher levels.<sup>257</sup> Therefore, using the last-observation-carried-forward approach to impute such large amounts of data (up to 40 percent) might have led to biased comparisons in unknown directions. We did examine the effect of attrition on effect size using meta-regression, but did not find that attrition had significant effects. The last-observation-carried-forward method was less common in the behavioral trials (in which attrition was generally lower than in medication trials). Behavioral trials were more likely to impute missing data through multilevel repeated measures modeling than carrying the last observation forward. However, behavioral trials were also more likely to drop participants from an analysis if they had missing data.

We reviewed several topics of high relevance to this topic as contextual questions only and not systematically. We did not include comparative effectiveness trials, as included studies had to have a control group with only a minimal intervention (Appendix A). Comparative effectiveness trials would shed more light on the components of an effective intervention. We also did not systematically examine the best screening approach. A growing body of evidence suggests that WHR or waist circumference may be better predictors of future health effects than BMI, especially for some subgroups. Finally, we only included one off-label medication, metformin. Other medications that are used off label for weight loss include zonisamide, an antiepileptic agent.<sup>114</sup> We also did not include antiobesity drugs in development, including Lorcaserin, Qnexa (a combination of phentermine and topiramate), or Contrave (a combination of naltrexone and bupropion).

We excluded studies with control groups that had more than a minimal intervention. A total of 143 studies were excluded because the control intervention was considered too intensive. One such study, Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes), is an important study of a behavioral weight loss intervention in persons with diabetes. The controls in this study had three group sessions on diet, physical activity, and social support each year. Look AHEAD had similar, if not slightly more positive, findings than the findings of our systematic evidence report. In Look AHEAD, 4 years of an intensive lifestyle intervention (42 sessions in the first year) led to 6 percent weight loss (compared with <1 percent in controls), decreased SBP and DBP, and improvement in HDL cholesterol and triglycerides.<sup>258</sup> The lifestyle intervention also led to significant and clinically relevant improvements in obstructive sleep apnea, especially in those participants who lost at least 10 kg.<sup>259</sup>

## **Future Research**

A study examining the effect of screening for adult obesity on long-term weight and health outcomes should be of high priority. We found little or no data on whether weight loss interventions (both behavioral and pharmacological) can lead to lasting weight loss and improvements in health outcomes. The benefits and harms of weight loss in the elderly are of particular interest given the potentially greater harms (e.g., decreased bone density and injuries

from increased physical activity). There is also a need to examine patterns of how weight gain and loss across the lifetime might affect long-term health outcomes.

Future research should clarify the degree to which the benefits seen from weight loss are derived specifically from the weight loss itself or from the effects of behavioral factors, such as increased physical activity or changes in diet. We also believe that the next systematic review of the evidence on adult obesity should re-review the question of the best screening tool for adult obesity; BMI may not be the best screening tool in general, and particularly so in specific subgroups such as the elderly and some nonwhite populations. The cost effectiveness of behavioral and medication interventions also deserves more careful study.

# References

- 1. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults: the Evidence Report. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 1998.
- 2. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Curtin LR. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999-2008. *JAMA*. 2010;303:235-41.
- 3. Stein CJ, Colditz GA. The epidemic of obesity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2004;89:2522-5.
- 4. Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, Cobain M, et al. Estimated risks for developing obesity in the Framingham Heart Study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2005;143:473-80.
- 5. Adams KF, Schatzkin A, Harris TB, et al. Overweight, obesity, and mortality in a large prospective cohort of persons 50 to 71 years old. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;355:763-78.
- 6. Flegal KM, Graubard BI, Williamson DF, Gail MH. Excess deaths associated with underweight, overweight, and obesity. *JAMA*. 2005;293:1861-7.
- 7. Fontaine KR, Redden DT, Wang C, et al. Years of life lost due to obesity. *JAMA*. 2003;289:187-93.
- 8. Whitlock G, Lewington S, Sherliker P, et al. Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900,000 adults: collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies. *Lancet.* 2009;373:1083-96.
- 9. Danaei G, Ding EL, Mozaffarian D, et al. The preventable causes of death in the United States: comparative risk assessment of dietary, lifestyle, and metabolic risk factors. *PLoS Med.* 2009;6:e1000058.
- 10. Peeters A, Barendregt JJ, Willekens F, et al. Obesity in adulthood and its consequences for life expectancy: a life-table analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2003;138:24-32.
- 11. Berrington de Gonzalez A, Hartge P, Cerhan JR, et al. Body-mass index and mortality among 1.46 million white adults. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;363:2211-9.
- 12. Calle EE, Thun MJ, Petrelli JM, et al. Body-mass index and mortality in a prospective cohort of US adults. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;341:1097-105.
- 13. Lenz M, Richter T, Mühlhauser I. The morbidity and mortality associated with overweight and obesity in adulthood: a systematic review. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* 2009;106:641-8.
- 14. Stevens J. Obesity and mortality in African-Americans. Nutr Rev. 2000;58:346-58.
- 15. Gu D, He J, Duan X, et al. Body weight and mortality among men and women in China. *JAMA*. 2006;295:776-83.
- 16. Jee SH, Sull JW, Park J, et al. Body-mass index and mortality in Korean men and women. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;355:779-87.
- 17. Matsuo T, Sairenchi T, Iso H, et al. Age- and gender-specific BMI in terms of the lowest mortality in Japanese general population. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008;16:2348-55.
- 18. Tsugane S, Sasaki S, Tsubono Y. Under- and overweight impact on mortality among middle-aged Japanese men and women: a 10-y follow-up of JPHC study cohort I. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2002;26:529-37.
- 19. Janssen I, Mark AE. Elevated body mass index and mortality risk in the elderly. *Obes Rev.* 2007;8:41-59.
- 20. Oreopoulos A, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Sharma AM, et al. The obesity paradox in the elderly: potential mechanisms and clinical implications. *Clin Geriatr Med.* 2009;25:643-59.

- 21. Bogers RP, Bemelmans WJ, Hoogenveen RT, et al. Association of overweight with increased risk of coronary heart disease partly independent of blood pressure and cholesterol levels: a meta-analysis of 21 cohort studies including more than 300,000 persons. *Arch Intern Med.* 2007;167:1720-8.
- 22. Colditz GA, Willett WC, Rotnitzky A, Manson JE. Weight gain as a risk factor for clinical diabetes mellitus in women. *Ann Intern Med.* 1995;122:481-6.
- 23. Willett WC, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, et al. Weight, weight change, and coronary heart disease in women: risk within the <u>-normal</u>" weight range. *JAMA*. 1995;273:461-5.
- 24. Kenchaiah S, Evans JC, Levy D, et al. Obesity and the risk of heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;347:305-13.
- 25. Stommel M, Schoenborn CA. Variations in BMI and prevalence in health risks in diverse racial and ethnic populations. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2010;18:1821-6.
- 26. Abell JE, Egan BM, Wilson PW, et al. Age and race impact the association between BMI and CVD mortality in women. *Public Health Rep.* 2007;122:507-12.
- 27. Abell JE, Egan BM, Wilson PW, et al. Differences in cardiovascular disease mortality associated with body mass between black and white persons. *Am J Public Health*. 2008;98:63-6.
- 28. Stevens J, Juhaeri, Cai J, Jones DW. The effect of decision rules on the choice of a body mass index cutoff for obesity: examples from African American and white women. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2002;75:986-92.
- 29. Chen Y, Henson S, Jackson AB, Richards JS. Obesity intervention in persons with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord.* 2006;44:82-91.
- 30. Huxley R, Barxi F, Lee CM, et al. Waist circumference thresholds provide an accurate and widely applicable method for the discrimination of diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30:3116-8.
- 31. Ni Mhurchu C, Rodgers A, Pan WH, et al. Body mass index and cardiovascular disease in the Asia-Pacific region: an overview of 33 cohorts involving 310,000 participants. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2004;33:751-8.
- 32. Guh DP, Zhang W, Bansback N, et al. The incidence of co-morbidities related to obesity and overweight: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health.* 2009;9:88.
- 33. Maskarinec G, Grandinetti A, Matsuura G, et al. Diabetes prevalence and body mass index differ by ethnicity: the Multiethnic Cohort. *Ethn Dis.* 2009;19:49-55.
- 34. Razak F, Anand SS, Shannon H, et al. Defining obesity cut points in a multiethnic population. *Circulation*. 2007;115:2111-8.
- 35. Razak F, Anand S, Vuksan V, et al. Ethnic differences in the relationships between obesity and glucose-metabolic abnormalities: a cross-sectional population-based study. *Int J Obes*. 2005;29:656-67.
- 36. Sánchez-Castillo CP, Velázquez-Monroy O, Berber A, et al. Anthropometric cutoff points for predicting chronic diseases in the Mexican National Health Survey 2000. *Obes Res.* 2003;11:442-51.
- 37. Low S, Chin MC, Ma S, et al. Rationale for redefining obesity in Asians. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. 2009;38:66-74.
- 38. WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet*. 2010;363:157-63.

- 39. Wen CP, David Cheng TY, Tsai SP, et al. Are Asians at greater mortality risks for being overweight than Caucasians? Redefining obesity for Asians. *Public Health Nutr*. 2008;12:497-506.
- 40. Dai Z, Xu YC, Niu L. Obesity and colorectal cancer risk: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2007;13:4199-206.
- 41. Harriss DJ, Atkinson G, George K, et al. Lifestyle factors and colorectal cancer risk (1): systematic review and meta-analysis of associations with body mass index. *Colorectal Dis.* 2009;11:547-63.
- 42. Renehan AG, Tyson M, Egger M, et al. Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *Lancet*. 2008;371:569-78.
- 43. Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, Thun MJ. Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of U.S. adults. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348:1625-38.
- 44. Strazzullo P, D'Elia L, Cairella G, et al. Excess body weight and incidence of stroke: metaanalysis of prospective studies with 2 million participants. *Stroke*. 2010;41:e418-26.
- 45. Zhou M, Offer A, Yang G, et al. Body mass index, blood pressure, and mortality from stroke: a nationally representative prospective study of 212,000 Chinese men. *Stroke*. 2008;39:753-9.
- 46. Frost L, Hune LJ, Vestergaard P. Overweight and obesity as risk factors for atrial fibrillation or flutter: the Danish Diet, Cancer, and Health Study. *Am J Med.* 2005;118:489-95.
- 47. Wang TJ, Parise H, Levy D, et al. Obesity and the risk of new-onset atrial fibrillation. *JAMA*. 2004;292:2471-7.
- 48. Hassing LB, Dahl AK, Thorvaldsson V, et al. Overweight in midlife and risk of dementia: a 40-year follow-up study. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2009;33:893-8.
- 49. Ageno W, Becattini C, Brighton T, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors and venous thromboembolism: a meta-analysis. *Circulation*. 2008;117:93-102.
- 50. Stampfer MJ, Maclure KM, Colditz GA, et al. Risk of symptomatic gallstones in women with severe obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1992;55:652-8.
- 51. Willett WC, Dietz WH, Colditz GA. Guidelines for healthy weight. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;341:427-34.
- 52. Pandolfino JE, El-Serag HB, Zhang Q, et al. Obesity: a challenge to esophagogastric junction integrity. *Gastroenterology*. 2006;130:639-49.
- Ferris M, Hogan S, Chin H, et al. Obesity, albuminuria, and urinalysis findings in US young adults from the Add Health Wave III study. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2007;2:1207-14.
- 54. Hsu CY, McCulloch CE, Iribarren C, et al. Body mass index and risk for end-stage renal disease. *Ann Intern Med.* 2006;144:21-8.
- 55. Young T, Skatrud J, Peppard PE. Risk factors for obstructive sleep apnea in adults. *JAMA*. 2004;291:2013-6.
- 56. Cicuttini FM, Baker JR, Spector TD. The association of obesity with osteoarthritis of the hand and knee in women: a twin study. *J Rheumatol*. 1996;23:1221-6.
- 57. Hart DJ, Spector TD. The relationship of obesity, fat distribution and osteoarthritis in women in the general population: the Chingford Study. *J Rheumatol.* 1993;20:331-5.

- 58. Chen H, Guo X. Obesity and functional disability in elderly Americans. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2008;56:689-94.
- 59. Morin KH. Perinatal outcomes of obese women: a review of the literature. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs.* 1998;27:431-40.
- 60. ACOG Committee on Practice Bulletins. ACOG Practice Bulletin: chronic hypertension in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2001;98(Suppl):177-85.
- 61. Sarwer DB, Allison KC, Gibbons LM, et al. Pregnancy and obesity: a review and agenda for future research. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2006;15:720-33.
- 62. Tennant PW, Rankin J, Bell R. Maternal body mass index and the risk of fetal and infant death: a cohort study from the North of England. *Hum Reprod.* 2011;26:1501-11.
- 63. Jia H, Lubetkin EI. The impact of obesity on health-related quality-of-life in the general adult US population. *J Public Health (Oxf)*. 2005;27:156-64.
- 64. Fine JT, Colditz GA, Coakley EH, et al. A prospective study of weight change and health-related quality of life in women. *JAMA*. 1999;282:2136-45.
- 65. Luppino FS, de Wit LM, Bouvy PF, et al. Overweight, obesity, and depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2010;67:220-9.
- 66. Bertakis KD, Azari R. Obesity and the use of health care services. *Obes Res.* 2005;13:372-9.
- 67. Quesenberry CP Jr, Caan B, Jacobson A. Obesity, health services use, and health care costs among members of a health maintenance organization. *Arch Intern Med.* 1998;158:466-72.
- 68. Qi L, Cho YA. Gene-environment interaction and obesity. Nutr Rev. 2008;66:684-94.
- 69. Bouchard C, Tremblay A, Després JP, et al. The response to long-term overfeeding in identical twins. *N Engl J Med.* 1990;322:1477-82.
- 70. Williamson DF, Madans J, Anda RF, et al. Recreational physical activity and ten-year weight change in a US national cohort. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1993;17:279-86.
- 71. Hu FB, Li TY, Colditz GA, et al. Television watching and other sedentary behaviors in relation to risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus in women. *JAMA*. 2003;289:1785-91.
- 72. Pereira MA, Kartashov AI, Ebbeling CB, et al. Fast-food habits, weight gain, and insulin resistance (the CARDIA study): 15 year prospective analysis. *Lancet*. 2005;365:36-42.
- 73. Vorona RD, Winn MP, Babineau TW, et al. Overweight and obese patients in a primary care population report less sleep than patients with a normal body mass index. *Arch Intern Med.* 2005;165:25-30.
- 74. Power C, Jefferis BJ. Fetal environment and subsequent obesity: a study of maternal smoking. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2002;31:413-9.
- 75. Dabelea D, Hanson RL, Lindsay RS, et al. Intrauterine exposure to diabetes conveys risks for type 2 diabetes and obesity: a study of discordant sibships. *Diabetes*. 2000;49:2208-11.
- 76. Harder T, Bergmann R, Kallischnigg G, Plagemann A. Duration of breastfeeding and risk of overweight: a meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2005;162:397-403.
- 77. Freedman DS, Khan LK, Serdula MK, et al. The relation of childhood BMI to adult adiposity: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *Pedatrics*. 2005;115:22-7.
- 78. Guo SS, Roche AF, Chumlea WC, et al. The predictive value of childhood body mass index values for overweight at age 35 y. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1994;59:810-9.

- 79. Bray GA. Clinical classification and natural history of overweight. In: Bray GA, ed. *Contemporary Diagnosis and Management of Obesity and the Metabolic Syndrome*. 3rd ed. Newtown, PA: Handbooks in Health Care; 2003:111-43.
- 80. Howard BV, Manson JE, Stefanick ML, et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and weight change over 7 years: the Women's Health Initiative Dietary Modification Trial. *JAMA*. 2006;295:39-49.
- 81. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Obesity Education Initiative. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 1998.
- McTigue KM, Garrett JM, Popkin BM. The natural history of the development of obesity in a cohort of young U.S. adults between 1981 and 1998. *Ann Intern Med.* 2002;136:857-64.
- 83. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for obesity in adults: recommendations and rationale. *Ann Intern Med.* 2003;139:930-2.
- 84. McTigue KM, Harris R, Hemphill MB, et al. Screening and Interventions for Overweight and Obesity in Adults. Systematic Evidence Review No. 21. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2003.
- 85. Bigaard J, Frederiksen K, Tjønneland A, et al. Waist and hip circumference and all-cause mortality usefulness of the waist-to-hip ratio? *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2004;28:741-7.
- 86. Koster A, Leitzmann MF, Schatzkin A, et al. Waist circumference and mortality. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2008;167:1465-75.
- 87. Pischon T, Boeing H, Hoffmann K, et al. General and abdominal adiposity and risk of death in Europe. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359:2105-20.
- 88. Price GM, Uauy R, Breeze E, et al. Weight, shape, and mortality risk in older persons: elevated waist-hip ratio, not high body mass index, is associated with a greater risk of death. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2006;84:449-60.
- 89. Reis JP, Macera CA, Araneta MR, et al. Comparison of overall obesity and body fat distribution in predicting risk of mortality. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2009;17:1232-9.
- 90. Schneider HJ, Friedrich N, Klotsche J, et al. The predictive value of different measures of obesity for incident cardiovascular events and mortality. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2010;95:1777-85.
- 91. Seidell JC. Waist circumference and waist/hip ratio in relation to all-cause mortality, cancer and sleep apnea. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2010;64:35-41.
- 92. Simpson JA, MacInnis RJ, Peeters A, et al. A comparison of adiposity measures as predictors of all-cause mortality: the Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study. *Obesity* (*Silver Spring*). 2007;15:994-1003.
- 93. Zhang C, Rexrode KM, van Dam RM, et al. Abdominal obesity and the risk of all-cause, cardiovascular, and cancer mortality: sixteen years of follow-up in US women. *Circulation*. 2008;117:1658-67.
- 94. Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, et al. Obesity and the risk of myocardial infarction in 27,000 participants from 52 countries: a case-control study. *Lancet.* 2005;366:1640-9.
- 95. Herrera VM, Casas JP, Miranda JJ, et al. Interethnic differences in the accuracy of anthropometric indicators of obesity in screening for high risk of coronary heart disease. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2009;33:568-76.
- 96. Canoy D. Distribution of body fat and risk of coronary heart disease in men and women. *Curr Opin Cardiol.* 2008;23:591-8.

- 97. Janssen I, Katzmarzyk PT, Ross R. Waist circumference and not body mass index explains obesity-related health risk. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004;79:379-84.
- 98. Lear SA, James PT, Ko GT, Kumanyika S. Appropriateness of waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio cutoffs for different ethnic groups. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2010;64:42-61.
- 99. Qiao Q, Nyamdorj R. The optimal cutoff values and their performance of waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio for diagnosing type II diabetes. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2010;64:23-9.
- 100. Ardern CI, Janssen I, Ross R, Katzmarzyk PT. Development of health-related waist circumference thresholds within BMI categories. *Obes Res.* 2004;12:1094-103.
- 101. Freemantle N, Holmes J, Hockey A, Kumar S. How strong is the association between abdominal obesity and the incidence of type 2 diabetes? *Int J Clin Pract.* 2008;62:1391-6.
- 102. Lear SA, Kohli S, Bondy GP, et al. Ethnic variation in fat and lean body mass and the association with insulin resistance. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2009;94:4696-702.
- Lee CM, Huxley RR, Wildman RP, Woodward M. Indices of abdominal obesity are better discriminators of cardiovascular risk factors than BMI: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2008;61:646-53.
- 104. Patel MR, Donahue M, Wilson PW, Califf RM. Clinical trial issues in weight-loss therapy. *Am Heart J.* 2006;151:633-42.
- 105. Vazquez G, Duval S, Jacobs DR Jr, Silventoinen K. Comparison of body mass index, waist circumference, and waist/hip ratio in predicting incident diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2007;29:115-28.
- 106. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Guidelines on Overweight and Obesity: Electronic Textbook. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 2011. Accessed at <u>http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/obesity/e\_txtbk/index.htm</u> on 31 August 2011.
- 107. WHO Consultation on Obesity. Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic. WHO Technical Report Series No. 894. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1999.
- 108. International Diabetes Institute. The Asia-Pacific Perspective: Redefining Obesity and its Treatment. Sydney: Health Communications Australia; 2000.
- 109. Hermansen K, Mortensen LS. Bodyweight changes associated with antihyperglycaemic agents in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Drug Saf.* 2007;30:1127-42.
- 110. Mannucci E, Ognibene A, Cremasco F, et al. Effect of metformin on glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and leptin levels in obese nondiabetic subjects. *Diabetes Care*. 2001;24:489-94.
- 111. Yasuda N, Inoue T, Nagakura T, et al. Enhanced secretion of glucagon-like peptide 1 by biguanide compounds. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2002;298:779-84.
- 112. Nauck MA, Niedereichholz U, Ettler R, et al. Glucagon-like peptide 1 inhibition of gastric emptying outweighs its insulinotropic effects in health humans. *Am J Physiol*. 1997;273:e981-8.
- 113. Tang-Christensen M, Larsen PJ, Göke R, et al. Central administration of GLP-1-(7-36) amide inhibits food and water intake in rats. *Am J Physiol*. 1996;271:R848-56.
- 114. Vetter ML, Faulconbridge LF, Webb VL, Wadden TA. Behavioral and pharmacologic therapies for obesity. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* 2010;6:578-88.
- 115. FDA Issues Complete Response to New Drug Application for Contrave for the Management of Obesity. La Jolla, CA: Orexigen Therapeutics, Inc.; 2011. Accessed at <u>http://ir.orexigen.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=207034&p=irol-</u> <u>newsArticle&ID=1522207&highlight=</u> on 23 September 2011.

- 116. Simkin-Silverman LR, Gleason KA, King WC, et al. Predictors of weight control advice in primary care practices: patient health and psychosocial characteristics. *Prev Med.* 2005;40:71-82.
- 117. Stafford RS, Farhat JH, Misra B, Schoenfeld DA. National patterns of physician activities related to obesity management. *Arch Fam Med.* 2000;9:631-8.
- 118. Ma J, Xiao L, Stafford RS. Adult obesity and office-based quality of care in the United States. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2009;17:1077-85.
- 119. Shiffman S, Sweeney CT, Pillitteri JL, et al. Weight management advice: what do doctors recommend to their patients? *Prev Med.* 2009;49:482-6.
- 120. Dahlin A, Beermann B. Incorrect use of orlistat and sibutramine in clinical practice. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2007;63:205-9.
- 121. Lau DC, Douketis JD, Morrison KM, et al. 2006 Canadian clinical practice guidelines on the management and prevention of obesity in adults and children. *CMAJ*. 2007;176:S1-13.
- 122. Lyznicki JM, Young DC, Riggs JA, et al. Obesity: assessment and management in primary care. *Am Fam Physician*. 2001;63:2185-96.
- 123. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Obesity: Guidance on the Prevention, Identification, Assessment and Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults and Children. Clinical Guideline No. 43. London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; 2006.
- 124. Desilets AR, Dhakal-Karki S, Dunican KC. Role of metformin for weight management in patients without type 2 diabetes. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2008;42:817-26.
- 125. Harris RP, Helfand M, Woolf SH, et al. Current methods of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force: a review of the process. *Am J Prev Med.* 2001;20:21-35.
- Bakris G, Calhoun D, Egan B, et al. Orlistat improves blood pressure control in obese subjects with treated but inadequately controlled hypertension. *J Hypertens*. 2002;20:2257-67.
- 127. Kelley DE, Bray GA, Pi-Sunyer FX, et al. Clinical efficacy of orlistat therapy in overweight and obese patients with insulin-treated type 2 diabetes: a 1-year randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2002;25:1033-41.
- 128. Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, Gibson C, et al. Time course for changes in aerobic capacity and body composition in overweight men and women in response to long-term exercise: the Midwest Exercise Trial (MET). *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2003;27:912-9.
- Van Gaal LF, Broom JI, Enzi G, Toplak H. Efficacy and tolerability of orlistat in the treatment of obesity: a 6-month dose-ranging study. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 1998;54:125-32.
- 130. Muls E, Kolanowski J, Scheen A, et al. The effects of orlistat on weight and on serum lipids in obese patients with hypercholesterolemia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre study. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2001;25:1713-21.
- 131. Trolle B, Flyvbjerg A, Kesmodel U, Lauszus FF. Efficacy of metformin in obese and nonobese women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized, double-blinded, placebocontrolled cross-over trial. *Hum Reprod.* 2007;22:2967-73.
- 132. Broom I, Hughes E, Dodson P, et al. The role of orlistat in the treatment of obese patients with mild to moderate hypercholesterolaemia: consequences for coronary risk. *Br J Cardiol.* 2002;9:460-8.
- 133. Acharya NV, Wilton LV, Shakir SA. Safety profile of orlistat: results of a prescriptionevent monitoring study. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2006;30:1645-52.

- Perrio MJ, Wilton LV, Shakir SA. The safety profiles of orlistat and sibutramine: results of prescription-event monitoring studies in England. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2007;15:2712-22.
- 135. Uusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. *Bone*. 2010;46:1286-93.
- 136. Gokcel A, Gumurdulu Y, Karakose H, et al. Evaluation of the safety and efficacy of sibutramine, orlistat and metformin in the treatment of obesity. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2002;4:49-55.
- 137. Williamson DA, Martin CK, Anton SD, et al. Is caloric restriction associated with development of eating-disorder symptoms? Results from the CALERIE trial. *Health Psychol.* 2008;27(1 Suppl):S32-42.
- 138. Warren M, Schmitz KH. Safety of strength training in premenopausal women: musculoskeletal injuries from a two-year randomized trial. *Am J Health Promot*. 2009;23:309-14.
- 139. Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med.* 2002;21:1539-58.
- 140. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. 1997;315:629-34.
- 141. Terrin N, Schmid CH, Lau J. In an empirical evaluation of the funnel plot, researchers could not visually identify publication bias. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2005;58:894-901.
- 142. The Diabetes Prevention Program: design and methods for a clinical trial in the prevention of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 1999;22:623-34.
- 143. Hypertension Prevention Trial Research Group. The Hypertension Prevention Trial: threeyear effects of dietary changes on blood pressure. *Arch Intern Med.* 1990;150:153-62.
- 144. Anderssen S, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Diet and exercise intervention have favourable effects on blood pressure in mild hypertensives: the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study (ODES). *Blood Press.* 1995;4:343-9.
- 145. Burke V, Beilin LJ, Cutt HE, et al. Effects of a lifestyle programme on ambulatory blood pressure and drug dosage in treated hypertensive patients: a randomized controlled trial. *J Hypertens*. 2005;23:1241-9.
- 146. Christian JG, Bessesen DH, Byers TE, et al. Clinic-based support to help overweight patients with type 2 diabetes increase physical activity and lose weight. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008;168:141-6.
- 147. Cohen MD, D'Amico FJ, Merenstein JH. Weight reduction in obese hypertensive patients. *Fam Med.* 1991;23:25-8.
- 148. Cussler EC, Teixeira PJ, Going SB, et al. Maintenance of weight loss in overweight middle-aged women through the Internet. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008;16:1052-60.
- 149. Davis BR, Oberman A, Blaufox MD, et al. Effect of antihypertensive therapy on weight loss. *Hypertension*. 1992;19:393-9.
- 150. Frey-Hewitt B, Vranizan KM, Dreon DM, Wood PD. The effect of weight loss by dieting or exercise on resting metabolic rate in overweight men. *Int J Obes*. 1990;14:327-34.
- 151. Haapala I, Barengo NC, Biggs S, et al. Weight loss by mobile phone: a 1-year effectiveness study. *Public Health Nutr.* 2009;12:2382-91.
- 152. Irwin ML, Yasui Y, Ulrich CM, et al. Effect of exercise on total and intra-abdominal body fat in postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2003;289:323-30.

- 153. Jeffery RW, Wing RR, Thorson C, et al. Strengthening behavioral interventions for weight loss: a randomized trial of food provision and monetary incentives. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1993;61:1038-45.
- 154. Jones DW, Miller ME, Wofford MR, et al. The effect of weight loss intervention on antihypertensive medication requirements in the Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) study. *Am J Hypertens*. 1999;12:1175-80.
- 155. Kastarinen MJ, Puska PM, Korhonen MH, et al. Non-pharmacological treatment of hypertension in primary health care: a 2-year open randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention against hypertension in eastern Finland. *J Hypertens*. 2002;20:2505-12.
- 156. Kulzer B, Hermanns N, Gorges D, et al. Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program (PREDIAS): effects on weight, metabolic risk factors, and behavioral outcomes. *Diabetes Care*. 2009;32:1143-6.
- 157. Langford HG, Blaufox MD, Oberman A, et al. Dietary therapy slows the return of hypertension after stopping prolonged medication. *JAMA*. 1985;253:657-64.
- 158. Martin PD, Dutton GR, Rhode PC, et al. Weight loss maintenance following a primary care intervention for low-income minority women. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008;16:2462-7.
- 159. Mayer-Davis EJ, D'Antonio AM, Smith SM, et al. Pounds Off With Empowerment (POWER): a clinical trial of weight management strategies for black and white adults with diabetes who live in medically underserved rural communities. *Am J Public Health*. 2004;94:1736-42.
- 160. Mensink M, Blaak EE, Corpeleijn E, et al. Lifestyle intervention according to general recommendations improves glucose tolerance. *Obes Res.* 2003;11:1588-96.
- 161. Mitsui T, Shimaoka K, Tsuzuku S, et al. Gentle exercise of 40 minutes with dietary counseling is effective in treating metabolic syndrome. *Tohoku J Exp Med.* 2008;215:355-61.
- 162. Moore H, Summerbell CD, Greenwood DC, et al. Improving management of obesity in primary care: cluster randomised trial. *BMJ*. 2003;327:1085.
- 163. Narayan KM, Hoskin M, Kozak D, et al. Randomized clinical trial of lifestyle interventions in Pima Indians: a pilot study. *Diabet Med.* 1998;15:66-72.
- 164. Perri MG, McAllister DA, Gange JJ, et al. Effects of four maintenance programs on the long-term management of obesity. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1988;56:529-34.
- 165. Pritchard DA, Hyndman J, Taba F. Nutritional counselling in general practice: a cost effective analysis. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 1999;53:311-6.
- 166. Silva MN, Vieira PN, Coutinho SR, et al. Using self-determination theory to promote physical activity and weight control: a randomized controlled trial in women. *J Behav Med.* 2010;33:110-22.
- 167. Simkin-Silverman LR, Wing RR, Boraz MA, Kuller LH. Lifestyle intervention can prevent weight gain during menopause: results from a 5-year randomized clinical trial. *Ann Behav Med.* 2003;26:212-20.
- 168. Stevens VJ, Corrigan SA, Obarzanek E, et al. Weight loss intervention in phase 1 of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention. *Arch Intern Med.* 1993;153:849-58.
- 169. Stevens VJ, Obarzanek E, Cook NR, et al. Long-term weight loss and changes in blood pressure: results of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention, phase II. Ann Intern Med. 2001;134:1-11.
- 170. Svetkey LP, Stevens VJ, Brantley PJ, et al. Comparison of strategies for sustaining weight loss: the Weight Loss Maintenance randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2008;299:1139-48.

- 171. ter Bogt NC, Bemelmans WJ, Beltman FW, et al. Preventing weight gain: one-year results of a randomized lifestyle intervention. *Am J Prev Med.* 2009;37:270-7.
- 172. Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;344:1343-50.
- 173. Villareal DT, Shah K, Banks MR, et al. Effect of weight loss and exercise therapy on bone metabolism and mass in obese older adults: a one-year randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2008;93:2181-7.
- 174. Werkman A, Hulshof PJ, Stafleu A, et al. Effect of an individually tailored one-year energy balance programme on body weight, body composition and lifestyle in recent retirees: a cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10:110.
- 175. Whelton PK, Appel LJ, Espeland MA, et al. Sodium reduction and weight loss in the treatment of hypertension in older persons: a randomized controlled Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). *JAMA*. 1998;279:839-46.
- 176. Wood PD, Stefanick ML, Dreon DM, et al. Changes in plasma lipids and lipoproteins in overweight men during weight loss through dieting as compared with exercise. *N Engl J Med.* 1988;319:1173-9.
- 177. Wood PD, Stefanick ML, Williams PT, Haskell WL. The effects on plasma lipoproteins of a prudent weight-reducing diet, with or without exercise, in overweight men and women. *N Engl J Med.* 1991;325:461-6.
- 178. Woollard J, Burke V, Beilin LJ, et al. Effects of a general practice-based intervention on diet, body mass index and blood lipids in patients at cardiovascular risk. *J Cardiovasc Risk*. 2003;10:31-40.
- 179. Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. *Am J Med.* 1999;106:179-84.
- 180. Berne C; Orlistat Swedish Type 2 Diabetes Study Group. A randomized study of orlistat in combination with a weight management programme in obese patients with type 2 diabetes treated with metformin. *Diabet Med.* 2005;22:612-8.
- 181. Broom I, Wilding J, Stott P, et al. Randomised trial of the effect of orlistat on body weight and cardiovascular disease risk profile in obese patients: UK Multimorbidity Study. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2002;56:494-9.
- 182. Davidson MH, Hauptman J, DiGirolamo M, et al. Weight control and risk factor reduction in obese subjects treated for 2 years with orlistat: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 1999;281:235-42.
- 183. Derosa G, Mugellini A, Ciccarelli L, Fogari R. Randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled comparison of the action of orlistat, fluvastatin, or both an anthropometric measurements, blood pressure, and lipid profile in obese patients with hypercholesterolemia prescribed a standardized diet. *Clin Ther.* 2003;25:1107-22.
- 184. Finer N, James WP, Kopelman PG, et al. One-year treatment of obesity: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre study of orlistat, a gastrointestinal lipase inhibitor. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2000;24:306-13.
- 185. Fontbonne A, Charles MA, Juhan-Vague I, et al. The effect of metformin on the metabolic abnormalities associated with upper-body fat distribution. *Diabetes Care*. 1996;19:920-6.
- 186. Gambineri A, Patton L, Vaccina A, et al. Treatment with flutamide, metformin, and their combination added to a hypocaloric diet in overweight-obese women with polycystic ovary

syndrome: a randomized, 12-month, placebo-controlled study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006;91:3970-80.

- 187. Hanefeld M, Sachse G. The effects of orlistat on body weight and glycaemic control in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2002;4:415-23.
- 188. Hauner H, Meier M, Wendland G, et al. Weight reduction by sibutramine in obese subjects in primary care medicine: the SAT Study. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes*. 2004;112:201-7.
- 189. Hauptman J, Lucas C, Boldrin MN, et al. Orlistat in the long-term treatment of obesity in primary care settings. *Arch Fam Med.* 2000;9:160-7.
- 190. Hill JO, Hauptman J, Anderson JW, et al. Orlistat, a lipase inhibitor, for weight maintenance after conventional dieting: a 1-y study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1999;69:1108-16.
- 191. Hollander PA, Elbein SC, Hirsch IB, et al. Role of orlistat in the treatment of obese patients with type 2 diabetes: a 1-year randomized double-blind study. *Diabetes Care*. 1998;21:1288-94.
- 192. Kaukua JK, Pekkarinen TA, Rissanen AM. Health-related quality of life in a randomised placebo-controlled trial of sibutramine in obese patients with type II diabetes. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2004;28:600-5.
- 193. Krempf M, Louvet JP, Allanic H, et al. Weight reduction and long-term maintenance after 18 months treatment with orlistat for obesity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2003;27:591-7.
- 194. Lindgärde F. The effect of orlistat on body weight and coronary heart disease risk profile in obese patients: the Swedish Multimorbidity Study. *J Intern Med.* 2000;248:245-54.
- 195. Mathus-Vliegen EM; Balance Study Group. Long-term maintenance of weight loss with sibutramine in a GP setting following a specialist guided very-low-calorie diet: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group study. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2005;59(Suppl 1):S31-8.
- 196. McNulty SJ, Ur E, Williams G; Multicenter Sibutramine Study Group. A randomized trial of sibutramine in the management of obese type 2 diabetic patients treated with metformin. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26:125-31.
- 197. Miles JM, Leiter L, Hollander P, et al. Effect of orlistat in overweight and obese patients with type 2 diabetes treated with metformin. *Diabetes Care*. 2002;25:1123-8.
- 198. Richelsen B, Tonstad S, Rössner S, et al. Effect of orlistat on weight regain and cardiovascular risk factors following a very-low-energy diet in abdominally obese patients: a 3-year randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30:27-32.
- 199. Rössner S, Sjöström L, Noack R, et al. Weight loss, weight maintenance, and improved cardiovascular risk factors after 2 years treatment with orlistat for obesity. *Obes Res.* 2000;8:49-61.
- 200. Sjöström L, Rissanen A, Andersen T, et al. Randomised placebo-controlled trial of orlistat for weight loss and prevention of weight regain in obese patients. *Lancet.* 1998;352:167-72.
- 201. Swinburn BA, Carey D, Hills AP, et al. Effect of orlistat on cardiovascular disease risk in obese adults. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2005;7:254-62.
- 202. Torgerson JS, Hauptman J, Boldrin MN, Sjöström L. Xenical in the Prevention of Diabetes in Obese Subjects (XENDOS) study: a randomized study of orlistat as an adjunct to lifestyle changes for the prevention of type 2 diabetes in obese patients. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27:155-61.
- 203. Wirth A, Krause J. Long-term weight loss with sibutramine: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2001;286:1331-9.

- 204. Fitzgibbon ML, Stolley MR, Schiffer L, et al. Obesity Reduction Black Intervention Trial (ORBIT): 18-month results. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2010;18:2317-25.
- 205. Rubin RR, Knowler WC, Ma Y, et al. Depression symptoms and antidepressant medicine use in Diabetes Prevention Program participants. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28:830-7.
- 206. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346:393-403.
- 207. Ratner R, Goldberg R, Haffner S, et al. Impact of intensive lifestyle and metformin therapy on cardiovascular disease risk factors in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28:888-94.
- 208. Parikh P, Simon EP, Fei K, et al. Results of a pilot diabetes prevention intervention in East Harlem, New York City: Project HEED. *Am J Public Health.* 2010;100(Suppl 1):S232-9.
- 209. Lindgärde F. Orlistat with diet was effective and safe for weight loss and coronary risk reduction in obesity. *Evid Based Med.* 2001;6:54.
- 210. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group; Crandall J, Schade D, et al. The influence of age on the effects of lifestyle modification and metformin in prevention of diabetes. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2006;61:1075-81.
- 211. Ackermann RT, Edelstein SL, Narayan KM, et al. Changes in health state utilities with changes in body mass in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2009;17:2176-81.
- 212. Haffner S, Temprosa M, Crandall J, et al. Intensive lifestyle intervention or metformin on inflammation and coagulation in participants with impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabetes*. 2005;54:1566-72.
- 213. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group; Knowler WC, Fowler SE, et al. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Lancet*. 2009;274:1677-86.
- 214. West DS, Prewitt TE, Bursac Z, Felix HC. Weight loss of black, white, and Hispanic men and women in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008;16:1413-20.
- 215. Derosa G, Maffioli P, Salvadeo SA, et al. Comparison of orlistat treatment and placebo in obese type 2 diabetic patients. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2010;11:1971-82.
- 216. Gotfredsen A, Westergren Hendel H, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2001;25:1154-60.
- 217. Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 1993;44:107-12.
- 218. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for Industry: Developing Products for Weight Management. Rockville, MD: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2007. Accessed at

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidan ces/ucm071612.pdf on 6 September 2011.

- 219. Gregg EW, Gerzoff RB, Thompson TJ, Williamson DF. Trying to lose weight, losing weight, and 9-year mortality in overweight U.S. adults with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27:657-62.
- 220. Knudtson MD, Klein BE, Klein R, Shankar A. Associations with weight loss and subsequent mortality risk. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2005;15:483-91.

- 221. Williamson DF, Pamuk E, Thun M, et al. Prospective study of intentional weight loss and mortality in never-smoking overweight US white women aged 40-64 years. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1995;141:1128-41.
- 222. Yaari S, Goldbourt U. Voluntary and involuntary weight loss: associations with long term mortality in 9,228 middle-aged and elderly men. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1998;148:546-55.
- 223. Williamson DF, Thompson TJ, Thun M, et al. Intentional weight loss and mortality among overweight individuals with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2000;23:1499-1504.
- 224. Christou NV, Sampalis JS, Liberman M, et al. Surgery decreases long-term mortality, morbidity, and health care use in morbidly obese patients. *Ann Surg.* 2004;240:416-23.
- 225. Peeters A, O'Brien PE, Laurie C, et al. Substantial intentional weight loss and mortality in the severely obese. *Ann Surg.* 2007;246:1028-33.
- 226. Law MR, Wald NJ, Rudnicka AR. Quantifying effect of statins on low density lipoprotein cholesterol, ischaemic heart disease, and stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2003;326:1423.
- 227. Sjöström CD, Lissner L, Sjöström L. Relationships between changes in body composition and changes in cardiovascular risk factors: the SOS Intervention Study. *Obes Res.* 1997;5:519-30.
- 228. Tonstad S, Pometta D, Erkelens DW, et al. The effect of the gastrointestinal lipase inhibitor, orlistat, on serum lipids and lipoproteins in patients with primary hyperlipidaemia. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 1994;46:405-10.
- 229. Neter JE, Stam BE, Kok FJ, et al. Influence of weight reduction on blood pressure: a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *Hypertension*. 2003;42:878-84.
- 230. Collins R, MacMahon S. Blood pressure, antihypertensive drug treatment and the risks of stroke and of coronary heart disease. *Br Med Bull.* 1994;50:272-98.
- 231. Tothill P. Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry measurements of total-body bone mineral during weight change. *J Clin Densitom.* 2005;8:31-8.
- 232. Tothill P, Laskey MA, Orphanidou CI, van Wijk M. Anomalies in dual energy x-ray absorptiometry measurements of total-body bone mineral during weight change using Lunar, Hologic and Norland instruments. *Br J Radiol.* 1999;72:661-9.
- 233. Tothill P, Hannan WJ, Cowen S, Freeman CP. Anomalies in the measurement of changes in total-body bone mineral by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry during weight change. *J Bone Miner Res.* 1997;12:1908-21.
- 234. Arnold AM, Newman AB, Cushman M, et al. Body weight dynamics and their association with physical function and mortality in older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2010;65:63-70.
- 235. Field AE, Malspeis S, Willett WC. Weight cycling and mortality among middle-aged or older women. *Arch Intern Med.* 2009;169:881-6.
- 236. Rzehak P, Meisinger C, Woelke G, et al. Weight change, weight cycling and mortality in the ERFORT Male Cohort Study. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2007;22:665-73.
- 237. Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Walker M. Weight change, weight fluctuation, and mortality. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002;162:2575-80.
- 238. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Questions and Answers: Orlistat and Severe Liver Injury. Rockville, MD: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2010. Accessed at <u>http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandPr</u> <u>oviders/ucm213040.htm</u> on 6 September 2011.

- 239. Picot J, Jones J, Colquitt JL, et al. The clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of bariatric (weight loss) surgery for obesity: a systematic review and economic evaluation. *Health Technol Assess.* 2009;13:1-190.
- 240. Gonzalez R, Murr MM. Anastomotic leaks following gastric bypass surgery. In: Rosenthal RJ, Jones DB, eds. *Weight Loss Surgery: A Multidisciplinary Approach*. Edgemont, PA: Matrix Medical Communications; 2008:365-72.
- 241. Shiffman ML, Sugerman HJ, Kellum JM, et al. Gallstone formation after rapid weight loss: a prospective study in patients undergoing gastric bypass surgery for treatment of morbid obesity. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1991;86:1000-5.
- 242. Bloomberg RD, Fleishman A, Nalle JE, et al. Nutritional deficiencies following bariatric surgery: what have we learned? *Obes Surg.* 2005;15:145-54.
- 243. Colquitt JL, Picot J, Loveman E, Clegg AJ. Surgery for obesity. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;CD003641.
- 244. Sjöström L. Bariatric surgery and reduction in morbidity and mortality: experiences from the SOS study. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2008;32(Suppl 7):S93-7.
- 245. Dansinger ML, Gleason JA, Griffith JL, et al. Comparison of the Atkins, Ornish, Weight Watchers, and Zone diets for weight loss and heart disease risk reduction: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2005;293:43-53.
- 246. Avenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. 2004;17:317-35.
- 247. Gardner CD, Kiazand A, Alhassan S, et al. Comparison of the Atkins, Zone, Ornish, and LEARN diets for change in weight and related risk factors among overweight premenopausal women—the A TO Z Weight Loss Study: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2007;297:969-77.
- 248. Hession M, Rolland C, Kulkarni U, et al. Systematic review of randomized controlled trials of low-carbohydrate vs. low-fat/low-calorie diets in the management of obesity and its comorbidities. *Obes Rev.* 2009;10:36-50.
- 249. Curioni CC, Lourenço PM. Long-term weight loss after diet and exercise: a systematic review. *Int J Obes.* 2005;29:1168-74.
- 250. Franz MJ, VanWormer JJ, Crain AL, et al. Weight-loss outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of weight-loss clinical trials with a minimum 1-year follow-up. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2007;107:1755-67.
- 251. Shaw K, Gennat H, O'Rourke P, Del Mar C. Exercise for overweight or obesity. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006;CD003817.
- 252. Wu T, Gao X, Chen M, van Dam RM. Long-term effectiveness of diet-plus-exercise interventions vs. diet-only interventions for weight loss: a meta-analysis. *Obes Rev.* 2009;10:313-23.
- 253. Wing RR, Phelan S. Long-term weight loss maintenance. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2005;82(1 Suppl):S222-5.
- Hernan WH, Brandle M, Zhang P, et al. Costs associated with the primary prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26:36-47.
- 255. Roux L, Kuntz KM, Donaldson C, Goldie SJ. Economic evaluation of weight loss interventions in overweight and obese women. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2006;14:1093-106.

- 256. Neovius M, Narbro K. Cost-effectiveness of pharmacological anti-obesity treatments: a systematic review. *Int J Obes.* 2008;32:1752-63.
- 257. Simons-Morton DG, Obarzanek E, Cutler JA. Obesity research—limitations of methods, measurements, and medications. *JAMA*. 2006;295:826-8.
- 258. Look AHEAD Research Group; Wing RR. Long-term effects of a lifestyle intervention on weight and cardiovascular risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: four-year results of the Look AHEAD trial. *Arch Intern Med.* 2010;170:1566-75.
- 259. Foster GD, Borradaile KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes: the Sleep AHEAD study. *Arch Intern Med.* 2009;169:1619-26.
- 260. Langford HG, Davis BR, Blaufox D, et al. Effect of drug and diet treatment of mild hypertension on diastolic blood pressure. *Hypertension*. 1991;17:210-7.
- 261. Davis BR, Blaufox MD, Hawkins CM, et al. Trial of antihypertensive interventions and management: design, methods, and selected baseline results. *Control Clin Trials*. 1989;10:11-30.
- 262. Orchard TJ, Temprosa M, Goldberg R, et al. The effect of metformin and intensive lifestyle intervention on the metabolic syndrome: the Diabetes Prevention Program randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2005;142:611-9.
- Frank LL, Sorensen BE, Yasui Y, et al. Effects of exercise on metabolic risk variables in overweight postmenopausal women: a randomized clinical trial. *Obes Res.* 2005;13:615-25.
- 264. Mohanka M, Irwin M, Heckbert SR, et al. Serum lipoproteins in overweight/obese postmenopausal women: a one-year exercise trial. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2006;38:231-9.
- 265. Hansson L, Zanchetti A. The Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) Study—patient characteristics: randomization, risk profiles, and early blood pressure results. *Blood Press*. 1994;3:322-7.
- 266. The Hypertension Optimal Treatment Study (the HOT Study). Blood Press. 1993;2:62-8.
- 267. Wassertheil-Smoller S, Langford HG, Blaufox MD, et al. Effective dietary intervention in hypertensives: sodium restriction and weight reduction. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1985;85:423-30.
- 268. Davis Martin P, Rhode PC, Dutton GR, et al. A primary care weight management intervention for low-income African-American women. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2006;14:1412-20.
- 269. Mensink M, Corpeleijn E, Feskens EJ, et al. Study on Lifestyle-Intervention and Impaired Glucose Tolerance Maastricht (SLIM): design and screening results. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2003;61:49-58.
- 270. Silva MN, Markland D, Minderico CS, et al. A randomized controlled trial to evaluate selfdetermination theory for exercise adherence and weight control: rationale and intervention description. *BMC Public Health.* 2008;8:234.
- 271. Teixeira PJ, Silva MN, Coutinho SR, et al. Mediators of weight loss and weight loss maintenance in middle-aged women. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2010;18:725-35.
- 272. Simkin-Silverman LR, Wing RR, Boraz MA, et al. Maintenance of cardiovascular risk factor changes among middle-aged women in a lifestyle intervention trial. *Womens Health*. 1998;4:255-71.
- 273. Kuller LH, Simkin-Silverman LR, Wing RR, et al. Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project: a randomized clinical trial—results at 54 months. *Circulation*. 2001;103:32-7.

- 274. Park HA, Lee JS, Kuller LH, Cauley JA. Effects of weight control during the menopausal transition on bone mineral density. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2007;92:3809-15.
- 275. Whelton PK, Hebert PR, Cutler J, et al. Baseline characteristics of participants in phase I of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention. *Ann Epidemiol.* 1992;2:295-310.
- 276. The effects of nonpharmacologic interventions on blood pressure of persons with high normal levels: results of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention, phase I. *JAMA*. 1992;267:1213-20.
- 277. Hollis JF, Satterfield S, Smith F, et al. Recruitment for phase II of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention: effective strategies and predictors of randomization. *Ann Epidemiol.* 1995;5:140-8.
- 278. Effects of weight loss and sodium reduction intervention on blood pressure and hypertension incidence in overweight people with high-normal blood pressure: the Trials of Hypertension Prevention, phase II. *Arch Intern Med.* 1997;157:657-67.
- 279. Weight Loss Maintenance Trial: Protocol. Portland, OR: Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research; 2008. Accessed at <u>http://www.kpchr.org/wlmpublic/public/common/getdoc.aspx?docid=817EC236-26D2-4C38-ABCC-741AA815E587</u> on 6 September 2011.
- 280. Eriksson J, Lindström J, Valle T, et al. Prevention of type II diabetes in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance: the Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS) in Finland—study design and 1-year interim report on the feasibility of the lifestyle intervention programme. *Diabetologia.* 1999;42:793-801.
- 281. Lindström J, Louheranta A, Mannelin M, et al. The Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS): lifestyle intervention and 3-year results on diet and physical activity. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26:3230-6.
- 282. Uusitupa M, Peltonen M, Lindström J, et al. Ten-year mortality and cardiovascular morbidity in the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study—secondary analysis of the randomized trial. *PLoS One.* 2009;4:e5656.
- 283. Villareal DT, Banks M, Sinacore DR, et al. Effect of weight loss and exercise on frailty in obese older adults. *Arch Intern Med.* 2006;166:860-6.
- 284. Villareal DT, Miller BV 3rd, Banks M, et al. Effect of lifestyle intervention on metabolic coronary heart disease risk factors in obese older adults. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2006;84:1317-23.
- 285. Appel LJ, Espeland M, Whelton PK, et al. Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE): design and rationale of a blood pressure control trial. *Ann Epidemiol*. 1995;5:119-29.
- 286. Chao D, Espeland MA, Farmer D, et al. Effect of voluntary weight loss on bone mineral density in older overweight women. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2000;48:753-9.
- 287. Kumanyika SK, Espeland MA, Bahnson JL, et al. Ethnic comparison of weight loss in the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly. *Obes Res.* 2002;10:96-106.
- 288. Kiernan M, King AC, Stefanick ML, Killen JD. Men gain additional psychological benefits by adding exercise to a weight-loss program. *Obes Res.* 2001;9:770-7.
- 289. Jeffery RW, Wing RR. Long-term effects of interventions for weight loss using food provision and monetary incentives. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 1995;63:793-6.
- 290. James WP, Avenell A, Broom J, Whitehead J. A one-year trial to assess the value of orlistat in the management of obesity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1997;21(Suppl 3):S24-30.

- 291. Torgerson JS, Arlinger K, Käppi M, Sjöström L. Principles for enhanced recruitment of subjects in a large clinical trial: the XENDOS (Xenical in the Prevention of Diabetes in Obese Subjects) study experience. *Control Clin Trials*. 2001;22:515-25.
- 292. Higgins JP, Green S, eds. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Version 5.1.0. London: Cochrane Collaboration; 2011.Accessed at <u>www.cochrane-handbook.org</u> on 6 September 2011.
- 293. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Procedure Manual. Rockville, MD: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force; 2011. Accessed at <u>http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf08/methods/procmanual.htm</u> on 6 September 2011.
- 294. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. The Guidelines Manual. London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; 2009. Accessed at <u>http://www.nice.org.uk/media/5F2/44/The\_guidelines\_manual\_2009\_-\_All\_chapters.pdf</u> on 6 September 2011.
- 295. Finer N, Bloom SR, Frost GS, et al. Sibutramine is effective for weight loss and diabetic control in obesity with type 2 diabetes: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2000;2:105-12.
- 296. Blackburn GL, Hutter MM, Harvey AM, et al. Expert panel on weight loss surgery: executive report update. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2009;17:842-62.
- 297. Overby DW, Apelgren KN, Richardson W, et al. SAGES guidelines for the clinical application of laparoscopic biliary tract surgery. *Surg Endosc.* 2010;24:2368-86.
- 298. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Differences in prevalence of obesity among black, white, and Hispanic adults—United States, 2006–2008. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2009;58:740-4.
- 299. Jacobs EJ, Newton CC, Wang Y, et al. Waist circumference and all-cause mortality in a large US cohort. *Arch Intern Med.* 2010;170:1293-301.
- 300. Buffington CK, Marema RT. Ethnic differences in obesity and surgical weight loss between African-American and Caucasian females. *Obes Surg.* 2006;16:159-65.
- 301. Ergina PL, Cook JA, Blazeby JM, et al. Challenges in evaluating surgical innovation. *Lancet.* 2009;374:1097-104.

	Medicati	on Interve	entions			Behavioral-based Interventions					
Reference;	#	Average	%	%	Mean baseline	Reference;	#	Average	%	%	Mean baseline
Medication type;	Randomized	age (yrs)	Female	Nonwhite	BMI (kg/m²);	# of sessions in 12 months;	Randomized	age (yrs)	Female	Nonwhite	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> );
Quality rating					Minimum BMI	Quality rating					Minimum BMI
With cardiovascular risk i	factors										
Diabetes	220	E0 1	45.5		20.7	Christian 2008 <sup>146</sup> (LIS DC)	210	52.2	66.4	100	25.4
Orlistat: Eair	220	59.1	45.5	0	32.1 528	4 sossions: Eair	310	53.Z	00.1	100	>>5 >25
Hapefeld 2002 <sup>187</sup>	383	56.2	50.0	NP	220	4 Sessions, 1 an Mayer-Davis 2004 <sup>159</sup> (LIS-	152	60.4	80.3	82.2	36.3
Orlistat: Fair	303	50.2	50.9	INIX	>28	PC) (POWER)	152	00.4	00.5	02.2	>25
					-20	30 sessions: Fair					-20
Hollander 1998 <sup>191</sup>	322	55.1	48.9	12.5	34.3	777777777777777777777777777777777777777	(1111)	11/1	////	7777	77777775
Orlistat; Fair	-			_	≥28		Y/////				
Miles 2002 <sup>197</sup>	516	53.1	48	18	NR	<u> </u>	S/////	1///			
Orlistat; Fair					≥28	<u>/////////////////////////////////////</u>	<u> </u>				
Derosa 2010 <sup>215</sup>	254	52.5	49.6	NR	32.8	<i></i>					
Orlistat; Good					≥30	<u> </u>					
Hypertension	** * * * * *				<del></del>						
<i><!--///////////////////////////////////</i--></i>					///////	Burke 2005 <sup>140</sup> (ADAPT)	241	56.2	55.6	NR	30.1
<i>\</i>	<u> </u>	(H)	HH	HA	HHH	20 sessions; Fair	20	50 F		ND	>25
	<i>{////</i>	$\langle / / \rangle$	$\mathbb{X}$		¢/////	12 appaione: Eair	30	59.5	NR	NR	34.1 >27.9 (mon)
<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>	X////	X///				12 565510115, 1 all					>27.3 (women)
		++++	$\forall \mathcal{H}$		<del>/////////////////////////////////////</del>	Davis 1992 <sup>149</sup> (TAIM)	200	47 7	50.0	34.0	194.2 lb (weight)
<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>	*////			1///	//////	16 sessions: Fair			0010	0.110	NR
	$\forall ////$			$\times / / h$		Jones 1999 <sup>154</sup> (HOT)	111	58.0	52.0	40.2	34.0
	X////					10 sessions; Fair					≥27
(//////////////////////////////////////						Kastarinen 2002 <sup>155</sup> (LIHEF)	715	54.3	53.0	NR	28.7
						5 sessions; Fair					NR
	/////	V//		<u> ////////////////////////////////////</u>		Langford 1985 <sup>157</sup> (DISH)	176	56.7	65.9	65.9	87.9 kg (weight)
	<i>\</i>	<i>444</i>	444		<u> </u>	18 sessions; Fair					NR
<i>[[]]]]]</i>	<i>\////</i>	{///;	W///			Whelton 1998 <sup>11</sup> (TONE)	585	66.0	52.6	28.2	86 kg (weight)
Dualinidamia	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		26 sessions; Good					NR
Dysipidemia	50	52.0	52.0	ND	31.0		erree e	mm.			
Orlistat: Eair	50	52.0	52.0	INIX	>30		¥/////				
Multiple risk factors		I	I	11	200		//////		<i></i>	(////	
Broom 2002 <sup>181</sup> (UK	531	46.0	78.4	NR	37.0	Anderssen 1995 <sup>144</sup> (ODES)	219	44 9	9.6	NR	28.4
Multimorbidity Study)					≥28	159 sessions: Fair			0.0		>24
Orlistat; Fair											
Lindgarde 2000 <sup>194</sup>	376	53.5	63.6	NR	33.2	Svetkey 2008 <sup>170</sup> (WLM)	1032	55.6	63.4	37.6	NR
(Swedish Multimorbidity					≥28	12 sessions; Good					≥25
Study); Orlistat; Fair											
Swinburn 2005 <sup>201</sup>	339	52.2	56.9	NR	37.8	ter Bogt 2009 <sup>1/1</sup>	457	56.1	51.9	NR	29.6
Orlistat; Fair			 		<u>≥30</u>	5 sessions; Fair					≥25
<u>()///////</u>	<u> /////X</u>	MM				Woollard 2003	212	60.2	50.7	NR	30.1 NR
Total trials (n) with card	liovascular ri	sk factors	6								
		9 (2991)				13 (4440)					

#### Table 1. Summary of Medication and Behavioral-Based Interventions

Medication Interventions					Behavioral-based Interventions							
Reference;	#	Average	%	%	Mean baseline	Reference;	#	Average	%	%	Mean baseline	
Medication type;	Randomized	age (yrs)	Female	Nonwhite	BMI (kg/m²); Minimum BMI	# of sessions in 12 months;	Randomized	age (yrs)	Female	Nonwhite	BMI (kg/m²); Minimum BMI	
With subclinical increase in cardiovascular risk factors       Minimum BMI Quality rating       Minimum BMI												
Prediabetes												
Torgerson 2004 <sup>202</sup>	3305	43.3	55.2	NR	37.4	DPP 2005 <sup>142</sup>	2161	50.6	67.7	45.3	34.1	
(XENDOS); Orlistat; Fair					≥30	23 sessions; Good					≥24 (≥22 in Asian Americans)	
DPP 2005 <sup>142</sup>	2155	50.6	67.7	45.3	34.1	Kulzer 2009 <sup>156</sup> (PREDIAS)	182	56.3	43.0	NR	31.5	
Metformin; Good					≥24 (≥22 in Asian Americans)	12 sessions; Fair					≥26	
						Mensink 2003 <sup>100</sup> 4 sessions; Fair	114	56.7	43.9	0	29.5 ≥25	
	¥/////					Parikh 2010 <sup>204</sup> (Project HEED); 8 sessions; Fair	99	48.0	85.0	98.0	31.5 ≥25	
	<i>\$////</i>					Tuomilehto 2001 <sup>172</sup> (FDPS) 7 sessions; Good	522	55.0	67.0	NR	31.2 >25	
Prehypertension												
////////</td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><i>/////i</i>/</td> <td>HPT 1990<sup>143</sup></td> <td>251</td> <td>38.8</td> <td>32.7</td> <td>19.9</td> <td>28.5</td>					<i>/////i</i> /	HPT 1990 <sup>143</sup>	251	38.8	32.7	19.9	28.5	
₩₩₩₩₩	₩₩₩	₩₩	H	++++	<del>/////</del>	Stevens 1993 <sup>168</sup> (TOHP I)	564	43.0	20.0	17.8	NR	
///////////////////////////////////////	<i>\////</i>				[]/////	23 sessions; Good	504	40.0	20.0	17.0	≥115% of ideal	
											weight	
<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>	¥////	<i>\///</i>		\$//iş		Stevens 2001 <sup>109</sup> (TOHP II)	1191	43.3	34.3	21.2	NR	
(//////////////////////////////////////	\$////					52 sessions, Good					26.1 (men) 24.4 (women)	
Multiple risk factors		(////	////		///////////////////////////////////////		1	1	Ι		(	
Richelsen 2007 <sup>198</sup>	309	47.0	50.8	NR	37.5							
Orlistat; Fair	I <u></u>	L .			≥30	<u> </u>						
Total trials (n) with sub	clinical increa	ase in care	diovascu	lar risk or	risk factors			8 (5084)				
Without increase in cardi	ovascular risk	factors										
Davidson 1999 <sup>182</sup>	892	43.5	84.2	19.2	36.3	Cussler 2008 <sup>148</sup>	135	48.2	100	NR	30.3	
Orlistat; Fair					≥30	2 sessions; Fair					≥25	
Finer 2000 <sup>104</sup>	228	41.5	88.5	5.1	36.8	Fitzgibbon 2010 <sup>200</sup> (ORBIT)	213	46.0	100	100	39.3	
Uriistat; Fair Hauntman 2000 <sup>189</sup> (LIS-	635	42.5	78.3	Q 1	≥30 36.1	116 Sessions; Fair Haapala 2009 <sup>151</sup>	125	38.1	77.4	NR	230	
PC): Orlistat: Fair	000	42.5	70.5	5.1	≥30	0 sessions: Fair	125	50.1	//.4		≥25	
Hill 1999 <sup>190</sup>	729	46.3	84.0	11.7	32.8	Irwin 2003 <sup>152</sup> (PATH)	173	60.8	100	13.0	30.5	
Orlistat; Fair					≥28	128 sessions; Good					>25 (>24 if body fat >33%)	
Krempf 2003 <sup>193</sup>	696	41.0	86.4	NR	36.1	Jeffery 1993 <sup>153</sup> (Trial of	202	37.5	50.0	7.9	31.1	
Oriistat; Fair					≥28	Food Provision and Monetary Incontinues					NK	
						27 sessions: Fair						
Rossner 2000 <sup>199</sup>	783	44.2	82.3	NR	35.0	Martin 2008 <sup>158</sup> (US-PC)	137	41.8	100	100	39.1	
Orlistat; Fair					≥28	6 sessions; Fair					≥25	

Medication Interventions						Behavioral-based Interventions					
Reference;	#	Average	%	%	Mean baseline	Reference;	#	Average	%	%	Mean baseline
Medication type;	Randomized	age (yrs)	Female	Nonwhite	BMI (kg/m²);	# of sessions in 12 months;	Randomized	age (yrs)	Female	Nonwhite	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> );
Quality rating					Minimum BMI	Quality rating					Minimum BMI
Sjostrom 1998 <sup>200</sup>	688	44.8	83.0	NR	36.0	Mitsui 2008 <sup>161</sup>	46	63.3	54.3	100	25.2
Orlistat; Fair					≥28	24 sessions, Fair					NR
Fontbonne 1996 <sup>185</sup>	457	49.5	66.7	NR	33.1	Moore 2003 <sup>162</sup>	843	48.6	73.9	NR	36.9
(BIGPRO)					No min BMI	sessions NR; Fair					≥30
Metformin; Fair					(high WHR)						
Gambineri 2006 <sup>186</sup>	40	27.0	100	NR	36.0	Narayan 1998 <sup>163</sup>	95	33.5	75.8	100	34.9
Metformin; Fair					≥28	52 sessions; Fair					≥27 (men)
						160					≥25 (women)
	/////				//////	Perri 1988 <sup>104</sup>	123	NR	78.9	NR	NR
<i>\</i>	<u> </u>	444	$\square$		<i>      </i>	26 sessions; Fair					NR
(///////!	V////.	11/1			/////	Pritchard 1999 <sup>105</sup>	270	NR	72.5	NR	90.4 kg (weight)
<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> //////</u>	8 sessions; Fair					NR
Without increase in cardie	ovascular risk	factors			<del></del>	166	1		1		
						Silva 2009 <sup>100</sup>	239	37.6	100	NR	31.5
		444	$\square$			30 sessions; Fair					≥25
<i><!--///////////////////////////////////</i--></i>	<i>\////</i>				<i>{////i</i>	Simkin-Silverman 2003 <sup>107</sup>	535	47.0	100	NR	25.0
	<u> </u>	444	44		4444	(WHLP) 20 sessions; Good					≥20
(/////////	(///)				Ľ////!	Villareal 2008 <sup>173</sup>	27	70.0	66.7	NR	NR
		$\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}$	$\square$		4444	208 sessions; Fair					≥30
	\$////					Werkman 2010 <sup>1/4</sup>	413	59.5	0	NR	27.0
	<i>Y</i>		$\square$	$\square$		0 sessions; Good					NR
<i>₩////////////////////////////////////</i>	¥/////	////			//////	Wood 1988 <sup>178</sup>	131	44.5	0	NR	NR
	<u> </u>	////	$\square$			23 sessions; Fair					NR
©//////////	<i>\////</i>				!/////	Wood 1991'''	264	39.7	48.5	11.3	30.7
(//////////////////////////////////////						25 sessions; Fair					≥28 (men)
					<u>//////</u>						≥24 (women)
Total trials (n) with low cardiovascular risk or unselected samples						1					
9 (5148)					17 (3971)						
Total trials (n)											
	2	1 (13908)					3	8 (13495)			

**Abbreviations:** ADAPT= Activity, Diet, and Blood Pressure Trial; BIGPRO=Biguanides and Prevention of Risks in Obesity; BMI=body mass index; DISH=Dietary Intervention to Study Hypertension; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; FDPS=Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study; HOT=Hypertension Optimal Treatment; HPT=Hypertension Prevention Trial; LIHEF=Lifestyle Intervention Against Hypertension in Eastern Finland; min=minimum; NR=not reported; ODES=Oslo Diet and Exercise Study; ORBIT=Obesity Reduction Black Intervention Trial; PATH=Physical Activity for Total Health; POWER=Pounds Off With Empowerment; HEED=Help Educate to Eliminate Diabetes; PREDIAS=Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program; TAIM=Trial of Antihypertensive Interventions and Management; TOHP=Trials of Hypertension Prevention; TONE=Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly; UK=United Kingdom; US-PC=participants recruited from primary care and/or intervention conducted in U.S. primary care; WHLP=Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project; WHR=waist-to-hip ratio; WLM=Weight Loss Maintenance; XENDOS=Xenical in the Prevention of Diabetes in Obese Subjects.

# Table 2. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Weight Loss in Behavioral Trials, 12- to 18-Month Outcomes

Study	Population risk status (risk group)	# Sessions in first 12 months	N	Weight (kg) or BMI change (kg/m²)
Anderssen 1995 <sup>144</sup>	Multiple risk factors	159	IG: 67	Mean (SD) change in BMI at 12 mo
			CG: 43	<u>12 mo</u>
				IG -1.8 (1.4)
Kastariaan 2002 <sup>155</sup>	L humantanaian		10, 200	CG 0.3 (0.8)
Kastarinen 2002	Hypertension	5	IG: 360	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change
			CG. 555	$\frac{BL}{12100}$
				CG 80.0 (14.8) -0.2
Mayer-Davis 2004 <sup>159</sup>	Diabetes	22	Total: 187	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 12 mo
(POWER)				BL 12 mo
				IG 99.5 (17.1) <b>-2.2</b>
140				CG 93.0 (20.3) -0.3
Davis 1992 ***	Hypertension	16	IG: 100	Figures show difference between weight loss and
Lance 4000 <sup>154</sup> (LIOT)	L humantanaian	10	CG: 100	Usual care groups through 2-2.5 years (p<0.05)
Jones 1999 (HOT)	Hypertension	10	IG: 55	Niean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 12 mo
			CG. 50	BL = 12  into (estimated nonnigures)
				CG 92(18) -0.5
Whelton 1998 <sup>175</sup> (TONE)	Hypertension	26	IG: 147	Mean at baseline, mean change at 12 +18 mo
			CG: 147	<u>BL 12 mo 18 mo</u>
				IG 86.5 <b>-4.7 -4.4</b>
153				CG 87 -1.1 -0.8
Jeffery 1993	Unselected/low risk	27	IG: 41	Mean BMI at 12 + 18 mo
			CG: 40	
				CG 30.9 30.4 30.7
Mitsui 2008 <sup>161</sup>	Unselected/low risk	24	IG: 24	Mean (SD) BMI at 12 mo
			CG: 22	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
				IG 24.8 (2.2) 23.7 (2.4)
480				CG 25.6 (2.5) 25.5 (2.6)
Moore 2003 <sup>162</sup>	Unselected/low risk	12-24	IG: 415	Mean (SD)
		(estimated)	CG: 428	$\frac{BL}{100.8} (18.1) (100.2) (100.8) $
				$\begin{array}{cccc} 100.0 & (10.1) & 100.3 & () & 100.0 & () \\ CG & 100.2 & (17.4) & 90.3 & () & 90.5 & () \end{array}$
Narayan 1998 <sup>163</sup>	Unselected/low risk	52	IG: 48	Median (range) at baseline median change at 12 mo
Harayan 1000			CG: 47	BL 12 mo
				IG 96.4 (59.4-159.1) <b>2.5</b>
405				CG 89.3 (59.2-184.8) <b>0.8</b>
Pritchard 1999 <sup>165</sup>	Unselected/low risk	8	IG: 92	Mean at baseline, mean change at 12 mo
			CG: 90	$\frac{BL}{25.5} = \frac{12 \text{ mo}}{5.4}$
				CG = 89.1  0.6
Silva 2009 <sup>166</sup>	Unselected/low risk	30	IG: 123	Mean (SD) BMI at baseline, mean change at 12 mo
			CG: 116	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
				IG 31.7 (4.24) -2.3 (1.9)
170				CG 31.3 (4.00) <b>0.7 (1.9)</b>
Villareal 2008 <sup>173</sup>	Unselected/low risk	208	IG: 17	Mean (SD) at baseline, % change (SD) in body weight at
			CG: 10	12 mo
				$\frac{DL}{1210}$
				CG 103.2 (19.8) 1.2 (1.3)

Bold=statistically significant difference between intervention and control groups.

**Abbreviations:** BL=baseline; BMI=body mass index; CG=control group; HOT=Hypertension Optimal Treatment; IG=intervention group; LIHEF=Lifestyle Intervention Against Hypertension in Eastern Finland; Mo=month; POWER=Pounds Off With Empowerment; SD=standard deviation; TONE=Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly.
Study	Time to followup/ since intervention ended (mo)	Population risk status (risk group)	# Sessions in first 12 months	N	Weight (kg) or BMI (kg/m²) change	Average greater reduction in SBP/DBP in intervention vs. control (mmHg)
Long-term interve	entions					
Mensink 2003 <sup>160</sup>	24/0	Prediabetes	4	IG: 55 CG: 59	BL         24 mo           IG         86 (1.9)         -2.4 (0.7)           CG         83.7 (1.5)         -0.1 (0.5)	NR
Tuomilento 2001 <sup>172</sup> (FDPS)	24/0	Prediabetes	7	IG: 265 CG: 257	BL         24 mo           IG          -3.5 (5.5)           CG          -0.8 (4.4)	5 vs. 2
HPT 1990 <sup>143</sup>	36/0	Prehypertension	16	IG: 125 CG: 126	BL         36 mo           IG         87.4         -1.63 (0.41)           CG         83.4         1.86 (0.41)	2.4 vs. 1.8
Simkin-Silverman 2003 <sup>167</sup> (WHLP)	30, 42, 54/0, 0, 0	Unselected/low risk	20	IG: 260 CG: 275	BL         30 mo         42 mo         54 mo           IG         24.9 (3.2)         -0.67 (1.8)         -0.34 (1.9)         0.05 (2.0)           CG 25.1 (3.3)         0.44 (1.6)         0.67 (1.7)         0.96 (1.8)	2.2 vs. 0.6
Whelton 1998 <sup>175</sup> (TONE)	30/0	Hypertension	26	IG: 147 CG: 147	BL         30 mo           IG          -4.7           CG          -0.9	HR=0.70 for being free of hypertension, its medications, or cardiovascular events
Stevens 2001 <sup>169</sup> (TOHP II)	36/0	Prehypertension	32	IG: 595 CG: 596	BL         36 mo           IG         93.4 (14.1)         -0.2 (-0.7 to 0.3)           CG         93.6 (13.5)         1.8 (1.3 to 2.2)	0.2 vs. 0.8
Time lag since int	ervention completed	1				
Davis 1992 <sup>149</sup> (TAIM)	30/18 (duration=18)	Hypertension	10	IG: 100 CG: 100	NR (figure shows differences through 30 mo)	NR (figure shows differences from 12-30 mo)
DPP 2005 <sup>212</sup>	34/4 (duration=30)	Prediabetes	23	IG: 1079 CG: 1082	BL         34 mo           IG         94.1 (20.8)         -5.6           CG         94.3 (20.2)         -0.1	2.7 vs. 1.9
Jeffery 1993 <sup>153</sup>	30/12 (duration=18)	Unselected/low risk	27	IG: 41 CG: 40	BL         30 mo           IG4*         91.1         -1.6 (6.3)           CG         88.2         0.6 (5.3)	NR
Kastarinen 2002 <sup>155</sup> (LIHEF)	24/6 (duration=18)	Hypertension	5	IG: 360 CG: 355	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 24 mo           BL         24 mo           IG         81.1 (15.7)         -1.5           CG         80.0 (14.8)         -0.3	2 vs. 0.9
Silva 2009 <sup>166</sup>	24/12 (duration=12)	Unselected/low risk	30	IG: 123 CG: 116	NR (% weight lost and % losing 5% and 10% >in IG vs. CG; p<0.05)	NR
Werkman 2010 <sup>174</sup>	24/12 (duration=12)	Unselected/low risk	0 (online only)	IG: 174 CG: 178	BL         24 mo           IG         85.1 (11.9)         -0.37 (1.12)           CG         86.1 (11.4)         -0.40 (1.29)	0.4 increase vs. 0.4 decrease

\* Other intervention groups showed similar results.

### Table 3. Long-Term Weight Loss and Blood Pressure Outcomes in Behavioral-Based Interventions Beyond 18 Months

#### Bold=statistically significant difference between intervention and control groups.

**Abbreviations:** BL=baseline; BMI=body mass index; CG=control group; CI=confidence interval; DBP=diastolic blood pressure; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; FDPS=Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study; HPT=Hypertension Prevention Trial; HR=hazard ratio; IG=intervention group; LIHEF=Lifestyle Intervention Against Hypertension in Eastern Finland; Mo=month; NR=not reported; SBP=systolic blood pressure; SD=standard deviation; SE=standard error; TAIM=Trial of Antihypertensive Interventions and Management; TOHP=Trials of Hypertension Prevention; TONE=Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly; WHLP=Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project.

#### Table 4. Weight Change in Behavioral-Based Weight Maintenance Interventions

Reference	Time since baseline	Time since weight loss intervention ended	Time since maintenance intervention ended	# of maintenance sessions	Baseline weight and weight change (kg)
Cussler 2008 <sup>148</sup>	16 mo	12 mo	0 mo	2	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change (SD) at 16 mo (12 mo
					since end of weight loss phase)
					<u>BL 16 mo</u>
					IG 84.4 (12.6) 0.7 (5.4)
101					CG 82.0 (10.8) 1.0 (4.6)
Perri 1988 <sup>164</sup>	24 mo	18 mo	6 mo	26	Mean at baseline, mean change (SD) at 6, 12, 18, and 24 mo
					<u>BL 6 mo* 12 mo 18 mo 24 mo</u>
					IG1 97.4 -13.2 (5.4) -15.8 (11.8) -12.9 (12.4) -11.4 (12.1)
					IG2 96.9 -11.3 (3.1) <b>-13.5 (6.2) -13.4 (7.4) -8.4 (7.5)</b>
					IG3 95.2 -13.1 (4.8) <b>-15.2 (6.2) -13.0 (7.6) -9.1 (6.4)</b>
					IG4 97.4 -13.7 (5.9) -17.8 (11.7) -15.7 (14.3) -13.5 (15.2)
					CG 89.0 -10.8 (7.6) -8.9 (8.8) -5.7 (6.9) -3.6 (6.2)
Svetkey 2008 <sup>170</sup>	30 mo	24 mo	0 mo	IG1: 0**	Mean (SD) at baseline and 6 mo, mean change (SE) at 30 mo
(WLM)†				IG2: 30	<u>BL 6 mo* 30 mo</u>
					IG1 97.2 (16.2) 88.6 (15.4) -3.3 (0.4)
					IG2 97.1 (17.5) 88.7 (16.9) -4.2 (0.4)
					CG 95.9 (16.2) 87.4 (15.3) <b>-2.9 (0.4)</b>

Bold=statistically significant difference between intervention and control groups.

\* End of weight loss phase

\*\* IG1 was a Web- and email-based intervention with no face-to-face or phone contact.

† Randomization occurred at the end of the weight loss phase, as apposed to the beginning (such as in Cussler et al and Perri et al).

Abbreviations: BL=baseline; CG=control group; IG=intervention group; mo=month; SD=standard deviation; SE=standard error; WLM= Weight Loss Maintenance.

### Table 5. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Lipids Data in Behavioral Trials, 12- to 18-Month Outcomes

Study	Population risk status (risk group)	# of sessions in first 12 mo	N	Total cholesterol, HDL, LDL, and triglyceride outcomes (mg/dL)
Anderssen 1995 <sup>144</sup>	Multiple risk factors	159	IG: 67	IG1(diet only): differs from control for HDL but not for total cholesterol or triglycerides
			CG: 43	IG2 (physical activity only): no group differences
				IG3 (diet+exercise): differs from control for HDL and triglycerides but not for total cholesterol
Woollard 2003 <sup>178</sup>	Multiple risk factors	12	IG: 74	Total serum cholesterol, LDL, HDL, and triglycerides: group differences NS at both 12 and 18
			CG: 69	mo (data shown in a figure only)
Kastarinen 2002 <sup>155</sup>	Hypertension	5	IG: 360	Mean at baseline (SD), mean change at 12 mo
(LIHEF)			CG: 355	BL 12 mo
				Total cholesterol
				IG 218.5 (35.1) -1.9
				CG 215.8 (35.9) -1.2
				LDL cholesterol
				IG 140.5 (31.3) -2.3
				CG 3.56 (0.79) -0.4
				HDL cholesterol
				IG 51.0 (12.7) 0.8
				CG 52.5 (14.7) 0.4
				Triglycerides
				IG 138.1 (89.4) -2.7
115				CG 131.9 (88.5) -5.3
Burke 2005	Hypertension	20	IG: 123	Group differences in LDL at 16 mo but no differences in total cholesterol or HDL at 16 mo
163			CG: 118	(data shown in a figure only)
Narayan 1998 <sup>103</sup>	Unselected/low risk	52	IG: 48	Median (range) at baseline, median change at 12 mo
			CG: 47	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
				Total cholesterol
				IG 173.7 (81.1-235.5) 7.7
				CG 173.7 (123.6-239.4) 3.9
				I riglycerides
				IG 123.9 (26.6-318.6) 0.5
				CG 115.1 (53.1-123.9) 7.2

Bold=statistically significant difference between intervention and control groups.

Abbreviations: BL=baseline; CG=control group; HDL=high-density lipoprotein; IG=intervention group; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; Mo=month; NS=not statistically significant; SD=standard deviation.

### Table 6. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Changes in Blood Pressure in Behavioral Trials, 12- to 18-Month Outcomes

Study	Population risk status (risk group)	# of sessions in first 12 mo	N	Blood pressure (mmHg)
Cohen 1991 <sup>147</sup>	Hypertension	12	IG: 15	Mean change (SD) in arterial pressure at 12 mo
			CG: 15	IG 3.0 (14.2)
				CG -0.7 (11.3)
Davia 4000 <sup>149</sup>	L han ante a class	10	10: 100	No group difference in number of antihypertension medications
Davis 1992	Hypertension	10	IG: 100	3 of the 4 medication groups showed differences in <b>DBP</b> between weight loss and usual care groups at 12 ma ( $n < 0.05$ ); SBD not reported (data above in figure only)
lonos 1000 <sup>154</sup> (HOT)	Hyportonsion	10	IC: 55	groups at 12 mo ( $p$ <0.05), SBP not reported (data shown in righter only)
30hes 1999 (HOT)	riypentension	10	CG: 56	differences in average change in SBP or DBP
Kastarinen 2002 <sup>155</sup>	Hypertension	5	IG: 360	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 12 mo
(LIHEF)	51		CG: 355	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
. ,				Systolic blood pressure
				IG 149 (16) -4.7
				CG 148 (16) -3.4
				Diastolic blood pressure
				$10^{\circ}$ 91(9) -4.0
Whatten 1009 <sup>175</sup> (TONE)	Lhunartanaian	26	10:147	UG 91 (8) -2.4 Mean (CD) at headling, mean change (05%, CD) at least visit prior to attempted medication
Whelton 1998 (TONE)	Hypertension	20	IG: 147	wean (SD) at baseline, mean change (95% SE) at last visit prior to attempted medication
			CG. 147	RI Last visit
				Systelic blood pressure
				IG = 128.6(10.8) -4.0(1.3)
				CG = 127.7 (12.1) -0.8 (0.8)
				Diastolic blood pressure
				IG 70.7 (9.6) -1.1 (0.8)
				CG 71.5 (8.5) -0.8 (0.5)
Hypertension Prevention	Prehypertension	16	IG: 125	Mean at baseline, mean change (SE) at 36 mo
Trial Research Group			CG: 126	<u>BL 36 mo</u>
1990				System blood pressure
				10 125.3 -5.0 (0.9)
				Diastolic blood pressure
				IG = 83.0 -4.2 (0.8)
				CG 83.3 -2.4 (0.8)
Langford 1985 <sup>157</sup>	Prehypertension	18	IG: 52	% not taking antihypertension medication
			CG: 31	56 weeks
				IG 59.5
4000163				CG 35.3
Narayan 1998	Unselected/low risk	52	IG: 48	Median (range) at baseline, median change at 12 mo
			CG: 47	<u>BL 12 MO</u>
				System brood pressure
				$C_{C} = 116 (92-176) = 4.1$
				Diastolic blood pressure
				IG 70 (48-90) 1.1
				CG 72 (53-98) -1.0

Bold=statistically significant difference between intervention and control groups.

Abbreviations: BL=baseline; CG=control group; DBP=diastolic blood pressure; HOT=Hypertension Optimal Treatment; IG=intervention group; LIHEF=Lifestyle Intervention Against Hypertension in Eastern Finland; Mo=month; SBP=systolic blood pressure; SD=standard deviation; SE=standard error; TONE=Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly.

Chudur	#	Time to	Population risk	Weight loop (kg)	Dishetes insidence	NINIT	Quality rating and issues
Sludy	Randomized	followup (mo)	group	weight loss (kg)	Diabetes incidence		noted with study
Behavioral							
DPP 2005	IG: 1079	12, 36	Prediabetes	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change (SE)	Diabetes mellitus, crude cumulative		Good
	CG: 1082			at 12 mo	incidence (cases/100 person-years)		
				<u>BL 12 mo</u>	<u>BL 36 mo</u>		
				IG 94.1 (20.8) <b>-6.8 (0.2</b> )	IG 4.8		
	10.005	10.01.70		CG 94.3 (20.2) -0.4 (0.2)	CG 11.0	•	
luomilehto	IG: 265	12, 24, 72	Prediabetes	Mean (SD) BMI at baseline (kg/m²), mean	n (%)	8	Good
2001	CG: 257			change (SD) at 12, 24 mo	<u>BL 24 mo 72 mo</u>		
					1G - 15(5.7) = 27(10.2)		
				$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CG = 37(14.4) 59(23.0)		
Parikh 2010	IC: 50	10	Prodiabotos	Moan (SD) at baseline, mean change (SD)	Diabotos mollitus, crudo cumulativo		Eair: high attrition: no
1 41111 2010	CG: 49	12	i reulabeles	at 12 mo	incidence (cases/100 person-years)		report of blinding
	00.45			BI 18 mo	BI 12 mo		outcomes assessment or
				IG 79.1 (17.7) -3.3 (3.3)	IG 36		treatment allocation
				CG 73.6 (12.3) -1.1 (3.7)	CG 33		
Orlistat	•	•					
Richelsen	IG: 153	12, 18, 36	Prediabetes	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 18 mo	n (%)	18	Fair; high attrition
2007 <sup>198</sup>	CG: 156		Predyslipidemia	<u>-2 mo BL 12 mo 18 mo</u>	<u>BL 36 mo</u>		_
				IG 110.7 (17.9) -14.511.7	IG 8 (5.2)		
				CG 111.9 (16.0) -14.39.6	CG 17 (10.9)		
Torgerson	IG: 1650	12, 48	Prediabetes	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 12 mo	Diabetes mellitus, cumulative	35	Fair; high attrition,
2004202	CG: 1655			$\frac{BL}{1 \text{ yr}} \frac{1 \text{ yr}}{4 \text{ yr}^*}$	incidence (%)		especially by 48 mo
				IG 110.4 (16.3) <b>-10.6</b>	$\frac{BL}{2}$ $\frac{4 \text{ yr}}{4 \text{ or}}$		
				CG 110.6 (16.5) <b>-6.2</b>	10 0 102(0.2)		
Motformin	l				CG 0 149 (9.0)		
	IC: 1073	12 36	Prediabetes	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change (SE)	Diabetes mellitus, crude cumulative		Good
2005 <sup>212</sup>	CG: 1073	12, 50	i reulabeles	at 12 mo	incidence (cases/100 person-years)		0000
2000	00.1002			BL 12 mo	BL 36 mo		
				IG 94.3 (19.9) -2.7 (0.2)	IG 7.8		
				CG 94.3 (20.2) -0.4 (0.2)	CG 11.0		
Fontbonne	IG: 227	12	Unselected/	Mean change (95% CI) at 12 mo	# diagnosed with diabetes during		Fair; participants were
1996 <sup>185</sup>	CG: 230		low risk	BL 12 mo	course of trial		diagnosed with diabetes by
				IG2.0 (-3.0 to -1.1)	IG: 0		local investigators; lack of
				CG0.8 (-1.6 to 0.1)	CG: 5		central adjustments; high
		1					attrition

\*Not abstracted due to high attrition.

Bold=statistically significant difference between intervention and control groups.

Abbreviations: BL=baseline; BMI=body mass index; CG=control group; CI=confidence interval; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; IG=intervention group; mo=months; NNT=number needed to treat; SD=standard deviation; SE=standard error.

### Table 8. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Glucose Tolerance in Behavioral Trials, 12- to 18-Month Outcomes

Study	Population risk status (risk group)	# of sessions in first 12 mo	Ν	Glucose tolerance
Burke 2005 <sup>145</sup>	Hypertension	20	IG: 123	No group differences at 16 mo (figure only)
			CG: 118	
Christian 2008 <sup>142</sup>	Diabetes	4	IG: 155	Mean (SD) hemoglobin A <sub>1C</sub> at baseline and 12 mo (%)
			CG: 155	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
				IG 8.08 (2.02) -0.141 (1.76)
				CG 8.29 (1.93) -0.46 (1.63)
Irwin 2003 <sup>152</sup>	Unselected/low risk	128	IG: 87	Mean (95% CI) fasting glucose at baseline and 12 mo (mg/dL)
			CG: 86	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
				IG 97.8 (81.4-117.4) 98.9 (81.8-119.5)
				CG 97.4 (82.5-115.1) 98.4 (83.5-115.9)
Narayan 1998 <sup>163</sup>	Unselected/low risk	52	IG: 48	Median (range) fasting glucose at baseline, median change at
-			CG: 47	12 mo (mg/dL)
				<u>BL 12 mo</u>
				IG 97.3 (81.1-117.1) 1.8
				CG 91.9 (75.7-109.9) 1.8

Bold=statistically significant difference between intervention and control groups.

Abbreviations: BL=baseline; CG=control group; CI=confidence interval; IG=intervention group; Mo=month; SD=standard deviation.

			Study	Estimated # sessions in 12 months	Physical activity sessions	Group sessions	Individual sessions	Technology- based	Primary care provider training	Involved spouse/family	Weight loss goal set	Addressed barriers	Addressed pros/cons or motivation	Active use of self- monitoring	Incentives	Support for weight maintenance
			Christian 2008 <sup>146</sup>	4			X	X				X	X			
		U U	Cohen 1991 <sup>147</sup>	12			X	Λ	X			~				
ć		α.	Mayer-Davis 2004 <sup>159</sup> (POWFR)*	30		х	X		~		Х			Х	Х	Х
aic	S		Jones 1999 <sup>154</sup> (HOT)	10		X	X									X
mi	2	U	Davis 1992 <sup>149</sup> (TAIM)*	16		Х					Х					Х
de		ЧZ	Langford 1985 <sup>157</sup> (DISH)*	18		Х	Х			Х	Х			Х		Х
i pi			Whelton 1998 <sup>175</sup> (TONE)*	26		Х	Х				Х	Х		Х		Х
s, h ysl			Kastarinen 2002 <sup>155</sup> (LIHEF)*	5		Х	Х									
r d	S	D D	ter Bogt 2009 <sup>171</sup>	5			Х	Х					Х			Х
o	Ϋ́	_	Woollard 2003 <sup>178</sup>	12			Х						Х			
Dia	Non	ပ္ရ	Burke 2005 <sup>145</sup> (ADAPT)*	20		Х	Х			Х		х	х			Х
		Я	Anderssen 1995 <sup>144</sup> (ODES)*	159	Х	Х	Х				Х					
			Parikh 2010 <sup>204</sup> (HEED)	8		Х									Х	
			HPT 1990 <sup>143</sup> *	16		Х					Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
	S	S S	DPP 2005 <sup>212</sup> *	23		Х	Х				Х			Х	Х	Х
cal		ž	Stevens 1993 <sup>168</sup> (TOHP I)*	23		Х	Х					Х		Х		Х
ini			Stevens 2001 <sup>169</sup> (TOHP II)*	32		Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
ocl			Villareal 2008 <sup>173</sup> *	208	Х	Х					Х	Х		Х		
Sul	S		Mensink 2003 100*	4	X	X	X									
••	Ϋ́	S	Tuomilento 2001 <sup>112</sup> (FDPS)*	7**	X	X	Х			X	X	N/	N/			X
	lon	ž	Kulzer 2009 <sup>44</sup> (PREDIAS)*	12		X				X		X	X			X
	2		Mitsui 2008 <sup>161</sup>	24	Х	Х								Х		X
		РС	Martin 2008 <sup>158</sup>	6			х		х			х				
-			Wood 1988 <sup>176</sup> *	23		Х	Х									
tec	(0)		Simkin-Silverman 2003 (WHLP) <sup>167</sup> *	20		Х	Х				Х	Х		Х	Х	Х
lec	ŝ	O	Wood 1991 <sup>177</sup> *	25	Х	Х								Х		Х
Ise		ЧЪ	Jeffery 1993 <sup>153</sup> *	27		Х					Х			Х	Х	Х
n		~	Narayan 1998 <sup>163</sup>	52	Х	Х										
P			Fitzgibbon 2010 <sup>200</sup> (ORBIT)	116		Х	Х				Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
sk			Irwin 2003 <sup>152</sup> (PATH)*‡	128	Х	Х	Х							Х	Х	Х
∠ Li		U	Moore 2003 <sup>162</sup>						Х							Х
Lo I	SU	đ	Pritchard 1999 <sup>165</sup> *	8			Х							Х		
_	l-no	~	Haapala 2009 <sup>151</sup> *	0				Х			Х			Х		1
	ž	Ы	Werkman 2010 <sup>174</sup>	0	1			Х	1				1			1
	1	Z	Silva 2009 <sup>166</sup> *	30		Х			1		1	Х	Х	Х		Х

\* Statistically significant between intervention and control groups for weight loss. \*\* Indicates an undetermined number of additional physical activity-focused sessions were offered.

‡ Intervention focus was physical activity.

#### **Table 9. Behavioral Intervention Components**

Abbreviations: ADAPT= Activity, Diet, and Blood Pressure Trial; DISH=Dietary Intervention to Study Hypertension; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; FDPS=Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study; HEED=Help Educate to Eliminate Diabetes; HOT=Hypertension Optimal Treatment; HPT=Hypertension Prevention Trial; LIHEF=Lifestyle Intervention Against Hypertension in Eastern Finland; NPC=non-primary care; ODES=Oslo Diet and Exercise Study; ORBIT=Obesity Reduction Black Intervention Trial; PATH=Physical Activity for Total Health; PC=primary care; POWER=Pounds Off With Empowerment; PREDIAS=Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program; TAIM=Trial of Antihypertensive Interventions and Management; TOHP=Trials of Hypertension Prevention; TONE=Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly; WHLP=Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project; US=United States.

### Table 10. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Weight Loss in Medication Trials, 12- to 18-Month Outcomes

Study	Population risk status (risk group)	Behavioral intervention intensity	N	Weight loss (kg)
Orlistat trials				
Berne 2004 <sup>180</sup>	Diabetes	Intense	IG: 111 CG: 109	BL         12 mo           IG         95.3 (12.6)         -5.0           CG         95.7 (12.5)         -1.8
Torgerson 2004 <sup>202</sup>	Diabetes	Intense	IG: 1650 CG: 1655	BL         12 mo           IG         110.4 (16.3)         -10.6           CG         110.6 (16.5)         -6.2
Richelsen 2007 <sup>198</sup>	Prediabetes/ hypertension	Intense	IG: 153 CG: 156	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 18 mo           -2 mo*         BL         18 mo           IG         110.7 (17.9)         -14.5         -11.7           CG         111.9 (16.0)         -14.3         -9.6
Finer 2000 <sup>184</sup>	Unselected/low risk	NR	IG: 114 CG: 114	Mean (SD) at baseline, % change at 12 mo <u>BL</u> 12 mo IG 97.9 (12.9) -3.29 CG 98.4 (15.0) -1.31
Sjostrom 1998 <sup>200</sup>	Unselected/low risk	NR	IG: 345 CG: 343	Mean (range) at baseline, mean change at 12 mo           BL         12 mo           IG         99.1 (61.0-148.6)         -10.3†           CG         99.8 (64.2-137.2)         -6.1
Maintenance trial			-	
Hill 1999 <sup>190</sup>	Unselected/low risk	Intense	IG: 181 CG: 188	Mean (SE) at -6 mo, mean change (SE) from -6 mo to baseline           and 12 mo <u>-6 mo*         12 mo           IG         89.7 (0.9)         -9.86 (0.27)         -7.24 (0.52)           CG         90.8 (0.9)         -10.33 (0.31)         -5.93 (0.69)  </u>

\*Before a very low calorie diet.

† Change in weight at 12 months is measured from the start of the 4-week run-in period. Bold=statistically significant difference between intervention and control groups.

Abbreviations: BL=baseline; CG=control group; IG=intervention group; mo=month; NR=not reported; SD=standard deviation; SE=standard error.

### Table 11. Longer-Term Outcomes, Medication Trials

Study	Time to followup (mo)	Weight loss (kg)	Cholesterol (mg/dL)	Blood Pressure (mmHg)	Glucose tolerance
Orlistat	<b></b>		•		
Richelsen 2007 <sup>198</sup>	36	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 36 mo - <u>2 mo 36 mo</u> IG 110.7 (17.9) - <b>9.4</b> CG 111.9 (16.0) - <b>7.2</b>	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 36 mo         -2 mo       36 mo         LDL cholesterol         IG       143.2 (40.2)       -13.1         CG       145.6 (36.3)       -14.7         HDL cholesterol       IG       43.6 (10.0)       1.5         CC       44.4 (40.0)       2.2	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 36 mo <u>-2 mo</u> <u>36 mo</u> Systolic blood pressure IG 144 (19.3) -7.8 CG 144 (17.3) -8.2 Diastolic blood pressure IG 90.8 (11.6) -3.7 CC 00.7 (10.0) -4.7	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 36 mo <u>-2 mo 36 mo</u> Hemoglobin $A_{1C}$ (%) IG 6.32 (0.93) -0.69 CG 6.28 (0.64) -0.51 Fasting glucose (mg/dL) IG 116.0 (33.0) -8.8 CC 112.0 (27.8) -5.8
Rossner 2000 <sup>199</sup>	24	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change (SD) from -4 weeks <u>BL</u> 24 mo IG 96.7 (13.8) -7.4 (7.1) CG 97.7 (14.6) -4.3 (7.4)	CG         44.4 (10.0)         2.3           Mean (SD) at baseline and 24 mo         BL         24 mo           Total cholesterol         IG         203.1 (37.5)         204.2 (37.1)           CG         209.7 (44.0)         221.6 (40.2)         LDL cholesterol           IG         132.8 (33.2)         134.4 (33.6)         CG           CG         137.1 (37.8)         147.9 (35.1)           HDL cholesterol         IG         45.2 (11.6)         49.8 (12.4)           CG         45.2 (13.9)         51.4 (13.1)         51.4 (13.1)	Mean (SD) at baseline and 24 mo           BL         24 mo           Systolic blood pressure           IG 125.5 (14.9)         124.9 (16.5)           CG 127.3 (16.1)         128.5 (17.5)           Diastolic blood pressure           IG 79.5 (9.4)         79.9 (9.5)           CG 81.2 (9.8)         81.2 (9.9)	Mean (SD) at baseline and 24 mo           BL         24 mo           Fasting glucose (mg/dL)           IG         98.6 (12.3)         99.3 (23.2)           CG         100.2 (17.1)         99.8 (12.3)
Metformi	n				
DPP 2005 <sup>212</sup>	34	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 34 mo <u>BL</u> <u>34 mo</u> IG 94.3 (19.9) -2.1 CG 94.3 (20.2) -0.1	Mean (SD) at baseline, % change at 36 mo <u>BL</u> <u>36 mo</u> <i>LDL cholesterol</i> IG 123.6 -0.3 CG 123.6 -1.3 <i>HDL cholesterol</i> IG <b>-0.008</b> CG <b>-0.002</b>	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change           (SE) at 24, 36 mo           BL         24 mo           36 mo           Systolic blood pressure           IG         124.0 (14.9)           O.94 (0.4)         -0.29 (0.5)           CG         123.5 (14.4)           Diastolic blood pressure           IG         78.2 (9.5)           -1.06 (0.2)         -1.59 (0.3)           CG         78.0 (9.2)	NR

Bold=statistically significant difference between intervention and control groups.

Abbreviations: BL=baseline; CG=control group; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; HDL=high-density lipoprotein; IG=intervention group; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; mo=month; NR=not reported; SD=standard deviation; SE=standard error.

### Table 12. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Central Adiposity in Orlistat Trials, 12- to 18-Month Outcomes

Study	Population risk status (risk group)	Behavioral intervention intensity	N	Waist circumference (cm)
Broom 2002 <sup>181</sup>	Multiple risk factors	NR	IG: 265	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change (SD) at 12 mo
			CG: 266	$\frac{BL}{12 \text{ mo}}$
				IG 107.8 (15.6) <b>-5.99 ()</b>
Lindgardo 2000 <sup>194</sup>	Multiple risk feators	Intense	10:100	CG 108.0 (10.4) -2.00 () Moon (SD) at 2 works mean shangs (SD) from 2 works at baseling and 12 mg
Linugarue 2000	Multiple fisk factors	Intense	CG: 186	-2 wk* BI 12 mo
			00.100	IG 106 (10.8) 4.8 ( )
				CG 106 (11.0)4.1 ()
Torgerson 2004 <sup>202</sup>	Diabetes	Intense	IG: 1650	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 12 mo
			CG: 1655	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
				IG 115.0 (10.4) -9.6
Distantes 0007 <sup>198</sup>	Des distants a /	la fa a a a	10:450	CG 115.4 (10.4) -7.0
Richelsen 2007	Prediabetes/	Intense	IG: 153	Mean (SD) at -2 mo, mean change at baseline and 18, 36 mo
	nypertension		00.150	$\frac{-2 110}{10} \frac{12 110}{12 1} \frac{12 110}{12 1$
				CG 119 (10.9) -129 -5.4
Rossner 2000 <sup>199</sup>	Unselected/low risk	Intense	IG: 244	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change (SD) at 12 mo
			CG: 243	BL 12 mo
				IG6.2
				CG4.7
Maintenance trial				
Hill 1999 <sup>190</sup>	Unselected/low risk	Intense	IG: 181	Reduced in 4 treatment groups during run-in weight loss phase. During 1-year
			CG: 188	treatment period, waist circumference increased slightly in all groups, and the
				resulting mean reductions (6 to 8 cm) after 1 year of treatment were not
				significantly different.

\*Before a very low calorie diet. Bold=statistically significant difference between intervention and control groups.

Abbreviations: BL=baseline; CG=control group; IG=intervention group; mo=month; NR=not reported; SD=standard deviation.

### Table 13. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Lipids Data in Orlistat Trials, 12- to 18-Month Outcomes

Study	Population risk	Behavioral	N	Total cholesterol, HDL, LDL, and triglyceride outcomes (mg/dL)
Broom 2002 <sup>181</sup>	Status (risk group)		10:065	Maan (CD) at baseling, mean change (CD) at 12 ma
Broom 2002	Multiple risk factors	NR	IG: 205	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change (SD) at 12 mo
			CG. 200	
				16 - 2239(425) - 46()
				$C_{1} = 220.0 (+2.0) +()$
				HDL cholesterol
				IG = 54.1(15.4)
				CG = 54.1 (11.6)
				LDL cholesterol
				IG 146.7 (34.7) -11.6 ()
				CG 146.7 (34.7) -0.7 ()
				Triglycerides
				IG 159.3 (70.8) 38.9
				CG 168.2 (88.5) 15.0
Torgerson 2004 <sup>202</sup>	Diabetes	Intense	IG: 1650	Mean (SD) at baseline, % mean change at 12 mo
			CG: 1655	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
				Total cholesterol
				IG 223.9 (38.6) <b>-8.8</b>
				CG 223.9 (38.6) <b>-1.3</b>
				HDL cholesterol
				CG 46.3 (11.6) 8.5
				$(C_{146,7}(34,7)) = 11.4$
				Trialycerides
				IG 168 2 (88 5) <b>-6.2</b>
				CG = 168.2 (106.2) -6.3
Richelsen 2007 <sup>198</sup>	Prediabetes/hypertension	Intense	IG: 153	Mean (SD) at -2 mo, mean change at baseline and 18 mo
			CG: 156	-2 mo* BL 18 mo
				Total cholesterol
				IG 228.2 (48.6) -46.3 -13.9
				CG 232.4 (41.7) -46.3 -5.0
				HDL cholesterol
				IG 43.6 (10.1) -1.9 2.3
				CG 44.4 (10.0) -2.7 4.2
				LDL cholesterol
				IG 143.2 (40.2) -29.0 -11.2
				CG 145.6 (36.3) -30.9 -4.6
Davidson 1000 <sup>182</sup>	Linsoloctod/low rick	Intense	10:669	UG 221.3 (124.0) -03.2 -30.1
Davius011 1999	UNSELECTED/IOM USK	mense	CG: 224	
Davidson 1999 <sup>182</sup>	Unselected/low risk	Intense	IG: 668	IG 208.9 (109.7) -78.8 -28.3 CG 221.3 (124.8) -83.2 -30.1 IG had greater reductions than CG; p<0.05 for LDL and total cholesterol (data shown in figure only)

#### Table 13. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Lipids Data in Orlistat Trials, 12- to 18-Month Outcomes

Study	Population risk Status (risk group)	Behavioral intervention intensity	N	Total cholesterol, HDL, LDL, and triglyceride outcomes (mg/dL)
Krempf 2003 <sup>193</sup>	Unselected/low risk	Intense	IG: 346	Proportion of patients (%) at baseline and 18 mo
			CG: 350	<u>BL 18 mo</u>
				Total cholesterol reduced by ≥20%
				IG 10.1
				CG 2.6
				LDL cholesterol reduced by ≥20%
				IG 19.9
				CG 6.6

\*Before a very low calorie diet. Bold=statistically significant difference between intervention and control groups.

Abbreviations: BL=baseline; CG=control group; HDL=high-density lipoprotein; IG=intervention group; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; mo=month; NR=not reported; SD=standard deviation.

Study	Population risk status (risk group)	Behavioral intervention intensity	N	Blood pressure (mmHg)
Broom, 2002 <sup>181</sup>	Multiple risk factors	NR	IG: 265	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 12 mo
			CG: 266	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
				Systolic blood pressure
				IG 141.1 (15.0) <b>-6.0</b>
				CG 139.2 (15.7) -2.3
				Diastolic blood pressure
				IG 89.0 (9.7) -5.5
Dama 0004 <sup>180</sup>	Dishatas	la fa a a a	10:444	CG 88.1 (10.1) -3.1
Berne 2004	Diabetes	Intense	IG: 111	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 12 mo
			CG: 109	BL 12 mo
				$\frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{10000} 1$
				CG = 145.0 (16.2) = -3.2
				Diastolic blood pressure
				IG 845(97) -24
				CG 84.3(10.0) -1.9
Hanefeld 2002 <sup>187</sup>	Diabetes	Intense	IG: 195	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 12 mo
			CG: 188	BL 12 mo
				Systolic blood pressure
				IG 148.0 (20.4) -4.96
				CG 147.9 (17.8) -4.98
				Diastolic blood pressure
				IG 87.0 (10.8) -4.78
				CG 87.2 (10.7) -4.80
Richelsen 2007	Prediabetes/	Intense	IG: 153	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 18 mo
	hypertension		CG: 156	<u>-2 mo* BL 18 mo</u>
				Systolic blood pressure
				1G 144 (19.3) -13 -8.2
				Diastalia blood procesure
				1G = 90.8(11.6) = 7.2 = 5.1
				CG = 90.7 (10.4) = 7.6 = -4.8
Torgerson 2004 <sup>202</sup>	Prediabetes	Intense	IG: 1650	Mean (SD) at baseline mean change at 12 mo
	T TCUIADCICS	intense	CG 1655	BI 12 mo
			20.1000	Systolic blood pressure
				IG 130.8 (15.8) -7.3
				CG 130.4 (15.4) -5.2
				Diastolic blood pressure
				IG 82.0 (10.0) -3.6
		1		CG 82.3 (10.0) -2.6

# Table 14. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Changes in Blood Pressure in Orlistat Trials, 12- to18-Month Outcomes

\*Before a very low calorie diet.

Bold=statistically significant difference between intervention and control groups.

Abbreviations: BL=baseline; CG=control group; IG=intervention group; mo=month; NR=not reported; SD=standard deviation.

# Table 15. Trials Not Included in Meta-Analysis: Changes in Glucose Tolerance in MedicationTrials, 12- to 18-Month Outcomes

Study	Population risk Status (risk group)	Behavioral intervention intensity	N	Glucose tolerance
Orlistat trials				
Broom 2002 <sup>181</sup>	Multiple risk factors	NR	IG: 265 CG: 266	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 12 mo           BL         12 mo           Fasting glucose (mg/dL)           IG          -3.4           CG          1.1
Berne 2004 <sup>180</sup>	Diabetes	Intense	IG: 111 CG: 109	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 12 mo           BL         12 mo           Fasting glucose (mg/dL)           IG         201.8 (46.9)         -34.2           CG         196.4 (45.1)         -4.7
Richelsen 2007 <sup>198</sup>	Prediabetes/ hypertension	Intense	IG: 153 CG: 156	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 18 mo           -2 mo*         BL         18 mo           Fasting glucose (mg/dL)         IG         116.0 (33.0)         -19.8         -12.1           CG         113.0 (27.8)         -17.1         -8.1
Torgerson 2004 <sup>202</sup>	Prediabetes	Intense	IG: 1650 CG: 1655	Mean (SD) at baseline, mean change at 12 mo           BL         12 mo           Fasting glucose (mg/dL)           IG         82.9 (10.8) <b>1.8</b> CG         82.9 (10.8) <b>3.6</b>
Maintenance trial				
Hill 1999 <sup>190</sup>	Unselected/low risk	Intense	IG: 181 CG: 188	Fasting glucose levels decreased slightly (0.4-1.8 mg/dL) in all groups during the 6-mo run-in period. After 12 mo of treatment, mean increases of 1%-2% above initial values were noted in the CG compared with slight (~1%) reductions in IG, but were not statistically significant.

\*Before a very low calorie diet.

Bold=statistically significant difference between intervention and control groups.

Abbreviations: BL=baseline; CG=control group; IG=intervention group; mo=month; NR=not reported; SD=standard deviation.

Risk group	Reference; Medication type; Type of study	# Randomized	Average	% Female	% Nonwhite	Baseline BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) Mean minimum	Dosage (mg)	Duration
With cardiovascula	r risk factors	rtandonizou	ugo (j.o/	1 onnaio		inoun, initiati		(((((())))))))
Diabetes	Berne 2005 <sup>180</sup> ; Orlistat; RCT	220	59.1	45.5	0	32.7	120 tid	52
						≥28		
	Derosa 2010 <sup>215</sup> ; Orlistat; RCT	254	52.5	49.6	0	32.8	120 tid	52
		000		50.0	ND	≥30	100 til	40
	Hanefeld 2002 (*; Orlistat; RC1	383	56.2	50.9	NR	34.1	120 tid	48
	Hollander 1998 <sup>191</sup> : Orlistat <sup>,</sup> RCT	322	55 1	48.9	12.5	34.3	120 tid	52
		522	00.1	40.5	12.0	≥28	120 110	52
	Kelley 2002 <sup>127</sup> †; Orlistat; RCT	550	57.9	56	28	35.7	120 tid	52
						≥28		
	Miles 2002 <sup>197</sup> ; Orlistat; RCT	516	53.1	48.0	18	NR	120 tid	52
						≥28		
Hypertension	Bakris 2002 <sup>120</sup> †; Orlistat; RCT	554	52.8	61.1	14.5	35.6	120 tid	52
Dualinidamia	Broom 2002 <sup>132</sup> t: Orligtat: DCT	140	<b>F1 C</b>	60 F		≥28	100 tid	24
Dyslipidemia	Broom 2002 T; Onistat; RCT	142	51.0	60.5	NR	30.8 >20	120 tid	24
	Derosa 2003 <sup>183.</sup> Orlistat: BCT	50	52.0	52.0	NR	31.9	120 tid	52
		00	02.0	02.0		>30	120 110	02
	Muls 2001 <sup>130</sup> †; Orlistat; RCT	294	48.6	80.7	NR	32.9	120 tid	48
						≥27		
Multiple risk factors	Broom 2002 <sup>132</sup> (UK Multimorbidity Study); Orlistat;	531	46.0	78.4	NR	37.0	120 tid	52
	RCT					≥28		
	Lindgarde 2000 <sup>194</sup> (Swedish Multimorbidity Study);	376	53.5	63.6	NR	33.2	120 tid	52
	Urlistat; RUI	220	50.0	50.0		≥28	100 414	50
	Swindum 2005 , Ohistat, RCT	228	52.2	50.9	INIK	37.0 >30	120 tia	52
	Т	otal trials (n) in :	subaroup: 12	9 (4 277)	l	200		
With subclinical inc	rease in cardiovascular risk or risk factors		Subgroup. 12	(4,211)				
Prediabetes	DPP 2005 <sup>142</sup> ; Metformin; RCT	2155	50.6	67.7	45.3	34.1	850 bid	208
						≥24		
	Torgerson 2004 <sup>202</sup> (XENDOS); Orlistat; RCT	3305	43.3	55.2	NR	37.4	120 tid	208
	108					≥30		
Multiple risk factors	Richelsen 2007 100; Orlistat; RCT	309	47.0	50.8	NR	37.5	120 tid	156
		atal triala (n) in	auto anna una c	(5.700)		≥30		
Without cardiovasc	ular risk factors		subgroup. 3	(5,769)				
Without cardiovasc	Acharya 2006 <sup>133</sup> t: Orlistat: Observational cohort	NR	45	80.1	NR	NR	120 tid	21
			40	00.1		NR	120 110	21
	Davidson 1999 <sup>182</sup> : Orlistat: RCT	892	43.5	84.2	19.2	36.3	120 tid	52
	, -				-	≥30		_
	Finer 2000 <sup>184</sup> ; Orlistat; RCT	228	41.5	88.5	5.1	36.8	120 tid	52
	495					≥30		
	Fontbonne 1996 <sup>185</sup> (BIGPRO); Metformin; RCT	457	49.5	66.7	NR	33.1	850 bid	52
		40	07.0	400		None (high WHR)	050111	
	Gampineri 2006 ; Mettormin; RCI	40	27.0	100	NR	36.0	850 bid	52
		1			1	<∠0		

#### Table 16. Harms Data Summary for Medication Interventions

Risk group	Reference; Medication type; Type of study	# Randomized	Average age (yrs)	% Female	% Nonwhite	Baseline BMI (kg/m²) Mean, minimum	Dosage (mg)	Duration (wks)
	Gokcel 2002 <sup>136</sup> †; Metformin and orlistat; RCT	150	42.7	100	NR	37.2	Sibutramine: 10 bid	26
						>30	Orlistat:120 tid Metformin: 850 bid	
	Hauptman 2000 <sup>189</sup> ; Orlistat; RCT	422	42.5	78.3	9.1	36.1	120 tid	104
						≥30		
	Hill 1999 <sup>190</sup> ; Orlistat; RCT	369	46.3	84.0	11.7	32.8	120 tid	52
	100					≥28		
	Krempf 2003 <sup>193</sup> ; Orlistat; RCT	696	41.0	86.4	NR	36.1	120 tid	78
	400					≥28		
	Rossner 2000 <sup>199</sup> ; Orlistat; RCT	487	44.2	82.3	NR	35.0	120 tid	104
						≥28		
	Sjostrom 1998 <sup>200</sup> ; Orlistat; RCT	688	44.8	83.0	NR	36.0	120 tid	52
						≥28		
	Trolle 2007 <sup>131</sup> †; Metformin; RCT	60	32	100	NR	33.8	850 bid	26
						NR		
	Van Gaal 1998 <sup>29</sup> †; Orlistat; RCT	247	41.8	76.6	NR	34.6	120 tid	24
						≥28		
	Ť	otal trials (n) in s	subgroup: 13	3 (4,736)				
		Total trials	(n): 28 (14,7	82)				

† Trials included for key question 4 only.

Abbreviations: bid=twice a day; BIGPRO=Biguanides and Prevention of Risks in Obesity; BMI=body mass index; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; NR=not reported; RCT=randomized, controlled trial; tid=three times a day; UK=United Kingdom; WHR=waist-to-hip ratio; XENDOS=Xenical in the Prevention of Diabetes in Obese Subjects.

Adverse events	N Trials (meta-analysis, other)	Meta-analysis results RR (95% CI)	Weighted means	Results from studies not in meta-analysis	Dosage effects	Subgroup analysis	Comments
Orlistat							
Withdrawals due to adverse events	23, 0	1.67 (1.32- 2.13)	IG: 8% CG: 4%		3 of 4 studies present no difference; 1 study had slightly higher withdrawal rate with 120 mg (but no statistical testing)	Trials of unselected populations: RR, 2.2 (95% Cl,1.6-3.0) Trials of those with CV risk: RR, 1.43 (95% Cl, 0.99-2.06)	Gastrointestinal symptoms were main reason for withdrawal
Any	8, 0	1.10 (1.03-1.17)	IG: 78% CG: 70%		NR		Gastrointestinal symptoms were main reason for withdrawal
Serious	11, 2	1.21 (0.88-1.68)	IG: 10% CG: 9%	No serious adverse events in either treatment group in 2 trials	NR	Trials of unselected populations: RR, 2.0 (95% Cl, 0.9-4.5) Trials of those with CV risk: RR, 1.1 (95% Cl, 0.6-2.0)	Fecal incontinence, diverticulitis, abdominal pain
Gastrointestinal	18, 0	1.42 (1.33-1.52)	IG: 83% CG: 59%	-	3 of 3 studies did not report statistically high gastrointestinal adverse events with higher dose; 1 had slightly higher rate but was not labeled as statistically significant	-	Mild to moderate intensity and often resolved spontaneously
Hypoglycemia	0, 3			2 of 3 studies found increased incidence of hypoglycemia with orlistat	NR	NR	
Bone mineral density	0, 1			In small subsample (N=30) of larger study, bone density did not differ between groups			
Vitamin deficiency	0, 5			5 of 5 studies found lower vitamin E with orlistat; 4 of 4 studies found lower beta-carotene; 1 of 2 trials found lower vitamin A; 1 of 1 study found lower vitamin K; 5 of 5 studies found orlistat participants required more vitamin supplementation during the study	2 of 2 studies showed no clear relation to dose, although not clear if tested statistically	NR	
Liver injury	0, 1 (event monitoring cohort)			UK monitoring study reported elevated liver tests in 2 cases; no cases of serious hepatic adverse reactions	NR	NR	FDA recently added warning to label about risk of severe liver disease with orlistat
Metformin	. ,	•	·				
Withdrawals	2, 0	3.92 (1.23-12.57)	IG: 5% CG: 1%				
Any	2, 0	4.83 (0.84-27.63)	IG: 46% CG: 16%	-		-	
Serious	0						

### Table 17. Summary of Medication Harms

Adverse events	N Trials (meta-analysis, other)	Meta-analysis results RR (95% CI)	Weighted means	Results from studies not in meta-analysis	Dosage effects	Subgroup analysis	Comments
Gastrointestinal	1, 3			Increased risk of gastrointestinal adverse events in metformin group	All same dosage	Not different by age	Main gastrointestinal symptoms included diarrhea, flatulence, nausea, vomiting
Hypoglycemia	0						
Bone density	0						

Abbreviations: CG=control group; CI=confidence interval; CV=cardiovascular; FDA=U.S. Food and Drug Administration; IG=intervention group; NR=not reported; RR=relative risk; UK=United Kingdom.

# of Studies	Design	Major limitations	Consistency	Applicability	Overall quality	Summary of findings
KQ1. Is there direct months) weight los	t evidence ti s or improv	hat primary care screeni ed physiological measu	ng programs fo res?	r adult obesity improve health	outcomes or	result in short-term (12-18 months) or sustained (>18
0 screening trials	RCT	No data	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
KQ1a. How well is	weight loss	maintained after an inte	rvention is com	pleted?	•	
0 screening trials	RCT	No data	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
KQ2. Do primary ca	are-relevant	interventions (behavior	al-based interv	entions and/or pharmacothera	py) in obese o	or overweight adults lead to improved health outcomes?
Behavioral-based i	nterventions	5				
Death (M): 2	RCT	M: Very low event rate;	M: High	M: Low–Moderate; US, self-	M: Good	M: No differences in death rate, but small number of
Cardiovascular disease (CVD): 4		sparsely reported CVD, H: Low event	CVD: High	identified non-primary care samples	CVD: Fair– Good	deaths limits conclusions. CVD: No differences in CVD events, deaths, or CVD-related
		rates, sparsely	H: N/A	CVD: Moderate; 2 conducted	<b></b>	deaths at 2.5, 3, and 10 years in 3 large, good-quality trials.
Hospitalization (H): 1		reported	DM: High	in US (not primary care) in self-identified samples. 2	DM: Fair– Good	Additional fair-quality trial showed no difference in % taking cardiovascular medication at 1 year.
Type 2 diabetes (DM): 3		Q: Sparsely reported	Q: High	conducted in study-identified samples in primary care	Q: Fair	H: No differences in hospitalization, but low hospitalization
(DM): 3 HRQL/depression (Q): 3		Q. Sparsely reported		outside US H: Low–Moderate; US, self- identified non-primary care samples DM: Moderate; conducted in US (not primary care) in self- identified samples. 2 conducted in study-identified samples outside US Q: Low–Moderate; 2 in US, self-identified samples; 1 nonUS recruitment sample NR		<ul> <li>rate limits conclusions.</li> <li>DM: In DPP, twice as many in control group than lifestyle intervention group developed diabetes at 3 years (28.9% vs. 14.4%; NNT=7); similar results in similar Finnish trial, but no DM reduction in small trial with very high base rates of elevated fasting glucose.</li> <li>Q: None of 3 trials found group differences in depression outcomes (% screening depressed, depressive symptomatology); small change in HRQL correlated with weight change in DPP.</li> </ul>
Pharmacotherapy					n	
<u>Orlistat</u> Death (M): 4	RCT	M: Very low event rate; sparsely reported	M: High DM: High	M: Moderate; all conducted in primary care setting; 1 in	Fair	M: Each study only had 1 death; in all studies deaths were in orlistat group, but no clear relationship with treatment.
Type 2 diabetes (DM): 2		DM: Sparsely reported, high attrition	Q: N/A	DM: Low; nonUS, not primary care; 1 trial required		DM: Both trials reported low incidence of diabetes, by 9-10 percentage points.
HRQL/depression (Q): 2		nonstandard quality of life measure in 1		5% weight loss during run-in phase		greater satisfaction with treatment and less overweight distress. 1 of 8 (vitality) subscales of SF-36 improved with
		siuay		no connections to primary care		onistat.

# of Studies	Design	Major limitations	Consistency	Applicability	Overall quality	Summary of findings			
Metformin	RCT	M: Very low event rate;	M: High	M, CVD, DM: Low–Moderate;	Fair–Good	M: No difference between groups, but small number of			
Death (M): 2		sparsely reported	H: N/A	1 conducted in self-identified		deaths limits conclusions.			
Hospitalization (H):		H: Sparsely reported	CVD: High	primary care; 1 study in		H: No difference in hospitalization.			
1		CVD: Sparsely	DM: High	Europe with no connection to		CVD: No difference in CVD events.			
Cardiovascular disease (CVD): 2		reported DM: Sparsely reported	Q: N/A	H, Q: Low–Moderate; US,		DM: Incidence of diabetes was reduced in good-quality trial in prediabetics after 3 years (21.7% vs. 28.9%; NNT=14).			
Type 2 diabetes (DM): 2		Q: Sparsely reported		connection to primary care		Smaller trial with unclear adjudication also found decreased risk of diabetes in those randomized to metformin.			
HRQL/depression (Q): 1						Q: No difference in depression.			
KQ2a. What are common elements of efficacious interventions?									
Behavioral-based i	ntervention	S							
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Insufficient data to examine.			
KQ2b. Are there di	fferences in	efficacy between patien	t subgroups?						
Behavioral-based i	ntervention	S							
Death, hospitalization (M,	RCT	M, H: Sparsely reported; not powered	M, H, DM: N/A Q: Hiah	All: Moderate; both conducted in US, not in	M, H, DM: Good	M, H: DPP found no treatment-by-age interactions in hospitalizations or deaths.			
Type 2 diabetes		effects	5	identified samples	Q: Fair– Good	DM: DPP found that diabetes incidence decreased in the older age groups in the behavioral intervention group;			
(DM): 1 HROL/depression		DM: Sparsely reported; not powered to look				there was no difference in incidence in age groups in the placebo group. Intervention had greater effects among			
(Q): 2		for subgroup effects				persons with lower baseline glucose concentrations after a 2-hour glucose load.			
						Q: Neither trial found that treatment affected depression, nor did either report that men and women differed in their			
<b>D</b> (1	l					response to treatment.			
Pharmacotherapy			<b>N</b> 1/A	<b>N</b> 1/A					
<u>Orlistat</u> : 0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No studies examined health outcomes by subgroups and subgroup analyses could not be conducted.			
<u>Metformin</u>	RCT	M, H: Sparsely reported; not powered	All: N/A	All: Moderate; one in US, neither in primary care, both	M, H, Q: Good	M, H: DPP found no treatment-by-age interactions in hospitalizations or deaths.			
hospitalization (M,		to look for subgroup effects		in self-identified samples	DM: Good–	DM: DPP found that diabetes incidence was lower in			
Type 2 diabetes		DM: Sparsely			Fall	was no difference in incidence in age groups in placebo			
(DM): 1		to look for subgroup				lower BMI or lower fasting glucose. Treatment effects did			
HRQL/depression		enects				not differ according to sex or ethnicity.			
		Q: Sparsely reported				Q: DPP did not find that treatment affected depression, nor did it report that men and women differed in their response to treatment.			

# of Studies	Design	Major limitations	Consistency	Applicability	Overall quality	Summary of findings				
KQ3. Do primary care–relevant interventions (behavioral-based interventions and/or pharmacotherapy) in obese or overweight adults lead to short-term or sustained weight loss, with or without improved physiological measures?										
Behavioral-based i	intervention	s								
Benavioral-based I Weight loss (W): 38 Adiposity (A): 14 Lipids (L): 16 Blood pressure (BP): 22 Glucose tolerance (GT): 12 (7 in populations selected for impaired glucose tolerance or diabetes)	RCT	s All: High variability in design, setting population, and statistical heterogeneity in outcomes Lipids and glucose tolerance somewhat sparsely reported and subject to reporting bias.	All: Moderate	All: Moderate; two thirds conducted in US, but only 4 in US primary care, most in self-identified samples.	All: Fair– Good	<ul> <li>W: Average of 3.0 kg more weight lost in intervention than control groups, ranging from no effect to 8.3 kg greater weight loss in intervention group. Group differences remain in long term, especially for higher-intensity interventions.</li> <li>A: Waist circumference reduced by average of 2.7 cm more in intervention than control groups.</li> <li>L: Little evidence that behavioral treatment improves lipids. Meta-analysis results likely overestimate lipid changes.</li> <li>BP: Average of 2.5/1.9 mmHg greater reduction in blood pressure in intervention than control groups. Reductions frequently maintained beyond 18 months with continued support. Risk of hypertension reduced with behavioral treatment in those with prehypertension; NNT for hypertension was 14 in large, good-quality trial.</li> <li>GT: Average of 5.3 mg/dL greater decline in fasting glucose in intervention than control groups in trials targeting patients with diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance. Little evidence</li> </ul>				
						that behavioral treatment improves glucose in other populations, where meta-analysis results likely overestimate glucose changes				
Pharmacotherapy						gradood dhanged				
Orlistat Weight loss (W): 18 Adiposity (A): 12 Lipids (L): 18 Blood pressure (BP): 14 Glucose tolerance (GT): 14	RCT	All: Most had high attrition; slightly over 60% of trials required successful run-in phase BP: Half could not be included in meta- analysis of SBP, and more than half (8 of 14) could not be included in meta- analysis of DBP	W: Moderate A: Moderate L: Moderate- High BP: Moderate GT: Low- Moderate	All: Low; only 5 conducted in US, only 1 in US primary care, almost all self- identified samples, most trials with run-in phase lost 10-20% of participants before randomization	All: Fair (17) Good (1)	<ul> <li>W: Average of 3.0 kg more weight lost in orlistat than placebo groups. Both groups also received behavioral interventions that were more intensive than would be typically found in primary care. Relative risk of losing 5% or more of initial weight was 1.57 (NNT=5).</li> <li>A: Waist circumference reduced by average of 2.3 cm more among those taking orlistat than those taking placebo.</li> <li>L: Orlistat was associated with greater average declines in total cholesterol (12.6 mg/dL) and LDL (11.4 mg/dL), but also with greater declines in HDL (0.9 mg/dL).</li> <li>BP: Small (2.0/1.3 mm Hg) or no greater reduction in blood pressure in those taking orlistat than those taking placebo.</li> <li>GT: Average of 5.7 mg/dL greater decrease in fasting</li> </ul>				
						glucose in those taking orlistat than those taking placebo, larger effects in studies of those with type 2 diabetes.				

# of Studies	Design	Major limitations	Consistency	Applicability	Overall quality	Summary of findings
Metformin Weight loss (W): 3 Adiposity (A): 2 Lipids (L): 3 Blood pressure (BP): 2 Glucose tolerance (GT): 3	RCT	Few trials total, with very different populations	All: Low– Moderate	All: Low (for general US primary care) Moderate (for patients at risk of diabetes); only 1 conducted in US, all involved selected samples, none conducted in primary care	All: Fair- Good	<ul> <li>W: The good-quality trial of patients with prediabetes showed the largest effects (2.3 kg statistically greater weight loss with metformin), and included only a brief behavioral intervention. A trial of those with high WHR found that those on metformin lost a nonsignificant 1.2 kg more than those on placebo. A small trial of those with PCOS found no difference in weight loss between metformin and placebo.</li> <li>A: In DPP, waist circumference declined by an average of 1.5 cm more in those taking metformin than those taking placebo. A very small trial in women with PCOS did not find a significant difference.</li> <li>L: Metformin did not have favorable effects on total cholesterol, HDL, LDL, or triglycerides. Long-term metformin in DPP had favorable but small (&lt;1mg/dL) effects on HDL.</li> <li>BP: Metformin did not improve blood pressure.</li> <li>GT: In DPP, metformin led to greater reductions in fasting glucose (4.2 mg/dL) compared with placebo (0.6 mg/dL). 2 smaller studies did not find effects of metformin on glucose</li> </ul>
KQ3a. How well is	weight loss	maintained after an inte	rvention is com	pleted?	I	measures.
Behavioral-based i	intervention	s		•		
Maintenance trials (M): 3 Followup 4+ months after treatment ended (F): 6	RCT	M: Few trials F: Few trials, very heterogeneous in terms of study design, outcomes reported, quality, and intensity of interventions.	M: Fair F: Low	M: Moderate; all 3 set in US, using self-identified samples, not connected to primary care F: Low; half conducted in US with self-identified participants and no connection to primary care; only 1 of nonUS trials in primary care	M: Fair– Good F: Fair– Good	<ul> <li>M: Interventions involving 26 or more sessions over 18-24 months improved weight maintenance after weight loss, but no group differences were seen in less intensive programs. Only one of the more intensive trials had a period of at least 6 months of no contact at the end of the maintenance intervention; the others measured outcomes at the end of the maintenance intervention.</li> <li>F: 4 of 6 trials showed continued benefit 4-18 months after treatment ended; intensity of these programs ranged from 5 to 30 contacts.</li> </ul>
Pharmacotherapy		•			•	
Orlistat Maintenance trials (M): 1 Followup 4+ months after treatment ended (F): 0	RCT	M: Few trials	M: N/A	M: Low; maintenance after a very low calorie diet	M: Fair	M: Those randomized to 120 mg tid of orlistat regained less weight than those randomized to placebo. 60 mg tid of orlistat was not as effective. F: No trials examined maintenance of weight loss after treatment with orlistat had ended.
<u>Metformin</u> : 0	RCT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No trials examined maintenance of weight loss after treatment with metformin had ended.

# of Studies	Design	Major limitations	Consistency	Applicability	Overall quality	Summary of findings				
KQ3b. What are co	mmon elem	ents of efficacious interv	ventions?							
Behavioral-based i	ntervention	s			-					
38	RCT	Variability in intervention details reported; most trials were efficacious; many sources of variability besides treatment components that may influence effect size	N/A	All: Moderate; two thirds conducted in US, but only 4 in US primary care; most in self-identified samples.	All: Fair– Good	Number of sessions in first year was the only element consistently related to effect size. No association was found for physical activity sessions, group sessions, individual sessions, technology-based intervention, specific weight loss goals, spouse or family involvement, addressing barriers to weight loss, motivational assessment (i.e., pros and cons of weight loss), self-monitoring, incentives for weight loss or participation, or support after active intervention phase.				
KQ3c. Are there differences in efficacy between patient subgroups?										
Behavioral-based i	ntervention	5								
Age (A): 5 Sex (S): 8 Race (R): 6 Baseline BMI (B): 4 CV risk status (CVRS): 38	RCT	All: Sparsely reported, trials often not powered for subgroup effects CVRS: Individual trials did not perform subgroup analysis; results summarized here are comparisons of results from studies of participants with CVRS with studies of unselected or low-risk participants	A: Moderate S: Low– Moderate R: Low– Moderate B: Moderate CVRS: Moderate	All: Moderate	All except CVRS: Good (most trials reporting subgroup analyses rated good- quality) CVRS: Fair–Good	<ul> <li>A: Good-quality trials found larger improvements in weight, waist circumference, and incident diabetes in older participants; 3 found no age effects on weight.</li> <li>S: Men lost more weight than women in 4 of 5 trials testing effect of sex, but other variables eliminated this effect in 2 trials. No to minimal differences in other intermediate outcomes of blood pressure and lipids.</li> <li>R: Black participants lost less weight than nonblacks in 3 of 4 trials testing effect of race; mixed results for incident hypertension in 2 trials.</li> <li>B: Baseline BMI predicted weight loss in only 1 of 4 trials at 12 months or beyond.</li> <li>CVRS: Weight loss did not vary by CV risk status; effect on glucose appears larger in trials of participants with diabetes or prediabetes; no apparent effect of CV risk status on other</li> </ul>				
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			intermediate health outcomes.				
Pharmacotherapy										
Orlistat Age (A), Sex (S), Race (R), Baseline BMI (B): 0 CV risk status (CVRS): 18	RCT	CVRS: Individual trials did not perform subgroup analysis; results summarized here are comparisons of results from studies of participants with CVRS with studies of unselected or low-risk participants	CVRS: Moderate– High	All: Low; only 5 conducted in US, only 1 in US primary care; almost all self- identified samples; most trials with run-in phase lost 10-20% of participants before randomization	CVRS: Fair (17)–Good (1)	A, S, R, B: No trials examined effects of age, sex, race, or baseline BMI. CVRS: Weight loss did not vary by CV risk status. Greater improvements in glucose seen in trials of patients with diabetes.				
<u>Metformin</u> Age (A), Race (R), Sex (S): 1	RCT	A, R, S: Not powered for subgroup effects.	A, R, S: N/A	All: Low–Moderate; US, self- identified sample, no connection to primary care	All: Good	A: Weight loss and waist circumference reductions greatest in oldest age group (ages 60-85 years). R, S: Treatment effects did not differ by sex or race.				

# of Studies	Design	Major limitations	Consistency	Applicability	Overall quality	Summary of findings
KQ4. What are the	adverse effe	ects of primary care-rele	evant interventio	ons in obese or overweight ad	ults?	
Behavioral-based i	nterventions	5				
Bone mineral density (BMD): 4	RCT	Fair studies: High attrition and/or small	BMD: Low– Moderate	All: Moderate; most conducted in US	All: Good– Fair	BMD: 3 of 4 studies noted a decrease in total or hip bone density with weight loss.
Serious adverse event (SAE): 2		numbers of participants or followup of less than 1	SAE: High			SAE: No serious adverse events reported in any treatment group.
Serious injury (SI):		year	SI: High MI: N/A			SI: No serious injuries reported in any treatment group.
2 Mild musculoskeletal			Eating disorder: N/A			MI: Increase in mild musculoskeletal injuries with supervised exercise program, but did not affect daily activities or work attendance.
Eating disorder						ED: 1 study showed improvement, not worsening, of eating disorder symptoms with behavioral weight loss treatment.
Pharmacotherapy						
Orlistat	RCT (23)	RCT: Most had high	W: Moderate	All: Low; few conducted in	All: Fair	W: More withdrawals in orlistat group than placebo;
Withdrawals (W):	Event monitoring	attrition; many had	AE: Moderate	US, even fewer in US primary care: almost all self-		primarily due to gastrointestinal side effects of orlistat.
23	(1)	required compliance	SAE:	identified samples; most trials with run-in phase lost		AE: More adverse events in orlistat group than placebo; primarily due to gastrointestinal side effects of orlistat.
(AE): 8		requirement	Moderate	10-20% of participants		SAE: No increase in serious adverse events in orlistat
Serious adverse		Event monitoring: Retrospective	V: Moderate	beibre randomization		group.
event (SAE). 15		reporting and low	1			BMD. Data insulicient.
Bone mineral density (BMD): 1		response rate	L: N/A			V: Orlistat most closely associated with lower vitamin E and beta-carotene. Some evidence for lower vitamin A and K.
Vitamin deficiency (V): 5						Orlistat participants required more vitamin supplementation during the study.
Liver injury (L): 1						L: UK monitoring study reported elevated liver tests in 2 cases; no cases of serious hepatic adverse reactions.
Metformin	RCT	High attrition or small	W: High	All: Low (for general US	All: Fair	W: More withdrawals in metformin group than placebo;
Withdrawale (W):		number of participants	AE: Moderate	primary care)		primarily due to gastrointestinal side effects of metformin.
2			SAE: N/A	Moderate (for patients at		AE: More adverse events in metformin group than placebo;
Total adverse events (AE): 2			BMD: N/A	conducted in US, all		SAE: No data.
Sorious advorso				none conducted in primary		PMD: No data
events (SAE): 0				care		Divid. Ino Gala.
Bone mineral density (BMD): 0						

# of Studies	Design	Major limitations	Consistency	Applicability	Overall quality	Summary of findings				
KQ4c. Are there di	fferences in	efficacy between patien	t subgroups?	•						
Behavioral-based interventions										
0 trials examined	RCT	No data	N/A	N/A	N/A					
subgroups										
Pharmacotherapy										
Orlistat CV risk status (CVRS): 23	RCT	Individual trials did not perform subgroup analysis; results summarized here are comparisons of results from studies of participants with CVRS with studies of unselected or low-risk participants	Fair	Low; few conducted in US, even fewer in US primary care; almost all self- identified samples; most trials with run-in phase lost 10-20% of participants before randomization	Fair–Good	Those with CV risk factors were less likely to withdraw due to adverse events or to experience serious adverse events compared with those who were unselected for CV risk factor/at low risk.				
Metformin Gastrointestinal adverse events (GI): 1	RCT	Not powered to examine subgroup effects	N/A	Low–Moderate; US, self- identified nonprimary care samples	Good	GI: Did not differ by age.				

Abbreviations: BMI=body mass index; CV=cardiovascular; DBP=diastolic blood pressure; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; HDL=high-density lipoprotein; HRQL=health-related quality of life; KQ=key question; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; N/A=not applicable; NNT=number needed to treat; NR=not reported; PCOS=polycystic ovary syndrome; RCT=randomized, controlled trial; SF-36=36-item Short-form Health Survey; SBP=systolic blood pressure; tid=three times a day; UK=United Kingdom; US=United States; WHR=waist-to-hip ratio.

### Table 19. Interquartile Range\* of Weight Change in Intervention and Control/Placebo Groups

No or minimal treatment (+ placebo for medication trials)	Behavioral treatment** (+ placebo for medication trials)	No or minimal treatment + medication	Behavioral treatment** + medication
+0.5 to -0.9 kg	0-11 sessions:	()	()
(27 trials)	-1.5 to -4.2 kg (10 trials)		
	12-26 sessions:		
	-3.8 to -6.8 kg (11 trials)		
()	-3.3 to -6.4 kg	()	-5.6 to -9.5 kg
	(12 trials)		(12 trials)
-0.4 to -0.8	-5 kg	-2.0 to -2.7 kg	-4 kg
(2 trials)	(1 trial)	(2 trials)	(1 trial)
	No or minimal treatment (+ placebo for medication trials) +0.5 to -0.9 kg (27 trials) () -0.4 to -0.8 (2 trials)	No or minimal treatment (+ placebo for medication trials)Behavioral treatment** (+ placebo for medication trials)+0.5 to -0.9 kg (27 trials)0-11 sessions: -1.5 to -4.2 kg (10 trials) 12-26 sessions: -3.8 to -6.8 kg (11 trials)(-)-3.3 to -6.4 kg (12 trials)-0.4 to -0.8 (2 trials)-5 kg (1 trial)	No or minimal treatment (+ placebo for medication trials)Behavioral treatment** (+ placebo for medication trials)No or minimal treatment + medication+0.5 to -0.9 kg (27 trials)0-11 sessions: -1.5 to -4.2 kg (10 trials) 12-26 sessions: 

\* Full range provided if fewer than four trials. \*\* Behavioral treatment in medication trials rated as "intense" (i.e., more than could be expected in usual care).



#### **Key Questions**

Key Question 1. Is there direct evidence that primary care screening programs for adult obesity or overweight improve health outcomes or result in short-term (12 months) or sustained (>12 months) weight loss or improved physiological measures (i.e., glucose tolerance, blood pressure, or dyslipidemia)?

1a. How well is weight loss maintained after an intervention is completed?

Key Question 2. Do primary care–relevant interventions (behavioral-based interventions and/or pharmacotherapy) in obese or overweight adults lead to improved health outcomes?

- 2a. What are common elements of efficacious interventions?
- 2b. Are there differences in efficacy between patient subgroups (i.e., ages 65 years or older, sex, race/ethnicity, degree of obesity, or baseline cardiovascular risk status)?

Key Question 3. Do primary care–relevant interventions in obese or overweight adults lead to short-term or sustained weight loss, with or without improved physiological measures?

- 3a. How well is weight loss maintained after an intervention is completed?
- 3b. What are common elements of efficacious interventions?
- 3c. Are there differences in efficacy between patient subgroups (i.e., ages 65 years or older, sex, race/ethnicity, degree of obesity, or baseline cardiovascular risk status)?

Key Question 4. What are the adverse effects of primary care–relevant interventions in obese or overweight adults (e.g., nutritional deficits, cardiovascular disease, bone mass loss, injuries, or death)?

4a. Are there differences in adverse effects between patient subgroups (i.e., ages 65 years or older, sex, race/-ethnicity, degree of obesity, or baseline cardiovascular risk status)?

Study	Risk Stats	IV Sessions	N, mean WMD (95% CI) (SD); Treatmen	N, mean (SD); Control
Behavioral				
Christian 2008	CV Risk	4	-0.71 (-1.87, 0.45) 141,0817 (4.9	<b>6)</b> 132, .631 (4.81)
ter Bogt 2009	CV Risk	5	-1.10 (-1.97, -0.23) 201, -1.8 (4.5)	215,7 (4.5)
Cohen 1991	CV Risk	12	-2.18 (-4.71, 0.35) 15,88 (4)	15, 1.3 (3)
Woollard 2003	CV Risk	12	-1.50 (-3.58, 0.58) 48, .5 (5.54)	53, 2 (5.1)
Langford 1985 (DISH)	CV Risk	18	-3.54 (-4.98, -2.10) 67, -4 (5)	77,46 (3.6)
Burke 2005 (ADAPT)	CV Risk	20	-2.50 (-3.83, -1.17) 106, -3.9 (5.81)	98, -1.4 (3.77)
Mensink 2003	Subclincl	4	-2.90 (-4.43, -1.37) 40, -3.1 (3.79)	48,2 (3.46)
Tuomilehto 2001 (FDPS)	Subclincl	7	-3.40 (-4.18, -2.62) 256, -4.2 (5.1)	250,8 (3.7)
Parikh 2010 (Project HEED)	Subclincl	8	-2.18 (-3.80, -0.56) 35, -3.27 (3.31)	37, -1.09 (3.68)
Kulzer 2009 (PREDIAS)	Subclincl	12	-2.40 (-3.75, -1.05) 91, -3.8 (5.2)	91, -1.4 (4)
DPP 2005	Subclincl	23	► I -6.34 (-6.81, -5.87) 1026, -6.76 (5.4	5) 1027,42 (5.45)
Stevens 1993 (TOHP-I)	Subclincl	23	-3.90 (-4.77, -3.03) 293, -3.83 (6.12	) 235, .07 (4.01)
Stevens 2001 (TOHP-II)	Subclincl	32	-2.70 (-3.48, -1.92) 545, -2 (5.96)	551, .7 (7.19)
Werkman 2010	Unsel/Low	0	-0.24 (-0.89, 0.41) 166, -1.86 (3.08	) 169, -1.62 (3.03)
Haapala 2009	Unsel/Low	0	-3.00 (-5.26, -0.74) 42, -5.4 (6.15)	40, -2.4 (4.12)
Martin 2008	Unsel/Low	6	-1.22 (-2.45, 0.01) 68, -1.38 (3.69)	69,16 (3.63)
Simkin-Silverman 2003 (WHLP)	Unsel/Low	20	-3.31 (-3.99, -2.64) 236, -3.04 (4.27	) 253, .272 (3.17)
Wood 1988	Unsel/Low	23	-7.80 (-9.38, -6.22) 42, -7.2 (3.7)	42, .6 (3.7)
Wood 1991	Unsel/Low	25	-8.30 (-9.98, -6.62) 81, -6.8 (5.8)	79, 1,5 (5)
Fitzgibbon 2010 (ORBIT)	Unsel/Low	116	-2.80 (-4.68, -0.92) 93, -2.3 (7.4)	97, .5 (5.7)
Irwin 2003 (PATH)	Unsel/Low	128	-1.40 (-2.43, -0.37) 87, -1.3 (3.57)	86, .1 (3.31)
Subtotal (I-squared = 94.9%, p =	• 0.000)		-3.01 (-4.02, -2.01) 3679	3664
Orlistat				
Lindgarde 2000	CV Risk	н	-1 30 (-2 43 -0 17) 190 -5 6 (5 2)	186 -4.3 (5.9)
Swinburn 2005	CV Risk	н		169 - 9 (4 2)
Hanefeld 2002	CV Risk	н		180 -34(53)
Miles 2002	CV Risk	н		254 -1 8 (4 78)
Derosa 2003	CV Risk	н		23 -7 6 (3 36)
Derosa 2010	CV Risk	н —		121 -26 (276)
Broom 2002	CV Risk	NR		263 -2 3 (6.4)
Hollander 1998	CV Risk	NR		159 - 431(719)
Krempf 2003	Unsel/Low	н		350 -3 3 (9 35)
Hauptman 2000	Linsel/Low	н		212 - 4 14 (8 15)
Davidson 1999	Linsel/Low	н		212, -4.14 (0.13) 223 -5.81 (10)
Rossner 2000	Unsel/Low	н		223, -5.01 (10)
Subtotal (I-squared = 84.9%, p =	= 0.000)		-3.00 (-1.17, -1.03) 242, -3.4 (0.4)	2377
Matfarmin				
	Subaline	10		7) 1000 40 (5 50)
DFF 2000	Subclinci			1002,42 (5.59)
Combineri 2006	Subolinci			100,8 (5.49)
Gampinen 2006	Subclinci	пі		19, -5 (5.06)
Subtotal (I-squared = 65.3%, p =	0.056)		-1.52 (-2.82, -0.21) 1257	1261
Overall (I-squared = 92.9%, p =	0.000)		-2.85 (-3.52, -2.18) 7749	7302
NOTE: Weights are from random	effects analy	ysis		

**Abbreviations:** ADAPT=Activity, Diet, and Blood Pressure Trial; CI=confidence interval CV=cardiovascular; DISH=Dietary Intervention to Study Hypertension; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; FDPS=Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study; HEED=Help Educate to Eliminate Diabetes; HI=intensive intervention; IV=intervention; LO=brief intervention; N=number; NR=not reported; ORBIT=Obesity Reduction Black Intervention Trial; PATH=Physical Activity for Total Health; PREDIAS=Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program; SD=standard deviation; Subclincl=subclinical; TOHP=Trials of Hypertension Prevention; WHLP=Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project; Unsel=unselected; WMD=weighted mean difference.

# Figure 3. Relative Risk of Participants Losing at Least 5% of Baseline Weight in Intervention Group Compared With Control Group

	Risk	IV			Events,	Events,	%
Study	Stats	Sessions		RR (95% CI)	Treatment	Control	Weight
Behavioral							
Christian 2008	CV Risk	4		2.01 (1.11, 3.61)	30/141	14/132	2.93
Tuomilehto 2001 (FDPS)	Subclincl	7		3.36 (2.36, 4.78)	110/256	32/250	5.26
Parikh 2010 (Project HEED)	Subclincl	8		2.44 (1.05, 5.66)	16/47	6/43	1.70
Martin 2008	Unsel/Low	6	◆ <u> </u>	0.89 (0.34, 2.31)	7/68	8/69	1.37
Silva 2009	Unsel/Low	30		3.18 (2.06, 4.92)	69/106	18/88	4.27
Fitzgibbon 2010 (ORBIT)	Unsel/Low	116	•	1.85 (0.97, 3.52)	22/93	12/94	2.58
Subtotal (I-squared = 47.4%, p	= 0.091)			2.39 (1.72, 3.31)	254/711	90/676	18.10
Orlistat							
Lindgarde 2000	CV Risk	HI	<b> </b> →-	1.33 (1.07, 1.65)	103/190	76/186	7.29
Berne 2004	CV Risk	HI		4.17 (2.36, 7.38)	51/111	12/109	3.05
Hanefeld 2002	CV Risk	HI	<b>→</b>	1.62 (1.26, 2.09)	97/189	57/180	6.68
Miles 2002	CV Risk	HI	-++	1.98 (1.41, 2.78)	78/250	40/254	5.45
Hollander 1998	CV Risk	NR	<b>↓</b>	2.15 (1.55, 2.99)	79/162	36/159	5.60
Richelson 2007	Subclincl	HI	+	1.18 (1.05, 1.33)	130/153	112/156	8.71
Torgerson 2004	Subclincl	HI	•	1.61 (1.52, 1.72)	1194/1640	738/1637	9.29
Krempf 2003	Unsel/Low	HI	<b>→</b>	1.42 (1.20, 1.68)	170/258	102/220	8.05
Hauptman 2000	Unsel/Low	н		1.65 (1.29, 2.10)	106/210	65/212	6.88
Davidson 1999	Unsel/Low	н	- <b>⊷</b> ¦	1.51 (1.29, 1.77)	432/657	97/223	8.16
Sjostrom 1998	Unsel/Low	NR	+	1.39 (1.23, 1.59)	235/343	167/340	8.57
Finer 2000	Unsel/Low	NR		1.62 (1.04, 2.53)	38/110	23/108	4.16
Broom 2002	CV Risk	NR		(Excluded)	0/259	0/263	0.00
Subtotal (I-squared = 76.2%, p	= 0.000)			1.57 (1.40, 1.75)	2713/4532	1525/4047	81.90
Overall (I-squared = 79.4%, p =	= 0.000)			1.72 (1.53, 1.94)	2967/5243	1615/4723	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from rando	m effects analy	vsis					
				1			
		.135	1 7.3	38			

**Abbreviations:** CI=confidence interval; CV=cardiovascular; FDPS=Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study; HEED=Help Educate to Eliminate Diabetes; HI=intensive intervention; IV=intervention; LO=brief intervention; N=number; NR=not reported; ORBIT= ORBIT=Obesity Reduction Black Intervention Trial; RR=relative risk; SD=standard deviation; Subclincl=subclinical; Unsel=unselected.

# Figure 4. Relative Risk of Participants Losing at Least 10% of Baseline Weight in Intervention Group Compared With Control Group

	Risk	IV			Events,	Events,	%
Study	Stats	Sessions		RR (95% CI)	Treatment	Control	Weight
			1 1				
Behavioral							
Silva 2009	Unsel/Low	30		4.70 (2.07, 10.69)	34/106	6/88	3.82
Subtotal (I-squared	= .%, p = .)			4.70 (2.07, 10.69)	34/106	6/88	3.82
Orlistat							
Lindgarde 2000	CV Risk	н	┼╾┥	1.31 (0.83, 2.06)	36/190	27/186	8.86
Berne 2004	CV Risk	н		4.91 (1.46, 16.48)	15/111	3/109	1.94
Miles 2002	CV Risk	Н		3.56 (1.80, 7.02)	35/250	10/254	5.14
Hollander 1998	CV Risk	NR		2.03 (1.12, 3.70)	29/162	14/159	6.21
Torgerson 2004	Subclincl	н	<b>↓</b>	1.97 (1.77, 2.20)	672/1640	340/1637	19.94
Krempf 2003	Unsel/Low	Н	<b> </b> ←-	1.34 (1.00, 1.79)	85/258	54/220	13.68
Hauptman 2000	Unsel/Low	н	-+	2.52 (1.64, 3.89)	60/210	24/212	9.41
Rossner 2000	Unsel/Low	Н	│	2.02 (1.49, 2.75)	93/242	45/237	13.08
Sjostrom 1998	Unsel/Low	NR		2.20 (1.69, 2.86)	133/343	60/340	14.55
Finer 2000	Unsel/Low	NR	<b>│</b>	2.95 (1.22, 7.14)	18/110	6/108	3.37
Broom 2002	CV Risk	NR		(Excluded)	0/259	0/263	0.00
Subtotal (I-squared	= 49.2%, p = 0.0	038)	$\diamond$	1.99 (1.69, 2.35)	1176/3775	583/3725	96.18
Overall (I-squared =	54.9%, p = 0.0	14)	•	2.07 (1.74, 2.47)	1210/3881	589/3813	100.00
NOTE: Weights are f	from random eff	ects analysis					
		.0607	1 16	.5			

**Abbreviations:** CI=confidence interval; CV=cardiovascular; HI=intensive intervention; IV=intervention; LO=brief intervention; N=number; NR=not reported; RR=relative risk; SD=standard deviation; Subclincl=subclinical; Unsel=unselected.

# Figure 5. Difference Between Intervention and Control Groups in Change in Waist Circumference (cm) at 12 to 18 Months

	Risk	IV				N, mean	N, mean
Study	Stats	Sessions			WMD (95% CI)	(SD); Treatment	(SD); Control
Behavioral			1				
Christian 2008	CV Risk	4	<u>+</u>	+	-1.22 (-2.83, 0.39)	141, -1.76 (7.04)	132,54 (6.5)
ter Bogt 2009	CV Risk	5	<b>⊢</b>		-1.20 (-2.46, 0.06)	201, -2.4 (7.1)	215, -1.2 (5.9)
Burke 2005 (ADAPT)	CV Risk	20	<b>+</b> _		-3.10 (-4.30, -1.90)	106, -5 (4.36)	98, -1.9 (4.4)
Mensink 2003	Subclincl	4	<b>—</b>		-2.60 (-4.26, -0.94)	40, -3.8 (3.79)	48, -1.2 (4.16)
Tuomilehto 2001 (FDPS)	Subclincl	7	<b>→</b> +		-3.10 (-3.97, -2.23)	256, -4.4 (5.2)	250, -1.3 (4.8)
Parikh 2010 (Project HEED)	Subclincl	8	• ·	-	-3.60 (-7.13, -0.07)	35, -3.3 (6.6)	37, .3 (8.6)
Kulzer 2009 (PREDIAS)	Subclincl	12			-3.70 (-5.47, -1.93)	91, -4.1 (6)	91,4 (6.2)
DPP 2005	Subclincl	23	<b>←</b>		-5.67 (-6.20, -5.14)	1026, -6.36 (6.09)	1027,69 (6.09)
Mitsui 2008	Subclincl	24	<u>+</u>		-3.70 (-5.47, -1.93)	22, -2.9 (2.69)	21, .8 (3.2)
Werkman 2010	Unsel/Low	0	! -	●	-0.42 (-1.10, 0.26)	166, -2.32 (3.24)	169, -1.9 (3.06)
Haapala 2009	Unsel/Low	0			-3.90 (-6.06, -1.74)	42, -7.2 (5.11)	40, -3.3 (4.86)
Irwin 2003 (PATH)	Unsel/Low	128	!+-	4	-1.10 (-2.30, 0.10)	87, -1 (4.05)	86, .1 (4.02)
Subtotal (I-squared = 93.8%, p	= 0.000)		$\Rightarrow$		-2.74 (-4.08, -1.40)	2213	2214
Orlistat			i				
Swinburn 2005	CV Risk	ні			-3.20 (-4.43, -1.97)	170, -5.1 (7)	169, -1.9 (4.2)
Berne 2004	CV Risk	ні			-2.00 (-3.07, -0.93)	111, -5 (4)	109, -3 (4.12)
Hanefeld 2002	CV Risk	ні	_ <b>-</b>		-2.50 (-3.61, -1.39)	189, -5.5 (5.3)	180, -3 (5.6)
Derosa 2003	CV Risk	ні	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	┝┿┿	-0.60 (-2.71, 1.51)	25, -3 (5)	23, -2.4 (1.92)
Derosa 2010	CV Risk	ні	→ ¦		-5.00 (-5.80, -4.20)	113, -7 (3.55)	121, -2 (2.58)
Hollander 1998	CV Risk	NR			-2.80 (-4.18, -1.42)	162, -4.8 (6.36)	159, -2 (6.3)
Krempf 2003	Unsel/Low	HI		<b>↓ ↓ ↓</b>	1.20 (-0.88, 3.28)	346, -5.3 (13)	350, -6.5 (15)
Subtotal (I-squared = 87.7%, p	= 0.000)		$\Leftrightarrow$		-2.29 (-3.65, -0.93)	1116	1111
Metformin							
DPP 2005	Subclincl	LO	i 🔶		-1.54 (-2.07, -1.01)	1073, -2.23 (6.22)	1082,69 (6.25)
Gambineri 2006	Subclincl	HI	<u> </u>	<b></b>	-1.00 (-3.81, 1.81)	20, -5 (4.47)	19, -4 (4.47)
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p =	= 0.711)		$\diamond$		-1.52 (-2.04, -1.00)	1093	1101
Overall (I-squared = 92.2%, p =	= 0.000)		¢		-2.45 (-3.32, -1.57)	4422	4426
NOTE: Weights are from rando	m effects analy	vsis	1				
			І -3	I I 0 3			

**Abbreviations:** ADAPT=Activity, Diet, and Blood Pressure Trial; CI=confidence interval; CV=cardiovascular; DISH=Dietary Intervention to Study Hypertension; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; FDPS=Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study; HEED=Help Educate to Eliminate Diabetes; HI=intensive intervention; IV=intervention; LO=brief intervention; N=number; NR=not reported; PATH=Physical Activity for Total Health; PREDIAS=Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program; SD=standard deviation; Subclincl=subclinical; TOHP=Trials of Hypertension Prevention; Unsel=unselected; WHLP=Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project; WMD=weighted mean difference.

### Figure 6. Difference Between Intervention and Control Groups in Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)

	Risk	IV				N, mean	N, mean
Study	Stats	Sessions			WMD (95% CI)	(SD); Treatment	(SD); Control
Behavioral				i			
Christian 2008	CV Risk	4		<u>+</u>	-11.91 (-22.58, -1.24)	141, -15.8 (44.8)	132, -3.93 (45.2)
ter Bogt 2009	CV Risk	5		; <b></b> ♦ <u> </u> _	-2.32 (-7.73, 3.10)	201, -3.09 (27.4)	215,772 (29)
Mensink 2003	Subclincl	4		<u>+</u>	-7.72 (-18.38, 2.94)	40, 0 (24.3)	48, 7.72 (26.6)
Tuomilehto 2001 (FDPS)	Subclincl	7		¦ <b>→</b>	-1.00 (-5.88, 3.88)	256, -5 (28)	250, -4 (28)
Kulzer 2009 (PREDIAS)	Subclincl	12		••	-8.30 (-18.62, 2.02)	91, -10.3 (35.9)	91, -2 (35.1)
Mitsui 2008	Subclincl	24		•	-10.70 (-27.82, 6.42)	22, -4.8 (30.9)	21, 5.9 (26.3)
Simkin-Silverman 2003 (WHLP)	Unsel/Low	20	_	┿──	-9.40 (-13.86, -4.94)	236, -1.6 (25.3)	253, 7.8 (25)
Wood 1988	Unsel/Low	23		<b></b>	-5.02 (-15.04, 5.00)	42, -13.9 (21.6)	42, -8.88 (25.1)
Wood 1991	Unsel/Low	25		<b>┝</b> ──	-9.27 (-16.91, -1.63)	81, -12.7 (27.4)	79, -3.47 (21.6)
Irwin 2003 (PATH)	Unsel/Low	128		<b>i →</b>	1.80 (-9.78, 13.38)	87, -5.5 (38.4)	86, -7.3 (39.3)
Subtotal (I-squared = 26.1%, p = 0.	203)				-5.75 (-8.62, -2.88)	1197	1217
Orlistat				1			
Lindgarde 2000	CV Risk	н	-	<b>⊹</b>	-5.79 (-12.23, 0.65)	190, -9.27 (32)	186, -3.47 (31.7)
Swinburn 2005	CV Risk	н	_	<b>→</b>	-9.27 (-15.06, -3.47)	170, -3.09 (28.2)	169, 6.18 (26.3)
Berne 2004	CV Risk	н		<u>+</u>	-13.13 (-23.91, -2.34)	111, -9.27 (38.6)	109, 3.86 (42.9)
Hanefeld 2002	CV Risk	HI		╎╼╾┥	-4.10 (-8.07, -0.13)	189, -2.3 (16.3)	180, 1.8 (22)
Miles 2002	CV Risk	н		÷	-12.74 (-19.17, -6.31)	250, -10.4 (35)	254, 2.32 (38.6)
Derosa 2003	CV Risk	н		┼╸┼╴	-7.00 (-18.82, 4.82)	25, -39 (20.6)	23, -32 (21.2)
Derosa 2010	CV Risk	ні 🛏	►	i	-29.00 (-34.00, -24.00)	113, -34 (20.5)	121, -5 (18.4)
Hollander 1998	CV Risk	NR	<b>—</b>	1	-18.15 (-24.09, -12.21)	162, -3.09 (24.7)	159, 15.1 (29.3)
Hauptman 2000	Unsel/Low	н		÷	-13.13 (-20.56, -5.70)	210, -1.54 (42.5)	212, 11.6 (35)
Rossner 2000	Unsel/Low	н		<u>→</u>	-11.58 (-18.31, -4.85)	242, -13.5 (34.8)	237, -1.93 (40.1)
Sjostrom 1998	Unsel/Low	NR	-+	÷	-11.97 (-15.65, -8.29)	343, -3.09 (24.6)	340, 8.88 (24.5)
Finer 2000	Unsel/Low	NR		<del>.</del>	-13.51 (-20.90, -6.13)	110, -1.93 (29.3)	108, 11.6 (26.3)
Subtotal (I-squared = 84.1%, p = 0.	000)		<	>	-12.58 (-16.97, -8.20)	2115	2098
Metformin				1			
Fontbonne 1996	Subclincl	LO	_	i 🖌	-6.18 (-13.16, 0.80)	164, 1.93 (32.8)	160, 8.11 (31.3)
Subtotal (I-squared = .%, p = .)			<		-6.18 (-13.16, 0.80)	164	160
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Overall (I-squared = 78.9%, p = 0.0	00)		<	≎	-9.73 (-12.79, -6.67)	3476	3475
NOTE: Weights are from random eff	ects analysis						
				-101			

**Abbreviations:** CI=confidence interval; CV=cardiovascular; FDPS=Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study; HI=intensive intervention; IV=intervention; LO=brief intervention; N=number; NR=not reported; PATH=Physical Activity for Total Health; PREDIAS=Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program; SD=standard deviation; Subclincl=subclinical; Unsel=unselected; WHLP=Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project; WMD=weighted mean difference.

# Figure 7. Difference Between Intervention and Control Groups in Change in Low-Density Lipoprotein (mg/dL)

Study	Risk Stats	IV Sessions	WMD	9 (95% CI)	N, mean (SD); Treatment	N, mean (SD); Control
Pohovioral		1				
Christian 2008	CV/ Pick	4	10.8	1 ( 10.05 1.67)	141 146 (39 5)	132 3 81 (38 5)
ter Bogt 2009	CV Risk		-10.8	(-5 29 4 51)	201 2 32 (25 5)	215 2 7 (25 5)
Mensink 2003	Subclind	4		(-3.23, 4.31)	40 386 (19 7)	48 6 18 (16 2)
Parikh 2010 (Project HEED)	Subclind		-5.73	(-10.80, 0.80)	35 -1 (35)	37 4 (29)
Simkin-Silverman 2003 (WHI P)		20	-5.00	(-10.86 -2.94)	236 -4 2 (21 0)	$253 \ 27 \ (228)$
Wood 1988	Linsel/Low	23	-3.86	(-14.68.6.96)	42 -12 (24 7)	42 -8 11 (25 9)
Wood 1991	Linsel/Low	25	-6.18	(-13.03.0.67)	81 -10 8 (24 3)	79 -4 63 (197)
Invin 2003 (PATH)	Linsel/Low		-0.30	(-11.41 10.81)	87 -5 7 (35 1)	86 -5 4 (39 3)
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0% n =	0 458)		-0.30	(-7.32 -2.56)	863	892
oubiotal (1-3qualed - 0.070, p -	0.400)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.54	(-7.52, -2.50)	000	032
Orlistat						
Lindgarde 2000	CV Risk	ні	-6.95	(-15 16 1 26)	190 -9 65 (43 2)	186 -27 (378)
Swinburn 2005	CV Risk		-8 88	(-14 10 -3 66)	170 -4 63 (25 1)	169 4 25 (23.9)
Berne 2004	CV Risk		-3.47	(-13.22, 6.27)	111, -3.09 (37,1)	109386 (36.7)
Hanefeld 2002	CV Risk	н	-7.10	(-13.39, -0.81)	1892 (26.7)	180, 5,1 (34,3)
Miles 2002	CV Risk		-7.72	(-14.15, -1.29)	250, -9.65 (35)	2541.93 (38.6)
Derosa 2003	CV Risk		-16.00	0 (-26 94 -5 06)	25 -37 (19)	23 -21 (19.6)
Derosa 2010	CV Risk	н ст	-25.00	0 (-28.12, -21.88)	11327 (12.7)	1212 (11.5)
Hollander 1998	CV Risk		-13.5	1 (-19.457.57)	1625.02 (24.7)	159, 8,49 (29,3)
Hauptman 2000	Unsel/Low		-14.29	9 (-21.177.40)	2104.63 (38.5)	212, 9.65 (33.5)
Rossner 2000	Unsel/Low		-10.4	2 (-16.27, -4.58)	24212.7 (30.2)	2372.32 (34.9)
Siostrom 1998	Unsel/Low	NR -	-8.49	(-11.54, -5.44)	3433.47 (20.5)	340, 5.02 (20,1)
Finer 2000	Unsel/Low	NR	-12.36	6 (-18.32, -6.39)	1104.25 (24.3)	108. 8.11 (20.5)
Subtotal (I-squared = 86.3%, p =	= 0.000)		-11.3	7 (-15.75, -7.00)	2115	2098
	,			(		
Metformin		i.				
Fontbonne 1996	Subclincl	LO +	-4.63	(-10.65, 1.38)	164772 (29)	160, 3,86 (26,3)
Gambineri 2006	Subclincl	ні — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	-6.00	(-25.43, 13.43)	20, -14 (33.8)	19, -8 (28)
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p =	0.895)		-4.75	(-10.50, 0.99)	184	179
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,					
Overall (I-squared = 83.2%, p =	0.000)	$\diamond$	-8.73	(-12.00, -5.46)	3162	3169
NOTE: Weights are from random	effects anal	lysis				
		-10 -5	)			

**Abbreviations:** CI=confidence interval; CV=cardiovascular; HEED=Help Educate to Eliminate Diabetes; HI=intensive intervention; IV=intervention; LO=brief intervention; N=number; NR=not reported; PATH=Physical Activity for Total Health; SD=standard deviation; Subclincl=subclinical; Unsel=unselected; WHLP=Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project; WMD=weighted mean difference.

### Figure 8. Difference Between Intervention and Control Groups in Change in High-Density Lipoprotein (mg/dL)

	Risk	IV			N, mean	N, mean
Study	Stats	Sessions		WMD (95% CI)	(SD); Treatment	(SD); Control
Behavioral						
Christian 2008	CV Risk	4	_ <u>_</u>	-1.99 (-5.44, 1.46)	141,43 (17.1)	132, 1.56 (11.6)
ter Bogt 2009	CV Risk	5	<b></b>	0.00 (-1.48, 1.48)	201, -3.47 (7.72)	215, -3.47 (7.72)
Mensink 2003	Subclincl	4 —	<b>→</b>	-0.39 (-2.57, 1.80)	40, -1.54 (5.02)	48, -1.16 (5.41)
Tuomilehto 2001 (FDPS)	Subclincl	7	<b>↓</b>	1.00 (-0.14, 2.14)	256, 2 (7)	250, 1 (6)
Kulzer 2009 (PREDIAS)	Subclincl	12	-∔∙	0.90 (-1.50, 3.30)	91, -1.3 (6.9)	91, -2.2 (9.4)
Mitsui 2008	Subclincl	24	<b>→</b>	1.50 (-4.98, 7.98)	22, 2.8 (10.3)	21, 1.3 (11.3)
Simkin-Silverman 2003 (WHLP)	Unsel/Low	20		-1.90 (-4.01, 0.21)	236, 1 (11.8)	253, 2.9 (12)
Wood 1988	Unsel/Low	23		5.41 (3.14, 7.67)	42, 4.63 (6.18)	42,772 (4.25)
Wood 1991	Unsel/Low	25	! <b>→</b>	5.02 (2.68, 7.35)	81, 3.09 (7.34)	79, -1.93 (7.72)
Irwin 2003 (PATH)	Unsel/Low	128	<b>→</b>	1.50 (-2.39, 5.39)	87, .3 (12.6)	86, -1.2 (13.5)
Subtotal (I-squared = 77.2%, p =	= 0.000)		$\diamond$	1.10 (-0.39, 2.60)	1197	1217
Orlistat						
Lindgarde 2000	CV Risk	ні —	<b>◆</b>	-0.77 (-2.41, 0.87)	190, 0 (8.49)	186, .772 (7.72)
Swinburn 2005	CV Risk	ні —		-1.54 (-3.07, -0.02)	170, 1.54 (6.95)	169, 3.09 (7.34)
Berne 2004	CV Risk	ні —	• i	-3.09 (-5.16, -1.02)	111,386 (6.56)	109, 2.7 (8.88)
Hanefeld 2002	CV Risk	ні 🗕 🔶		-5.80 (-10.38, -1.22)	189, .6 (20)	180, 6.4 (24.5)
Miles 2002	CV Risk	ні —	<b>-</b>	-0.39 (-2.43, 1.66)	250, 3.47 (11.7)	254, 3.86 (11.7)
Derosa 2003	CV Risk	ні –		0.00 (-1.96, 1.96)	25, 1 (3.79)	23, 1 (3.11)
Derosa 2010	CV Risk	HI	<b>!</b> →	2.00 (0.16, 3.84)	113, 1 (7.17)	121, -1 (7.17)
Hollander 1998	CV Risk	NR –	<b>♦</b> ¦	-0.77 (-1.87, 0.33)	162, 2.32 (5.02)	159, 3.09 (5.02)
Hauptman 2000	Unsel/Low	ні —		-1.93 (-4.31, 0.44)	210, 2.32 (14)	212, 4.25 (10.6)
Rossner 2000	Unsel/Low	ні —	-!	-2.70 (-4.86, -0.54)	242, 3.09 (11)	237, 5.79 (13)
Sjostrom 1998	Unsel/Low	NR	+	0.00 (-1.02, 1.02)	343, 3.86 (6.96)	340, 3.86 (6.59)
Finer 2000	Unsel/Low	NR	<b>.</b>	-0.39 (-2.64, 1.87)	110, 5.79 (8.88)	108, 6.18 (8.11)
Subtotal (I-squared = 58.0%, p =	= 0.006)	<		-0.92 (-1.72, -0.12)	2115	2098
Metformin			1			
Fontbonne 1996	Subclincl	LO		-1.93 (-5.04, 1.18)	164, 1.93 (15.1)	160, 3.86 (13.5)
Gambineri 2006	Subclincl	н	•	-1.00 (-6.90, 4.90)	20, 5 (8.72)	19, 6 (10)
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p =	0.785)	<	>	-1.73 (-4.48, 1.03)	184	179
Overall (I-squared = 72.7%, p =	0.000)		9	-0.21 (-1.01, 0.59)	3496	3494
NOTE: Weights are from random	effects analy	ysis				
		-	101			

**Abbreviations:** CI=confidence interval; CV=cardiovascular; FDPS=Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study; HI=intensive intervention; IV=intervention; LO=brief intervention; N=number; NR=not reported; PATH=Physical Activity for Total Health; PREDIAS=Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program; SD=standard deviation; Subclincl=subclinical; Unsel=unselected; WHLP=Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project; WMD=weighted mean difference.
#### Figure 9. Difference Between Intervention and Control Groups in Change in Triglycerides (mg/dL)

Study	Risk Stats	IV Sessions			WMD (95% CI)		N, mean (SD); Treatment	N, mean (SD); Control
Behavioral								
Christian 2008	CV Risk	4			-4.12 (-26.99. 1	8.75)	14113.6 (97.1)	132, -9,48 (95,7)
Mensink 2003	Subclincl	4	_	<b>↓</b>	-7 72 (-18 02 2	58)	40 - 386 (19.7)	48 7 34 (29 3)
Tuomilehto 2001 (EDPS)	Subclincl	7			-17 00 (-26 71	-7 29)	256 -18 (51)	250 -1 (60)
Kulzer 2009 (PREDIAS)	Subclincl		•	+	-33.10 (-67.95.	1.75)	9135.6 (137)	91, -2.5 (100)
Simkin-Silverman 2003 (WHLP)	Unsel/Low	20		<u>+</u>	-5.00 (-12.36, 2	.36)	236, 2.4 (37,8)	253. 7.4 (45.1)
Wood 1988	Unsel/Low	23			-13.51 (-24.46.	-2.57)	4210.4 (27.8)	42, 3.09 (23.2)
Wood 1991	Unsel/Low	25	-+	_i	-15.44 (-22.27.	-8.62)	819.27 (23.2)	79. 6.18 (20.8)
Irwin 2003 (PATH)	Unsel/Low	128		<b>↓</b>	-0.50 (-19.21, 1	8.21)	874 (56.4)	86, -3,5 (68,5)
Subtotal (I-squared = 25.0%, p =	= 0.230)		<	×	-11.09 (-15.65,	-6.53)	974	981
Orlistat								
Lindgarde 2000	CV Risk	н		¦∔⊷	4.25 (-3.95, 12	44)	190, -1.54 (44.8)	186, -5.79 (35.9)
Swinburn 2005	CV Risk	н		. <b></b>	2.70 (-2.68, 8.0	8)	170, .386 (28.2)	169, -2.32 (22)
Berne 2004	CV Risk	н		-++	-3.09 (-22.14, 1	5.97)	111, -4.63 (40.9)	109, -1.54 (93.1)
Miles 2002	CV Risk	н	_	, I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	-10.81 (-22.33,	0.71)	250, -9.65 (64.1)	254, 1.16 (67.8)
Derosa 2003	CV Risk	н		+1	-16.00 (-29.76,	-2.24)	25, -35 (26.8)	23, -19 (21.8)
Derosa 2010	CV Risk	HI	<b>—</b>		-26.00 (-35.73,	-16.27)	113, -37 (40.1)	121, -11 (35.5)
Hollander 1998	CV Risk	NR	_	<b>┽</b> ┥	-8.49 (-16.54, -	0.45)	162,386 (34.4)	159, 8.11 (39)
Hauptman 2000	Unsel/Low	н		. <b> </b>	6.18 (-0.15, 12.	51)	210, 2.32 (24.3)	212, -3.86 (40.2)
Rossner 2000	Unsel/Low	н		<b>¦</b> ∔-	-0.39 (-6.25, 5.4	48)	242, -3.47 (34.5)	237, -3.09 (31)
Sjostrom 1998	Unsel/Low	NR		<b>.</b> ←	-5.02 (-9.69, -0	.35)	343, -2.7 (31.3)	340, 2.32 (31)
Subtotal (I-squared = 80.1%, p =	= 0.000)				-4.85 (-10.38, 0	.67)	1816	1810
•				Ĩ				
Metformin								
Fontbonne 1996	Subclincl	LO		! <b>∔</b> ⊷	4.63 (-2.07, 11.	33)	164, 3.86 (29)	160,772 (32.4)
Gambineri 2006	Subclincl	н —	•	<u>+</u> +	-24.00 (-59.43,	11.43)	20, -25 (51.9)	19, -1 (60.4)
Subtotal (I-squared = 58.7%, p =	= 0.120)		<		-4.18 (-30.09, 2	1.72)	184	179
Overall (I-squared = 75.6%, p =	0.000)			$\diamond$	-6.61 (-10.79, -	2.43)	2974	2970
NOTE: Weights are from random	effects analy	/sis						
			20.1					

**Abbreviations:** CI=confidence interval; CV=cardiovascular; FDPS=Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study; HI=intensive intervention; IV=intervention; LO=brief intervention; N=number; NR=not reported; PATH=Physical Activity for Total Health; PREDIAS=Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program; SD=standard deviation; Subclincl=subclinical; Unsel=unselected; WHLP=Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project; WMD=weighted mean difference.

# Figure 10. Difference Between Intervention and Control Groups in Change in Systolic Blood Pressure (mm Hg)

Study	Risk Stats	IV Sessions			WMD (95% CI)	N, mean (SD); Treatment	N, mean (SD); Control
Behavioral							
Christian 2008	CV Risk	4	<u></u>	<b>—</b>	2.11 (-2.78, 7.00)	141, -2.55 (20.4)	132, -4.66 (20.8)
ter Bogt 2009	CV Risk	5	+		-3.20 (-6.45, 0.05)	201, -6.9 (18.6)	215, -3.7 (14.9)
Burke 2005 (ADAPT)	CV Risk	20		-	-2.00 (-5.10, 1.10)	106, 2 (11.4)	98, 4 (11.1)
Anderssen 1995 (ODES)	CV Risk	159	<b>—</b>		-5.40 (-9.41, -1.39)	65, -5.9 (9.1)	43,5 (11.2)
Tuomilehto 2001 (FDPS)	Subclincl	7			-4.00 (-6.53, -1.47)	256, -5 (14)	250, -1 (15)
Parikh 2010 (Project HEED)	Subclincl	8		<b>—</b>	6.00 (-0.97, 12.97)	35, -1 (13)	37, -7 (17)
Kulzer 2009 (PREDIAS)	Subclincl	12	+	-	-3.60 (-8.81, 1.61)	91, -4.6 (19.1)	91, -1 (16.7)
DPP 2005	Subclincl	23	÷.		-2.50 (-3.61, -1.39)	1026, -3.4 (12.8)	1027,9 (12.8)
Stevens 1993 (TOHP-I)	Subclincl	23	+		-2.30 (-3.69, -0.91)	293, -5.4 (8.56)	235, -3.1 (7.66)
Mitsui 2008	Subclincl	24		_	-8.80 (-19.60, 2.00)	22, -10 (21.6)	21, -1.2 (13.9)
Stevens 2001 (TOHP-II)	Subclincl	32	÷		-1.80 (-2.70, -0.90)	533, -3.6 (7.9)	525, -1.8 (7)
Werkman 2010	Unsel/Low	0	- <b>+</b> -		-1.91 (-4.32, 0.50)	166, -6.5 (9.93)	169, -4.59 (12.4)
Simkin-Silverman 2003 (WHLP)	Unsel/Low	20	-+-		-2.20 (-4.62, 0.22)	236, -2.7 (13.7)	253,5 (13.5)
Wood 1991	Unsel/Low	25	<b>—</b>		-4.50 (-6.84, -2.16)	81, -4.5 (8)	79, 0 (7.1)
Subtotal (I-squared = 32.8%, p =	0.112)		0		-2.48 (-3.25, -1.71)	3252	3175
Orlistat			i.				
Lindgarde 2000	CV Risk	н	_ <b>_</b> +•		-0.80 (-4.18, 2.58)	190, -4.9 (17.7)	186, -4.1 (15.7)
Swinburn 2005	CV Risk	н	-+ <u>+</u>		-3.54 (-6.49, -0.59)	170, -4.05 (13)	169,51 (14.7)
Miles 2002	CV Risk	н		-	-1.80 (-4.48, 0.88)	250, -2.1 (15.3)	254,3 (15.4)
Derosa 2003	CV Risk	н	-+-		-2.00 (-4.26, 0.26)	25, -6 (3.2)	23, -4 (4.59)
Hauptman 2000	Unsel/Low	н	+	_	-1.00 (-3.96, 1.96)	210, 2 (15.5)	212, 3 (15.5)
Davidson 1999	Unsel/Low	н		-	-1.80 (-4.46, 0.86)	657,8 (15.3)	223, 1 (18.3)
Rossner 2000	Unsel/Low	н	_ <b>_</b> +	_	-0.80 (-3.96, 2.36)	242, -2.7 (16.5)	237, -1.9 (18.6)
Sjostrom 1998	Unsel/Low	NR			-3.00 (-4.95, -1.05)	343, -2 (12.9)	340, 1 (13)
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p =	0.828)		٥		-2.04 (-2.97, -1.11)	2087	1644
Metformin			i i				
DPP 2005	Subclincl	LO		•	-0.01 (-0.89, 0.87)	1017,91 (12.8)	1027,9 (6.41)
Fontbonne 1996	Subclincl	LO	÷	←	1.00 (-2.84, 4.84)	164,88 (18)	160, -1.88 (17.3)
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p =	0.615)		i	>	0.04 (-0.81, 0.89)	1181	1187
			1				
Overall (I-squared = 49.0%, p =	0.004)		<b>\$</b>		-2.01 (-2.68, -1.34)	6520	6006
NOTE: Weights are from random	effects analy	sis					
			-10 -5 0				

**Abbreviations:** ADAPT=Activity, Diet, and Blood Pressure Trial; CI=confidence interval; CV=cardiovascular; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; FDPS=Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study; HEED=Help Educate to Eliminate Diabetes; HI=intensive intervention; IV=intervention; LO=brief intervention; N=number; NR=not reported; ODES=Oslo Diet and Exercise Study; PREDIAS=Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program; SD=standard deviation; Subclincl=subclinical; TOHP=Trials of Hypertension Prevention; Unsel=unselected; WHLP=Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project; WMD=weighted mean difference.

# Figure 11. Difference Between Intervention and Control Groups in Change in Diastolic Blood Pressure (mm Hg)

Study	Risk Stats	IV Sessions	WMD (95% CI)	N, mean (SD); Treatment	N, mean (SD); Control
Behavioral					
Christian 2008	CV Risk	4	-0.06 (-3.08, 2.96)	141, -2.6 (13.8)	132, -2.54 (11.6)
ter Bogt 2009	CV Risk	5 🗕	-0.90 (-2.70, 0.90)	201, -1.4 (10.4)	215,5 (8.1)
Burke 2005 (ADAPT)	CV Risk	20	-2.00 (-5.25, 1.25)	106, 0 (12)	98, 2 (11.7)
Anderssen 1995 (ODES)	CV Risk	159	-4.50 (-7.61, -1.39)	65, -5.2 (7.4)	43,7 (8.5)
Tuomilehto 2001 (FDPS)	Subclincl	7	-2.00 (-3.57, -0.43)	256, -5 (9)	250, -3 (9)
Parikh 2010 (Project HEED)	Subclincl	8 1	2.00 (-1.94, 5.94)	35, -2 (9)	37, -4 (8)
Kulzer 2009 (PREDIAS)	Subclincl	12	-2.30 (-5.83, 1.23)	91, -4.4 (11.7)	91, -2.1 (12.6)
DPP 2005	Subclincl	23 +	-2.71 (-3.26, -2.16)	1026, -3.6 (6.41)	1027,89 (6.41)
Stevens 1993 (TOHP-I)	Subclincl	23	-2.00 (-3.11, -0.89)	293, -5.8 (6.85)	235, -3.8 (6.13)
Mitsui 2008	Subclincl	24	-4.30 (-12.12, 3.52)	22, -6.7 (13.8)	21, -2.4 (12.3)
Stevens 2001 (TOHP-II)	Subclincl	32	-1.30 (-2.02, -0.58)	533, -4.5 (6.1)	525, -3.2 (5.8)
Werkman 2010	Unsel/Low	0	-1.24 (-2.72, 0.24)	166, -4.03 (6.62)	169, -2.79 (7.23)
Simkin-Silverman 2003 (WHLP)	Unsel/Low	20 🔟	-0.60 (-2.21, 1.01)	236, 1.4 (8.82)	253, 2 (9.32)
Wood 1991	Unsel/Low	25	-4.90 (-6.50, -3.30)	81, -3.4 (5.1)	79, 1.5 (5.2)
Subtotal (I-squared = 64.0%, p =	0.001)	<b>O</b>	-1.92 (-2.65, -1.19)	3252	3175
Orlistat					
Lindgarde 2000	CV Risk	HI I-	• 0.40 (-1.43, 2.23)	190, -2.5 (8.9)	186, -2.9 (9.2)
Swinburn 2005	CV Risk	ні 🛶	-1.59 (-3.36, 0.18)	170, -2.96 (8.01)	169, -1.37 (8.59)
Derosa 2003	CV Risk	ні —	-2.00 (-3.90, -0.10)	25, -4 (3.75)	23, -2 (2.93)
Hauptman 2000	Unsel/Low	ні —	-3.00 (-6.11, 0.11)	210, -1 (16.3)	212, 2 (16.3)
Davidson 1999	Unsel/Low	ні —	-2.30 (-4.23, -0.37)	657, -1 (11.6)	223, 1.3 (13.1)
Rossner 2000	Unsel/Low	ні н	0.40 (-1.64, 2.44)	242,9 (11)	237, -1.3 (11.7)
Sjostrom 1998	Unsel/Low	NR	-2.30 (-3.59, -1.01)	343, -2.1 (8.64)	340, .2 (8.6)
Subtotal (I-squared = 44.3%, p =	0.096)	\$	-1.44 (-2.39, -0.48)	1837	1390
Metformin					
DPP 2005	Subclincl	LO	-0.37 (-0.92, 0.18)	1017, -1.26 (6.38)	1027,89 (6.41)
Fontbonne 1996	Subclincl	LO	• 0.61 (-2.16, 3.38)	164,89 (11.6)	160, -1.5 (13.7)
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p =	0.496)		-0.33 (-0.88, 0.21)	1181	1187
Overall (I-squared = 71.0%, p =	0.000)	<b>\</b>	-1.57 (-2.17, -0.97)	6270	5752
NOTE: Weights are from random	effects analy	sis			

**Abbreviations:** ADAPT=Activity, Diet, and Blood Pressure Trial; CI=confidence interval; CV=cardiovascular; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; FDPS=Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study; HEED=Help Educate to Eliminate Diabetes; HI=intensive intervention; IV=intervention; LO=brief intervention; N=number; NR=not reported; ODES=Oslo Diet and Exercise Study; PREDIAS=Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program; SD=standard deviation; Subclincl=subclinical; TOHP=Trials of Hypertension Prevention; Unsel=unselected; WHLP=Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project; WMD=weighted mean difference.

# Figure 12. Difference Between Intervention and Control Groups in Change in Plasma Glucose (mg/dL)

Study	Risk Stats	IV Sessions					WMD (95% CI)	N, mean (SD); Treatment	N, mean (SD); Control
							· · ·	· · //	
Behavioral					-i				
ter Bogt 2009	CV Risk	5					0.36 (-1.82, 2.54)	201, -1.08 (10.8)	215, -1.44 (11.9)
Mensink 2003	Subclincl	4		-	++		-3.60 (-8.58, 1.37)	40, -1.8 (11.4)	48, 1.8 (12.4)
Tuomilehto 2001 (FDPS)	Subclincl	7			+		-5.00 (-7.09, -2.91)	256, -4 (12)	250, 1 (12)
Parikh 2010 (Project HEED)	Subclincl	8			┿╇		-1.00 (-6.58, 4.58)	35, 10 (13)	37, 11 (11)
Kulzer 2009 (PREDIAS)	Subclincl	12		_	● <u>↓</u>		-6.10 (-9.65, -2.55)	91, -4.3 (11.3)	91, 1.8 (13.1)
DPP 2005	Subclincl	23			•¦		-5.57 (-6.57, -4.57)	1026, -4.94 (11.5)	1027, .63 (11.5)
Mitsui 2008	Subclincl	24			♦ <del>!</del>		-6.10 (-13.26, 1.06)	22, -5.2 (10.8)	21, .9 (13)
Simkin-Silverman 2003 (WHLP)	Unsel/Low	20			•		-1.50 (-2.90, -0.10)	236, 1.3 (7.71)	253, 2.8 (8.09)
Subtotal (I-squared = 82.8%, p =	= 0.000)				$\Diamond$		-3.44 (-5.49, -1.39)	1907	1942
Orlistat					1				
Lindgarde 2000	CV Risk	ні			<del>-</del>		-8.29 (-13.52, -3.06)	190, -9.91 (29.7)	186, -1.62 (21.4)
Swinburn 2005	CV Risk	н			<u> </u>		-8.65 (-13.57, -3.72)	170, -3.42 (20.4)	169, 5.23 (25.6)
Hanefeld 2002	CV Risk	ні -		•	-¦		-16.22 (-26.81, -5.62)	189, -28.8 (45)	180, -12.6 (57.7)
Miles 2002	CV Risk	ні —	•				-23.43 (-33.42, -13.44)	250, -36 (56.9)	254, -12.6 (57.5)
Derosa 2010	CV Risk	ні			┼╋┼		-2.00 (-5.20, 1.20)	113, -15 (12.9)	121, -13 (12)
Hollander 1998	CV Risk	NR			<u> </u>		-10.09 (-17.33, -2.85)	162,36 (32.1)	159, 9.73 (34.1)
Hauptman 2000	Unsel/Low	ні					-1.44 (-3.23, 0.34)	210, .541 (9.35)	212, 1.98 (9.35)
Rossner 2000	Unsel/Low	ні			<b>i</b> e∔		-1.62 (-4.20, 0.95)	242, .18 (12.7)	237, 1.8 (15.8)
Sjostrom 1998	Unsel/Low	NR			+		-2.70 (-4.33, -1.07)	343, -3.78 (10.9)	340, -1.08 (10.8)
Subtotal (I-squared = 79.6%, p =	= 0.000)			<	<b>&gt;</b>		-5.67 (-8.30, -3.04)	1869	1858
Metformin									
DPP 2005	Subclincl	LO			•		-4.81 (-5.81, -3.81)	1073, -4.18 (11.8)	1082, .63 (11.8)
Fontbonne 1996	Subclincl	LO		-	<b></b>		-3.60 (-7.75, 0.55)	164, 3.6 (20.5)	160, 7.21 (17.5)
Gambineri 2006	Subclincl	ні			<b>↓↓</b>		0.00 (-5.41, 5.41)	20, -1 (8.05)	19, -1 (9.12)
Subtotal (I-squared = 36.9%, p =	= 0.205)				$\diamond$		-3.88 (-6.13, -1.64)	1257	1261
Overall (I-squared = 78.5%, p =	0.000)				<b></b>		-4.00 (-5.28, -2.72)	5033	5061
NOTE: Weights are from random	effects anal	ysis							
			<b> </b> -20	<b> </b> -10	 0				

**Abbreviations:** CI=confidence interval; CV=cardiovascular; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; FDPS=Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study; HEED=Help Educate to Eliminate Diabetes; HI=intensive intervention; IV=intervention; LO=brief intervention; N=number; NR=not reported; PREDIAS=Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program; SD=standard deviation; Subclincl=subclinical; Unsel=unselected; WHLP=Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project; WMD=weighted mean difference.

	Risk	Daily	Weeks						Events,	Events,	%
Study	Group	Dose	Duration					RR (95% CI)	Treatmen	t Control	Weight
					11						
Orlistat											
Broom 2002	CV Risk	360	24		ł			1.03 (0.91, 1.17)	63/71	61/71	11.61
Hanefeld 2002	2 CV Risk	360	48		ł			1.02 (0.95, 1.09)	174/195	165/188	12.93
Muls 2001	CV Risk	360	48		÷			1.23 (1.07, 1.42)	118/147	96/147	11.12
Bakris 2002	CV Risk	360	52		<b> </b>			1.24 (0.96, 1.62)	89/278	71/276	7.64
Berne 2004	CV Risk	360	52					1.09 (0.98, 1.21)	100/111	90/109	12.14
Swiburn 2005	CV Risk	360	52		┥			1.01 (0.96, 1.07)	161/170	158/169	13.27
Van Gaal 199	8Unsel/Lo	w360	24		l <b>-</b>			1.20 (1.04, 1.39)	101/122	86/125	11.10
Sjostrom 1998	3 Unsel/Lo	w360	52		ŧ.			1.15 (1.08, 1.22)	322/345	279/343	13.19
Subtotal (I-sq	uared = 70	D.8%, p	e = 0.001)		(			1.10 (1.03, 1.17)	1128/143	<b>9</b> 1006/142	893.01
					li –						
Metformin											
Trolle 2007	Subclincl	1700	26		ľ		$\rightarrow$	12.59 (3.82, 41.4	1 <b>22)</b> 9/29	2/31	0.80
Fontbonne 19	9 <b>6</b> ubclincl	1700	52		→	-		2.23 (1.61, 3.09)	88/227	40/230	6.19
Subtotal (I-sq	uared = 87	7.6%, p	e = 0.004)		$\leftarrow$	>	-	4.83 (0.84, 27.63	3)117/256	42/261	6.99
					li –						
Overall (I-squ	ared = 89.	5%, p	= 0.000)		0			1.18 (1.06, 1.32)	1245/169	51048/168	9100.00
	to oro fr	- rond -	m offecte enclusio								
NOTE: weigh	its are from	i rando	in ellects analysis		li –						
				.25 .5	1 2	4					

## Figure 14. Relative Risk of Study Withdrawal Due to Adverse Effects

Study	Risk Group	Daily Dose	Weeks Duration			RR (95%	CI)	Events, Treatment	Events, Control	% Weight
Orlistat					1		-			
Broom 2002	CV Risk	360	24		•	2 20 (0.8	1 6 0 1)	11/71	5/71	3 50
Hanefeld 2002	CV Risk	360	48		ie -	2 57 (0.6	9 54)	8/195	3/188	2 4 1
Muls 2001	CV Risk	360	48			3 00 (0.9	9,0.01)	12/147	4/147	3.07
Bakris 2002	CV Risk	360	52		4	0.89 (0.4	3. 1.65)	18/278	20/276	5.83
Berne 2004	CV Risk	360	52	-	<u> </u>	1.23 (0.3	1. 4.45)	5/111	4/109	2.48
Broom 2002	CV Risk	360	52	ł	┿-	1.83 (0.8	9. 3.73)	20/265	11/266	5.10
Derosa 2003	CV Risk	360	52		<b>↓</b>	1.70 (0.1	6. 17.60	)2/27	1/23	0.92
Derosa 2010	CV Risk	360	52	ŀ	<b>↓</b>	3.30 (1.1	1, 9.85)	13/126	4/128	3.13
Hollander 1998	3CV Risk	360	52	-+-	i i	0.51 (0.2	5, 0.99)	12/163	23/159	5.47
Kelley 2002	CV Risk	360	52	-+-	1	0.60 (0.3	1, 1.16)	13/274	22/276	5.46
Lindgarde 200	0CV Risk	360	52	+	┿	1.96 (0.6	3, 5.62)	10/190	5/186	3.29
Miles 2002	CV Risk	360	52	ŀ		2.13 (1.1)	), 4.15)	25/255	12/261	5.45
Swinburn 2005	5 CV Risk	360	52	+	←	1.49 (0.6	3, 3.56)	12/170	8/169	4.17
Richelson 2007	7Subclincl	360	156	-+	÷	1.02 (0.3	9, 2.65)	8/153	8/156	3.73
Torgerson 200	4Subclincl	360	208		<b>+</b>	2.01 (1.5	), 2.67)	132/1650	66/1655	8.45
Van Gaal 1998	Unsel/Lov	w360	24		+	0.68 (0.1)	2, 4.02)	2/122	3/125	1.49
Davidson 1999	Unsel/Lov	<i>w</i> 360	52	· · · · · ·		2.27 (1.1	5, 4.50)	61/668	9/224	5.33
Finer 2000	Unsel/Lov	<i>w</i> 360	52	-	┝┝	1.29 (0.5	), 3.33)	9/114	7/114	3.74
Hill 1999	Unsel/Lov	<i>w</i> 360	52		<b>→</b>	5.61 (2.2	1, 14.25	)27/181	5/188	3.84
Sjostrom 1998	Unsel/Lov	<i>w</i> 360	52		++	2.54 (1.1	9, 5.41)	23/345	9/343	4.84
Krempf 2003	Unsel/Lov	<i>w</i> 360	78	ŀ		2.02 (1.0	3, 3.98)	24/346	12/350	5.37
Hauptman 200	Wnsel/Lov	<i>w</i> 360	104	+	÷	1.55 (0.8	3, 2.88)	23/210	15/212	5.77
Rossner 2000	Unsel/Lov	<i>w</i> 360	104		<b>∔</b> ♣—	3.15 (1.2	3, 7.76)	19/244	6/243	4.00
Subtotal (I-squ	uared = 50	.5%, p	= 0.003)		Ŷ	1.67 (1.3	2, 2.13)	489/6305	262/5869	96.87
					1					
Mettormin	Outs all a al	4700	00		i.		7 400 0	40.00	0/04	0.50
Trolle 2007	Subclinci	1700	26			- 5.33 (0.2	(, 106.6	14/29	0/31	0.58
Fontbonne 199		1700	52	ſ	i i	3.72 (1.0	0, 13.14	0/00	3/230	2.55
Gampineri 200		1700	52		$\sim$	(Excluded	1) > 40 57	0/20	0/20	0.00
Subioial (I-squ	area = 0.0	J‰, p =	0.027)		$\sim$	3.92 (1.2	o, 12.57	)13/2/0	3/201	3.13
Overall (I-squa	ared = 48.4	4%, p =	0.004)		<b>¢</b>	1.72 (1.3	6, 2.17)	502/6581	265/6150	0 100.00
NOTE: Weight	s are from	randon	n effects and	alysis	 					
				П						
				.25.5 1	24					

	Risk	Daily	Weeks				Events,	Events,	%
Study	Group	Dose	Duration			RR (95% CI)	Treatment	Control	Weigh
Orlistat				1					
Broom 2002	CV Risk	360	24			0.40 (0.13, 1.22)	4/71	10/71	5.79
Bakris 2002	CV Risk	360	52		-	0.93 (0.46, 1.88)	14/278	15/276	9.67
Broom 2002	CV Risk	360	52	_ <b>•</b>  -		0.77 (0.38, 1.55)	13/265	17/266	9.77
Lindgarde 2000	CV Risk	360	52	ŀ	<b></b>	3.72 (1.42, 9.76)	19/190	5/186	6.95
Swiburn 2005	CV Risk	360	52	-+•	-	1.33 (0.65, 2.72)	16/170	12/169	9.57
Richelson 2007	Subclincl	360	156	_ <b>→</b> [		0.66 (0.38, 1.13)	18/153	28/156	11.87
Torgerson 2004	Subclincl	360	208	÷		1.16 (0.98, 1.37)	248/1650	215/1655	17.10
Van Gaal 1998	Unsel/Low	360	24	į.	<b>—</b>	6.15 (1.40, 26.90)	12/122	2/125	3.82
Sjostrom 1998	Unsel/Low	360	52	-	-	1.04 (0.60, 1.78)	25/345	24/343	12.00
Krempf 2003	Unsel/Low	360	78			1.26 (0.34, 4.67)	5/346	4/350	4.60
Rossner 2000	Unsel/Low	360	104	į.	<b></b>	3.11 (1.43, 6.76)	25/244	8/243	8.87
Derosa 2003	CV Risk	360	52			(Excluded)	0/27	0/23	0.00
Derosa 2010	CV Risk	360	52			(Excluded)	0/126	0/128	0.00
Subtotal (I-squa	ared = 62.3%	%, p = 0	.003)	¢	>	1.21 (0.88, 1.68)	399/3987	340/3991	100.00
Metformin									
Gambineri 2006	Subclincl	1700	52			(Excluded)			0.00
Subtotal (I-squa	ared = .%, p	= .)				. (., .)	0/20	0/20	0.00
Overall (I-squar	red = 62.3%	, p = 0.	003)	¢	>	1.21 (0.88, 1.68)	399/4007	340/4011	100.00
NOTE: Weights	are from rai	ndom e	ffects analysis	6					
				25 5 1	24				

## Figure 16. Relative Risk of Experiencing Gastrointestinal Adverse Effects in Orlistat Trials

Study	Risk Group	Daily Dose	Weeks Duration		RR (95% CI)	Events, Treatment	Events, Control	% Weight
Orlistat								
Broom 2002	CV Risk	360	24		1.90 (1.41, 2.55)	57/71	30/71	3.03
Hanefeld 2002	CV Risk	360	48	<b>¦</b> ←	1.66 (1.39, 1.98)	148/195	86/188	4.98
Bakris 2002	CV Risk	360	52	+-	1.66 (1.42, 1.95)	194/278	116/276	5.31
Berne 2004	CV Risk	360	52		2.11 (1.69, 2.62)	103/111	48/109	4.18
Broom 2002	CV Risk	360	52	-	1.34 (1.15, 1.57)	167/265	125/266	5.33
Hollander 1998	CV Risk	360	52	-	1.34 (1.15, 1.56)	129/163	94/159	5.46
Kelley 2002	CV Risk	360	52		1.29 (1.16, 1.44)	219/274	171/276	6.31
Lindgarde 2000	CV Risk	360	52	-	2.04 (1.68, 2.47)	152/190	73/186	4.64
Miles 2002	CV Risk	360	52	-	1.35 (1.20, 1.51)	207/255	157/261	6.21
Swiburn 2005	CV Risk	360	52	-	1.36 (1.19, 1.57)	140/170	102/169	5.68
Richelson 2007	Subclincl	360	156	<del> </del>	1.40 (1.23, 1.61)	135/153	98/156	5.82
Torgerson 2004	Subclincl	360	208	•	1.40 (1.35, 1.46)	1502/1650	1076/1655	7.45
Van Gaal 1998	Unsel/Low	360	24		1.50 (1.20, 1.87)	85/122	58/125	4.11
Davidson 1999	Unsel/Low	360	52	+	1.34 (1.19, 1.51)	528/668	132/224	6.19
Finer 2000	Unsel/Low	360	52	+ ¦	1.00 (0.89, 1.13)	94/114	94/114	6.11
Hill 1999	Unsel/Low	360	52	↓	1.40 (1.26, 1.55)	172/181	128/188	6.44
Krempf 2003	Unsel/Low	360	78	•	1.19 (1.10, 1.29)	298/346	253/350	6.92
Hauptman 2000	Unsel/Low	360	104	+	1.34 (1.17, 1.53)	166/210	125/212	5.86
Subtotal (I-squa	red = 81.5%,	p = 0.00	0)	•	1.42 (1.33, 1.52)	4496/5416	2966/4985	100.00
. Overall (I-squared = 81.5%, p = 0.000)							100.00	
NOTE: Weights a	are from rand	om effe	ts analysis		1			
			.25 .	5 1 2	4			

# **Study Selection**

Two investigators independently reviewed all abstracts and articles against inclusion and exclusion criteria. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus. Articles excluded for not meeting inclusion criteria or for poor quality are listed in Appendix D Tables 1–4. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are detailed in Appendix B Table 1, and are summarized here.

**Study design.** We included only English-language, randomized or controlled clinical trials evaluating the effectiveness and safety of weight loss interventions in adults. Large cohort studies or case-control studies reporting serious adverse effects related to weight loss interventions were included to assess harms only (key question [KQ] 4 only). All trials had to include a true control group that received no intervention. More specifically, an acceptable control group could not receive a personalized intervention, at-home workbook materials, advice more frequently than annually, or participate in frequent weigh-ins (less than every 3 months). A healthy lifestyle message was considered too similar to weight loss messages for attention control groups.

**Population and setting.** We included trials conducted among adults (ages  $\geq 18$  years) who were obese or overweight. Populations must either have been unselected, selected for low cardiovascular disease risk, or selected for increased risk for specified conditions (cardiovascular disease, hypertension, dyslipidemia, or type 2 diabetes). Trials limited to participants with cardiovascular disease were not included, though trials could include some participants with cardiovascular disease. We included trials conducted in settings generalizable to U.S. primary care, feasible for conducting in primary care, feasible for referral from primary care, or conducted in commercial settings (e.g., Weight Watchers). We excluded trials conducted in hospitals, institutionalized settings, school-based programs, occupational settings, churches, and other settings deemed not generalizable to primary care, such as those with existing social networks among participants or the ability to offer intervention elements that could not be replicated in a health care setting.

**Intervention.** We included only interventions focusing on weight loss, including behavioralbased, pharmacological (orlistat and metformin), or a combination of both. We excluded behavioral interventions that did not focus primarily on weight or that did not report weightrelated outcomes, surgical interventions, primary prevention programs that did not involve a weight loss goal for all participants, and trials focusing on pharmacological agents other than orlistat or metformin.

**Outcomes.** We included multiple health outcomes: decreased morbidity from diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, cancer, arthritis, asthma, and sleep apnea; improved depression; improved emotional function (scores on emotional subscales of quality of life instruments); physical fitness capacity or performance (not behavioral); physical functioning (scores on physical subscales of quality of life measures); disability (global measures of disability, such as activities of daily living); and mortality. Intermediate outcomes included a reduction of weight or adiposity (a required outcome). Acceptable measures included weight, relative weight, total adiposity measures, or change in any of these measures. Other intermediate outcomes included weight maintenance after an intervention has ended and metabolic consequences (e.g., glucose

tolerance, blood pressure, dyslipidemia). Adverse outcomes included serious treatment-related harms at any time point after an intervention began (e.g., death, medical issue requiring hospitalization or urgent medical treatment) or other treatment-related harms reported in trials. Outcomes reported more than 12 months after the start of the intervention were included. Trials of treatment-related harms had no minimum followup requirement.

# **Data Extraction and Quality Assessment**

Two independent investigators dual-reviewed 5,869 abstracts and 623 articles (Appendix A Figure 1) for inclusion and critically appraised all included articles using design-specific criteria (Appendix B Table 2) and USPSTF methods.<sup>125</sup> The USPSTF has defined a three-category quality rating of "good," "fair," and "poor" based on specific criteria. Discrepancies in quality ratings were resolved by consultation with a third investigator. All studies rated as poor quality were excluded from the review.

Briefly, for KQs 1–3, we assessed the validity of the randomization and measurement procedures, attrition, similarities between the groups in baseline characteristics and attrition, intervention fidelity, and statistical methods. Among other things, good-quality trials blinded staff members to the participants' treatment assignments (or future treatment assignment) if they performed tasks related to assessment or randomization, had followup data on 90 percent or more of participants, reported group-specific followup with less than 10 percentage points difference between groups, and described important details related to the measurement of anthropomorphic measures, such as how participants were dressed, what type of scale was used, how they determined where to measure waist circumference, or how many times blood pressure measures were taken and how they were combined. Trials were rated as "poor" if attrition in the treatment and control groups differed by more than 20 percentage points or if overall attrition was higher than 40 percent, or had other important flaws. If a study was conducted for more than 12 months, only data from time points with adequate followup were included. For example, if the study's attrition met our standards at 12 months but not at 24 months, only 12-month data was abstracted. However, we made an exception to this rule for outcomes that were reported as cumulative incidence. For example, we did not abstract 24-month weight or blood pressure data from a study that had low attrition at 24 months; however, we did abstract the incidence of diabetes during the entire study period if it was reported as cumulative incidence and the attrition at 12 months was not higher than our quality criteria.<sup>202</sup> All trials meeting quality criteria for KQs 1-3 were also examined for KQ 4 outcomes.

In addition, we developed separate quality assessment procedures for trials that were not included for KQs 1–3 (either due to quality issues or other inclusion criteria) but reported harms outcomes, so some trials that were excluded from KQs 1–3 for poor quality were included for KQ 4. The quality rating of KQ 4-only studies focused specifically on the assessment and analysis of harms (and not other outcomes). In addition, we did not have minimum attrition standards, both because harms of treatment could appear at any time after treatment began and because we were concerned that if medications had high rates of adverse events, attrition could be very high, and only a very selected sample would be evaluated for harms if we maintained the same attrition standards. We only examined harms outcomes that were cumulative (i.e., percent withdrawing from the trial due to adverse effects, percent experiencing any serious adverse

effect, percent experiencing any adverse effect, and percent experiencing any gastrointestinal adverse effects) in these trials that did not meet the attrition standards of KQs 1–3. Because we had different standards for KQ 4 that focused only on factors specifically related to the assessment of harms, we did not distinguish between "good" and "fair" trials, but simply rated them as "acceptable" or "poor." A poor-quality study was one that had a fatal flaw that made the harms data of questionable validity.

One investigator abstracted data from included studies into evidence tables and a second investigator reviewed abstracted data for accuracy. We abstracted prespecified study details into evidence tables that included the following items: study design; setting (location, target population, recruitment strategy); population characteristics (study inclusion and exclusion criteria, participant age, sex, race/ethnicity, and socioeconomic status, as defined by income or education); baseline health status (body mass index; percent with diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia); intervention characteristics (aim/theory, intervention/control description, duration, incentives, and who administered the intervention); outcomes; and adverse events. Relevant outcomes for abstraction included anthropomorphic measures (weight/relative weight, central adiposity, overall adiposity), intermediate outcomes (lipids, glucose tolerance, blood pressure), and health outcomes (depression, decreased morbidity, physical fitness capacity, mortality). Complete evidence tables are included in Appendix C Tables 1–3.

For KQs 1–3, this review included 140 articles representing 61 unique trials, 27 of which were conducted in the United States.

In addition to evaluating the studies from KQs 1–3 for harms, we abstracted harms data from 25 additional weight loss studies (table of harms data studies not in main analysis). These studies were not included in KQs 1–3 for various reasons, including poor quality, short duration (<12 months), or not a qualified methodology (not a controlled trial). For KQ 4, this review included 167 articles representing 85 unique trials.

# **Data Synthesis and Analysis**

We separately synthesized evidence for trials of weight loss medications and trials of behavioralbased interventions. Behavioral and medication trials were combined in a single forest plot for each outcome, but results were pooled separately for the behavioral trials, and each medication was synthesized separately given their different mechanisms of action. Within each intervention type, trials were grouped according to the risk status of the study samples, and then ordered by the intensity of the behavioral interventions within each risk status. We grouped the trials according to risk status as follows: 1) trials limited to people with known risk factors related to cardiovascular disease (operationalized as hypertension, diabetes, or dyslipidemia and termed "CV risk" trials); 2) trials limited to those with elevated risk but without known disease (prehypertension, impaired glucose tolerance or elevated fasting glucose, borderline high total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein, or triglyceride levels, low high-density lipoprotein levels, or abdominal obesity; termed "subclinical" trials); and 3) trials that either did not limit samples on the basis of cardiovascular risk or that excluded people with the risk factors described above (termed "unselected/low risk" trials). We captured the intensity of the behavioral interventions differently in behavioral-based and medication trials. For behavioral-based interventions, we usually had enough detail to estimate the number of sessions offered in the first year of the intervention, and used this continuous variable as our indicator of intensity in the forest plots. Medication trials typically provided limited detail about the behavioral interventions they offered as adjuncts to medication management, but we were able to identify two levels of intensity: brief intervention only, comparable with what might be offered in primary care (labeled "LO" in the forest plots and referred to as "brief" in the text), and more intensive than would likely be offered in primary care (labeled "HI" in the forest plots and referred to as "intensive" in the text). Trials that had insufficient detail to determine intensity were labeled "NR" (not reported) in the forest plots. The "brief" interventions did not require participants to attend a specific session on diet. These three studies offered handouts and regular visits with a physician while subjects received the medication. The "intensive" counseling interventions generally involved regular (generally four to 12 sessions over 12 months) contact with a dietitian or counselor, most often with monthly medication monitoring and weigh-ins. Only one of the trials with 12 or more sessions explicitly reported discussing behavioral management principles with participants, but most of the trials with only four sessions did report providing some instruction in behavior management principles. Thus, although 12 sessions is considerably more than four, we did not feel that the 12session interventions could necessarily be described as more intensive than the four-session interventions that included behavioral management, so we decided to group them together under the label "intensive" (or "HI" in the forest plots).

We conducted random effects meta-analyses to estimate the effect size of weight loss interventions on intermediate health outcomes (adiposity, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, high- and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, and glucose). For continuous outcomes, we analyzed change in outcome from baseline. Risk ratios were analyzed for dichotomous outcomes. Absolute risk difference was also estimated through meta-analysis in many cases so the number needed to treat could be calculated. We selected a single intervention arm for trials that included multiple active treatment arms and calculated change from baseline and standard deviations based on the information provided in the individual articles if they were not provided. We converted measurements into common units using standard conversion factors, which are provided below.

We assessed the presence of statistical heterogeneity among studies using standard chi-square tests and the magnitude of heterogeneity was estimated using the  $I^2$  statistic.<sup>139</sup> We considered an  $I^2$  of <50 percent to represent low heterogeneity, 50 to 75 percent to represent moderate heterogeneity, and >75 percent to indicate high heterogeneity among studies. Tests of publication bias on whether the distribution of the effect sizes was symmetric with respect to the precision measure were performed using funnel plots and Egger's linear regression method,<sup>140</sup> when the number of studies was about 10 or more.<sup>141</sup>

Meta-regression was used to explore heterogeneity in effect sizes among the KQs 1–3 trials. Due to concerns about type I errors, we limited most exploration of heterogeneity to a single outcome of weight loss. Some factors were explored for the entire body of trials, combining behavioral and all three medication types. Some factors were run separately for the medication trials only

and the behavioral trials only. Continuous variables were left as continuous variables, and categorical variables were converted to one or more dummy variables.

A prominent source of clinical heterogeneity was population risk status. Thus, we created two dummy variables, using the unselected/low-risk category as the reference group, and included these variables in all meta-regression models. All regression models involving the full set of KQs 1–3 trials also included a variable to indicate whether the trial was a medication or behavioral-based intervention trial.

Another factor we explored was the participant identification approach. Trials that identified specific potentially eligible patients prior to recruitment and used individual outreach and screening for recruitment (referred to as "study-identified") were contrasted with trials that used broad-based media approaches that required potential participants to contact study staff in order to be screened for study eligibility (referred to as "self-identified"). Trials that did not report enough detail to determine recruitment approach were assumed to be self-identified. Additional factors explored for the entire combined body of literature were: percent of participants retained at 12 to 18 months, whether the trials focused on weight maintenance as opposed to weight loss, whether primary care was the setting for either recruitment or the intervention, whether the trial was set in the United States, study quality rating (on a subjective scale of 1–4, where 1=barely acceptable and 4=good), and selected patient-level characteristics (average age, percent female, percent nonwhite, and baseline body mass index).

For behavioral trials, we also examined the number of sessions in the first year and, in separate models, the presence of each of the following intervention components: supervised physical activity sessions, group sessions, individual sessions, technology-based assessment or intervention, specific weight loss goal, spouse or family involvement, barriers to weight loss addressed, pros and cons of weight loss or similar motivational assessment, self-monitoring expected, use of incentives for weight loss or intervention participation, and support for weight loss or lifestyle maintenance after active intervention phase. The variables examined in the combined medication and behavioral trials were also examined separately in the behavioral subgroup. Number of sessions in the first year and patient risk status were included in all models.

Additional variables were explored for the medication and behavioral trials separately. For medication trials, we also examined the percent of participants that were retained after a run-in phase (scored as 100 if there was no run-in phase, and dropped from the analysis if a run-in phase was present but we could not determine the percent who dropped out), the specific type of medication, and whether the behavioral intervention was more intensive than would be delivered in primary care (see intensity definitions described above). The variables explored for the entire group of trials listed above were also examined separately in the medication trials. All meta-regression of the medication trials controlled for medication type and population risk status. All analyses were performed using Stata 10.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

**Meta-analysis decisions.** Meta-analysis involves a number of decisions and calculations, and this document details the main decision rules we developed for data abstraction and analysis, and formulas used to calculate missing statistics.

#### **Appendix A. Detailed Methods**

*Selecting intervention arm.* For trials with multiple intervention arms, we selected the intervention that was most similar to other interventions included in the meta-analysis, if applicable (e.g., most orlistat trials used 120 mg daily dosage, so if a trial included treatment arms using 120 mg and another amount, we selected the arm that used 120 mg), or the most intensive arm. In one case, one treatment arm was diet-only and one arm was exercise-only, and we used the diet-only arm.

*Selecting number of participants.* If the study did not report some kind of data substitution for missing followup data (e.g., last observation carried forward) or an analysis that used all observations (e.g., random effects models, general estimating equations), then we used the number of participants with followup in each group, if available. If not available, we used the number of participants randomized. If the trial did report data substitution or analysis techniques such those described above, then we used the number randomized in each group, if they were not given specifically for each analysis. For adverse events (KQ 4), when only a proportion and not a number was provided, we assumed the denominator to be the total number randomized.

*Baseline values.* If a trial reported values at run-in (prior to randomization) and at randomization (post-run-in), we used the baseline values at randomization. If a trial only reported change from before run-in, we calculated changes from that point but did not enter standard deviations.

*Followup time*. If a study had a 12-month followup, we used that in the meta-analysis. If a trial did not have a 12-month followup, we accepted outcomes with up to 18 months of followup, preferentially selecting the closest to12 months if multiple followup times were reported.

For weight maintenance trials (and those with a weight loss requirement during run-in), we considered baseline to be the beginning of the weight maintenance phase (randomization, for those trials with weight loss run-in). For calculating the number of sessions, we counted the number of sessions in the weight maintenance phase only. For estimating followup time, we counted time to followup from the end of the weight-loss phase for the outcome of weight loss. When entering 5% or 10% weight loss in maintenance trials, we accepted whatever was reported by the trial, which in all cases was counted from the beginning of the initial weight-loss phase.

*Calculations*. If a trial reported results separately for subgroups, we combined the subgroup scores to calculate a single overall score for each intervention and control group participants. We used the following formulas to calculate combined means and standard deviations:<sup>292</sup>

$$Mean_{combined} = N_1 M_1 + N_2 M_2 / N_1 + N_2$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{(N_1 - 1) SD_1^2 + (N_2 - 1) SD_2^2 + \frac{N_1 N_2}{N_1 + N_2} (M_1^2 + M_2^2 - 2M_1 M_2)}{N_1 + N_2 - 1}}$$

We used standard calculations to convert standard errors and 95% confidence intervals to standard deviations:

$$\begin{aligned} SD_{mean} &= SE_{mean} * sqrt(n) \text{ or} \\ SD_{mean} &= (CI_{upper} - CI_{Lower}) * sqrt(n) / 3.29 \end{aligned}$$

Screening/Management of Obesity in Adults

If only baseline and followup values were reported, we calculated the crude mean change by subtracting the baseline mean from the followup mean for each group, and estimated the standard deviation using the following formula:

$$SD_{change} = Sqrt(SD_{base}^2 + SD_{post}^2 - 2 * SD_{base} * SD_{post} * r_{base,post})$$

In order to use this formula, we estimated the correlation between baseline and followup for each outcome. To do this, we examined studies that reported mean change as well as baseline and followup means, and used the formula above to determine the correlations in their samples. These studies were quite variable in the resulting correlations, the time of followup, the quality of the study, and the number of estimates we were able to find. Because of this variability, both in quality of the estimate and the absolute value of the correlations, we grouped like outcomes and used what we believed to be reasonable, somewhat conservative (lower) values for that set of outcomes. The final correlations used are listed in Table 1.

**Other analyses.** When summary means were calculated for groups of trials (such as average age among all behavioral trials), mean values were weighted by the number of participants randomized in the relevant treatment arms of the trial.

Outcome	Control Group Correlation	Intervention Group Correlation
Weight	0.95	0.9
Waist circumference	0.9	0.9
Total cholesterol	0.55	0.55
High-density lipoprotein	0.55	0.55
Low-density lipoprotein	0.55	0.55
Triglycerides	0.55	0.55
Systolic blood pressure	0.43	0.43
Diastolic blood pressure	0.37	0.37
Glucose	0.6	0.6

 Table 1. Estimated Correlation Between Baseline and Followup for Analyzed Outcomes, Used in

 Calculation of Change Score Standard Deviations

### Table 2. Conversion Factors

Measure	Original Metric	Final Metric	Conversion Factor	Reverse Conversion (1/x)
Total cholesterol*	mg/dL	mmol/L	0.0259	38.61
High-density lipoprotein*	mg/dL	mmol/L	0.0259	38.61
Low-density lipoprotein*	mg/dL	mmol/L	0.0259	38.61
Triglycerides*	mg/dL	mmol/L	0.0113	88.50
Glucose*	mg/dL	mmol/L	0.0555	18.02
Energy**	kcal	kJ	4.184	0.239
Weight***	lb	kg	0.4541	2.202

\* From: Instructions for authors. JAMA. 2006;295(1):103-11. http://jama.ama-assn.org/content/295/1/103.full

<sup>\*\*</sup> From: Thompson A, Taylor BN. Guide for the Use of the International System of Units (SI). NIST Special Publication No. 811. Gaithersburg, MD: National Institute of Standards and Technology; 2008. <u>http://www.nist.gov/pml/pubs/sp811/</u> \*\*\* From: Federal Highway Administration. SI (Modern Metric) Conversion Factors.Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Transportation; 2003. <u>http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/convtabl.cfm</u>

# Systematic Evidence Review Search

**Databases:** PubMed, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, Institute of Medicine, National Institutes of Health **Dates:** 2001 to January 2009

1. "Obesity"[Majr:NoExp] OR "Obesity, Morbid"[Majr] OR "Overweight"[Majr:NoExp]

2. "Anti-Obesity Agents"[Majr:NoExp] OR "Appetite Depressants"[Majr] OR "Anti-Obesity Agents "[Pharmacological Action] OR "Appetite Depressants "[Pharmacological Action] OR "sibutramine "[Substance Name] OR "orlistat "[Substance Name]

- 3. "Bariatric Surgery"[Majr:NoExp] OR "Gastric Bypass"[Majr] OR "Gastroplasty"[Majr]
- 4. "Body Mass Index"[Majr] OR "Weight Loss"[Majr:NoExp]
- 5. #1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4
- 6. #5 AND systematic[sb]
- 7. #5 AND systematic[sb] Limits: All Child: 0-18 years
- 8. #5 AND systematic[sb] Limits: All Adult: 19+ years
- 9. #7 NOT #8
- 10. #6 NOT #9
- 11. #6 NOT #9 Limits: Humans
- 12. #6 NOT #9 Limits: Animals
- 13. #12 NOT #11
- 14. #10 NOT #13
- 15. obesity[ti] OR obese[ti] OR overweight[ti]
- 16. bariatric[ti] OR gastroplasty[ti] OR "gastric bypass"[ti] OR "gastric banding"[ti]
- 17. bmi[ti] OR "body mass index"[ti]
- 18. #15 OR #16 OR #17
- 19. #18 AND systematic[sb]
- 20. #19 AND (publisher[sb] OR in process[sb] OR pubmednotmedline[sb])
- 21. #14 OR #20
- 22. #14 OR #20 Limits: Publication Date from 2001 to 2009, English

# Key Question Search

**Databases:** MEDLINE, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials **Dates:** 2005 to March 10, 2010

- 1. Obesity
- 2. Obesity, Morbid
- 3. Overweight
- 4. 1 or 2 or 3
- 5. Mass Screening
- 6. screen\$.ti,ab.
- 7. 5 or 6
- 8. 4 and 7
- 9. limit 8 to "all child (0 to 18 years)"

#### Appendix B. Search Strategies

- 10. limit 8 to "all adult (19 plus years)"
- 11. 9 not 10
- 12. 8 not 11
- 13. limit 12 to animals
- 14. limit 12 to humans
- 15. 13 not 14
- 16. 12 not 15
- 17. limit 16 to english language
- 18. limit 17 to yr="2005 2009"
- 19. from 18 keep 1-500

# Metformin Search

Database: MEDLINE Dates: 2001–2005

- 1. Metformin
- 2. metformin.ti,ab.
- 3. glucophage.ti,ab.
- 4. 1 or 2 or 3
- 5. Obesity
- 6. Obesity, Morbid
- 7. Overweight
- 8. Weight Loss
- 9. obes\$.ti,ab.
- 10. overweight.ti,ab.
- 11. weight loss.ti,ab
- 12. 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11
- 13. diabetes.ti,ab,hw
- 14. 4 and 12 and 13

15. limit 14 to (clinical trial or controlled clinical trial or meta analysis or randomized controlled trial) (159)

16. clinical trials as topic/ or controlled clinical trials as topic/ or randomized controlled trials as topic/

- 17. Meta-Analysis as Topic
- 18. (control\$ adj3 trial\$).ti,ab.
- 19. random\$.ti,ab.
- 20. clinical trial\$.ti,ab.
- 21. 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20
- 22. 14 and 21
- 23. 15 or 22
- 24. limit 23 to "all child (0 to 18 years)"
- 25. limit 23 to "all adult (19 plus years)"
- 26. 24 not 25
- 27. 23 not 26
- 28. limit 27 to animals

# Appendix B. Search Strategies

- 29. limit 27 to humans
- 30. 28 not 29
- 31. 27 not 30
- 32. limit 31 to english language
- 33. limit 32 to yr="2001 2005"
- 34. remove duplicates from 33

# Appendix B Table 1. Review Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Populations	Include	Adults ages 18 years and older who are obese or overweight.
-		Study participants are either; 1) unselected or low-risk; 2) selected for increased risk of cardiovascular disease, including
		hypertension, dyslipidemia, or type 2 diabetes mellitus; or 3) selected populations, restricted to patients who are
		postpartum or have polycystic ovary syndrome.
	Exclude	Children and adolescents younger than age 18 years.
		Adults with secondary causes of obesity, such as steroid use
		Restricted patients ubdroups (i.e. to both are not listed above as included such as pregnant women or people with arthritis
		eating disorders, or cardiovascular disease).
		<ul> <li>Populations that do not demonstrate obesity or overweight using body mass index (BMI) or other weight-related</li> </ul>
		measurements
		Cancer survivors or people who have arthritis, osteoporosis, or liver disease (because of different motivation).
Settings	Include	Studies conducted in primary care, feasible for conducting in primary care, or feasible for referral from primary care. In
counge	molado	order for an intervention to be feasible for primary care referral, it needs to be conducted as part of a health care setting or
		be widely available in the community at a national level.
		Studies conducted in commercial settings (e.g., Weight Watchers).
		Geographic settings generalizable to United States (all countries listed as "high" human development on the Human
		Development Index (>0.90): Australia Australia Belgium Canada Denmark Finland France Germany, Greece Hong
		Kong, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Portugal
		Singapore, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and United Kingdom).
	Exclude	Settings not generalizable to primary care (e.g., inpatient hospital units, emergency departments, nursing home and other
		institutionalized settings, school-based programs, occupational settings, churches and faith-based and other community-
		based settings), unless intervention is primary care feasible.
		<ul> <li>Studies performed in countries with populations not similar to the United States.</li> </ul>
Interventions	Include	<ul> <li>Interventions focusing on weight loss, including the following broad types:</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Behavioral-based interventions</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Pharmacological (orlistat, sibutramine, and metformin) interventions</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Combination of behavioral-based and pharmacological treatment</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Must be conducted in a primary care setting, judged to be feasible in "usual" primary care, or feasible for referral. Criteria</li> </ul>
		for primary care feasible are:
		<ul> <li>Could target patients seeking care in primary care settings</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>The skills to deliver the intervention are or could be present in clinicians and/or related staff in the primary care</li> </ul>
		setting
		<ul> <li>Could generally be ordered/initiated by a primary care clinician</li> </ul>
	Exclude	Nonbehavioral or nonpharmacological interventions.
		<ul> <li>Surgical interventions (addressed as a contextual question).</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Pharmacological agents that are not FDA approved for long-term weight loss:</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>New agents being evaluated for FDA approval (e.g., rimonabant)</li> </ul>
		• Older amphetamine-like agents that have been taken off the market (e.g., fenfluramine and dexfenfluramine), are
		listed on the FDA site as discontinued (e.g., phenmetrazine or mazindol), or are only approved for short-term
		weight loss (e.g., phentermine)
		Complementary and alternative treatments (e.g., chitosan, acupuncture)
		Primary prevention programs
		Community-level, population-based strategies

		Sibutramine trials
Outcomes	Include	• Health outcomes (reported at ≥12 months after start of intervention or baseline assessment [if intervention start cannot be
		determined]):
		<ul> <li>Decreased morbidity from diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, cancer, arthritis, asthma, or sleep apnea</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Improved depression</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Improved emotional functioning (scores on emotional subscales of quality of life instruments)</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Physical fitness capacity or performance (not behavioral), physical functioning (scores on physical subscales of quality of life measures), or disability (global measures of disability, such as activities of daily living)</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Mortality</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Intermediate outcomes (reported at ≥48 weeks after start of intervention or baseline assessment [if intervention start</li> </ul>
		cannot be determined]):
		<ul> <li>Reduction of weight or adiposity (required outcome); acceptable measures include weight (e.g., kilograms or pounds), relative weight (e.g., BMI, % overweight), total adiposity measures (e.g., DEXA, underwater weight, or comparable), or change in any of these measures.</li> </ul>
		• Weight maintenance after intervention has ended
		• Metabolic consequences, glucose tolerance, blood pressure, dyslipidenila
		<ul> <li>Auverse outcomes.</li> <li>Serious tractment related harms at any time point after an intervention bagan (a.g., dooth, madical issue requiring.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Serious treatment-related names at any time point after an intervention began (e.g., death, medical issue requiring hospitalization or urgent medical treatment)</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Other treatment-related harms reported in trials meeting inclusion criteria for intermediate or health outcomes (e.g., inducement of eating disorders)</li> </ul>
	Exclude	<ul> <li>Improved functioning (except as enumerated under health outcomes).</li> </ul>
		Cost effectiveness
		<ul> <li>Intermediate physiological outcomes other than glucose tolerance, blood pressure, or dyslipidemia</li> </ul>
		Behavioral changes (e.g., physical activity or diet)
		Outcomes reported <12 months after start of intervention or baseline assessment (if time from intervention start cannot be
		determined), except for harms resulting in death, hospitalization, or the need for urgent medical treatment.
Study Designs	Include	Randomized, controlled trials (RCTs)
		Controlled clinical trials (CCTs)
		Harms only: large cohort studies or case-control studies; must have an appropriate comparison group; large event
		monitoring, systematic evidence reviews of RCTs or CCTs (if useful information)
	Exclude	Ecological studies
		Case reports
		Case series or other noncomparative designs
		Nonsystematic reviews
		Letters to the editor
		Systematic evidence reviews of RCT or CCTs (look at reference list for references and considering including for harms if
		serious harms or otherwise adds to information)

Design	USPSTF Quality Rating Criteria <sup>293</sup>	NICE Methodology Checklists <sup>294</sup>
Systematic reviews and meta-analyses	<ul> <li>Comprehensiveness of sources considered/ search strategy used</li> <li>Standard appraisal of included studies</li> <li>Validity of conclusions</li> <li>Recency and relevance, especially for systematic reviews</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Study addresses an appropriate and clearly focused question</li> <li>Description of the methodology used is included</li> <li>Literature search is sufficiently rigorous to identify all relevant studies</li> <li>Study quality is assessed and taken into account</li> <li>Enough similarities between selected studies to make combining them reasonable</li> </ul>
Case-control studies	<ul> <li>Accurate ascertainment of cases</li> <li>Nonbiased selection of cases/controls with exclusion criteria applied equally to both</li> <li>Response rate</li> <li>Diagnostic testing procedures applied equally to each group</li> <li>Measurement of exposure accurate and applied equally to each group</li> <li>Appropriate attention to potential confounding variables</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Study addresses an appropriate and clearly focused question</li> <li>Cases and controls are taken from comparable populations</li> <li>Same exclusion criteria are used for both cases and controls</li> <li>Percentage of each group (cases and controls) that participated in the study is specified</li> <li>Participants and nonparticipants are compared to establish their similarities or differences</li> <li>Cases are clearly defined and differentiated from controls</li> <li>Controls are clearly established as noncases</li> <li>Measures are taken to prevent knowledge of primary exposure influencing case ascertainment</li> <li>Exposure status is measured in a standard, valid, and reliable way</li> <li>Main potential confounders are identified and taken into account in the design and analysis</li> <li>Confidence intervals are provided</li> </ul>
Randomized, controlled trials	<ul> <li>Initial assembly of comparable groups employs adequate randomization, including first concealment and whether potential confounders were distributed equally among groups</li> <li>Maintenance of comparable groups (includes attrition, crossovers, adherence, contamination)</li> <li>Important differential loss to followup or overall high loss to followup</li> <li>Measurements are equal, reliable, and valid (includes masking of outcome assessment)</li> <li>Clear definition of interventions</li> <li>All important outcomes considered</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Study addresses an appropriate and clearly focused question</li> <li>Assignment of subjects to treatment groups is randomized</li> <li>Adequate concealment method is used</li> <li>Subjects and investigators are kept blind about treatment allocation</li> <li>Treatment and control groups are similar at the start of the trial</li> <li>Only difference between groups is the treatment under investigation</li> <li>All relevant outcomes are measured in a standard, valid, and reliable way</li> <li>Percentage of individuals or clusters recruited into each treatment arm of the study who dropped out before completion is provided</li> <li>All subjects are analyzed in the groups to which they were randomly allocated (often referred to as intention-to-treat analysis)</li> <li>When the study is carried out at more than one site, results are comparable for all sites</li> </ul>

Design	USPSTF Quality Rating Criteria <sup>293</sup>	NICE Methodology Checklists <sup>294</sup>
Cohort studies	<ul> <li>Initial assembly of comparable groups employs consideration of potential confounders with either restriction or measurement for adjustment in the analysis; consideration of inception cohorts</li> <li>Maintenance of comparable groups (includes attrition, crossovers, adherence, contamination)</li> <li>Important differential loss to followup or overall high loss to followup</li> <li>Measurements are equal, reliable, and valid (includes masking of outcome assessment)</li> <li>Clear definition of interventions</li> <li>All important outcomes considered</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Study addresses an appropriate and clearly focused question</li> <li>Two groups being studied are selected from source populations that are comparable in all respects other than the factor under investigation</li> <li>Study indicates how many of participants asked to take part did so, in each group being studied</li> <li>Likelihood that some eligible subjects might have the outcome at the time of enrollment is assessed and taken into account in the analysis</li> <li>Percentage of individuals or clusters recruited into each arm of the study who dropped out before completion is provided</li> <li>Full participants and those lost to followup are compared, by exposure status</li> <li>Outcomes are clearly defined</li> <li>Assessment of outcome is made blind to exposure status</li> <li>Where blinding is not possible, there is some recognition that knowledge of exposure status could have influenced the assessment of outcome</li> <li>Measure of assessment of exposure is reliable</li> <li>Evidence from other sources is used to demonstrate that the method of outcome assessment is valid and reliable</li> <li>Exposure level or prognostic factor is assessed more than once</li> <li>Main potential confounders are identified and taken into account in the design and analysis</li> <li>Confidence intervals are provided</li> </ul>
Diagnostic accuracy studies	<ul> <li>Screening test is relevant, available for primary care, and adequately described</li> <li>Study uses a credible reference standard, performed regardless of test results</li> <li>Reference standard interpreted independently of screening test</li> <li>Handles indeterminate results in a reasonable manner</li> <li>Spectrum of patients included in study</li> <li>Sample size</li> <li>Administration of reliable screening test</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Nature of the test being studied is clearly specified</li> <li>Test is compared with an appropriate gold standard</li> <li>Where no gold standard exists, a validated reference standard is used as a comparator</li> <li>Patients for testing are selected either as a consecutive series or randomly, from a clearly defined study population</li> <li>Test and gold standard are measured independently (blind) of each other</li> <li>Test and gold standard are applied as close together in time as possible</li> <li>Results are reported for all patients that are entered into the study</li> <li>Prediagnosis is made and reported</li> </ul>

#### Hierarchy of research design:

- I Properly conducted randomized, controlled trial
- II-1 Well-designed controlled trial without randomization
- II-2 Well-designed cohort or case-control analytic study
- II-3 Multiple time series with or without the intervention; dramatic results from uncontrolled experiments
- III: Opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical experience; descriptive studies or case reports; reports of expert committee

# Appendix B Table 3. Inclusion or Exclusion of Articles From the 2003 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Review, Behavioral Trials

Author, Year	Included in Review	Reason for Exclusion	
Wadden, 2001		Comparative effectiveness	
Kuller, 2001	Х	NA*	
Tuomilehto, 2001	Х	NA	
Rothacker, 2001		Comparative effectiveness	
Jones, 1999	Х	NA	
Stevens, 2001	Х	NA	
Swinburn, 1999		Worksite related	
Jakicic, 1999		Comparative effectiveness	
Leermakers, 1999		Comparative effectiveness	
Sbrocco, 1999		Comparative effectiveness	
Fogelholm, 2000		Comparative effectiveness	
Jeffery, 1997		Weight gain prevention	
Wing, 1996		Comparative effectiveness	
Lindholm, 1995		Comparative effectiveness	
OXCHECK, 1995		Not focused on weight loss	
Knowler, 2002	Х	NA	
Ashley, 2001		Comparative effectiveness	

\* Secondary article to an included article.

Abbreviation: NA=not applicable.

# Appendix B Table 4. Inclusion or Exclusion of Articles From the 2003 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Review, Medication Trials

Author, Year	Included for KQs 1–3	Reason for Exclusion	Included for KQ 4	Reason for Exclusion
James, 2000		Sibutramine study		Sibutramine study
Fujioka, 2000		Sibutramine study		Sibutramine study
Gokcel, 2001		Sibutramine study		Sibutramine study
Smith, 2001		Sibutramine study		Sibutramine study
Wirth, 2001		Sibutramine study		Sibutramine study
Dujovne, 2001		Sibutramine study		Sibutramine study
Van Gaal, 1998		<12 months of followup	Х	NA
Hill, 1999	Х	NA	Х	NA
Karhunen, 2000	Х	NA*	Х	NA*
Micic, 1999		<12 months of followup		No harms outcomes
Muls, 2001		<12 months of followup	Х	NA
Giugliano, 1993		<12 months of followup		No harms outcomes
Rissanen, 1998	Х	NA*	Х	NA*

\* Secondary article to an included article.

**Abbreviations:** KQ=key question; NA=not applicable.



Study Reference	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers	Participant Characteristics
	Decime: BCT	Inclusion: Agod 41 50 years: physically	Retention	Ano (moon): 44.0*
Anderssen, 1995	Design: RC1	inclusion: Aged 41-50 years, physically	N recruited of assessed for	Age (mean): 44.9
ODES (Oalo Diat and	Location: Nonway	PMI>24 kg/baight <sup>2</sup> : DPD 96 00 mmHg; total	N aligible: 660	Sox (% fomalo): 0.6*
Exercise Study)	Eocation: Norway	sorum cholostorol 5 20 7 74 mmol/L : HDL	N engluded: NP	Sex (% lenale). 9.0
Exercise Study)	Recruitment Setting:	cholesterol <1 20 mmol/L and fasting serum	N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity: NR
Fair	Ongoing screening	trialycerides >1.4 mmol/L; based on the	N refused of other reason. Nix	Race/Eumerty. NR
	examination of 40 year-	screening examination performed 1-10 years	N Randomized	SES (income education): NR
	olds in Oslo	prior to baseline measurements	Total: 219	
		P	IG1 (diet): 55	BMI: 28.8*
	Self-selected: No	Exclusion: Overt cardiovascular disease:	IG2 (exercise): 54	
		diabetes; treated with antihypertensive drugs,	IG3 (diet+exercise): 67	% Hypertension: 0% taking
		acetylsalicylic acid, or other drugs that might	CG: 43	hypertension meds
		interfere with the test results; diseases or		
		personal traits that make them unsuited for	Followup (12 mo), n (%):	% Diabetes: 0%
		participation; already on a lipid-lowering diet;	Total: 209 (95*)	
		regular endurance training 2 times per week	IG1: 52 (95*)	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		or more	IG2: 49 (91*)	
			IG3: 65 (97*)	* Age and BMI based on n with
			CG: 43 (100*)	followup (n=209), sex based on n
				randomized (n=219)
			" caic	
			Cluster information: NA	
Burke, 2005 <sup>145</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged 40-70; BMI >25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ;	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 56.2 (calc)
		treated with 1-2 antihypertensive drugs for at	eligibility: 2252	
ADAPT	Location: Australia	least 3 months	N eligible: NR	Sex (% female): 55.6 (calc)
			N excluded: NR	
Fair	Recruitment Setting:	Exclusion: Clinic blood pressure >160/90	N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Advertising	mmHg; consumption of >2 fish meals or >4		
		fish-oil capsules per week; alcohol intake >4	N Randomized:	SES (income, education): NR
	Self-selected: Yes	standard drinks/day for women and >6	Total: 241 (calc)	
		standard drinks/day for men; drug- or insulin-	IG: 123	% Hypertension: 100
		treated diabetes; chronic renal failure (serum	CG: 118	
		creatinine >120 nmol/L); chronic liver		% Diabetes: 0% treated for DM
		disease; symptomatic CVD of <3 months	Followup (16 mo), n (%):	
		duration; other chronic debilitating disease;	16 months	% Dysiipidemia: NR
		use of antihypertensive drugs for indications	I otal: 192 (79.7) (calc)	Other health much lama (light): ND
		other than hypertension	IG: 102 (82.9)	Other nealth problems (list): NR
			CG: 90 (76.3)	
			Cluster information: NA	
			Cluster information: NA	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Christian, 2008 <sup>146</sup>	Design: RCT	<b>Inclusion:</b> Latino/Hispanic in ethnicity with a	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 53.2 (calc)
Fair	Location: Colorado, US	Spanish; aged 18 to 75 years; diagnosis of type 2 diabetes: $BM > 25 kg/m^2$ ; uninsured	N eligible: 310	Sex (% female): 66.1 (calc)
	Recruitment Setting: Community-based health	Medicaid eligible, or Medicare beneficiaries	N refused or other reason: 8	Race/Ethnicity: % Hispanic/Latino: 100
	centers Self-selected: No	<b>Exclusion:</b> Substance use or abuse; severe arthritis or other medical conditions limiting physical activity; recent myocardial infarction or stroke; peripheral vascular disease; undergone or scheduled for gastric bypass surgery	N Randomized: Total: 310 IG: 155 CG: 155 Followup (12 mo), n (%): Total: 273 (88.1) IG: 141 (91 0)	SES (income, education): "More than 65% of patients at both sites had family incomes at or below 100% of the US poverty level (\$20,650 annually for a family of 4)."
			CG: 132 (85.2)	% Diabetes: 100%
			Cluster information: NA	% Dyslipidemia: NR
				Other health problems (list): NR
Cohen, 1991 <sup>147</sup>	Design: Cluster RCT	<b>Inclusion:</b> Patient of physician participating	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 59.5 (calc)
Fair	Location: Pennsylvania,	$\geq$ 27.8 in men and $\geq$ 27.3 kg/m <sup>2</sup> in women;	N eligible: 67 N excluded: 1	Sex (% female): NR
	Booruitmont Sotting:	Exclusion: NP, although one patient	N refused or other reason: 36	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Family health center	excluded post-randomization "because of	N Randomized (by physician):	SES (income, education): NR
	Self-selected: No		IG: 15 (of 10 physicians)	% Hypertension: 100
	(assumed)		Eollowup (12 mo), p (%);	% Diabetes: NR
			Total: 30 (100)	% Dyslipidemia: NR
			CG: 15 (100)	Other health problems (list): NR
			Cluster information: Analysis Adjusted for Clustering: N Number of clusters: 18 Average cluster size: 2 (calc) Inter-cluster correlation: NR	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Cussler, 2008 <sup>148</sup> Fair	Design: RCT Location: Arizona, US	<b>Inclusion:</b> 40-55 years of age; BMI between 25.0 and 38.0 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; nonsmoker; free from major illnesses	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: ~300 N eligible: 161 N excluded: ~140	Age (mean): 48.2 Sex (% female): 100
	Recruitment Setting: Newspaper and television	Exclusion: NR	N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	advertisements		136 N son domined	SES (income, education): NR
	Self-selected: Yes		Total: 135	% Hypertension: NR
			CG (Self-directed): 69	% Diabetes: NR
			Total: 111 (82.2)	% Dysiipidemia: NR
			CG: 59 (85.5)	Other health problems (list): NR
			Cluster information: Randomized by wt loss group Analysis Adjusted for Clustering: Y Number of clusters: 6 Average cluster size: 22 Inter-cluster correlation: 0.02	
Davis, 1992 <sup>149</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: 21-65 years; at a preliminary	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 47.7 (calc)
Langford, 1991 <sup>260</sup>	Location: New York,	participants taking antihypertensive medicine	N eligible for first clinic visit:	Sex (% female): 50 (calc)
Davis, 1989 <sup>261</sup>	US	no treatment, between 110-160% of ideal	N at first clinic visit: 1949	Race/Ethnicity:
ТАІМ	Recruitment Setting:	antihypertensive medication (participants on	N at second clinic visit: 661 N randomized:	% Black: 34 (calc)
Fair	television advertising,	medication reduced then discontinued over a	Total: 200 (878 to all groups)* IG: 100*	SES (income, education):
	physicians or other sources of medical care,	90-100 mmHg, between 100-160% of ideal weight by clinic measurement	CG: 100* * Note: 678 others were randomiz- ed to groups that couldn't be used	% Hypertension: 100%
	brochures distributed by mail, through community centers, or the workplace, etc.	Exclusion: History or other evidence of myocardial infarction, stroke, or bronchial asthma: creatine level ≥180 µmol/l : diabetes	(sodium restriction/potassium reduction diet; prescribed a diuretic or β-blocker)	<b>% Diabetes:</b> 0% DM requiring insulin%
	Self-selected: Yes	requiring insulin therapy; allergy to thiazides	Followup (6, 24 mo), n (%): 6 mo	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		pregnancy; likelihood of difficulty in complying with the interventions	Total: 179 (89.5) IG: 89 (89.0) CG: 90 (90.0)	Other health problems (list): NR
			24 mo Total: 118 (59.0)	
			IG: 57 (57.0) CG: 61 (61.0)	
			Cluster information: NA	

Study Reference	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers	Participant Characteristics
Quality Rating	B I DOT		Retention	
Diabetes Prevention	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Fasting plasma glucose 95-125	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 50.6
Program Research		mg/dL (≤125 mg/dL in American Indian	eligibility: NR	
Group, 1999	Location: 27 clinical	clinics); impaired glucose tolerance (2-hour	N eligible: NR	Sex (% female): 67.7
	centers, US	postchallenge glucose 140-199 mg/dL after a	N excluded: NR	
Diabetes Prevention		75 g glucose load); aged ≥25 years; BMI ≥24	N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity:
Program Research	Recruitment Setting:	kg/m² (≥22 kg/m² for Asian Americans)		% White: 54.7
Group, 2005 <sup>212</sup>	Mass media, mail,		N Randomized:	% African American: 19.9
	telephone contacts, and	<b>Exclusion:</b> Recent MI, sx of CHD, diabetes	Total: 3234	% Hispanic: 15.7
Orchard, 2005 <sup>262</sup>	recruitment through	at baseline; medical conditions likely to limit	IG-Metformin: 1073	% American Indian: 5.3
	employment or social	life span and/or increase risk of intervention;	IG-Lifestyle: 1079	% Asian/Pacific Islanders: 4.4
Diabetes Prevention	groups or health care	conditions or behaviors likely to affect	CG: 1082	
Program Research	systems	conduct of the trial: medications and medical		SES (income, education): NR
Group. 2005 <sup>205</sup>	- ,	conditions likely to confound the assessment	Followup (12, 24, 36 mo), n (%):	
	Self-selected: Yes	for diabetes	12 mo	% Hypertension: 29.6% HTN.
Diabetes Prevention	(assume mostly volunteer)		Total: 3070 (94 9) (calc)	45% HTN or meds for HTN
Program Research			$IG_{-M}$ : 1017 (94.8 (calc))	
Group 2005 <sup>207</sup>			$IG_{-1}$ : 1026 (95.1 (calc))	% Diabetes: 0
61000, 2000			CG: 1027 (94.9 (calc))	
Ackermann 2000 <sup>211</sup>			36 mo	% Dyslinidemia: 11 1% had elevated
Ackelmann, 2005			Total: 1021 (50 4) (calc)	I DL or taking modication
Disbotos Brovention			10(al. 1921 (39.4) (Calc)	
Diabeles Frevention			10-101, 020, (50.3, (calc))	Other health problems (list): Liston
Program			IG-L. 030 (39.1 (Calc))	other health problems (list): History
			CG: 657 (60.7 (Calc))	or stroke, revascularization, MI, MI by
Good				ECG, elevated TG, Metabolic
			Cluster information: NA	syndrome
Fitzgibbon, 2010 <sup>204</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Women; BMI between 30-50	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 46.0
		kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; self-identified as African American or	eligibility: 690	
ORBIT	Location: Illinois, US	Black; 30-65 years of age; able to participate	N eligible: 482	Sex (% female): 100
		in an activity program requiring 30 minutes of	N excluded: 229	
Fair	Recruitment Setting:	uninterrupted moderate activity; able to	N refused or other reason: 248	Race/Ethnicity:
	University of Illinois (mass	attend class sessions		% Black: 100
	email and face-to-face		N randomized:	
	recruitment near	Exclusion: Unable to exercise because of	Total: 213	SES (income, education):
	intervention site)	emphysema, chronic bronchitis, or asthma;	IG: 107	Mean years of education (SD): 14.9
	,	used a cane, walker, or wheelchair for	CG: 106	(2.0)
	Self-selected: Mixed	mobility: planning to move out of the area:		Median household income/vear (25th.
		treated for cancer (excluding skin cancer	Followup (18 mo), n (%):	75th percentiles): \$42,500 (30,000
		other than melanoma) in the past 5 years	Total: 190 (89.2)	62,500)
		participating in a formal weight-loss program	IG: 93 (86 9)	,,
		or taking weight-loss medications prescribed	CG: 97(91.5)	% Hypertension: NR
		by a doctor: pregnant pursing or planning a	00.07(01.0)	
		pregnancy: using illegal drugs or consuming	Cluster information: NR	% Diabetes: NR
		2 alcoholic drinks per day on a deily basis		
		~2 alcoholic utilities per day off a dally basis		% Dyslinidomia: NP
				Other health problems (list): NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Haapala, 2009 <sup>151</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged 24-44 years; BMI 25-36	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 38.1 (calc)
Fair	Location: Finland	internet connection; no diagnosed chronic disease: no major psychiatric disease: no	N eligible: 156 N excluded: 23	Sex (% female): 77.4
	Recruitment Setting: Newspaper advertisement	current, planned, or previous pregnancy within 6 months	N refused or other reason: 8	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	and telephone screening	Exclusion: NR	N randomized: Total: 125	SES (income, education): % Vocational school: 16.9
	Self-selected: Yes		IG: 62 CG: 63 (1 refused to participate	% College degree: 60.5 % Graduate degree: 15.3
			after randomization)	p<0.05 for chi-square test between IG and CG
			Followup (12 mo), n (%): Total: 85 (68.0)	% Hypertension: NR
			CG: 40 (63.5)	% Diabetes: NR
			Cluster information: NA	% Dyslipidemia: NR
				Other health problems (list): NR
Hypertension Prevention Trial	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Men and women aged 25-49	N recruited: 223,815 (mailings) N assessed for eligibility: 11 810	Age (mean): 38.8 (calc)
Research Group, 1990 <sup>143</sup>	Location: US (multiple states)	99 mmHg at the first baseline visit; 78-89 mmHg at the second visit 7-30 days later	N eligible: NR N excluded: 8599	Sex (% female): 32.7 (calc)
HPT	Recruitment Setting:	Exclusion: Using hypertensive medication:	N refused or other reason: 2370	Race/Ethnicity: % White: 80.1 (calc)
Good	Direct mailings from various lists depending on	evidence of cardiovascular disease; BMI of 35 or more: dietary requirements	N randomized: Total: 251 (590 other	SES (income, education):
0000	the location (e.g., students,	incompatible with the dietary counseling	participants randomized to	% College graduate: 49.8 (calc)
	registered voters)	beverages per week; perceived as unable to comply with the counseling regimens or data	IG (Cal): 125 CG (Na-Cal control): 126	% Hypertension: 0% using HTN meds or have DBP>89
	Self-selected: Yes	collection schedule	Followup (6, 12, 36 mo), n (%): 6 months	% Diabetes: NR
			Total: 233 (92.8) IG: 121 (96.0)	% Dyslipidemia: NR
			12 months	Other health problems (list): NR
			IG: 113 (90.4)	
			36 months	
			I otal: 233 (92.8) IG: 116 (92.0)	
			CG: 117 (93.6)	
			Cluster information: NA	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Irwin, 2003 <sup>152</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Postmenopausal women aged 50-	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 60.8
Frank 0005 <sup>263</sup>	Leastien: Weshinster, U.O.	75 years; sedentary (<60 min/wk of	eligibility: 102,459 letters sent in	Sex (% female): 100
Frank, 2005	Location: wasnington, US	activity and maximal oxygen consumption	7 830 interested in trial	Race/Ethnicity (calc):
Mohanka, 2006 <sup>264</sup>	Recruitment Setting:	<25.0 mL/kg per minute); BMI >25.0 or BMI	N eligible: NR	% Non-Hispanic white: 87
	Mass mailing and media	24-25 and body fat >33.0%; fasting blood	N excluded: 6451	% African American: 3
PATH	placements	glucose <140 mg/dL	N refused or other reason: 1,206	% Asian American: 5
Good	Self-selected: Yes	Exclusion: Taking hormone replacement	N randomized:	SES (Income, education): % Education level (calc)
0000		therapy; clinical diagnosis of diabetes;	Total: 173	High school graduate: 11.0
		smokers	IG: 87	Some college: 41.0
			CG: 86	College graduate: 8.7
			Followup (12 mo), n (%):	Graduate degrees: 39.3
			Total: 170 (98.3 (calc))	% Hypertension: NR
			IG: 84 (96.6 (calc))	% Diabetes: 0
			CG: 86 (100)	
			Cluster information: NA	% Dyslipidemia: NR
				Other health problems (list): NR
Jeffery, 1993 <sup>153</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: 14-32 kg overweight according to	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 37.5 (calc)
Laffan 4005289	Lesstiens Democratic	1983 insurance industry standards; aged 25-	eligibility: NR	
Jeffery, 1995-00	and Minnesota US	45 years; non-smoker; drink <3 alconolic	N eligible: NR N excluded: NR	Sex (% female): 50 (calc)
Trial of Food Provision	and Minnesota, 00	allergic to any foods; able to exercise; free of	N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity:
and Monitary Incentives	Recruitment Setting:	current serious diseases; not taking		% White: 92.1 (calc)
E a la	Newspaper and radio	prescription medications including oral	N randomized:	CEC (income advantion)
Fair	advertisements, mailed	contraceptives	I Otal: 202 IG1 (standard behavioral	% Non-college grad: 42.6 (calc)
	invitations	Exclusion: NR	therapy): 40	
	Self-selected: Yes		IG2 (SBT + food provision): 40	% Hypertension: NR
			IG3 (SBT + Incentive): 41 IG4 (SBT + EP + I): 41	% Diabetes: NR
			CG: 40	/ Diabotes. Nix
			Followup (12 mo), n (%):	% Dyslipidemia: NR
			Total: 176 (calc) (87)	Other health problems (list): NR
			Followup (18 mo). n (%):	
			Total: 172 (calc) (85)	
			IG: NR	
			CG: NR Followup (30 mc) = (%):	
			Total: 177 (88)	
			IG: NR	
			CG: NR	
			Cluster information: NA	

Study Reference	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers	Participant Characteristics
Jones, 1999 <sup>154</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Age 50-80 years; DBP 100-115;	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 58 (calc)*
Hansson, 1994 <sup>265</sup>	Location: US	BMI ≥27 kg/m²	eligibility: NR N eligible: NR	Sex (% female): 52.0 (calc)*
The HOT Study Group, 1993 <sup>266</sup>	Recruitment Setting: NR	<b>Exclusion:</b> Malignant hypertension; secondary hypertension; stroke or MI within 12 months prior to randomization;	N excluded: NR N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity:* % African-American: 40.2
Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT)	Self-selected: NR	other serious concomitant disease which, in the opinion of the investigator, could affect	Total: says 112, but IG+CG=111, not sure which	SES (income, education): NR
Substudy Fair		survival during the next 2-3 years; patients who, in the opinion of the investigator, require a beta-blocker, ACE-inhibitor or diuretic for	IG: 55 CG: 56	% Hypertension: 100
		reasons other than hypertension; patients who, in the opinion of the investigator, require	Followup (30 mo), n (%):	% Diabetes: 0% insulin-treated DM
		antiplatelet or anticoagulant treatment; insulin-treated DM; patients with known	Total: 102 (91.1 (calc)) IG: 51	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		hypersensitivity to felodipine; patients with known contraindications to low-dose ASA	CG: 51	Other health problems (list): NR
			Cluster information: NA	*for those analyzed (n=102)
Kastarinen, 2002 <sup>155</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged 25-74 years; systolic blood	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 54.3
LIHEF Study (Lifestyle	Location: Finland	blood pressure 90-109 mmHg or on antibypertensive drug therapy	N eligible: 813 N excluded: NR	Sex (% female): 53
Hypertension in Eastern	Recruitment Setting: NR	Exclusion: Secondary hypertension mental	N refused or other reason: 98	Race/Ethnicity: NR
Fair	Self-selected: NR	or physical illness serious enough to	N Randomized:	SES (income, education): NR
		study procedures; alcoholism; type 1 diabetes: current or planned pregnancy:	IG: 360 CG: 355	% Hypertension: 100
		history of myocardial infarction or stroke within the preceding 3 months	Followup (12 mo) n (%):	% Diabetes: NR
			Total: 592 (83) (calc)	% Dyslipidemia: NR
			CG: 275 (77) Followup (24 mo), n (%):	Other health problems: History of CVD: 4%
			Total: 587 (82) (calc) IG: 304 (84)	
			CG: 283 (80)	
			Cluster information: NA	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Kulzer, 2009 <sup>156</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged 20-70 years; BMI ≥26 kg/m2; impaired glucose tolerance or	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 56.3
Fair	Location: Germany	impaired fasting glucose; ability to read and understand German: elevated diabetes risk	N eligible: NR N excluded: NR	Sex (% female): 43
	Setting: NR	based on a Diabetes Risk Score of >10 or according to assessment of a primary care	N refused or other reason: NR N Randomized:	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Self-selected: NR	physician <b>Exclusion:</b> Manifest diabetes or diagnosis of	Total: 182 IG: 91 (assumed) CG: 91 (assumed)	SES (income, education): 13.2 year education
		a serious illness (e.g., cancer)	Followup (12 mo), n (%):	% Hypertension: NR
			Total: 165 (90.7) IG: NR	% Diabetes: 0
			CG: NR Cluster information: NA	% Dyslipidemia: NR
Langford, 1985 <sup>157</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Active, controlled former Stepped	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 56.7 (calc)
Wassertheil-Smoller,	Location: Multiple states,	identified through population-based	N eligible: 584	Sex (% female): 65.9 (calc)
DISH	Recruitment Setting:	screening and 90 mmHg or higher on confirmation: BP controlled in past year (no	N refused or other reason: 88	Race/Ethnicity: % Black: 65.9 (calc)
DISH Fair	Recruitment Setting: Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program (HDFP) clinics Self-selected: No	confirmation; BP controlled in past year (no SBP>180 past yr, average DBP<95 past yr, average of last 2 DBP <91 and neither >95 <b>Exclusion:</b> History of congestive heart failure; history or ECG evidence of myocardial infarction; history of stroke or transient ischemic attacks; creatine level of 2.5 mg/dL or more on at least two determinations; history of personal problems or intercurrent illness making compliance with dietary regimen difficult or impossible; severe alcoholism; pregnancy; β-blocker therapy for angina; glucocorticoid therapy for an indefinite period	N Randomized: Total: 496 Overweight IG1 (Weight reduction): 87 IG2 (Sodium restriction): 101 CG1 (no medications): 89 CG2 (continue medications): 48 Not overweight IG (sodium restriction): 68 CG1 (no medications): 70 CG2 (continue medications): 33 Note: IG1 and CG1 from the overweight group are the only 2 groups of interest, n=176. Followup (13 mo), n (%): Total: 144 (81.8) IG: 67 (77.0) CG: 77 (86.5) Cluster information: NA	% Black: 65.9 (calc) SES (income, education): NR % Hypertension: 100 % Mild hypertensives: 42.6 (calc) % Diabetes: NR % Dyslipidemia: NR Other health problems (list): NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Martin, 2008 <sup>158</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Women between 18 and 65 years	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 41.8 (calc)
Martin, 2006 <sup>268</sup>	Location: Louisiana, US	old; overweight or obese (BMI≥25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ); low income (<\$16,000 annual income); attendees of the primary care clinic for at least 1 year;	eligibility: 256 N eligible: 144 N excluded: 91	Sex (% female): 100
Fair	Recruitment Setting: Primary care physician office waiting rooms Self-selected: No	free of serious or uncontrolled medical conditions (e.g. renal or hepatic failure, cancer, immunological disease, uncontrolled hypertension) Exclusion: Use of weight-altering medications; pregnancy; severe psychiatric illness; alcohol intake >14 drinks per week; serious physical illness	N refused or other reason: 21 N Randomized: 144 IG: 71 CG: 73 N ITT: Total: 137 IG: 68 CG: 69	Race/Ethnicity: % African American: 100 SES (income, education): % Completed high school/GED: 74.3 (calc) % Hypertension: NR
			Followup (9, 12, 18 mo), n (%): 9 months Total: 102 (70.8)	% Diabetes: NR % Dyslipidemia: NR
			IG: NR CG: NR 12 months Total: 93 (64.6) IG: NR CG: NR 18 months Total: 91 (63.2)	Other health problems (list): NR
			IG: NR (56) CG: NR (77) <b>Cluster information:</b> Analysis Adjusted for Clustering: Y Number of clusters: 8 Average cluster size: 17 Inter-cluster correlation: NR	
Mayer-Davis, 2004 <sup>159</sup>	Design: RCT	<b>Inclusion:</b> Aged 45 years and older; clinical	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 60.4 (calc)
POWER	Location: South Carolina.	diagnosis of diabetes; Bivil 225 kg/m	N eligible: NR	Sex (% female): 80.3 (calc)
Fair	US Recruitment Setting: Rural primary health care centers	<b>Exclusion:</b> Any limitation that would prohibit full participation in the study (e.g., metastatic cancer, multiple or recent MI or stroke, dialysis for end-stage renal disease, severe psychiatric disease or dementia, or inability to walk)	N excluded: NR N refused or other reason: NR N randomized: Total: 187 IG (R-L): NR	Race/Ethnicity: % Black: 81.6 (calc) % Non-Hispanic White: 17.8 (calc) % Other: 0.6 (calc) SES (income, education): % Less than HS: 48.7 (calc)
	Self-selected: No		IG (I-L): NR	<b>% Less man 113.</b> 40.7 (Calc)
			Followup (12 mo) n (%):	% nypertension: //.6 (calc)
			Total: 152 (81.3)	% Diabeles: 100
			IG1: 47 (NR)	Other health problems (list): ND
			CG: 56 (NR) Cluster information: NA	Baseline characteristics for participants still present at 12 mo

Study Reference	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers	Participant Characteristics
Quality Rating	<b>B</b> 1 507		Retention	
Mensink, 2003	Design: RC1	Inclusion: Aged 40-70 years and a family	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 56.7 (calc)
14 0000269	Less them. The	nistory of diabetes or a BMI ≥25 kg/m <sup>-</sup> ; mean	eligibility: 6108	<b>0</b> (0/ formalla): 40.0 (abla)
Mensink, 2003-55	Location: The	2-hour glucose concentration of two oral	N eligible: NR	Sex (% female): 43.9 (calc)
E a la	Netherlands	glucose-tolerance tests between 7.8-12.5	N excluded: 2504	Deee/Ethnicity
Fair	Deerwitment Setting	mmol/L; mean fasting blood glucose $\leq 7.8$	N refused or other research 2400	
	Recruitment Setting:	mmol/L, Caucasian	N refused of other reason: 3490	
	selected from an existing	Exclusion: Known or evert disbetes:	N Bandomizadi	SES (income advection): ND
	civil registrice	proviously diagnoses diabetes, evoluting	Total: 114	SES (Income, education). NR
	civil registries	destational diabetes; mean 2-hour blood	IG: 55	% Hypertension: NR
	Self-selected: No	ducose >12.5 mmol/l · mean fasting blood	CG: 59	/i riypertension. Nix
	Cell-Selected. No	ducose >7.8 mmol/L: medication use known	00.00	% Diabetes: 0
		to interfere with glucose tolerance.	Followup (24 mo), n (%):	100% impaired glucose tolerance
		participation in regular vigorous exercise or	Total: 92 (80.7)	
		an intensive weight reduction program during	IG: 41 (74.5)	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		the last year before the start of the study:	CG: 51 (86.4)	
		presence of any chronic disease that	( )	Other health problems (list): NR
		hampered participation in a lifestyle	Cluster information: NA	
		intervention program; improbability of a 5-		
		year survival		
Mitsui, 2008 <sup>161</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: 50-69 years of age; waist	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 63.3 (calc)
		circumference ≥85 cm (men) or ≥90 cm	eligibility: NR	
Fair	Location: Japan	(women); no regular exercise for the past 6	N eligible: 46	Sex (% female): 54.3 (calc)
		months; present non-smoker; ambulant; no	N excluded: NR	
	Recruitment Setting:	history of serious disease such as diabetes,	N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Public announcement	cancer, stroke, heart disease, or kidney		
		disease requiring dialysis	N Randomized:	SES (income, education): NR
	Self-selected: Yes		l otal: 46	
		Exclusion: NR	IG: 24	% Hypertension:
			CG: 22	% Taking medication for
			Followup (12 mo) n (%):	hypertension: 17.4 (calc)
			Total: 43 (03 5)	% Diabotos: NR
			IC: 22 (01 7)	
			CG: 21 (95.5)	% Dyslinidemia: NR
			00.21(00.0)	/ Bjonpidemia. Nit
			Cluster information: NA	Other health problems (list): NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Moore, 2003 <sup>162</sup>	Design: Cluster randomized trial	Inclusion: Obese adults (BMI ≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ); aged 16 to 64 years	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 48.6 (calc)
Fair	Location: England	Exclusion: NR	N eligible: 991 N excluded: NR	Sex (% female): 73.9 (calc)
	Recruitment Setting:		N refused or other reason: NR N Lost during run-in: 148	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	General practices		N Randomized:	SES (income, education): Median (IQR) SES in practice:
	Self-selected: No		Total: 843 IG: 415	IG: 3.4 (-0.9, 5.8), CG: 2.4 (0.1, 7.1)
			CG: 428	% Hypertension: NR
			Followup (12, 18 mo), n (%): 12 months	% Diabetes: NR
			Total: 565 (67.0) IG: 279 (67.2)	% Dyslipidemia: NR
			CG: 286 (66.8) 18 months	Other health problems (list): NR
			Total: 531 (63.0) IG: 256 (61.7)	
			CG: 275 (64.3) Cluster information:	
			Analysis Adjusted for Clustering: Y	
Narayan, 1998 <sup>163</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Obesity (BMI ≥27 kg/m <sup>2</sup> for men and ≥25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> for women): pormoglycemia	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: 404	Age (mean): 33.5 (calc)
Fair	Location: Arizona, US	(2-hour post-load plasma glucose <7.8 mM);	N screened: 190	Sex (% female): 75.8 (calc)
	Recruitment Setting:	ageu 20-04 years	N excluded: 60	Race/Ethnicity:
	Residents of Gila River	Exclusion: Previous diagnosis of diabetes;	N refused or other reason: 35	% Pima Indian: 100
	direct invitation and media	hours/week; prescribed low-fat diet; randomization of another member of the	N randomized: Total: 95	SES (income, education): NR
	Self-selected: Mixed	household to the study; evidence of ischemic beatt disease: chronic illness: current	IG: 48 CG: 47	% Hypertension: NR
	(84/95 invited, 11 self-	treatment with steroids, thiazides, or beta	Eollowup (6, 12 mo), n (%):	% Diabetes: 0
	Selected)	pregnant soon; conditions likely to interfere	6 mo	% Dyslipidemia: NR
			IG: NR	Other health problems (list): NR
			12 mo	
			IG: NR CG: NR	
			Cluster information: NA	
Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
---	--	---	--	---
Parikh, 2010 <sup>208</sup> Project HEED Fair	Design: RCT Location: New York, US Recruitment Setting: Community Self-selected: NR	Inclusion: Aged ≥ 18 years; East Harlem resident; English or Spanish speaking; BMI ≥ 25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; not pregnant; no diabetes; did not use glucose-altering medications; and able to participate in group sessions; pre-diabetes glucose levels Exclusion: Normal or diabetes-level glucose readings	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: 555 N eligible: 103 N excluded: 75 N refused or other reason: 310 N randomized: Total: 99 IG: 50 CG: 49 Followup, n (%): 12 mo Total: 72 (72.7) IG: 35 (70.0) CG: 37 (75.5) Cluster information: NA	Age (mean): 48 Sex (% female): 85 Race/Ethnicity: % Hispanic: 89 % Black: 9 SES (income, education): % No high school diploma: 58 % Annual income: < \$15,000: 62 \$15,000-30,000: 26 > \$30,000: 12 % Hypertension: 31 % Diabetes: 0% (all pre-diabetic) % Dyslipidemia: 25 Other health problems (list): Depressive symptoms, food
Perri, 1988 <sup>164</sup> Fair	Design: RCT (all groups received treatment for 6 months, but then treatment differed for a maintenance period) Location: NR (authors from New York and Indiana, US) Recruitment Setting: Advertisements Self-selected: Yes	Inclusion: 20-100% over ideal body weight based on Metropolitan Life Insurance Company norms; not currently involved in other weight-loss programs; not suffering from any significant health disorders; not taking any medication that would affect weight loss; willing to commit themselves to involvement in the study over a 24-month period; not pregnant or planning to become pregnant during the course of the study Exclusion: NR	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: 182           N eligible: 123           N excluded: NR           N refused or other reason: NR           N refused or other reason: NR           N randomized: Total: 123 IG1 (BC): 25 IG2 (BCS): 25 IG3 (BCA): 26 IG4 (BCAS): 26 CG (B): 21           Followup (6, 24 mo), n (%): 6 months (initial tx phase) Total: 94 (76.4) IG1 (BC): 19* (76.0) IG2 (BCS): 18* (73.1) IG3 (BCA): 20* (76.9) CG (B): 17* (81.0) 24 months Total: 91 (74.0) IG1 (BC): 19 (76.0*) IG2 (BCS): 19 (76.0*) IG3 (BCA): 18 (69.2*) IG3 (BCA): 18 (69.2*) IG4 (BCAS): 19 (73.1*) CG (B): 16 (76.2*)           * calc           Cluster information: NA	Age (mean): NR (range 22-59) Sex (% female): 78.9 (calc) Race/Ethnicity: NR SES (income, education): NR % Hypertension: NR % Diabetes: NR % Dyslipidemia: NR Other health problems (list): NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Pritchard, 1999 <sup>165</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged between 25 and 65 years; pre-existing diagnosis of overweight,	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: 296	Age (mean): NR (73% of patients were less than 50 years old)
Fair	Location: Australia	hypertension, or type 2 diabetes or without pre-existing diagnosis but appeared to be	N eligible: NR N excluded: NR N rofused or other reason: 44	Sex (% female): 72.5 (calc)
	Screened opportunistically when attending university	Exclusion: Mentally ill; intellectually	N rendsed of other reason: 44 N randomized:	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	general practice	handicapped; terminally ill; acutely ill; pregnant; participating in other health	IG1 (dietitian): 88* IG2 (doctor + dietitian): 92*	<b>SES (income, education):</b> 58% of patients in most disadvantaged
	Self-selected: No	education programs	CG: 90 Followup (12 mo), n (%):	disadvantaged, 20% were more disadvantaged, 20% were less disadvantaged, and 2% were least
			Total: 177 (65.6)* IG1: 48 (54.5)*	disadvantaged
			G2: 65 (70.6)* CG: 64 (71.1)*	% Hypertension: 32 (calc)
			Cluster information: NA * Note: This includes only those who were overweight Patients did	% Dyslipidemia: NR
			not have to be overweight and the unit inclusion.Followup rates for the whole sample are not available	Other health problems (list):
			Results are only abstracted for the overweight sample.	Note: Baseline characteristics include all participants, including those who were not overweight
Silva, 2009 <sup>166</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Female; 25-50 years old;	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 37.6
Silva, 2008 <sup>270</sup>	Location: Portugal	willing to attend weekly meetings for 1 year and be tested regularly for 3 years: be free	N eligible: 290 met initial crit N excluded: 653 (+19 excluded	Sex (% female): 100
Teixeira, 2009 <sup>271</sup>	<b>Recruitment Setting:</b> Website, newspapers, TV	from major illness; not taking or having taken in the previous year medication known to	post-rand) N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity: NR
Fair	and radio ads, and fliers distributed in health care	interfere with body weight regulation (namely anti-depressive medication); willing to not	N randomized: Total: 258	SES (income, education): % Higher education: 67
	centers, local services, schools, etc.	participate in any other formal or informal weight loss program during the first year of the study (intervention group only); not	IG: NR CG: NR	% Hypertension: NR
	Self-selected: Yes	pregnant or lactating	N excluded after randomization: 19	% Diabetes: NR
		Exclusion: NR	N "valid initial sample": Total: 239	% Dyslipidemia: NR
			IG: 123 CG: 116	Other health problems (list): NR
			Followup (12 mo), n (%): Total: 208 (87.0) (80.6 of all rand) IG: 115 (93.5) CG: 93 (80.2)	
			Cluster information: NA	

Study Reference	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers	Participant Characteristics
Quality Rating			Retention	
Simkin-Silverman,	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Women aged 44-50; <3 months	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 47
2003		amenorrhea in the 6 months prior to the initial	eligibility: 2115	
	Location: Pennsylvania,	telephone interview; not taking HRT; no	N eligible for initial screening:	Sex (% female): 100
Simkin-Silverman,	US	surgically induced menopause (hysterectomy	1021	
1998-12		or bilateral oophorectomy); DBP <95 mmHg;	N eligible among screened: 637	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Recruitment Setting:	BMI 20-34 kg/m2; fasting glucose <140	N excluded: NR	
Kuller, 2001-75	Mass mailing to registered	mg/dl; LDL 80-160 mg/dl; total cholesterol	N refused or other reason: NR	SES (income, education): NR
274	voters	140-260 mg/dl; not taking any lipid-lowering		
Park, 2007-14		agents, insulin, thyroid, antihypertensive, or	N randomized:	% Hypertension: 0% HTN meds or
	Self-selected: Yes	psychotropic medications; not treated for	Total: 535	DBP≥95
Women's Healthy		cancer in the past 5 years; not having	IG: 260	
Lifestyle Project		participated in a weight reduction program	CG: 275	% Diabetes: NR
(WHLP)		within the past 4 months		
			N complete 6- and 18-mo data:	% Dyslipidemia: 0% lipid lowering
Good		Exclusion: NR	Total: 489 (91.4)	meds or TC≥260
			IG: 236 (85.8)	
			CG: 253 (97.3)	Other health problems (list): NR
			Followup (54 mo), n (%):	
			Total: 509 (95.1) (calc)	
			IG: 246 (94.6 (calc))	
			CG: 263 (95.6 (calc))	
			Cluster information: NA	
Stevens 1993 <sup>168</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged 30-54 years: high-normal	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 43.0
	Designi Ker	DBP (80-89 mmHa): BMI <36 ka/m <sup>2</sup>	eligibility: 16 821	
Whelton 1992 <sup>275</sup>	Location: 10 clinical		N eligible: NR	Sex (% female): 29.9 (calc)
Whenon, 1992	centers US	<b>Exclusion</b> : Hypertensive (DBP >90 mmHq or	N excluded: NR	
The Trials of		use of BP meds within 2 months of the first	N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity:
Hypertension	Recruitment Setting: NR	evaluation). CVD: contraindication to any of		% White: 82.2
Prevention		the TOHP Phase Linterventions: might have	N randomized	% Black: 15.0
Collaborative Research	Self-selected: NR	difficulty complying with the treatment or	Total: 2182 overall 564 to	
Group 1992 <sup>276</sup>		follow-up requirements of the trial: DM:	weight loss IG and CG	SES (income, education):
01000, 1002		dastrointestinal tract disease: chronic renal	IG: 308	College graduates: 52.5%
Trials of Hypertension		failure: malignant neoplasm: current	CG: 256	Solicyc gradates. 52.070
Prevention Phase I		pregnancy or intent to become pregnant	00.200	% Hypertension: 0
		during the study: recent history of psychiatric	Followup (18 mo) n (%):	,
Good		disorders	Total: 528 (93.6 (calc))	% Diabetes: 0
			IG: 293 (95 1 (calc))	/ 2/200000
			CG: 235 (91.8 (calc))	% Dyslinidemia: NR
			Cluster information: NA	Other health problems (list): NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Stevens, 2001 <sup>169</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged 30-54 years; nonmedicated DBP 83-89 mmHg and SBP <140 mmHg;	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: 18,326	Age (mean): 43.3
Hollis, 1995 <sup>277</sup>	Location: 9 clinical centers, US	BMI 26.1-37.4 for men and 24.4-37.4 for women (110-165% of ideal body weight)	N eligible: NR N excluded: NR	Sex (% female): 34.3 (calc)
TOHP, 1997 <sup>278</sup>	Recruitment Setting:	Exclusion: Current treatment with	N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity: White: 78.8%
Trials of Hypertension Prevention Phase II	Mass mailings, sometimes tailored; community	medications that might affect BP; clinical or laboratory evidence of CVD; DM; renal	N randomized: Total: 1191 (does not include	Black: 17.5%
Good	screenings through worksite health fairs,	insufficiency (serum creatine concentration ≥150 mmol/L for men and ≥132 mmol/L for	sodium and sodium + weight loss groups)	SES (income, education): % College graduate: 50.8
	churches, shopping centers, and other	women); current or planned pregnancy; alcohol intake > 21 drinks/wk; current or	IG: 595 CG: 596	% Hypertension: 0
	collection agencies;	planned pregnancy	Followup (36 mo), n (%):	% Diabetes: 0
	television advertising; referrals from medical		IG: 547 (calc) (92) CG: 554 (calc) (93)	% Dyslipidemia: NR
	providers		Cluster information: NA	Other health problems (list): Elevated (but sub-clinical) DBP
	Self-selected: Mixed (primarily self-selected)			
Svetkey, 2008 <sup>170</sup>	Design: RCT	<b>Inclusion:</b> Age 25+, BMI 25-45 at start of Phase I; taking medication for hypertension,	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: 3178 after pre-	Age (mean): 55.6
Weight Loss Maintenance Trial	Location: 4 clinical centers, US	and/or dyslipidemia; no active CVD (with a positive Rose angina questionnaire or a CVD	screening, 2402 attended in- person screening	Sex (% female): 63.4
PROTOCOL, 2008 <sup>279</sup>	Recruitment Setting:	event >12 months before study entry and a negative stress test could join with	N eligible: NR N excluded: NR	Race/Ethnicity: % African American: 37.6
WLM	Mass mailings, advertisements in local	permission from physician); access to a telephone and Internet; keep a 5-day food	N refused or other reason: NR	% Non- African American: 62.4
Good	papers and radio, screening events,	diary during the screening; weight loss of 4+ kg during Phase I	N randomized: Total: 1032	SES (income, education): Household income/y
	physician referral	Exclusion: Medication-treated DM; recent	IG1 (interactive technology): 348 IG2 (personal contact): 342	<\$60,000: 42.6% ≥\$60,000: 57.4%
	Self-selected: Yes	cardiovascular event, angina, cancer or other medical or psychiatric conditions that would	CG: 342	<i>Education</i> ≤Some college: 38.4%
		preclude full participation; weight loss >9 kg in the last 3 months; recent use of weight loss	Followup (12 mo), n (%): Total: 985 (95.4 (calc))	College degree: 61.6%
		medications or surgery; member of a household with a randomized participant or	IG1: 333 (95.7 (calc)) IG2: 328 (95.9 (calc))	% Hypertension: 87% HTN meds
		staff of WLM; use of meds for wt loss, psychosis or bipolar; pregnant, nursing or	CG: 324 (94.7 (calc)) Followup (30 mo), n (%):	% Diabetes: 0% DM meds
		planning pregnancy; >21 drinks/wk	Total: 964 (93.4) (calc) IG1: 323 (92.8 (calc))	% Dyslipidemia: 40% lipid meds
			IG2: 321 (93.9 (calc)) CG: 320 (93.6 (calc))	Other health problems (list): NR
			Cluster information: NA	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
ter Bogt, 2009 <sup>171</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged 40-70 years; BMI between	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 56.1 (calc)
Fair	Location: The Netherlands	25 and 40; hypertension (SBP ≥140 mmHg and DBP ≥90 mmHg based on 2 measurements on at least 2 different visits)	eligibility: 1378 N eligible: 825 N excluded: 381	Sex (% female): 51.9 (calc)
	Recruitment Setting:	and/or dyslipidemia (total serum cholesterol	N refused or other reason: 540	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	General practices	women <1.1 mmol/L; ratio of total-HDL cholesterol >6: or current use of cholesterol-	N randomized:	SES (income, education):
	Self-selected: No (200- 250 patients/provider	lowering medication)	IG: 225 CG: 232	participants)
	invited to screening visit)	Exclusion: Diabetes; hypothyroidism,		% Hypertension: 61.7 (calc)
		pregnancy, liver or kidney disease; current treatment for malignancy; shortened life expectancy; mental illness; addiction to	Followup (12 mo), n (%): Total: 416 (91.0) IG: 201 (89.3)	% Diabetes: 0
		alcohol or drugs	CG: 215 (92.7)	% Dyslipidemia: 39.2 (calc)
			<b>Cluster information:</b> (No cluster randomization, but analysis did adjust for nested data) Analysis Adjusted for Clustering: Y (for nested data) Number of clusters: 11 Average cluster size: 42 Inter-cluster correlation: NR	Other health problems (list): Metabolic syndrome; using medication for hypertension; using medication for dyslipidemia; current smokers; SCORE (Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation; 10-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease)
Tuomilehto, 2001 <sup>172</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: BMI >25; aged 40-64 years; 2-	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 55
Eriksson, 1999 <sup>280</sup>	Location: Finland	hour plasma glucose 7.8-11.0 mmol/L (OGTT 75 g) with a non-diabetic fasting glucose concentration i.e. plasma glucose <7.8	eligibility: NR N eligible: NR N excluded: NR	Sex (% female): 67.0
Lindstrom, 2003 <sup>281</sup>	<b>Recruitment Setting:</b> Five	mmol/L	N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity: NR
Uusitupa, 2009 <sup>282</sup>	recruited through epidemiological surveys.	<b>Exclusion:</b> Persons with a previous diagnosis of DM other than gestational DM:	N randomized: Total: 523. 1 excluded at	SES (income, education): NR
Finnish Diabetes	opportunistic population	involved regularly in a vigorous exercise	baseline	% Hypertension:
Prevention Study	screenings with special	program; receiving treatment to lower blood	IG: 265	On anti-hypertension meds:
Good	groups such as obese	advice: any chronic disease making a 6-year	CG. 257	CG: 31
	subjects and first-degree relatives of Type II diabetic patients, and advertising in	survival improbable; other medical characteristics likely to interfere with participation in the study; unbalanced clinical	Followup (12 mo), n (%) (calc): Total: 507 (96.9) IG: 256 (96.6)	% Diabetes: 0
	local papers	conditions such as thyroid and liver diseases	CG: 250 (97.3)	% Dyslipidemia:
	Solf colocted: Mixed	which could interfere with glucose	Note: 1 subject did not undergo	On meds of dyslipidemia:
	Sen-Selected: Mixea		the study, group NR	CG: 6.1%
			Cluster information: NA	Other health problems (list):
				8% DVC at baseline

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Villareal, 2008 <sup>173</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged ≥65 years; BMI ≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ;	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 70.0 (calc)
Villareal, 2006 <sup>283</sup>	Location: Missouri, US	did not participate in regular exercise >2x/wk; stable body weight (±2 kg) in the previous year: treatment with medications was	N eligible: 27 N excluded: 13	Sex (% female): 66.7 (calc)
Villareal, 2006 <sup>284</sup>	Recruitment Setting:	unchanged for at least 6 months before enrollment: moderate frailty by at least 2 of	N refused or other reason: 0	Race/Ethnicity: NR
Fair	Self-selected: Yes	the following criteria: 1) physical performance test score of $18-32$ . 2) peak O <sub>2</sub> consumption	N randomized: Total: 27	SES (income, education): NR
		of 11-18 ml/kg-min, 3) difficulty or need for assistance in 2 IADLs or 1 ADL	IG: 17 CG: 10	% Hypertension: NR
				% Diabetes: NR
		<b>Exclusion:</b> Severe cardiopulmonary disease; neuromuscular impairments that preclude exercise training: visual, hearing, or cognitive	Followup (12 mo), n (%): Total: 24 (88.9 (calc)) IG: 15 (88.2) (calc)	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		impairments; history of malignant neoplasm; treatment with bone-acting drugs during the	CG: 9 (90.0) (calc)	Other health problems (list): Moderate frailty
		previous year	Cluster information: NA	
Werkman, 2010	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Recent retirees (date of retirement	N recruited: ~1100	Age (mean): 59.5
Good	Location: The	measurement): aged 55-65 years: not	N eligible: 415	Sex (% female): 0 (women
	Netherlands	undergoing any medical treatment that might	N excluded: 28	participants not included in the
		affect body composition	N refused or other reason: 2	analysis)
	Recruitment Setting: Pre-	<b>-</b>		
	retirement workshops	Exclusion: NR	N randomized:	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	$\sim 10\%$ of the Dutch		IG: 209 (174 men)	SES (income education):
	population		CG: 204 (178 men)	% Low educational level: 24
	Self-selected: No		Followup (12, 24 mo), n (%):	% Hypertension:
			12 mo (men only) Total: 335 (95 2)	% Hypertension drugs: 16
			IG: 166 (95.4)	% Diabetes: 3
			24 mo (men only)	% Dyslipidemia:
			Total: 301 (85.5)	% Cholesterol-reducing drugs: 12
			CG: 154 (86.5)	Other health problems (list):
			(12 months after cessation of the	Current smokers; perceived health
			intervention)	
			Cluster information:	
			Analysis Adjusted for Clustering: Y	
			(treatment effect), N (mean	
			Number of clusters: NP	
			Average cluster size. NR	
			Inter-cluster correlation: NR	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Whelton, 1998 <sup>175</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged 60-80 years; average	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 66 (calc)
Appel, 1995 <sup>285</sup>	Location: Four academic health centers, US	antihypertensive medication or single combination regimen of a diuretic and	N eligible: 995 N excluded: NR	Sex (% female): 52.6 (calc)
Chao, 2000 <sup>286</sup>	Pocruitmont Sotting:	nondiuretic agent); if taking 2	N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity:
Kumanyika, 2002 <sup>287</sup> Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly Good	Recruitment Setting: Mass mailings; radio, television, and newspaper advertisements; BP screenings; participants from prior research studies Self-selected: Mixed	antihypertensive medications and weaned to 1 during screening; physician willing to participate; stable health; independent in ADLs; capacity to alter diet and PA <b>Exclusion:</b> History of a heart attack, stroke in previous 6 months; current angina pectoris; congestive heart failure; insulin-dependent DM; serious mental or physical illness; involuntary or unexplained weight loss ≥4.5 kg in the previous year; BMI<21 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; BMI≥33 (men) or ≥37 (women) kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; inability to comply with the protocol; hypercreatinemia (>152 mmol/L); hyperglycemia (nonfasting level<110 g/L); hyperkalemia (>5.5 mmol/L)	N randomized: Total: 585; IG(WL) + IG(WL+Na); and IG(Na) + CG(UC) from overweight groups IG1 (WL) 147 IG2 (combined): 147 CG1 (UC): 147 CG2 (Na): 144 Followup (15-36 mo, 29 median, end point known), n (%): Total: NR IG1 (weight loss): 145 (99) IG2 (combined): 141 (96) CG1+ non-OW UC: 331 (97) (est 98% at 12-mo for OW sample) Followup (15-36 mo, 29 median, last assessment done) Total NR IG1 (weight loss): 137 (93) IG2 (combined): 131 (89) CG1+ non-OW UC: 314 (92)	<ul> <li>% White: 71.8 (calc)</li> <li>% African American: 27.9 (calc)</li> <li>SES (income, education):</li> <li>% High school grad: 87.5 (calc)</li> <li>% Hypertension: 100</li> <li>% Diabetes: NR</li> <li>% Dyslipidemia: NR</li> <li>Other health problems (list): NR (Combining all 4 groups)</li> </ul>
			Cluster information: NA	
Wood, 1991 <sup>177</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Men with a BMI of 28-34 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 39.7
Kiernan, 2001 <sup>288</sup>	Location: California, US	30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; aged 25-49 years; non-smokers; sedentary (exercising not more than twice a	N eligible: NR N excluded: NR	Sex (% female): 48.5 (calc)
Fair	Recruitment Setting: NR	week and for less than 30 minutes per time);	N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity:
	Self-selected: Yes	average; in generally good health; not taking medications known to affect blood pressure or lipid metabolism; resting blood pressure <160/95 mmHg; plasma total cholesterol <260 mg/dL; plasma triglyceride level <500 mg/dL Exclusion: Pregnant, lactating, or taking oral contraceptives in the previous 6 months (women); planning a pregnancy in the subsequent 2 years (women)	N randomized: Total: 264 IG1 (diet): 87 IG2 (diet + exercise): 90 CG: 87 Followup (12 mo), n (%): Total: 231 (87.5) IG1: 71 (81.6) IG2: 81 (90.0) CG: 79 (90.8) Cluster information: NA	<ul> <li>SES (income, education): Mean (SD) years of education: 16.5 (2.6)</li> <li>% Hypertension: NR</li> <li>% Diabetes: NR</li> <li>% Dyslipidemia: NR</li> <li>Other health problems (list): NR Note: Characteristics at baseline for</li> </ul>

Oregon Evidence-based Practice Center

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Wood, 1988 <sup>176</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Men aged 30-59 years; 120-160	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 44.5 (calc)
Frey-Hewitt, 1990 <sup>150</sup>	Location: California, US	percent of "ideal" body weight; nonsmoker; consume <4 alcoholic drinks/day; not taking medications that might affect blood pressure	eligibility: 750 N eligible (per phone screen): 334	Sex (% female): 0
Fair	Recruitment Setting: Solicitations through the	or lipid metabolism, expected to reside in the Stanford area for at least 1 year; resting	N excluded: NR N refused or other reason: NR	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	media	blood pressure <160/100 mmHg; plasma total cholesterol <8.28 mmol/L; triglycerides <5.65	N randomized:	SES (income, education): NR
	Self-selected: Yes	mmol/L; weight stable (±5 lbs) over previous 1 year; sedentary	Total: 155 IG1 (exercise only): 52	% Hypertension: 0 (below 160/95)
		Exclusion: Substantive electrocardiographic	IG2 (diet only): 51 CG: 52	% Diabetes: NR
		abnormalities during treadmill testing; BP	Followup (12 mo) n (%):	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		lipids; plasma total cholesterol >300 mg/dl; triglycerides >500 mg/dl; exercising ≥3x/week	Total: 131 (84.5) (calc) IG1: 47 (90.4 (calc)) IG2: 42 (82.4 (calc)) CG: 42 (80.8 (calc))	Other health problems (list): NR
			Cluster information: NA	
Woollard, 2003 <sup>178</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Between 20-75 years of age; had	N recruited or assessed for	Age (mean): 60.2 (calc)
Fair	Location: Australia	hypertension (SBP>140 mmHg and DBP>90 mmHg or on antihypertensive drug therapy),	eligibility: NR N eligible: 591	Sex (% female): 50.7 (calc)
	Recruitment Setting:	coronary heart disease	N excluded: NR N refused or other reason: 379	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	General practices	Freehoring ND	N randomized:	
	Self-selected: No		Total: 212	SES (Income, education): NR
			IG1 (low). 69 IG2 (high): 74 CG: 69 (1 missing at BL)	% Hypertension: % Treated hypertension: 84.8 (calc)
			Followup (12, 18 mo), n (%):	% Diabetes:
			12 mo Total: 150 (70.8)	% Non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: 26.5 (calc)
			IG2: 48 (64.9) CG: 53 (76.8)	% Dyslipidemia: % Lipid-lowering drugs: 10.0 (calc)
			18 mo Total: 163 (76.9)	(only in IG2)
			IG1: 52 (75.4) IG2: 54 (73.0) CG: 57 (82.6)	Other health problems (list): 20% Coronary heart disease, 9.5% smokers
			Cluster information: Analysis Adjusted for Clustering: Y Number of clusters: 7 Average cluster size: 30 Inter-cluster correlation: NR	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Anderssen, 1995 <sup>144</sup> ODES (Oslo Diet and Exercise Study)	Aim/theory: Diet Decreased total calorie intake, increased intake of fish and fish products, reduced total and	Intervention description: Diet: Focused on the aims. During counseling a target body weight reduction was agreed upon. At months 3 and 9 there was a followup of the dietary advice. 180-item food frequency questionnaire <i>Exercise:</i> Focused on the aims. Groups of 14-20 were offered a 1 hour supervised exercise program 3 times per week with intensity of 60-80% of each participant's peak heart rate. Additional physical activity was recorded in log books
Fair	saturated fat intake, increased intake of vegetables, decrease intake of sugar, reduced salt intake (if elevated BP), reduction in body weight (usually 0.5-1.0 kg per month), advised against smoking <i>Exercise</i> Endurance exercise, advised against smoking	Control description: Told to not change their lifestyle and advised against smoking Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 3 (diet) (assumed) Length: NR Time period: 12 months Group Sessions Number: 156 (exercise) Length: 1 hour Time period: 12 months
		Who administered intervention: NR Providers: NR Training: NR
		Intervention Setting: Ullevaal Hospital (assumed)
Burko 2005 <sup>145</sup>	Aim/theony Aimed to	Incentives: NR
ADAPT Fair	decrease baseline weight by 5- 10% over the 4-month period, larger goal to reduce need for hypertension meds	Intervention setting. NK Intervention description: Individual sessions, interactive group workshops, and 5 handouts. Diet low in fat (<30% energy from total fat; <10% energy from saturated fat), salt, and sugar, high in fruits and vegetables, 4 fish meals/week. 30 min moderate activity most days and increased incidental activity. Alcohol intake ≤2 drinks per day. Printed handout and individual session on smoking. Social support from partners encouraged. Encouraged self-directed change in behavior focusing on barriers to change, costs/benefits of a healthy lifestyle, goal setting, and time management. Individual sessions addressed factors like diet, blood pressure, cholesterol, weight loss. Group session topics like food purchasing and prep (15-25/group)
		<b>Control description:</b> Information by the National Heart Foundation and the Health Department of Western Australia. Seminars at 2, 7, 12, 14 mo
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions: (est 8 sessions in 12 mos) Number: NR (6 weight/BP check and "regular" phone contact to monitor BP during followup) Length: NR Time period: 4 mo active, 12 mo followup <i>Group Sessions: (est 12 session in 12 mos)</i> Number: 6 active, 6 followup Length: 90 minutes Time period: 4 months
		Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: NR Training: NR
		Incentives: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Christian, 2008 <sup>146</sup>	Aim/theory: Improve physical	Intervention Setting: Outpatient clinic
Fair	activity and diet, enhancing motivation to change	<b>Intervention description:</b> 10-min computer-based assessment of motivational readiness. Computer generated tailored report that addressed barriers to improving PA and diet. 30-page planning guide that provided supplemental information on diabetes and achieving a healthy lifestyle. A report was also generated for the patient's physician with findings from the assessment and counseling recommendations. During regularly scheduled visit, patients met with their physician and talked about the lifestyle change goals. Physicians used motivational interviewing.
		<b>Control description:</b> Packet of health education materials addressing diabetes, diet, and exercise. Completed regular clinic visits with physician
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 4 (baseline, 3,6,9 mo) Length: NR Time period: 9 months <i>Group Sessions</i> Number: NR Length: NR Time period: NR
		Who administered intervention: Primary care staff Providers: Patient's physician Training: 3-hour training session on brief motivational interviewing
		Incentives: NR
Cohen, 1991 <sup>147</sup>	Aim/theory: Reduce dietary caloric content	Intervention Setting: Family health center
Fair		<b>Intervention description:</b> Physicians were taught about importance of weight reduction in managing hypertension and the effects of specific foods on body weight, caloric contents of foods, and strategies for changing dietary habits of their patients; patients were instructed about importance of blood pressure control at baseline; patients received consultations from their physicians about caloric content of various foods, suggestions regarding dietary changes, and short-term goal setting; participants' weight was recorded
		<b>Control description:</b> Instructed about importance of blood pressure control at baseline; usual care, physicians were free to refer their patients for dietary advice or therapy or to provide this themselves
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: Presume 12 ("monthly") Length: NR Time period: Presume 12 months, length of study Group Sessions
		Number: NR Length: NR Time period: NR
		Who administered intervention: Primary care staff Providers: Primary care staff Training: Received education session conducted by behavioral psychologist
		Incentives: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Cussler, 2008 <sup>148</sup>	Aim/theory: Weight loss of 0.5	Intervention Setting: NR
Fair	kg per week	<b>Intervention description:</b> Group sessions weekly. Encouraged to produce small but lasting changes in eating and PA patterns, leading to a daily energy deficit of 300-500 kcal. Individualized goals for energy intake and expenditure. Targeted physical activity, nutrition and healthy eating, social support, and the mind/body connection. After the 4 month intervention, the website hosted communication tools, progress monitoring tools, curriculum materials, dietary and PA information, links to other websites of interest. Participants were offered two 2-hour training sessions for the website
		<b>Control description:</b> Participated in the group sessions with the IG. After the 4 month intervention, self-directed participants had no further contact with the study staff except for testing
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: NR Length: NR Time period: NR <i>Group Sessions</i> Number: 16 (weekly, wt-loss), 2 (maint) Length: 150 min (wt-loss), 2-hr (maint) Time period: 4 mo (wt-loss), 12 mo (maint)
		Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: NR Training: NR
		Incentives: NR
Davis, 1992 <sup>149</sup> Langford, 1991 <sup>260</sup> Davis, 1989 <sup>261</sup> TAIM Fair	Aim/theory: Reduction of 10% of baseline weight or 4.54 kg (whichever was greater)	Intervention Setting: NR Intervention description: Placebo med, standard program of diet counseling, nutrition education, and related activities aimed at weight loss Control description: Placebo med, No further nutritional counseling beyond the initial explanation of the allocation and general consultation provided to all participants Control weighing frequency: Monthly intervals for 6 months then quarterly Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: Est 6 in 1st year (every 6 weeks after group phase ended), quarterly thereafter Length: NR Time period: For the duration Group Sessions Number: 10 Length: NR Time period: 30 months Session in 1st 12 mos: 16 Who administered intervention: NR Providers: NR Training: NR
		Incentives: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Diabetes Prevention Program Research	Aim/theory: Achieve and maintain weight reduction of at	Intervention Setting: NR
Group, 1999 <sup>142</sup> Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group, 2005 <sup>212</sup>	least 7% of initial body weight through healthy eating and physical activity. Achieve and maintain physical activity of 150 minutes/week through moderate activity.	Intervention description: Standard: Written info, 20-30 min individual session with case manager. Food Pyramid guidelines. Consume equivalent of National Cholesterol Education Program step 1 diet. Lose 5-10% of initial weight through diet and exercise, increase to 30 min of moderate activity 5 days/week, avoid excessive alcohol intake. Reviewed annually. Intensive: Training in diet, exercise, and behavior modification skills. Frequent support for behavior change. Flexible diet and exercise interventions. Common and individually tailored infor. Group courses focused on maintenance and topics related to exercise, weight loss, or behavioral issues. IG-L=Standard+Intensive
Orchard, 2005		Control description: Standard intervention.
Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group, 2005 <sup>205</sup>		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 1+16+12=29
Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group, 2005 <sup>207</sup>		Time period: 24 weeks; 30 months <i>Group Sessions</i> Number: 12 Length: NR
Ackermann, 2009 <sup>211</sup>		Time period: 30 months Est sessions in first 12 mos: 23
Diabetes Prevention Program		Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: Case managers Training: In putrition, exercise, or behavior modification
Good		Incentives: Rewards (by clinic judgment)
Fitzgibbon, 2010 <sup>204</sup>	Aim/theory: Weight loss goal	Intervention Setting: University campus
ORBIT Fair	of 7% initial body weight for the first 6 mo, maintained for the next 12 mo	Intervention description: Weight-loss: Group classes. Taught behavioral strategies like self-monitoring, stimulus and portion control. Encouraged to adopt low-fat high-fiber diet with increased fruit and vegetables and decreased caloric intake. Encouraged to increase physical activity (10,000 steps/day) and given a pedometer. Given feedback on self-monitoring logs. Motivational inter- viewing that addressed diet or physical activity Maintenance: Weight loss if goal not met during first 6 mo. Motivational interviewing and group sessions. Newsletters each month on general health and safety topics
		Control description: Weekly newsletters on general health and safety topics. Telephoned monthly for questions/concerns
		Control weighing frequency: BL, 6, 18 mo
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 18 Length: 20-30 minutes Time period: 18 mo Group Sessions Number: 117 Length: NR Time period: 18 mo Est contacts in first 12 mo: 116 Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: Trained interventionists Training: "trained" Incentives: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Haapala, 2009 <sup>151</sup>	Aim/theory: Attitudes to	Intervention Setting: Over mobile phone
Fair	teletechnology and perceptions of personal self-efficacy in dieting will influence contact and the use made of the program and affect weight loss	<b>Intervention description:</b> Weight loss program called Weight Balance. Costs accrued due to the program were covered. Program calculated daily energy requirement and sent a text indicating percentage reached for the day's target weight; extent to which they had reached their daily weight goal; amount of food to be consumed in proportion to the subject's normal diet; and days remaining until target. Based only on text messages and initiated by participant. Advised to leave out foods high in sugar and/or fat and cut down on alcohol and increase physical activity. Website provided personal space for dietary records and tracking weight. Offered links to information on healthy nutrition and physical activity. Dieters were allowed to set target weight either as a short- or long-term goal and adjust as needed every 3 mo. Weight loss at 2 kg/mo (max of 4.8 kg/mo)
		Control description: Received no intervention (offered the intervention after 12 mo)
		Control weighing frequency: BL and 12 mo
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: NA (text messages initiated by participant) Length: NR Time period: 12 mo <i>Group Sessions:</i> NR
		Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: Text messages Training: NR
		Incentives: NR
Hypertension Prevention Trial Research Group, 1990 <sup>143</sup> HPT	Aim/theory: Bring body weight to desirable body weight (individual); 5% reduction in mean body weight (group)	Intervention Setting: NR Intervention description: Counseling aimed at achieving and sustaining the desired dietary changes. Techniques included a mixture of didactic presentations and demonstrations, token incentives, telephone calls, and newsletters. Control description: "Passive" control with no dietary counseling. Appears that only control group contact is for
Cood		assessment. (See p6S in Meintert et al)
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: NR Length: NR Time period: NR Group Sessions Number: ~29 (calc) Length: NR Time period: 36 months (est 16 in 1st 12 mos) Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: "Personnel trained and experienced in affecting behavior changes related to shopping, cooking, and eating practices." Training: NR Incentives: "Token incentives"

Study Reference Intervention Aim/Theory Quality Rating	Description of Intervention and Control
Irwin, 2003 <sup>152</sup> <b>Aim/theory:</b> Reduce by fat by	Intervention Setting: Study facility and at home
Frank, 2005 <sup>263</sup> at least 45 minutes of moderate-intensity exercise 5 days/week         Mohanka, 2006 <sup>264</sup> at least 45 minutes of moderate-intensity exercise 5 days/week	<b>Intervention description:</b> Exercise sessions at the study facilities including treadmill walking, stationary bicycling, and strength training; home exercises including walking, aerobics, and bicycling. Participants wore heart rate monitors at the exercise facilities and were encouraged to at home. Received weekly telephone calls to promote adherence; exercise behavior-change education classes; individual meetings at BL and every 3 months to outline goals and provide feedback on progress; quarterly newsletters; group activities such as hikes. Participants were asked to maintain their usual diet
	Control description: Stretching sessions; asked to maintain their usual diet and exercise habits
Good	Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 4 in-person + 52 phone calls Length: 0 Time period: 0 <i>Group Sessions</i> Number: 72 Length: 45 minutes Time period: 12 mo
	Who administered intervention: Providers: NR Training: NR
	Incentives: Stated that incentives were given, no further detail
Jeffery, 1993Aim/theory: Behavioral therapy, food provision (antecedents) and financial incentives (consequences), alone or in combination, to reduce and maintain weightFair	Intervention Setting: NR Intervention description: IG1: Behavioral intervention program with weigh-in, presentation of information, group discussion, review of progress. Calorie goal of 1000 or 1500/day and weight loss goal of 14, 18, or 23 kg. Walk/bike 5 days/week working to a goal of burning 1000 calories/week. Food and exercise diaries for 20 weeks and 1 week/month after IG2: IG1 + 5 breakfasts and 5 dinners/week for 18 mo; meal plan; lunch recommendations IG3: IG1 + cash related to weight loss (\$25/ week if met and maintained goal, \$2.50/week if didn't gain, \$12.50 when reached 50% of goal) IG4: IG1 + IG2 + IG3
	Control description: No intervention.
	Control weighing frequency: BL, 6, 12, 18, and 30 months
	Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: NA Length: NA Time period: NA <i>Group Sessions</i> (est 27 in first 12 mo) Number: 33 Length: NR Time period: 18 months
	Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: Advanced degrees in nutrition or behavioral sciences Training: 2-day training session

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Jones, 1999 <sup>154</sup>	Aim/theory: Caloric restriction	Intervention Setting: NR
Hansson, 1994 <sup>265</sup>	and reduced fat intake	<b>Intervention description:</b> Counseled on food selection and preparation, weight reduction goals; blood pressure titrated to the target DBP as specified by the HOT protocol (by medication)
The HOT Study Group, 1993 <sup>266</sup>		Control description: Told by research nurses that they should lose weight
Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT)		Control weighing frequency: Every 6 months (plus additional weigh-in at 3 mos)
Substudy		Intervention Duration:
Fair		Number: 2
		Length: NR
		Time period: 3-5 weeks
		Group Sessions
		Length: NR
		Time period: 30 months
		(est 10 in first 12 mo)
		Who administered intervention: Research staff or primary care staff
		Providers: Registered dietician
		Training: NR
APP		Incentives: NR
Kastarinen, 2002 <sup>135</sup>	Aim/theory: Achieve normal weight (BMI<25): daily NaCl	Intervention Setting: 10 municipal primary health care centers in eastern Finland
LIHEF Study (Lifestyle	intake <5g; alcohol <2	Intervention description: Simple counseling and behavioral modification methods in four individual visits the first year
Intervention against	drinks/day; moderate intensity	and three visits the second year, as well as two 2-hour group sessions at 6 and 18 months
Hypertension in Eastern Finland)	exercise 3+times/week at 30	Control description: Usual care, no further detail
T mana)	mins, stop smoking	
Fair		Intervention Duration:
		Individual Sessions
		Length: NR
		Time period: 2 years
		Group Sessions
		Number: 2
		Time period: 18 months
		Who administered intervention: Research staff or primary care staff
		Providers: Public nealth nurses trained by the study physician and a nutritionist Training: Y
		Incentives: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Kulzer, 2009 <sup>156</sup>	Aim/theory: Lifestyle modification based on self-	<b>Intervention description:</b> Eight core lessons focusing on lifestyle modification and 4 booster lessons were given. The lessons were conducted in small groups (median size 7 people). Each participant received an exercise book containing
Fair	management theory to achieve 5% weight loss, change of unhealthy eating habits, and increase physical activity to >150 minutes per week.	information about diabetes prevention and resources such as a table of caloric values and worksheets for each lesson. Control description: Written information about diabetes prevention. Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 0 Length: NA Time period: NA Group Sessions Number: 12 Length: 90 minutes Time period: 8 lessons in 8 weeks, 4 booster lessons in 10 months Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: Diabetes educators or psychologists Training: Qualified in group education and skills in the fields of nutrition and physical activity Intervention Setting: NR Incentives: NR
Langford, 1985 <sup>157</sup> Wassertheil-Smoller, 1985 <sup>267</sup> DISH Fair	Aim/theory: Reduce body weight to ideal weight or achieve a 20% reduction	Intervention Setting: NR Intervention description: Goal setting, behavior change techniques, and self-monitoring. Dietary change was approached as a gradual process and educational efforts were focused on such areas as diet attitudes, beliefs, knowledge, skills, behaviors, and environmental situations. Urged to keep food records, become aware and monitor their eating behavior, and score caloric intake Control description: Discontinue meds with no further intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 15 Length: NR Time period: 11 months Group Sessions Number: 8 Length: NR Time period: 8 weeks (est 18 in 12 mo) Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: Nurtitionist (individual), NR (group) Training: NR Incentives: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Martin, 2008 <sup>158</sup> Martin, 2006 <sup>268</sup> Fair	Aim/theory: Gradual increases in physical activity with the goal of 150 minutes per week, decreased consumption of energy-dense foods, increased consumption of fruits and vegetables	Intervention Setting: Primary care physician office visits Intervention description: Physicians received 2 hours of instruction on general obesity treatment and 5 hours on assessment of stage of change, motivational interviewing, and techniques for behavioral treatment. Given instruction on appropriate dietary recommendations. Participants had monthly office visits with their physician (weight loss, ways to decrease dietary fat, ways to increase physical activity, dealing with barriers to weight loss, healthy eating, maintaining motivation). Personalized verbal recommendations and handouts summarizing the focus of each visit.
	Vegetables	Control description: Physicians providing standard care received training on current guidelines for the treatment of obesity, no specific weight loss protocol. Usual obesity management Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 6 Length: 15 minutes Time period: 6 months Group Sessions: NR Who administered intervention: Primary care staff Providers: Primary care physician
		I raining: 7 hours on obesity treatment.
Mayer-Davis, 2004 <sup>159</sup> POWER Fair	Aim/theory: Achieving and maintaining 1 10% weight loss over 12 months	Incentives: \$35 per visit for assessments; \$10 for IG monthly visits Intervention Setting: Primary health care centers Intervention description: IG182: Reduction in fat/calorie intake (25% of calories from dietary fat), increased activity (minimum of moderate intensity 150 minutes per week), frequent contact with a nutritionist (group and individual), self-monitoring, and other strategies for sustained behavior change. IG1: Re-imbursable lifestyle: 4 1-hour sessions over 12 mos, consistent with Medicare reimbursement rules IG2: Intensive Intervention: similar as year 1 of DPP, with added group sessions Control description: One meeting with the nutritionist over the 12-month period Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 8 (IG2), 4 (IG1) Length: 1 hour (IG182) Group Sessions Number: 22 (IG2), 0 (IG1) Length: 1 hour Time period: 12 months Who administered intervention: Research staff (but integrated into primary health care center operations) Providers: Nutritionist Training: NR Incentives: \$10 gift certificate to a local grocery store after screening visit 1; \$25 after randomization; additional incentives with each followup (range \$20-\$25 gift cards plus gift)

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Mensink, 2003 <sup>160</sup>	Aim/theory: Body weight loss	Intervention Setting: NR
Mensink, 2003 <sup>269</sup> Fair	of 5-7% and increasing physical activity to at least 30 minutes of moderate activity 5 days per week	<b>Intervention description:</b> Dietary recommendations based on Dutch guidelines for a healthy diet (Energy intake: 55% from carbohydrates, <30-35% from fat, <10% saturated fatty acids, protein 10-15%; Cholesterol intake <33mg/MJ; dietary fiber intake 3 g/MJ). Participants encouraged to stop smoking and reduce alcohol intake. Dietary advice given at regular intervals by a skilled dietician on an individual basis (considering 3-day food record). If no weight loss in first year, mild energy restriction proposed. Encouraged to increase levels of physical activity. Individual advice given on how to increase daily activity and goals are set. Encouraged to participate in a study exercise program.
		Control description: Verbal and written info about the beneficial effects of a health diet, weight loss, and physical activity.
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 9 Length: NR Time period: 24 months <i>Group Sessions</i> Number: NR Length: NR Time period: 24 months (est 4 in first 12 mos) Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: Dieticians (for diet); NR (exercise) Training: NR
		Incentives: NR
Mitsui, 2008 <sup>161</sup> Fair	Aim/theory: Walking and self- weight resistance training combined with dietary counseling	Intervention Setting: NR         Intervention description: Participants attended lectures at a city gym on nutrition, cooking, exercise, and preventive medicine. Training consisted of walking 20-30 min and 2-3 self-weight resistance exercises for 10 min. Time was provided for warm-up and cool-down. Participants were advised to perform self-training 30-40 min/day initially 2-3 times per week; later they were asked to exercise more than 5 days per week         Control description: NR         Intervention Duration:         Individual Sessions         Number: NR         Length: NR         Time period: NR         Group Sessions         Number: 24         Length: NR         Time period: 12 months
		Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: NR Training: NR Incentives: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Moore, 2003 <sup>162</sup>	Aim/theory: Treating obesity	Intervention Setting: Primary care offices
Fair	through mestyle modnication	<b>Intervention description:</b> 3 90-minute training sessions over a max of 4 weeks. General practitioners and nurses were asked to attend. Four dietitians delivered the training. The training covered clinical benefit of weight loss and effective treatment options, including reduced dietary energy intake, increased physical activity, and pharmaceuticals. Practitioners saw patients ~every 2 weeks until they lost 10% of their original body weight and then every 1-2 months. Current weight, target weight, dietary and activity targets were recorded in the patients' records. Prescription of 500 kcal deficit was advocated. Diet sheets and supporting written resources were given to patients. Each practice devised individualized weight management protocols to implement with their patients
		Control description: Control practices were asked to provide usual care to their patients
		Intervention Duration:
		Group Sessions: NR
		Who administered intervention: Primary care staff Providers: General practitioners, practice nurses Training: Three 90-minute training sessions
163		Incentives: NR
Narayan, 1998 <sup>163</sup> Fair	Aim/theory: Increase energy expenditure over baseline by 700-1000 kcal per week through physical activity; reduce fat and alcohol and increase fiber intake	Intervention Setting: NR Intervention description: Choice of physical activities (walking, water aerobics, softball, volleyball, community farming/gardening, cleaning local cemetery) with a group or on their own. Maintained PA log. Advised by a dietitian, in keeping with the recommendations of the American Diabetics Association. Weekly group meetings, reinforced by home visits as needed. Behavioral techniques. Classes consisted of modeling and role-playing, group problem-solving, food prep demonstrations, food tasting, and grocery store tours
		<b>Control description:</b> Self-directed learning, facilitated by an appreciation of Pima culture. Small groups facilitated by community member once/month to discuss current lifestyles in the community, local speakers on Pima culture and history. Basic printed information on health eating and exercise habits. Pima Pride newsletters. Interviewed on their perceptions about health and lifestyle
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions: NR Group Sessions Number: 52 (weekly) Length: NR Time period: 12 months (assumed)
		Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: Dietitian (dietary advice), NR (other) Training: NR
		Incentives: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Parikh, 2010 <sup>208</sup>	Aim/theory: Promoting weight	Intervention Setting: Community sites
Project HEED	ect HEED loss among overweight adults through a low-cost, peer-led lifestyle intervention	<b>Intervention description:</b> Lay leaders presented curriculum in a workshop consisting of eight 1.5 hour sessions over 10 weeks; topics included diabetes prevention, finding and affording healthy foods, meal planning, physical activity, label reading, and portion control
		Control description: Delayed intervention, 1 year Individual Sessions: NR Group Sessions: Number: 8 Length: 1.5 hours Time period: 10 weeks
		Who administered intervention: Providers: Community leaders / peers Training: NR
		Incentives: NR, but perhaps monetary compensation of some kind (participant response during interview "I don't do it for the money but for my health"
Perri, 1988 <sup>164</sup>	Aim/theory: Maintain weight	Intervention Setting: NR
Fair	loss over long-term (24 mos).	Intervention description: Conducted in groups. IG1 (BC): Received behavior therapy (CG) plus a maintenance program consisting of 26 biweekly therapist contacts. Maintenance program sessions consisted of weigh-ins, reviews of self-monitoring data, and therapist-led problem solving of difficulties in maintaining habit changes IG2 (BCS): IG1 plus a multifaceted program of social influence strategies designed to enhance motivation and to provide incentives for continued weight-loss. Monetary group contingencies for program adherence and continued weight loss. Active client participation in preparing and delivering lectures on maintaining weight loss. Instructions on how to provide peer support for weight loss through ongoing telephone contacts and peer group meetings IG3 (BCA): IG1 plus aerobic exercise maintenance program consisting of a new set of exercise goals for the posttreatment period and therapist-led bouts during the biweekly treatment sessions. Physical activity increased to 180 minutes per week after the first 6 months IG4 (BCAS): Received all interventions
		<b>Control description</b> (B): Behavior therapy. Participants taught self-control procedures including self-monitoring, stimulus control strategies, self-reinforcement, cognitive restructuring and procedures to slow the pace of eating. Provided with a regimen of aerobic exercise. Aerobic training included written instructions, therapist-led demonstrations, and practice of the exercise. Target of 80 minutes of aerobic exercise per week. Treatment was 20 weeks.
		Control weighing frequency: 2 post-tx Individual Sessions (maintenance phase only) Number: 26? unclear if main therapist contacts are in group context, or social contingency activities separate Length: NR Time period: 1 yr <i>Group Sessions (for maintenance phase only)</i> Number: 26? unclear if main therapist contacts are in group context, or social contingency activities separate Length: NR Time period: 1 yr Number: 56
		Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: Clinical psychologist paired with either a physician or a nurse practitioner Training: Provided with manuals and weekly training sessions
		Incentives: Monetary group contingencies for program adherence and continued weight loss (BCS and BCAS only)

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Pritchard, 1999 <sup>165</sup>	Aim/theory: Restriction of total	Intervention Setting: General practice
Fair	dietary energy, reduction of the fat component to no more than 30%, with carbohydrate contributing 50% or more and protein the balance	Intervention description: IG1: Individual counseling sessions focusing on principles of good nutrition and exercise. Dietitian identified lifestyle and dietary problem areas. Advice on food shopping and cooking methods, food selection, meal planning, and exercise programs. Dietary changes in aim. Smoking was discouraged and alcohol consumption ≤2 drinks/day (women) and ≤4 (men) with ≥2 alcohol free days/week. IG2: IG1+ Patients saw their general practitioner on 2 occasions to get encouragement and their progress monitored.
		<b>Control description:</b> Results of the initial measurements and if they had queries were advised to discuss with the doctor. Usual care.
		Control weighing frequency: BL and 12 mo
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 6 (IG2, + 2 appt with doctor) Length: 45 minutes for 1 session; 15 minutes for the remaining 5 (IG2, doctor devoted +5 minutes) Time period: 12 months <i>Group Sessions:</i> NR
		Who administered intervention: Primary care staff Providers: Dietitian (IG1 and IG2) and general practitioner (IG2 only) Training: NR
0.11 0000 <sup>166</sup>		Incentives: NR
Silva, 2009.55	Aim/theory: Self-determination theory	Intervention Setting: University
Silva, 2008 <sup>270</sup> Teixeira, 2009 <sup>271</sup>		bimonthly. Team promoted a sense of ownership over behavior so it would stem from an internal perceived locus of causality. Built sustainable knowledge that supported informed choices, encouraged choice and self-initiation, provided a menu of options and variety of avenues for behavior change, supported the presentation of tasks and choices with a clear rationale to adopt specific behavior, encouraged building and exploring congruence between values and goals and lifestyles
		<b>Control description:</b> 29 sessions, general health education curriculum based on several 3-6 week long education topics (nutrition, stress management, self-care, communication skills)
		Control weighing frequency: BL, 4 mo, 12 mo
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: NR Length: NR Time period: NR <i>Group Sessions</i> Number: 30 Length: 120 minutes Time period: 12 months
		Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: NR Training: NR
		Incentives: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Simkin-Silverman, 2003 <sup>167</sup> Simkin-Silverman, 1998 <sup>272</sup> Kuller, 2001 <sup>273</sup> Park, 2007 <sup>274</sup> Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project (WHLP) Good	Aim/theory: Reduction in weight by 5 lbs (BMI ≤24 kg/m2), 10 lbs (BMI 25-26 kg/m2), or 15 lbs (BMI ≥27 kg/m2); lower dietary fat to 25% of daily calories, saturated fat to 7%, and cholesterol to 100 mg/day; increase physical activity	Intervention Setting: NR Intervention description: 1300-1500 kcal meal plan for first 4 weeks, modified after; calcium supplement; 7-day pocket diaries for food monitoring; education and guidance to increase PA in a stepwise manner to expend 1000 kcals/week (1500 kcals/week if already active); self-monitored daily PA for first 6 months. Employed variety of behavioral mgmt techniques. Control description: Assessment only Control weighing frequency: BL, 6, 18, 30, 42, and 54 months Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: NR Length: NR Time period: 54 months Group Sessions Number: 15 (Phase I), 6+ (Phase II) Length: NR
		Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: Behavioral psychologists and nutritionists Training: NR Incentives: "Healthy lifestyle prizes" to enhance attendance and the return of self-monitoring diaries
Stevens, 1993 <sup>168</sup> Whelton, 1992 <sup>275</sup> The Trials of Hypertension Prevention Collaborative Research Group, 1992 <sup>276</sup> Trials of Hypertension Prevention Phase I Good	Aim/theory: Achieve weight loss of at least 4.5 kg during the first 6 months and maintain the weight loss for the remaining 12 months through reducing energy intake and increasing physical activity and using behavioral self- management techniques	Intervention Setting: NR Intervention description: Weigh-ins; information on basic nutrition and ways to reduce total energy consumption by reducing fat, sugar, and alcohol intake; food diaries for the first 14 weeks; asked to walk 20 minutes 3 days/week; later asked to exercise 30-45 mins 4-5 days/week at an intensity of 40-55% of heart rate reserve; received general exercise guidelines; exercise demonstrations; supervised exercise periods; short-term goal setting and plans of action; reinforcement and social support; record-keeping to assess progress; problem-solving; relapse prevention Control description: Usual care Control weighing frequency: BL, 3, 6, 12, and 18 months Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 1 Length: NR Time period: Initially Group Sessions Number: 29 Length: 90 minutes Time period: 18 months (weekly for 14 weeks, monthly thereafter) (est 23 in first year) Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: Registered dietitian and psychologist or exercise psychologist
		Training: NR Incentives: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Stevens, 2001 <sup>169</sup>	Aim/theory: Lose ≥4.5 kg	Intervention Setting: NR
Hollis, 1995 <sup>277</sup>	during the first 6 months and maintain the weight loss for the remainder of the trial. Reduce	<b>Intervention description:</b> Behavioral self-management, nutrition education, information on PA, social support, self- monitoring (food diaries and graphs of PA), goal-setting with action plans, strategies for situations that trigger problem eating
TOHP, 1997	caloric intake; 30-45 mins of moderate PA 4-5 days/week	Control description: NR
Trials of Hypertension Prevention Phase II	Achieve goal(s) in first 6 months and maintenance	<b>Control weighing frequency:</b> Every 6 mo to end of followup at 36, 42, or 48 mo, depending on randomization date
Good	thereafter	Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 1+ Length: NR Time period: Beginning of the trial, optional after month 18 <i>Group Sessions</i> Number: 50+ (add'l optional) Length: NR Time period: 36 months (est 32 sessions in first 12 mos)
		Who administered intervention: Research staff or primary care staff <i>Providers:</i> Dieticians and Health Educators <i>Training:</i> NR
		Incentives: NR
Svetkey, 2008 <sup>170</sup> Weight Loss Maintenance Trial PROTOCOL, 2008 <sup>279</sup> WLM	Aim/theory: Maintenance of Phase I weight loss or additional loss if desired; moderate PA at least 225 mins/week; reduce caloric intake and adopt the DASH diet	Intervention Setting: NR Intervention description: IG1: Interactive website (goal-setting, graphing data over time, problem-solving and motivation, bulletin board for social support, and self-monitoring caloric intake and physical activity). Encouraged to log in at least 1x/week. IG2: Person-to-person guidance and support mostly via phone and in person every 4th month (self-reported weight, progress review, # of days food diary was kept, frequency of weighing, average minutes of exercise, progress on additional
Good		Control description: Printed lifestyle guidelines with diet and physical activity recommendations; met with study interventionist at 12 mo
		Control weighing frequency: Even: 6 months for 30 months
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: IG1: 0, IG2: 30, (+ 20 6 mo phase 1) Length: IG1: NA, IG2: 5-15 mins x 23, 7 x 45-60 mins Time period: 30 months, (+6 months phase 1) <i>Group Sessions</i> Number: 0 (est 12 in first 12 mos) Length: NA Time period: NA <b>Who administered intervention:</b> Research staff Providers: IG1: NA, IG2: "Health counselor"
		Training: NR Incentives: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
ter Bogt, 2009 <sup>171</sup>	Aim/theory: NR	Intervention Setting: Primary Care
Fair		<b>Intervention description:</b> 4 individual visits and one telephone session. NP was guided by standardized computer software. Visit 1 consisted of information on healthy lifestyle, stimulating awareness of lifestyle and body weight, conversation on history of slimming and motivation to change lifestyle/lose weight and first step in the development of the treatment plan. Visit 2 included feedback on lifestyle by critiquing food diary, physical activity, and BL questionnaire; finished treatment plan. Visit 3 evaluated goals, changed treatment plan if needed and referred to dietitian. Visit 4 and call evaluated and supported changes in lifestyle and if necessary, changed individual goals
		<b>Control description:</b> One visit with GP (~10 minutes) to discuss results from the initial screening and thereafter usual GP care
		Control weighing frequency: BL and 12 mo
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 4 (in person) + 1 (phone) Length: 35 minutes (Visits 1 and 2), 25 minutes (Visit 3), otherwise NR Time period: 12 mo Group Sessions: NR
		Who administered intervention: Primary care staff Providers: Nurse practitioners Training: Specially developed training program (4 4-hour sessions) and individual instruction about the software program
Tuomilohto $2001^{1/2}$	Aim/theory Reduction in	Incentives: NR
ruomienio, 2001	weight $\geq 5\%$ , in total intake of	<b>Intervention Setting.</b> 5 participating centers, appear to be primarily research and university settings
Eriksson, 1999 <sup>280</sup>	fat to <30% of energy consumed, and in intake of	<b>Intervention description:</b> Individual dietary and physical activity counseling. Supervised, progressive, individually tailored circuit-type resistance training sessions were also offered
Lindstrom, 2003 <sup>237</sup>	saturated fat to <10% of energy consumed; an increase in fiber intake to >15 g per 1000 kcal:	Control description: General oral and written information about diet and exercise (2-page leaflet)
	and moderate exercise for $\geq$ 30	Intervention Duration:
Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study	minutes/day	Individual Sessions Number: 11 (counseling) + NR (circuit training)
Good		Time period: 2 years
		Group Sessions
		Number: NR, but do have some
		Time period: 0
		Who administered intervention: Research staff or primary care staff <i>Providers:</i> Nutritionist, presume research staff <i>Training:</i> NR
		Incentives: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Villareal, 2008 <sup>173</sup>	Aim/theory: Achieve 10%	Intervention Setting: University-based research center
Villareal, 2006 <sup>283</sup> Villareal, 2006 <sup>284</sup>	weight loss at 6 months and maintain 6 additional months through calorie deficit and exercise	<b>Intervention description:</b> Energy deficit of 500-750 kcal/day; 30% of energy as fat, 50% as carbohydrate, and 20% as protein; behavior therapy; daily multivitamin; counseled to consume adequate dietary calcium and vitamin D; group exercise focusing on flexibility, endurance, strength training, and balance
Fair		<b>Control description:</b> Instructed to maintain usual diet and activities, asked not to participate in any weight-loss or exercise programs
		Control weighing frequency: Baseline, 6, and 12 months
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 0 Length: NA Time period: NA <i>Group Sessions</i> Number: 52 with dietician, 156 exercise Length: NR with dietician, 90 mins exercise Time period: 52 weeks
		Who administered intervention: Research staff <i>Providers:</i> Dietician experienced in group behavioral therapy <i>Training:</i> NR
		Incentives: NR
Good	Aim/theory: Smail and sustained adaptations in physical activity and/or diet	Intervention Setting: Computer-based Intervention description: Choice of 5 modules. 1 included information leaflet and several energy balance tools. 2 was a CD-ROM providing individually tailored feedback on BMI, health consequences and energy balance behavior. 3 had computer-tailored feedback regarding physical activity, fiber consumption, portion sizes of energy dense foods and fat consumption. In 4, participants could find out information about diet and physical activity behavior, participate in a forum and use links to other sites. 5 was written tailored advice on reported body weight, a food frequency questionnaire, and a physical activity questionnaire. Newsletters every 2-3 months. Control description: Newsletters with general information about the study and information about art exhibitions and city trips for instance. Control weighing frequency: BL, 12, 24 mo Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: NR (computer-based) Length: NR Time period: 12 mo Group Sessions: NA
		Providers: Computer-based Training: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Whelton, 1998 <sup>175</sup>	Aim/theory: Achieve and	Intervention Setting: NR
Appel, 1995 <sup>285</sup> Chao, 2000 <sup>286</sup>	maintain a weight loss goal ≥4.5 kg, dietary sodium intake of ≤80 mmol (only sodium reduction arms), and withdrawal of antihypertensive	<b>Intervention description:</b> Information and motivation around calorie control, basics of a sound diet, how to increase activity, exercise precautions, self-efficacy and commitment to the trial, self-monitoring of calories, eating behaviors and pulse rate, management of eating behaviors and situations, relapse prevention, hands-on food preparation and group exercise, overcoming barriers, food and PA records with feedback
Kumanyika, 2002 <sup>287</sup>	medication through diet, calorie	Control description: Quarterly group sessions on topics unrelated to the goals of the trial
Trial of	deficit and increasing PA	Control weighing frequency: Quarterly for 15-36 months (median 29 months)
Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 4 Length: NR
Good		Time period: 4 months
		Number: 26-47 (median 40) Length: NR Time period: 15.26 menths (median 20 menths)
		Time period. 15-36 months (median 29 months)
		Providers: Nutritionists and exercise counselors with expertise in lifestyle change techniques Training: NR
		Incentives: Adherence-related incentives
Wood, 1991 <sup>177</sup>	Aim/theory: Lowered caloric	Intervention Setting: NR
Kiernan, 2001 <sup>288</sup> Fair	intake for IG1; Lowered caloric intake and increased PA for IG2	Intervention description: IG1: Prudent diet with concomitant caloric reduction and no change in exercise level. Dietary recommendations presented by registered dietitians (approximately 55% of total energy was from carbohydrates, 30% from fat, ≤10% from saturated fat, dietary cholesterol below 300 mg/day) IG2: IG1 combined with increased physical activity. Supervised in a program of aerobic exercise (primarily brisk walking and jogging) that met 3 days a week. Instructed to work at 60-80% of maximal heart rate for at least 25 minutes initially, and to increase to at least 45 minutes by the 4th month
		Control description: Instructed to maintain their usual diet and exercise patterns
		Control weighing frequency: BL and 12 mo
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: NR Length: NR Time period: NR Group Sessions Number: 25 Length: NR Time period: 12 mo
		Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: Dietitians (NR for physical activity) Training: NR
		Incentives: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control
Wood, 1988 <sup>176</sup>	Aim/theory: Exercise to	Intervention Setting: NR
Frey-Hewitt, 1990 <sup>150</sup> Fair	reduce total body fat by 1/3 for IG1 (without changing diet); diet to reduce total body fat by 1/3 for IG2 (without changing exercise habits)	Intervention description: IG1: Supervised exercise program with individual prescriptions; diet prescription (reduce by 300-500 kcal/day); record body weight; behavioral strategies; 24-hour food log. Running diaries collected at monthly intervals. Exercise level adjusted to keep weight stable during final 6-weeks IG2: Individualized diet (reduction of 32.3 MJ = loss of 1 kg adipose tissue). Food intake adjusted to keep weight stable during final 6-weeks. Exercise prescription (treadmill test with VO2 max); supervised exercise class 1-3 mo of fast walking and gradually jogging; 2 additional days/week walking or jogging at 6 mo; miles run, exercise heart rate, and total duration recorded; no change in eating habits
		Control description: Usual diet and exercise patterns (offered weight-loss program at end)
		Control weighing frequency: BL, 7 and 12 mo
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 0 (IG1), NR (IG2) Length: NA (IG1), NR (IG2) Time period: 10.5 months (IG2) <i>Group Sessions</i> Number: NR Length: NR Time period: 10.5 months
		Who administered intervention: Research staff Providers: "Training staff" (1), nutritionists (2) Training: NR
		Incentives: NR
Woollard, 2003 <sup>178</sup> Fair	Aim/theory: Control weight, increase physical activity, reduce fat and sodium intake, increase fiber consumption, moderate alcohol intake, and achieve cessation of smoking	Intervention Setting: NR Intervention description: IG1: UC + 1 face-to-face counseling session and 10-15 min phone consultations every month for 12 mo. Personalized education manual supporting cognitive behavioral approach. Counseling focused on enhancing patients' cognitive, affective and psychomotor skills IG2: Same as IG1 except individual counseling sessions up to 60 min every mo for 12 mo instead of phone consultations.
		Control description: Heart Foundation health promotion literature and remained under care of general practitioner
		Control weighing frequency: BL, 12, 18 mo
		Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 13 (IG1), 12 (IG2) Length: 60 minutes for 1 session (assumed), 10-15 minutes for remaining 12 sessions (IG1); 60 minutes (IG2) Time period: 12 months (IG1 and IG2) <i>Group Sessions:</i> NR
		Who administered intervention: Primary care staff Providers: Practice nurses Training: 170-hour program based on the principles of adult learning theories with emphasis on transtheoretical model Incentives: NR

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes			
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)			
Anderssen, 1995 <sup>144</sup>	Mean (SE) at BL, Mean change (SE) at 12 mo	Net difference versus CG (95% CI)			
	BL 12 mo	<u>BL 12 mo</u>			
ODES (Oslo Diet and	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:			
Exercise Study)	BMI, kg/height <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol, mmol/L			
	BL DBP>91 mmHg	BL DBP>91 mmHg			
Fair	<b>IG1</b> 29.9 (0.7) -1.7 (0.4)*	<b>IG1</b> 0.11 (-0.61, 0.39)			
	<b>IG2</b> 29.5 (0.8) -0.4 (0.3)	<b>IG2</b> 0.21 (-0.66, 0.24)			
	<b>IG3</b> 29.6 (0.9) -2.2 (0.2)*	<b>IG3</b> 0.14 (-0.64, 0.36)			
	<b>CG</b> 30.0 (1.3) 0.2 (0.3)	BL DBP 84-91 mmHg			
	BL DBP 84-91 mmHg	IG10.38 (-0.80, 0.04)			
	<b>IG1</b> 30.9 (1.2) -1.4 (0.5)*	<b>IG2</b> 0.06 (-0.39, 0.27)			
	$\mathbf{IG2} \qquad 28.4 \ (0.7) \qquad 0.0 \ (0.3)$	$1G30.54 (-1.00, -0.08)^*$			
	<b>IG3</b> $27.9(0.6)$ $-2.0(0.3)^*$	BL DBP<84 mmHg			
	CG = 27.9 (0.6) = 0.4 (0.2)	1G1 - 0.26(-0.14, 0.66)			
		1G2 - 0.24 (-0.23, 0.71)			
	$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{IG1} & 28.0 \ (0.7) & -0.7 \ (0.2)^{n} \\ \mathbf{IG2} & 0.7 \ (0.7) & 0.5 \ (0.4)^{n} \end{array}$	1G30.28 (-0.74, 0.18)			
	$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{IG2} & 27.4 (0.7 & -0.5 (0.4)^{\circ} \\ \mathbf{IG2} & 28.0 (0.6) & 1.2 (0.4)^{\circ} \\ \end{array}$	HDL cholesterol, mmol/L			
	$\begin{array}{cccc} 103 & 20.0 \ (0.0) & -1.2 \ (0.4) \\ 00 & 27.4 \ (0.5) & 0.4 \ (0.4) \\ \end{array}$				
	<b>CG</b> 27.4 (0.5) 0.4 (0.1)	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & - & 0.09 \\ 0.01 & 0.02 \\ 0.02 & 0.04 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$			
	Control adinasity ND	102 - 0.03 (-0.04, 0.10)			
		PL DPD 94 01 mmHa			
	Overall adiposity: NP				
		1010.07(-0.13, -0.01)			
	*n<0.05 for IC compared with CC	102 0.02 (-0.11, 0.07)			
	<b>IG1 n analyzed:</b> 16 (DBP>91) 17 (DBP $84-91$ ) 19 (DBP< $84$ )	BL DBP<84 mmHq			
	<b>IG2 n analyzed:</b> 10 (DBF>91), 17 (DBF 84-91), 13 (DBF<84)	<b>IG1</b> 0.09 (0.02 0.16)*			
	<b>IG3 n analyzed:</b> 26 (DBP>91), 10 (DBP 84-91), 10 (DBP<84)	IG2 = 0.08(-0.03, 0.19)			
	<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 12 (DBP>91) 16 (DBP 84-91) 15 (DBP<84)	$1G_3 = 0.14 (0.06, 0.10)^*$			
		Trialycerides mmol/l			
		BL DBP>91 mmHa			
		<b>IG1</b> 1.00 (-1.750.25)*			
		<b>IG2</b> 0.79 (-1.34, -0.24)*			
		<b>IG3</b> 0.96 (-1.55, -0.37)*			
		BL DBP 84-91 mmHg			
		IG1			
		IG20.03 (-0.60, 0.54)			
		IG30.46 (-1.08, 0.16)			
		BL DBP<84 mmHg			
		IG1			
		IG20.46 (-1.13, 0.21)			
		IG30.94 (-1.57, -0.31)*			

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
(continued)		Mean (SE) at BL, Mean change (SE) at 12 mo
Anderssen, 1995 <sup>144</sup>		Blood pressure:
		Systolic Blood Pressure, mmHg
ODES (Oslo Diet and		IG16.4 (1.4)*
Exercise Study)		<b>IG2</b> 2.2 (1.1)
		<b>IG3</b> 5.9 (1.1)*
Fair		<b>CG</b> 0.5 (1.7)
		BL DBP>91 mmHg
		<b>IG1</b> 144.5 (4.5) -8.4 (3.3)*
		<b>IG2</b> 139.5 (2.0) -4.1 (1.8)
		<b>IG3</b> 142.8 (2.4) -8.3 (2.1)*
		CG 137.5 (2.5) 2.9 (4.4)
		BL DBP 84-91 mmHg
		<b>IG1</b> 133.6 (2.2) -8.2 (1.9)
		IG2 = 130.6(2.2) - 1.6(1.4)
		$\mathbf{IG3}  129.2 ()  \mathbf{-6.1} (1.3)$
		CG = 129.6 (1.9) -1.7 (2.9)
		BL DBP<84 mmHg
		102  122.7 (2.7)  0.2 (2.3)  102  102  102  102  102  102  102  102
		103  121.9 (1.5)  -3.0 (1.7)
		CG 120.8 (1.3) - 1.9 (1.8)
		Diastolic blood Pressure, mining
		101
		102 2.7 (1.0)
		103 = -5.2 (0.9)
		BL DBP>01 mmHq
		1G1  973(13)  -71(18)
		IG2   964(11)   -55(17)
		$IG3   97  0 (0  9)   -7  1 (1  3)^*$
		CG = 95.6(1.1) = -0.4(3.6)
		BL DBP 84-91 mmHg
		<b>IG1</b> 88 1 (0 5 $-45(13)$
		IG2 882(0.6) -24(1.4)
		IG3 86.6 (0.5) -6.4 (1.2)
		<b>CG</b> 88.0 (0.5) -2.2 (1.9)
		BL DBP<84 mmHg
		<b>IG1</b> 78.6 (1.2) 0.8 (1.5)
		<b>IG2</b> 79.4 (0.9) 1.2 (2.0)
		IG3 79.0 (0.7) -1.8 (1.7)
		<b>CG</b> 79.1 (1.3) 0.8 (1.5)
		Glucose tolerance: NR
		*p<0.05 for IG compared with CG
		IG1 n analyzed: 16 (DBP>91), 17 (DBP 84-91), 19 (DBP<84), 55 (total)
		IG2 n analyzed: 20 (DBP>91), 16 (DBP 84-91), 13 (DBP<84), 54 (total)
		IG3 n analyzed: 24 (DBP>91), 20 (DBP 84-91), 21 (DBP<84), 67 (total)
		CG n analyzed: 12 (DBP>91), 16 (DBP 84-91), 15 (DBP<84), 43 (total)

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)			
Burke 2005 <sup>145</sup>	BL 4 mo 16 mo	Mean (SE)			
Buille, 2000	Weight/Relative weight:	<u>BL 4 mo 16 mo</u>			
ADAPT	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Lipids: (figure only): groups diffs in LDL at 16-mo, but no diffs in TC, HDL at 16-mo			
<b>F</b> eir	IG 30.4 (2.9)	Blood pressure:			
Fair	CG 29.7 (2.3)	Systolic Blood Pressure, mmHg			
	<b>IG</b> 86.7 (1.2) 82.0 (1.2)* 82.8 (1.2)*	<b>IG</b> 128 (1) 122 (1)* 130 (1)			
	CG 84.2 (1.1) 82.8 (1.1)* 82.8 (1.2)*	CG 126 (1) 124 (1) 130 (1)			
	No group differences in weight loss for either participants aged	$IG = 77 (1) = 75 (1)^* = 77 (1)$			
	<60 or those 60 and older	CG 76 (1) 76 (1) 78 (1)			
	Central adiposity:	No group diffs in proportion with meds withdrawn, reduced, or unchanged at 4- or 16-mo			
	Waist circumterence, cm $(0.8)^*$ $(0.6)^*$	* p<0.01 for difference between IG and CG, adjusted for BL values			
	CG $93.7 (0.9)$ $92.0 (0.9)^*$ $91.8 (1.0)^*$	Glucose tolerance: (figure only): no group diffs in glucose at 16-mo			
	*p<0.001 for difference between IG and CG, adjusted for BL	IG n analyzed: 106			
	values	CG n analyzed: 98			
	Overall adiposity: NR				
	IG n analyzed: 106 CG n analyzed: 98				
Christian, 2008 <sup>146</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 12 mo	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 12 mo			
Foir	<u>BL 12 mo</u> Weight/Polative weight:	<u>BL 12 mo</u>			
Fall	BMI ka/m <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol ma/dl			
	IG 35.4 (6.62)	IG 191.16 (46.33) -15.84 (44.76)*			
	<b>CG</b> 34.8 (7.11)	<b>CG</b> 189.61 (54.72) -3.93 (45.15)			
	Weight, pounds	HDL cholesterol, mg/dL			
	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{CG} \\ \mathbf{CG} \\ 200 \\ 2 \\ (44.7) \\ 1 \\ 39 \\ (10.60) \\ 60 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
	Lost $\geq$ 5% body weight, n	LDL cholesterol, ma/dL			
	IG 30/141*	IG 100.18 (32.10) -14.62 (38.52)*			
	<b>CG</b> 14/132	<b>CG</b> 105.82 (38.81) -3.81 (38.51)			
	Central adinosity:	<i>I riglycerides, mg/dL</i>			
	Waist circumference. cm	<b>CG</b> 185.72 (112.25) -9.48 (95.67)			
	IG 118.1 (14.95) -1.764 (7.045)	Blood pressure:			
	<b>CG</b> 116.6 (15.23) -0.543 (6.498)	Systolic Blood Pressure, mmHg			
		<b>IG</b> 131.80 (17.02) -2.55 (20.37)			
		Diastolic Blood Pressure mmHa			
	* p=0.02	IG 76.56 (10.53) -2.60 (13.79)			
		CG 77.83 (9.58) -2.54 (11.63)			
	<b>IG n analyzed:</b> 155 (BL), 141 (12 mo)	Glucose tolerance:			
	CO n analyzed: 155 (BL), 132 (12 mo)	Hernoglobin A ic, percent IG 8 08 (2 02) -0 141 (1 76)			
		<b>CG</b> 8.29 (1.93) -0.46 (1.63)			
		List other measurement instruments: NR			
		* p<0.05 for difference between IG and CG			
		<b>IG n analyzed:</b> 155 (BL), 141 (12 mo)			
		US II dilaiyzeu: 155 (DL), 152 (12 110)			

Study Poforonco	Anthronomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes			
Quality Pating	Antinoponiorphic measures	(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)			
Quality Rating	Maan (CD) at DL Maan abanga (CD) at C and 12 ma	Maan abanaa (CD) at C and 42 mention			
Conen, 1991	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 6 and 12 mo	Mean change (SD) at 6 and 12 months			
_ ·	BL 6 mo 12 mo	BL 6 mo 12 mo			
Fair					
	BMI, kg/m²				
	IG 34.2	Blood pressure:			
	CG 34.0	Mean arterial pressure, mmHg			
	Weight, kg	<b>IG</b> 1.2 (13.7) 3.0 (14.2)			
	<b>IG</b> 91.8 -1.8 (3.4)* -0.88 (4.0)**	<b>CG</b> 2.3 (7.5) -0.7 (11.3)			
	<b>CG</b> 91.7 0.56 (2.5) 1.3 (3.0)	(NS.)			
		No group difference in number of anti-HTN meds			
	Central adiposity: NR	Glucose tolerance: NR			
	Overall adiposity: NR	IG n analyzed: 15			
		CG n analyzed: 15			
	*n=0.04 for IG vs CG				
	**n < 0.10 for IG vs CG				
	p < 0.10 101 10 V3 CO				
	IG n analyzed: 15				
	CC n analyzed. 15				
Cupater 2009 <sup>148</sup>	Maan (SD) at DL Maan abanga (SD) at 16 ma (12 ma ainaa				
Cussier, 2006	and of ut loss phose)				
<b>Fair</b>	end of wit loss phase)				
Fair	BL 16 MO				
	<b>IG</b> 30.6 (3.9) -2.1 (1.4)				
	<b>CG</b> 30.1 (3.4) -1.9 (1.5)				
	Weight, kg				
	IG 84.4 (12.6) 0.7 (5.4)				
	<b>CG</b> 82.0 (10.8) 1.0 (4.6)				
	<b>Overall adiposity:</b> Percent fat at BL Eat-free mass at time 2				
	Total body fat at time 2 (all measured with dual energy X-ray				
	absorptiometry)				
	IG n analyzed: 52 (BL 16 mo)				
	<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 52 (BL, 10 mo)				
L					

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)		
Davis 1992 <sup>149</sup>	Mean (SE) at BL Mean change (SE) at 6 12 18 24 mo	Lipids: NR		
Bavis, 1002	BL 6 mo 12 mo 18 mo 24 mo			
Langford, 1991 <sup>260</sup>	Weight/Relative weight: BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Mean at BL, Mean change (SD) at 6 mo BL 6 mo		
Davis, 1989 <sup>261</sup>	IG	Blood pressure:		
	CG	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg		
TAIM	Weight, Ib at BL, kg at 6 mo	IG 143.2 -11.49 ()		
	IG 198.6 () -4.4 (0.7)	<b>CG</b> 144.5 -10.34 ()		
Fair	<b>CG</b> 189.8 () -0.7 (0.4)	Total SD at 6 mo: 4.67		
		Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg		
	<b>IG n analyzed:</b> 100 (BL), 89 (6 mo)	$\begin{array}{ccc} 16 & 94.0 & -8.78 (10.97) \\ \mathbf{CC} & 02.7 & 7.06 (9.62) \\ \end{array}$		
		<b>CG</b> 95.7 -7.90 (0.05)		
	Weight kg (for those with complete data at all time points)	IG n analyzed: 90		
	<b>IG</b> $891(25) - 47(09) - 37(09) - 27(10) - 19$	CG n analyzed: 90		
		Figures show few differences between weight loss and usual care groups in DRP change		
	<b>CG</b> 84.6 (1.5) -0.5 (0.3) -0.5 (0.4) -1.0 (0.4) -0.4	from 12-months on for any medication group, but differences between weight loss and		
	(0.5)	usual care seen through 12 months for 3 of the 4 medication groups. (p<0.05)		
	(Note: Attrition is too high, cannot use this data)	····· 5 ····· 5 ····· 5 ····· 5 ·····		
		Glucose tolerance: NR		
	IG n analyzed: 57			
	CG n analyzed: 61			
	Figures using ITT data show differences between weight loss			
Distante a Descention	and usual care groups through 2.5 years for	Mana (OD) at DL Mana alterna (OD) from DL at 0,40,04,00 ma		
Diabetes Prevention	and 2 Sure	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SE) from BL at 6, 12, 24, 36 mo		
Group 1000 <sup>142</sup>	BI 6 mo 12 mo 30 mo 2	<u>BL 6 m0 12 m0 24 m0 36 m0</u>		
Gloup, 1999	Weight/Relative weight:			
Diabetes Prevention	BMI ka/m <sup>2</sup>	Blood pressure:		
Program Research	$IG_{33,9}(6.8) = 2.41(0.05) -2.42(0.06)^*$	Systolic blood pressure, mmHa		
Group, 2005 <sup>212</sup>	<b>C</b> 34.2 (6.7) -0.12 (0.05) -0.15 (0.06)	<b>IG</b> 123.7 (14.8)3.4 $(0.4)^*$ -3.4 $(0.4)^*$ -3.27 $(0.5)^*$		
	Weight, kg	<b>CG</b> 123.5 (14.4)0.90 (0.4) -0.52 (0.4) -0.57 (0.5)		
Orchard, 2005 <sup>262</sup>	IG 94.1 (20.8) -6.73 (0.14) -6.76 (0.17)* -4.43 (7.3) -5	Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg		
	<b>CG</b> 94.3 (20.2) -0.32 (0.14) -0.42 (0.17)	<b>IG</b> 78.6 (9.2) $-3.6 (0.2)^* -3.33 (0.2)^* -3.82 (0.3)^*$		
Diabetes Prevention	0.1 ()	<b>CG</b> 78.0 (9.2)0.89 (0.2) -1.07 (0.2) -1.88 (0.3)		
Program Research				
Group, 2005 <sup>203</sup>	Central adiposity:	Glucose tolerance:		
Diskates Deconsting	Waist circumference, cm	Fasting glucose, mg/dL		
Diabetes Prevention	$\begin{bmatrix} 1G & 105.1 & (14.8) & & -6.36 & (0.19)^{\circ} & & \\ GG & 105.2 & (14.2) & 0.00 & (0.40) \\ \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
Croup 2005 <sup>207</sup>	<b>CG</b> 105.2 (14.3)0.69 (0.19)			
Group, 2005	Overall adjacetty: Body fat measurement (visceral 1.2-1.3	* p<0.001 versus CC for changes in mean over time (NP for facting glucose)		
Ackermann 2009 <sup>211</sup>	visceral 1 4-1 5 subcutaneous 1 2-1 3 subcutaneous 1 4-1 5) (for			
	subsample n=758 68 5% #2496)	<b>IG n analyzed:</b> 1079 (BL) 1026 (12-mo) 1000 (24-mo) 638 (36-mo)		
Diabetes Prevention		<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 1082 (BL), 1027 (12-mo), 1015 (24-mo), 657 (36-mo)		
Program	*p<0.001 IG vs CG			
Good	IG n analyzed: 1079, 1026 (12 mo), 962 (weight, 30 mo)			
	CG n analyzed: 1			

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Fitzgibbon, 2010 <sup>204</sup> ORBIT	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 18 mo <u>BL</u> 18 mo Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids: NR Blood pressure: NR
Fair	BMI, kg/m <sup>+</sup> IG $38.9 (5.5$ -0.86 (2.79)         CG $39.7 (5.9)$ $0.22 (2.07)$ Diff between groups in adjusted mean change at followup         (95% CI): -1.13 (-1.83, -0.43)**         Weight, kg         IG $104.6 (15.8)$ CG $105.6 (18.1)$ 0.51 (5.69)         Diff between groups in adjusted mean change at followup         (95% CI): -2.83 (-4.71, -0.95)**         n (percent)         >5% below baseline weight         IG          22 (24)*         CG          12 (12)         Central adiposity: NR         *** p<0.01 for adjusted difference between IG and CG	Glucose tolerance: NR
	* p<0.05 for IG versus CG IG n analyzed: 93	
Haapala, 2009 <sup>151</sup>	CG n analyzed: 97, 94 (≥5% weight loss)         Mean (SD)	Lipids: NR
Fair	BL BLc† 12 mo Weight/Relative weight:	Blood pressure: NR
	BMI, kg/m²           IG         30.6 (2.7)             CG         30.4 (2.8)             Weight, kg         IG         87.5 (12.6)         86.6 (12.7)         82.1 (14.1)*           CG         86.4 (12.5)         85.1 (12.5)         84.0 (13.2)	Glucose tolerance: NR
	Central adiposity:           Waist circumference, cm           IG         98.5 (10.3)         97.6 (10.5)         91.3 (11.7)*           CG         96.6 (10.4)         95.7 (10.9)         93.3 (11.1)           Overall adiposity: NR         *         p<0.001 for time by group interaction	
	IG n analyzed: 62 (BL), 42 (BLc, 12 mo) CG n analyzed: 62 (BL), 40 (BLc, 12 mo)	

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)		
Hypertension Prevention Trial	Mean at BL, Mean change (SE) at 6, 36 mo BL 6 mo 36 mo Weight/Bolativo weight	Mean at BL, Mean change (SE) at 6, 36 mo Lipids: NR		
Research Group, 1990 <sup>143</sup>	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> IG 29	<u>BL 6 mo 36 mo</u> Blood pressure:		
HPT	CG 28 Weight, kg	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg IG 125.3 -6.9 (0.7) -5.0 (0.9)*		
Good	IG 87.4 -5.58 (0.27) -1.63 (0.41)* CG 83.4 0.18 (0.27) 1.86 (0.41)	CG 124.7 -1.8 (0.7) -2.6 (0.9) Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg		
	* p<0.001 at 36 mo	IG         83.0         -5.3 (0.7)         -4.2 (0.8)^{*}           CG         83.3         -2.5 (0.7)         -2.4 (0.8)           *n<0.05		
	Central adiposity: NR	Glucose tolerance: NR		
	Overall adiposity: NR	IG n analyzed: 125 (BL), 112 (6 mo), 117 (36 mo) CG n analyzed: 126 (BL), 121 (6 mo), 115 (36 mo)		
450	IG n analyzed: 125 (BL), 112 (6 mo), 117 (36 mo) CG n analyzed: 126 (BL), 119 (6 mo), 113 (36 mo)			
Irwin, 2003 <sup>152</sup>	Mean (95% CI) at BL, mean change (95%CI) at 12 months BL 12 mo	Mean (95% CI) at BL, 12 mo BL 12 mo		
Frank, 2005 <sup>263</sup>	Weight/Relative weight: BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Lipids: Total cholesterol, mg/dL		
Mohanka, 2006 <sup>264</sup>	IG 30.5 (29.6, 31.4) -0.3 (-0.6, -0.1)* CG 30.6 (29.8, 31.4) 0.3 (0.0, 0.6)	IG         230.7 (222, 239)         225.2 (216, 233)*           CG         232.4 (223, 241)         225.1 (216, 233)		
PATH	Weight, kg IG 81 6 (78 4 84 7) -1.3 (-2.0, -0.5)*	HDL cholesterol, mg/dL IG 51.9 (49.54) 52.2 (49.55)**		
Good	<b>CG</b> 81.7 (79.1, 84.3) 0.1 (-0.6, 0.8)	<b>CG</b> 52.6 (49, 55) 51.4 (48, 54)		
	<b>Central adiposity:</b> <i>Waist circumference, cm</i>	IG         152.3 (144, 160)         146.6 (139, 154)†           CG         152.5 (143, 161)         147.1 (138, 155)		
	IG         93.1 (90.6, 95.6)         -1.0 (-1.8, -0.1)           CG         93.5 (91.3, 95.8)         0.1 (-0.8, 0.9)	Triglycerides, mg/dL           IG         133.6 (121, 146)         129.6 (117, 142)           CG         136.4 (121, 151)         132.9 (117, 148)		
	<b>Overall adiposity:</b> Subcutaneous fat with CT, total % and total kg body fat by DXA	Note: TG values differ between Mohanka and Frank articles. Author could not clarify. Only Mohanka data used. Blood pressure: NR		
	* p≤0.05 for IG vs CG at 12 months and over time	Glucose tolerance: Fasting glucose, mg/dL IC 07.8 (81.4, 117.4) 08.0 (81.8, 110.5)8		
	IG n analyzed: 87 CG n analyzed: 86	<b>CG</b> 97.4 (82.5, 115.1) 98.4 (83.5, 115.9) Note: Data reported only in Frank article, but SDs approximately 10 times larger than		
	Note: Group differences did not differ by age	* $p=0.83$ for IG vs CG * $p=0.43$ for IG vs CG p=0.43 for IG vs CG p=0.95 for IG vs CG p=0.99 for IG vs CG		
		IG n analyzed: 85 for total cholesterol, 87 for all other outcomes CG n analyzed: 86 Note: Group differences did not differ by age		

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Jeffery, 1993 <sup>153</sup>	Mean at BL, 6, 12, 18, and 30 months (BMI), mean at BL	NR
	(weight), mean change at 6, 12, and 18 months (weight), mean	
Jeffery, 1995 <sup>289</sup>	change (SD) at 30 months (weight)	
	<u>BL 6 mo 12 mo 18 mo 30</u>	•
Trial of Food Provision	Weight/Relative weight:	
and Monitary Incentives	BMI, Kg/m IC1 30.85 28.15 28.00 20.10	
Fair	<b>IG2</b> 30.66 26.86 27.46 28.17	
	<b>IG3</b> 30.77 27.94 28.92 29.28	
	IG4 31.26 27.39 28.29 28.95	
	CG 30.88 30.48 30.38 30.67	
	time*treatment effect p<0.001	
	Weight, kg	
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	<b>IGA</b> 91.1 $-10.1$ $-9.1$ $-6.4^*$ $-1.6(6.3)$	
	<b>CG</b> 88.2 0.6 (5.3)	
	* weight changes for IG2 and IG4 are for combined group	
	IG2+IG4	
	** weight changes for IG1 and IG3 are for combined group	
lones 1999 <sup>154</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL mean change (SD) at 3 and 6 mo mean	<b>Blood pressure:</b> no group differences in % achieving target DBP at any time interval (3-
50nc3, 1000	change estimated from figure at 12 mo	30 mos), no group differences in average change in SBP or DBP
Hansson, 1994 <sup>265</sup>	<u>BL 3 mo 6 mo 12 mo</u>	
	Weight/Relative weight:	
The HOT Study Group,	BMI	
1993-00	IG 34(6)	
Hyportonsion Optimal	$\mathbf{CG}$ 34 (b)	
Treatment (HOT)	$IG = 97(18) = -27(34) = -32(43)^* = -0.7$	
Substudy	<b>CG</b> 92 (18) -1.7 (2.3) -1.8 (2.7) -0.5	
Fair	Central adinosity: NR	
	Overall adiposity: NR	
	* p=0.05 for IG vs CG	
	IG n analyzed: 51	
	CG n analyzed: 51	
	Note: Weight changes for 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30 months shown	
	in a figure	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures				(Li	Other li ipids, Glucos	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)			
Kastarinen, 2002 <sup>155</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean	change at 12,	24 mo	Mear	at BL, Mean char	nge at 12, 24	mo			
	BL	12 mo	<u>24 mo</u>		BL	12 mo	<u>24 mo</u>			
LIHEF Study (Lifestyle	Weight/Relative weight	:		Lipid	s:					
Intervention against	BMI, kg/m2			Total	cholesterol, mmol.	/L				
Hypertension in Eastern	<b>IG</b> 28.9 (4.6)			IG	5.66 (0.91)	-0.05	-0.03*			
Finland)	CG 28.5 (4.5)			CG	5.59 (0.93)	-0.03	0.07			
	Weight, kg			LDL o	cholesterol, mmol/l	L				
Fair	IG 81.1 (15.7	-1.5*	-1.5*	IG	3.64 (0.81)	-0.06	-0.11*			
	<b>CG</b> 80.0 (14.8)	-0.2	-0.3	CG	3.56 (0.79)	-0.01	0.04			
				HDL	cholesterol, mmol/	L				
	Central adiposity:			IG	1.32 (0.33)	0.02	0.10			
	Waist circumference, cm			CG	1.36 (0.38)	0.01	0.07			
	<b>IG</b> 97.2 (13.1)	-1.2*	-1.2*	Trigly	cerides, mmol/L					
	<b>CG</b> 95.8 (12.8)	0.3	0.2	IG	1.56 (1.01)	-0.03	-0.06			
				CG	1.49 (1.00)	-0.06	-0.06			
	Overall adiposity: NR			Dias	d Due e e come c					
	* n <0.0E for difference in	change IC .	arous CC (state for diff in	BIOO	u Pressure:	mmlla				
	p<0.05 for difference in	change, iG v		Sysic	140 (16)	, IIIIII⊓g ∧ 7	6.2			
	change provided)				149 (10)	-4.7	-0.2			
	IG n analyzed: 360 (BL)	317(12 mo)	304(24  mo)	Diast	olic Blood Pressur	-3.4 e mmHa	-4.2			
	CG n analyzed: 355 (BL)	) 275 (12 mo)	(24  mo)		01/0)	_/ 0*	_1 3			
	CO II allaryzed. 555 (BE	.), 275 (12110	), 203 (24 110)		91 (8)	-7.4	-3.2			
					01(0)	2.7	0.2			
				Gluc	ose Tolerance:					
				Seru	n insulin 111/1					
				IG	12 2 (6 8)	-0.8	-1.1			
				CG	11.6 (6.3)	-0.2	-0.5			
						•	0.0			
				* p<0	.05 for difference i	n change, IG	versus CG			
				IG n CG n	analyzed: 360 (BL analyzed: 355 (B	.), 317 (12 ma L), 275 (12 m	o), 304 (24 mo) io), 283 (24 mo)			
Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)								
-----------------------------------	--	---								
Kulzer, 2009 <sup>156</sup>	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)								
	BL 12 mo 12 mo change	BL 12 m 12 mo change								
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:								
	$BMI, Kg/m^2$	I otal cholesterol, mg/dL								
	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{CG} \\ \mathbf{CG} \\ 22 \\ 0 \\ (57) \\ 215 \\ (58) \\ 05 \\ (14) \\ 10 \\ \mathbf$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{C} \\ $								
	$W_{eight}$ kg	HDL cholesterol mg/dl								
	<b>IG</b> 92.1 (16.5) 88.3 (15.9)* -3.8 (5.2)*	IG 55.9(14.1) 54.6(14.9) -1.3(6.9)								
	<b>CG</b> 93.6 (19.3) 92.2 (19.4) -1.4 (4.0)	<b>CG</b> 53.5 (13.2) 51.3 (14.5) -2.2 (9.4)								
		Triglycerides, mg/dĹ								
	Central Adiposity:	IG 156.2 (151.0) 120.6 (65.5) -35.6 (136.8)								
	Waist circumference, cm	<b>CG</b> 144.1 (102.1) 141.6 (99.5) -2.5 (100.3)								
	<b>IG</b> 106.8 (13.7) 102.7 (12.5)* $-4.1 (6.0)^*$	Blood Pressure:								
	$\mathbf{CG}  106.3 \ (13.7)  105.9 \ (14.1) \qquad -0.4 \ (6.2)$	Systolic Blood Pressure, mmHg								
	Overall Adinasity NP	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{IG} & 141.8 (18.6) & 137.2 (17.1) & -4.6 (19.1) \\ \mathbf{CC} & 120.1 (15.0) & 129.1 (15.2) & 1.0 (16.7) \end{array}$								
		Diastolic Blood Pressure $mHa$								
	* n<0.05 for between-group difference	IG = 885(10.5) = 841(10.4) = -44(11.7)								
		<b>CG</b> 87.3 (9.7) 85.2 (12.3) -2.1 (12.6)								
	IG n analyzed: 91 (assumed)	Glucose Tolerance:								
	CG n analyzed: 91 (assumed)	Fasting glucose, mg/dL								
		<b>IG</b> 105.7 (12.4) 101.4 (11.3)* -4.3 (11.3)*								
		<b>CG</b> 105.5 (12.4) 107.3 (14.3) 1.8 (13.1)								
		2-hour postprandial OGTT, mg/dL								
		<b>IG</b> 133.1 (36.2) 125.8 (41.3) -7.3 (30.8)								
		$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{G} & 138.5 (34.9) \\ \textbf{A1C} & \text{normat} \\ \textbf$								
		$IG_{57}(0.5) = 57(0.4) = 0.0(0.3)$								
		CG 5.7 (0.6) 5.8 (0.5) 0.1 (0.4)								
		* p<0.05 for between-group difference								
		IG n analyzed: 91 (assumed)								
		CG n analyzed: 91 (assumed)								
Langford, 1985 <sup>157</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 13 mo	Lipids: NR								
	<u>BL 13 mo</u>									
Wassertheil-Smoller,	Weight/Relative weight:	Blood pressure:								
1985-27	BMI, kg/m²	Not taking anti-HTN meds at 56 wks, percent								
		<b>IG</b> 59.5 (Calc $n=52$ ) <sup><math>\circ</math></sup>								
DISIT	Weight ka									
Fair	<b>IG</b> 86.0 (17.3) $-4.0$ (5.0)*	No sex differences in likelihood of requiring a return to HTN meds. Treatment*sex effect								
	<b>CG</b> 89.8 (17.8) -0.46 (3.6)	was not tested.								
	≥5% weight loss, percent									
	IG 46.3*	Black participants were almost twice as likely to require a return to HTN meds than white								
	CG 11.7	participants. Treatment*race effect was not tested.								
	Central adiposity: NR	Glucose tolerance: NR								
	Overall adiposity: NR	* n<0.0015								
	*p<0.05 for difference between IG and CG	μ~υ.υυτυ								
	<b>IG n analyzed:</b> 87 (BL) 67 (13 mo)	IG n analyzed: 87								
	<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 89 (BL), 77 (13 mo)	CG n analyzed: 89								

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Martin, 2008 <sup>158</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 9, 12, 18 mo	Lipids: NR
	BL 9 mo 12 mo 18 mo	
Martin, 2006 <sup>268</sup>	Weight/Relative weight:	Blood pressure: NR
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	
Fair	IG 38.3 (7.5)	Glucose tolerance: NR
	<b>CG</b> 39.8 (7.8)	
	Weight, kg	
	$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{IG} & 101.2 & (20.6) & -1.52 & (3.72)^{\circ} & -1.38 & (3.69) -0.49 & (3.33) \\ \mathbf{CC} & 102.4 & (40.6) & 0.64 & (2.27) & 0.46 & (2.62) & 0.67 & (2.75) \\ \end{array}$	
	<b>CG</b> 103.4 (10.0) 0.01 (3.37) -0.10 (3.03) 0.07 (3.75) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{$	
	125% weight loss, percent (calc II)	
	CG = 7(5) $10(7)$ $7(3)$	
	Central adiposity: NR	
	Overall adiposity: NR	
	* p<0.05 for difference between IG and CG	
	IG n analyzed: 68; CG n analyzed: 69	
Mayer-Davis, 2004 <sup>159</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SE) at 6, 12 mo	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change at 6 mo
	<u>BL 6 mo 12 mo</u>	BL 6 mo (cannot use in MA)
POWER	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
	BMI, kg/m²	I otal cholesterol, mg/dL
Fair	$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{IG1} & 37.5 (6.7) & -0.296 () & \\ \mathbf{IG2} & 27.6 (6.5) & 0.074 (.)* \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{IG1} & 198.9 & (39.6) & -0.03 \\ \mathbf{IG2} & 108.6 & (47.4) & 0.00 \\ \end{array}$
	$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{IG2} & 37.0 (0.5) & -0.974 ()^{\circ} & \\ \mathbf{CC} & 25.2 (7.5) & 0.161() \end{array}$	102 198.0 (47.4) -0.09 CC 217.2 (57.0) 6.22
	$V_{eight ka} = -$	HDL cholesterol ma/dl
	$IG1  100 \ 0 \ (19 \ 8)  $	<b>IG1</b> 517 (15.6) 1.58
	<b>IG2</b> 99.5 (17.1)2.2 ()	IG2 48.4 (10.4) 0.73
	<b>CG</b> 93.0 (20.3)0.3 ()	<b>CG</b> 52.4 (16.2) -1.12
	Note: At 12-mo IG2 diff from CG, IG1 did not differ from either	LDL cholesterol, mg/dL
	group	<b>IG1</b> 115.1 (37.3) -1.44
		<b>IG2</b> 119.0 (41.0) -3.37
	Central adiposity: NR	<b>CG</b> 129.1 (48.6) -7.07
		Triglycerides, mg/dL
	Overall adiposity: NR	$\begin{array}{ccc}   \mathbf{G1} & 134.3 (1.8) & 0.83 \\   \mathbf{G2} & 125.2 (4.6) & 0.97 \\   \mathbf{G3} & 0.7 \\   \mathbf{G3} & 0.7 \\   \mathbf{G3} & 0.7 \\   \mathbf{G1} & 0.7 \\   \mathbf{G1}$
	* n=0.01 for IC vorgue CC	102 125.2 (1.0) 0.87
	p < 0.01 for the versus CG	Blood prossure:
	IG1 n analyzed: 47	Systolic blood pressure mmHa
	IG2 n analyzed: 49	<b>IG1</b> 136 9 (15 9) -4 26
	CG n analyzed: 56	<b>IG2</b> 139.7 (14.6) -3.31
		<b>CG</b> 143.2 (17.9 -9.52
		Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg
		IG1 81.2 (8.3) -0.07
		<b>IG2</b> 83.0 (8.7) -0.49
		<b>CG</b> 81.0 (13.1) -2.65
		Glucose tolerance:
		Hemoglobin A1c, percent
		$\begin{array}{c} 102 & 10.2 (2.3) & -1.30 \\ \hline \mathbf{CG} & 0.6 (2.0) & -1.12 \end{array}$
		$[00  \exists . 0  (2.3)  -1.12$ $[G1 n analyzed: 47: [G2 n analyzed: 40: CC n analyzed: 56]$

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures				Other Intermedi	iate Outcomes	
Quality Rating				(Lip	ids, Glucose Tolera	ance, Blood Pressure)	
Mensink, 2003 <sup>160</sup>	Mean (SE) at BL, Me	ean change (SE) at	: 12, 24 mo	Mean	(SE) at BL, Mean c	hange (SE) at 12, 24	4 mo
	BL	12 mo	<u>24 mo</u>		BL	12 mo	<u>24 mo</u>
Mensink, 2003 <sup>269</sup>	Weight/Relative we	ight:		Lipids	:		
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>			Total o	cholesterol, mM		
Fair	IG 29.8 (0.5)	-1.1 (0.2)**	-0.8 (0.2)**	IG	5.1 (0.1)	0.0 (0.1)	0.3 (0.1)
	CG 29.3 (0.4)	-0.1 (0.2)	0.0 (0.2)	CG	5.2 (0.1)	0.2 (0.1)	0.4 (0.1)
	Weight, kg			HDL c	holesterol, mM		
	IG 86 (1.9)	-3.1 (0.6)*	-2.4 (0.7)**	IG	1.16 (0.04)	-0.04 (0.02)	0.06 (0.03)
	CG 83.7 (1.5)	-0.2 (0.5)	-0.1 (0.5)	CG	1.10 (0.03)	-0.03 (0.02)	0.05 (0.02)
				LDL c	holesterol, mM		
	Central adiposity:			IG	3.30 (0.10)	0.01 (0.08)	0.32 (0.11)
	Waist circumference	e, cm		CG	3.44 (0.10)	0.16 (0.06)	0.32 (0.09)
	IG 102.4 (1.5)	-3.8 (0.6)**	-1.9 (0.7)	Triglyd	erides, mM		
	CG 102.3 (1.1)	-1.2 (0.6)	-0.6 (0.6)	IG	1.59 (0.18)	-0.01 (0.08)	-0.30 (0.12)**
				CG	1.46 (0.11)	0.19 (0.11)	0.25 (0.11)
	Overall adiposity: F	Percent body fat (sl	kinfold measurements)	Blood	pressure: NR		
				Gluco	se tolerance:		
	** p<0.01 between g	iroups		Hemo	globin A1c, percent	1	
				IG	5.9 (0.1)	-0.2 (0.1)	0.0 (0.1)
	IG n analyzed: 55 (I	BL), 40 (12, 24 mo)	1	CG	5.9 (0.1)	-0.2 (0.1)	-0.1 (0.1)
	CG n analyzed: 59	(BL), 48 (12, 24 mc	))	Fastin	g Glucose		
				IG	5.9 (0.1)	-0.1 (0.1)	0.2 (0.1)
				CG	5.8 (0.1)	0.1 (0.1)	0.5 (0.1)
				Other	measurement instr	uments: 2-hr glucose	e, HOMA index for insulin resistance, fast
				insulin		-	
				** p<0	.01 between group	S	
				IG n a	nalyzed: 55 (BL), 4	40 (12, 24 mo); <b>CG r</b>	n analyzed: 59 (BL), 48 (12, 24 mo)

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	S	(Lij	Other Intermedia pids, Glucose Tolera	ate Outcomes nce, Blood Pressure)
Mitsui, 2008 <sup>161</sup>	Mean (SD)		Mean (SD)		•
	BL 3 mo 12 m	no	BL	3 mo	<u>12 mo</u>
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:		Lipids:		
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>		Total cholesterol, mg/dL		
	<b>IG</b> 24.8 (2.2) 24.0 (2.2) 23.7	7 (2.4)	<b>IG</b> 225.4 (34.0)	215.5 (26.8)	220.6 (30.9)
	<b>CG</b> 25.6 (2.5) 25.5 (2.6 25.5	5 (2.6)	<b>CG</b> 230.9 (23.8)	225.9 (30.7)	236.8 (30.3)
	Weight, $kg$		HDL cholesterol, mg/dL		
	<b>IG</b> $64.0(8.9)$		10 51.0 (9.2)	51.8 (12.1) 52.6 (11.1)	54.4 (11.9) 52.0 (11.8)
	<b>CG</b> 07.4 (10.6)		<b>CG</b> 50.7 (12.1) Modian (rango)	52.0 (11.1)	52.0 (11.6)
	Central adinosity:		Triacylalycerol ma/dl		
	Waist circumference cm		IG 120.0 (57.232)	100 0 (54 249)	112 5 (48, 316)
	<b>IG</b> 927 (51) 899 (54)* 898	8 (6 1)*	<b>CG</b> 146.0 (25, 326)	138 0 (72, 274)*	155.0 (69, 392)
	<b>CG</b> 94.9 (6.2) 95.0 (6.9) 95.7	7 (7.3)	Mean (SD)		
		<b>、</b> ,	Blood pressure:		
	Overall adiposity: NR		Systolic Blood Pressure,	, mmHg	
			IG 139.3 (22.2)	130.7 (19.3)	129.3 (17.5)
	* p<0.05 for IG versus CG		CG 129.0 (12.4)	128.0 (13.7)	127.8 (13.6)
			Diastolic Blood Pressure	e, mmHg	
	IG n analyzed: 22		<b>IG</b> 81.4 (13.0)	75.9 (12.2)	74.7 (11.5)
	CG n analyzed: 21		<b>CG</b> 78.1 (11.1)	76.5 (9.6)	75.7 (10.9)
			Glucose tolerance:		
			Blood glucose, mg/aL	00.0(40.7)	04 4 (44 8)
			<b>IG</b> 90.3 (12.4)	92.6 (10.7)	91.1 (11.8) 09.5 (12.7)
			List other measurement	instruments: NR	96.5 (12.7)
			* n<0.05 for IG versus C	G	
			IG n analyzed: 22° CG	n analyzed: 21	
Moore, 2003 <sup>162</sup>	Mean (SD)		Lipids: NR	, <b>,</b>	
,	BL 12 mo	18 mo	•		
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:		Blood pressure: NR		
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>		-		
	IG 37.0 (5.7) 36.9 ()	37.1 ()	Glucose tolerance: NR		
	<b>CG</b> 36.9 (5.8) 36.8 ()	36.9 ()			
	Diff between IG and CG (95 %Cl), 12 mo: 0 (	-1.0, 1.0)			
	Diff between IG and CG (95% CI), 18 mo: 0.1	1 (-1.0, 1.1)			
	VVeignt, Kg	100.9 ( )			
	<b>IG</b> $100.8(18.1)$ $100.3()$ <b>CG</b> $100.2(17.4)$ 99.3()	99.5 ()			
	Diff between IG and CG (95% CI) 12 mo: 1 (	) (_1 0 3 0)			
	Diff between IG and CG (95% Cl), 12 mor 13	3(-1844)			
	Central adiposity: NR	,,			
	Overall adiposity: NR				
	IG n analyzed: 415 (BL), 279 (12 mo, weight),	256 (18 mo,			
	weight)				
	CG n analyzed: 428 (BL), 286 (12 mo, weight)	), 275 (18 mo,			
	weight)				
	Total n analyzed: 564 (12 mo, BMI), 530 (18 r	mo, BMI)*			
	* Note: One patient missing height data; not re	ported if this was			
	In the IG or CG.				

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Narayan, 1998 <sup>163</sup>	Median (range) at BL. Median change at 6, 12 mo	Median (range) at BL, Median change at 6, 12 mo
······································	BL 6 mo 12 mo	BL 6 mo 12 mo
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol, mM
	IG 36.5 (24.1, 59.9) 0.3 0.9	IG 4.5 (2.1, 6.1) 0.0 0.2
	<b>CG</b> 33.2 (20.2, 55.8) 0.2 0.5	<b>CG</b> 4.5 (3.2, 6.2) -0.1 0.1
	Regression: IG greater increase in BMI than CG (p=0.05)	P 0.83
	Weight, kg	Triglycerides, mM
	<b>IG</b> 96.4 (59.4, 159.1) 1.0 2.5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	<b>UG</b> 89.3 (59.2, 184.8) $0.5$ $0.8$	
	Regression. To greater increase in weight than CG (p=0.03)	P 0.31 0.27 0.70
	Central adinosity:	Systolic blood pressure mmHa
	Waist circumference cm	IG = 116 (90, 146) = 25 = 6.0
	IG 116(87 161) 01 01	CG = 116(92, 176) = 5.2 = 4.1
	<b>CG</b> 110 (85, 163) -1.5 -2.1	P 0.39 0.79 0.18
		Diastolic blood pressure, mmHq
	Overall adiposity: NR	IG 70 (48, 90) 2.5 1.1
		<b>CG</b> 72 (53, 98) 0.1 -1.0
	IG n analyzed: 48 (BL), NR (6, 12 mo)	P 0.15 0.2 0.07
	CG n analyzed: 47 (BL), NR (6, 12 mo)	Glucose tolerance:
		Fasting glucose, mM
		<b>IG</b> 5.4 (4.5, 6.5) 0.1 0.1
		CG = 5.1(4.2, 6.1) = 0.1 = 0.00
		P 0.03 0.94 0.96 Other measurement instruments: 2 hour plasma slucess feating and 2 hour insulin
		<b>IG n analyzed:</b> 48 (BL) NR (6, 12 mo): <b>CG n analyzed:</b> 47 (BL) NR (6, 12 mo)
Parikh 2010 <sup>208</sup>	Median (range) at BL Mean (SD) change at 12 mo	Mean (SD) at BL Mean change (SD) at 12 mo
1 diliki, 2010	BL 12 mo (completers) 12 mo (LOCF)	BL 12 mo
Project HEED	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	LDL cholesterol, mg/dl
Fair	IG 32.0 (4.0)	IG 109 (32) -1 (35)
	<b>CG</b> 31.0 (5.0)	<b>CG</b> 103 (33) 4 (29)
	Weight, Ib	Blood pressure:
	<b>IG</b> 174.0 (39.0) -7.2 (7.3)* -5.5 ()*	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg
	<b>CG</b> $162.0(27.0) -2.4(8.1) -2.3()$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{IG} & 112 (13) & -1 (13) \\ \mathbf{OG} & 110 (05) & 7 (17) \\ \end{array}$
	25% weight loss, percent (n)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{CG} & 119 (25) & -7 (17) \\ Directer in black diversarium membra$
	10 34 (10) 14 (6)	Diastolic blood pressure, mmig
	Contral adinosity:	CG = 73 (10) -4 (8)
	Waist circumference in	Glucose tolerance:
	$IG = 40.0(4.0) -1.3(2.6)^*$	Fasting alucose ma/dl
	<b>CG</b> $39.0 (4.0)$ $0.1 (3.4)$	<b>IG</b> 104 (9.6) 10 (13)
	Overall adiposity: NR	<b>CG</b> 102 (9.5) 11 (11)
	* p<0.05 for IG versus CG	Hemoglobin A1c, percent
		IG 5.6 (0.3) -0.3 (0.2)
	<b>IG n analyzed:</b> 50 (BL), 35 (12 mo), 47 (≥5% weight loss, 12	<b>CG</b> 5.6 (0.2) -0.3 (0.2)
	mo, calc)	Other measurement instruments: 2-hr plasma glucose, isolated impaired fasting, impaired
	CG n analyzed: 49 (BL), 37 (12 mo), 43 (≥5% weight loss, 12	tolerance, and impaired fasting/tolerance
	mo, caic)	IG n analyzed: 50 (BL), 35 (12 mo); CG n analyzed: 49 (BL), 37 (12 mo)

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Perri, 1988 <sup>164</sup>	Mean at BL, Mean change (SD) at 6, 12, 18, 24 months ( 6	Lipids: NR
	mo=end of initial wt loss phase, 18 mo=12 mo into maintenance	
Fair	phase)	Blood pressure: NR
	Weight/Relative weight:	Glucose tolerance: NR
	Weight, kg	
	<b>IG1</b> 97.37 -13.17 (5.35) -15.79 (11.77) -12.88 (12.44) -11.41	
	162 96.94 - 11.34 (3.07) - 13.54 (6.17) - 13.35 (7.37) - 8.43 (7.47)	
	IG3 95.21 - 13.05 (4.83) - 15.19 (6.21) - 12.97 (7.63) -9.14	
	(6.41)	
	<b>IG4</b> 97.40 -13.67 (5.85) -17.75 (11.66) -15.70 (14.29) -13.54	
	<b>CG</b> $89.03 - 10.80 (7.60) - 8.94 (8.76)^{\circ} - 5.67 (0.90)^{\circ} - 3.60 (6.18)^{*}$	
	IGs had greater wt loss than CG, exact p NR	
	Central adiposity: NR	
	Overall adiposity: NR	
	* p<0.01 for significant differences between CG and all other IG's	
	IG1 n analyzed: 19	
	IG2 n analyzed: 19	
	IG3 n analyzed: 18	
	IG4 n analyzed: 19	
Dritchard 1000 <sup>165</sup>	CG n analyzed: 16	Lisida ND
Fillchard, 1999	BL 12 mo (ITT) 12 mo (completers)	
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:	Blood pressure: NR
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	•
	IG	Glucose tolerance: NR
	CG	
	<b>IG1</b> 85.5 80.4 76.6	
	<b>IG2</b> 91.7 85.5 82.7	
	<b>CG</b> 89.1 89.7 91.7	
	Note: IG1 and IG2 lost greater percent of weight than CG	
	Central adiposity: NR Overall adiposity: NR	
	IG1 n analyzed: 88 (BL, 12 mo ITT), 48 (12 mo completers) IG2 n analyzed: 92 (BL, 12 mo ITT), 65 (12 mo completers) CG n analyzed: 90 (BL, 12 mo ITT), 64 (12 mo completers)	
	Note: Results abstracted for overweight subsample only.	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Silva 2009 <sup>166</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL Mean change at 12 mg (SD assumed)	Lipids: NR
00, 2000	BL 12 mo 24 mo	
Silva, 2008 <sup>270</sup>	Weight/Relative weight:	Blood pressure: NR
	BMI, ka/m <sup>2</sup>	
Teixeira, 2009 <sup>271</sup>	$IG 31.7 (4.24) -2.3 (1.9)^*$	Glucose tolerance: NR
,	<b>CG</b> 31.3 (4.00) 0.7 (1.9)	
Fair	Weight, kg	
-	IG 82.1 (11.9)	
	<b>CG</b> 81.5 (12.1)	
	Mean difference in weight loss between IG and CG at end of the	
	intervention was about 6%	
	IG n analyzed: 123	
	CG n analyzed: 116	
	Percent	
	≥5% weight loss (calc n/N)	
	IG 65 (69/106)* 50 (52/103)*	
	CG 20 (18/88) 28 (22/80)	
	≥10% weight loss	
	IG 32 (34/106)* 18 (19/103)*	
	CG 7 (6/88) 12 (11/88)	
	IG n analyzed: 106 (12 mo), 103 (24 mo)	
	CG n analyzed: 88 (12 mo), 80 (24 mo)	
	Central adiposity: NR	
	Overall adiposity: Body fat %, lean mass, fat mass (all "lab-	
	measured") (IG lost more body fat, fat mass p<0.001)	
	* p<0.001 for IG versus CG	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Simkin-Silverman.	Mean (SD) at BL. 6, 18 mo. Mean change (SD) at 30, 42, 54 mo	Mean (SD) at BL. 6. 18 mo
2003 <sup>167</sup>	BL 6 mo 18 mo 30 mo 42 mo 54	BL 6 mo 18 mo
	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
Simkin-Silverman,	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol, mg/dl
1998 <sup>272</sup>	IG 24.9 (3.2) 23.1 (3.1)*23.8 (3.2)* -0.67 (1.8)** -0.34 (1.9)**0.05	IG 189.7 (24.5) 175.9 (28.0)* 188.1 (28.3)**
	<b>CG</b> 25.1 (3.3) 25.0 (3.3) 25.2 (3.4) 0.44 (1.6) 0.67 (1.7) 0.96	<b>CG</b> 189.6 (24.3) 190.5 (26.4) 197.4 (28.0)
Kuller, 2001 <sup>273</sup>	time*group p<0.001 through 18 mo	HDL cholesterol, mg/dl
274	Weight, Ib	<b>IG</b> 59.7 (13.0) 57.3 (12.0)* 60.7 (11.8)**
Park, 2007 <sup>274</sup>	IG 148.0 (21.3)137.1 (20.5)*141.3 (20.7)*	<b>CG</b> 58.4 (12.1) 58.2 (11.9) 61.3 (13.2)
	<b>CG</b> 147.6 (21.9)146.8 (21.8)148.2 (22.2)	LDL cholesterol, mg/dl
Women's Healthy	time*group p<0.001	<b>IG</b> 114.7 (21.8) 103.4 (24.3)* 110.5 (24.2)**
Lifestyle Project		$\mathbf{CG}  116.3 \ (21.8)  116.2 \ (23.9)  119.0 \ (25.7)$
(WHLP)	Central adiposity: NR	1 riglycerides, mg/dl
		<b>IG</b> 82.2 (38.2) $77.7$ (35.5) <sup>°</sup> 84.6 (41.3) <sup>†</sup>
Good	Overall adiposity: % body fat (group differences statistically	CG /8.2 (42.4) 83.7 (50.3) 85.6 (51.3)
	significant at 30, 42, and 54 months)	Blood pressure:
	* n<0.05 for IC vo CC	System block pressure, minimy $10000 \text{ pressure, minimy} = 10000 \text{ (12.5)} = 10000 \text{ (12.5)} = 10000 \text{ (12.5)} = 10000 \text{ (12.5)} = 100000 \text{ (12.5)} = 100000000000000000000000000000000000$
	p < 0.05 101 1G VS CG	$\mathbf{CC} = 110.1 (12.0) = 108.7 (11.0) = 100.6 (12.3)$
	p<0.001 101 10 V3 CG	Diastalic blood pressure mmHa
	IG n analyzed: 236 (BL 6 mo. 18 mo) NR	$IG = 685(7.6) = 660(7.0)^* = 699(8.1)^+$
		CG = 67.9 (8.5) = 67.6 (8.0) = 69.9 (8.1)
		Glucose tolerance:
		Fasting glucose
		<b>IG</b> 98.1 (8.0) 97.1 (7.8)* 99.4 (9.1)**
		<b>CG</b> 97.8 (8.3) 98.7 (8.0) 100.6 (9.6)
		* p<0.05 for IG vs CG compared to BL **p<0.05 for IG vs CG compared to BL; p<0.05 for
		Time (0, 6, 18) x Group †p<0.05 for Time (0, 6, 18) x Group
		IG n analyzed: 236; CG n analyzed: 253
Stevens, 1993 <sup>168</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 6, 18 mo	Mean (SD) at BL, mean change (SE) at 6, 12 and 18 months
	BL 6 months 18 months	Lipids: NR
Whelton, 1992 <sup>275</sup>	Weight, kg	BL 6 months 12 month 18 months
	IG 90.2 (13.3) -5.68 (5.74)* -3.83 (6.12)*	Blood pressure:
The Trials of	<b>CG</b> 89.3 (13.0) -0.01 (3.24) 0.07 (4.01)	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg
Hypertension	*p<0.01 for IG vs CG	IG 124.3 (8.4) -6.5 (0.5)* -5.4 (0.5)* -5.3 (0.4)*
Prevention	Adults who met 4.5 kg weight loss goal, % (calc n/N)	<b>CG</b> 124.6 (8.1) -2.7 (0.5) -3.1 (0.5) -2.3 (0.5)
Collaborative Research	Men	Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg
Group, 1992 <sup>276</sup>	IG 45 (95/212)	<b>IG</b> 83.7 (2.6) -6.3 (0.4)** -5.8 (0.4)** -6.2 (0.4)**
	<b>C</b> 12 (18/151)	<b>CG</b> 84.0 (3.0) -3.7 (0.4) -3.8 (0.4) -3.8 (0.4)
Trials of Hypertension	Women	The treatment*sex interaction was not significant for blood pressure. Also, there were no
Prevention Phase I	IG 26 (22/83)	difference between men and women in the effect of weight change on blood pressure.
Quart	CG 18 (15/85)	
Good	Central adiposity: NR	*p=0.001 for IG vs CG
	Overall adiposity: NR $I_{\rm C}$ analyzed: 208 (PL) 204 (6 ma) 202 (19 ma)	<sup>m</sup> p<0.001 for IG VS CG
	<b>IG II analyzed:</b> $300 (BL)$ , $294 (0 1110)$ , $295 (10 1110)$	<b>IC n analyzed:</b> $308$ (PL) $204$ (6 ma) $203$ (18 ma)
	The treatment*baseline RML interaction was statistically	<b>CG n analyzed.</b> $300 (DL), 234 (0 III0), 235 (10 III0)$
	significant: the estimated difference in weight loss between IC	<b>US II analyzeu.</b> 200 (DE), 207 (U IIIU), 200 (10 IIIU)
	and CG was 2.2 kg for those who were below the median base-	
	line weight of 80.4 kg, and 5.5 kg for those above the median	
1	1110 Worght Or 03.7 Kg, and 0.0 Kg 101 those above the illeulan.	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Stevens, 2001 <sup>169</sup>	Mean at BL (SD), Mean change (95% CI) at 6, 18, 36 mo	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean (SD) change from baseline at 6, 18, and 36 mo
Hollis, 1995 <sup>277</sup>	<u>BL 6 mo 18 mo 36 mo</u> Weight/Relative weight: Weight ka	BL 6 mo 18 mo 36 mo Blood pressure: Systelic blood pressure mmHa
TOHP, 1997 <sup>278</sup>	<b>IG</b> 93.4 (14.1) -4.4 (-4.8, -3.9)* -2.0 (-2.5, -1.5)* -0.2 (-0.7, 0.3)*	<b>IG</b> 127.6 (6.1) -6.0 (8.1)* -3.6 (7.9)* -0.8 (8.7)** <b>CG</b> 127.3 (6.4) -2.2 (8.1) -1.8 (7.0) -0.6 (8.5)
Trials of Hypertension Prevention Phase II	<b>CG</b> 93.6 (13.5) 0.1 (-0.1, 0.4) 0.7 (0.4, 1.6) 1.8 (1.3, 2.2)	Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg IG 86.0 (1.9) -5.5 (6.9)* -4.5 (6.1)* -3.2 (6.5)†
Good	Central adiposity: NR	CG 85.8 (1.9) -2.8 (6.1) -3.2 (5.8) -2.4 (7.0) *p<0.001 for CG vs IG
	Overall adiposity: NR	** p=0.01 for CG vs IG † p<0.05 for CG vs IG
	*p<0.001 for IG vs CG	IG n analyzed: 595 (BL), 561 (6 mo), 533 (18 mo), 527 (36 mo)
	<b>IG n analyzed:</b> 595 (BL), 565 (6 mo), 545 (18 mo), 547 (36 mo) <b>CG n analyzed:</b> 596 (BL), 561 (6 mo), 551 (18 mo), 554 (36 mo)	CG n analyzed: 596 (BL),538 (6mo), 525 (18 mo), 514 (36 mo)
	Note: Age was associated with greater weight loss at 36 months (but not 18 months). Treatment*age interaction not reported. Note: In the IG, white participants had greater net weight loss	
	were not significant at 36 months.	
Svetkey, 2008 <sup>170</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Adjusted* mean change (SE) at 30 mo post- rand (from randomization and from BL)	NR
Weight Loss	BL Start of Phase II 30 mo (rand) 30-mo (BL)	
PROTOCOL, 2008 <sup>279</sup>	Weight, kg	
WLM	IG1         97.2 (16.2)         88.6 15.4)         5.2 (0.3)         -3.3 (0.4)           IG2         97.1 (17.5)         88.7 (16.9)         4.0 (0.3)         -4.2 (0.4)	
Good	<b>CG</b> 95.9 (16.2) 87.4 (15.3) 5.5 (0.3) -2.9 (0.4) IG1 (p=0.005) and IG2 (p<0.001) greater wt loss from baseline	
	than CG at 12-mo in adjusted model	
	<b>IG1</b> 35.3 (122/347)	
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	IG2>CG, p=0.02	
	Central adiposity: NR Overall adiposity: NR	
	* Adjusted for entry weight, site, age, race, sex, race-by-gender interaction, and change in weight in Phase I	
	** p<0.001 for change within treatment group	
	IG1 n analyzed: 347	
	GC n analyzed: 341 CG n analyzed: 341	
	No significant treatment*age interaction	

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
ter Bogt, 2009 <sup>171</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 12 mo	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 12 mo
<b>Fair</b>	BL 12 mo	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
Fair	Relative weight:	Lipids:
	D(VII, Kg/III)	
	$W_{eight}$ kg	Mon
	Men	
	IG2 1 (4 8)*	CG = 0.10(0.0)
	CG = 00(39)	Women
	Women	IG 0.02(0.8)
	IG1.5 (4.1)	<b>CG</b> 0.06 (0.8)
	<b>CG</b> 1.4 (4.9)	HDL cholesterol. mmol/L
	Adjusted % change in body weight, mean (95% CI)	IG 1.44 (0.4)
	IG1.9 (-2.5, -1.2)*	<b>CG</b> 1.43 (0.4)
	CG0.9 (-1.5, -0.2)	Men
	(adjusted for sex, age, baseline BMI, weight change between	IG0.06 (0.2)
	screening and baseline)	<b>CG</b> 0.05 (0.2)
		Women
	Central adiposity:	IG0.11 (0.2)
	Waist circumference, cm	<b>CG</b> 0.12 (0.2)
	IG2.4 (7.1)	LDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	<b>CG</b> 1.2 (5.9)	IG 3.5 (0.9)
	Men	<b>CG</b> 3.43 (0.9)
	<b>IG</b> 104 (7.8 -2.8 (6.2)*	Men
	<b>CG</b> 105 (9.5 -0.9 (4.5)	IG0.04(0.6)
	Women	<b>CG</b> 0.12 (0.6)
	$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{IG} & 97 (9.8) & -2.0 (7.8) \\ \mathbf{OO} & 07 (44.0) & 4.5 (0.8) \\ \end{array}$	Women
	Overall adiabative ND	CG = -0.02(0.7)
	Overall adiposity: NR	Blood pressure:
	* nc0.05 for IC versus CC after adjustment for BL values	
		CG 145 (15.5)
	IG n analyzed: 225 (BL) 103 (Women 12 mo) 98 (Men 12 mo)	Men
	<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 232 (BL), 100 (Women, 12 mo), 00 (Men, 12 mo)	16 85(168)
		<b>CG</b> $-53(127)$
		Women
	Note: Significant group*sex interaction (p=0.03). Men in IG	IG5.3 (20.1)
	showed areater weight loss (-2.1 vs 0.0 kg) and reduction in WC	<b>CG</b> 2.2 (16.5)
	(-2.8 vs -0.9 cm) than CG, but there were no group differences	Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg
	for women for either measure (wt: IG=-1.5, CG=-1.4; WC: IG=-	IG 87 (9.6)
	2.0, CG=-1.5)	CG 86 (8.2)
		Men
	Note: No group differences in weight loss for either participants	IG2.6 (11.2)
	aged <60 or those 60 and older or for either participants with	<b>CG</b> 1.3 (7.8)
	BMI<30 and those with BMI 30+	Women
		IG0.3 (9.6)
		<b>CG</b> 0.2 (8.4)
1		

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
(continued)		Glucose tolerance:
ter Bogt, 20091/1		Fasting glucose, mmol/L
		IG 5.20 (0.5)
Fair		<b>CG</b> 5.25 (0.7)
		Men
		<b>IG</b> 0.03 (0.6)
		<b>CG</b> 0.05 (0.8)
		Women
		<b>IG</b> 0.08 (0.6)
		CG0.11(0.5)
		Other measurement instruments: NR
		IG n analyzed: 225 (BL), 103 (Women, 12 mo), 98 (Men, 12 mo)
		<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 232 (BL), 114 (Women, 12 mo), 101 (Men, 12 mo)
Tuomilehto, 2001 <sup>172</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL. Mean change (SD) at 12, 24 mo	Mean (SD) at baseline. Mean change (SD) at 12, 24 mo
,	BL 12 mo 24 mo	BL 12 mo 24 mo
Eriksson, 1999 <sup>280</sup>	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
,,	BMI ka/m <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol mg/dl
Lindstrom, 2003 <sup>281</sup>	IG 31.3 (4.6)	$IG_{215(37)} -5(28) -4(31)$
	<b>CG</b> 31.0 (4.5)	<b>CG</b> 215 $(35)$ -4 $(28)$ 0 $(27)$
Uusitupa 2009 <sup>282</sup>	Weight kg	HDI cholesterol ma/dl
	<b>IG</b> 4.2 (5.1)* -3.5 (5.5)*	IG 46(12) 2(7) 4(7)
Finnish Diabetes	<b>CG</b> $-0.8(3.7) - 0.8(4.4)$	<b>CG</b> $47(11)$ 1(6) 3(7)
Prevention Study	Weight reduction $>5\%$ (calc n)	Trialverides ma/dl
i rovontion otday	IG 43% (110)	<b>IG</b> 154 (72) -18 (51)* -18 (53)**
Good	CG = -13%(32)	$G_{1} = G_{1} = G_{1$
0000		
	Central adiposity	Blood pressure:
	Waist circumference cm	Systolic blood pressure mmHa
	<b>IG</b> 102 0 (11 0) $-44(52)^*$ $-42(52)^*$	$IG_{140}(18)^{***} -5(14)^{+} -5(14)^{++}$
	<b>CG</b> 100.5 (10.9) $-1.3(4.8)$ $-1.3(5.4)$	$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{G}}$ 136 (17) -1 (15) 0 (15)
		Diastolic blood pressure mmHa
	Overall adiposity: NR	IG = 86(9) = -5(9) +
		<b>CG</b> 86 (10) $-3$ (9) $-3$ (9)
	*p<0.001 for IG vs CG	
		Glucose tolerance:
	<b>IG n analyzed</b> : 265 (BL) 256 (12 and 24 mo)	Fasting glucose_mg/dl
	<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 257 (BL), 250 (12 and 24mo)	$IG_{109}(14) -4(12) + -2(12) 8$
		<b>CG</b> 110 (13) 1 (12) 4 (14)
		Other measurement instruments: Plasma diucose 2 hours after oral diucose challenge
		o and model of an instruments. That and glacose 2 hours after or al glacose challenge
		*n=0.001 for IG vs CG
		**n=0.0026 for IG vs CG
		*** n=0.03 for IG vs CG
		tn=0.007 for IG vs CG
		+tn=0.0005 for IC vs CC
1	1	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Villareal, 2008 <sup>173</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 6 mo	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 6 mo
Villareal, 2006 <sup>283</sup> Villareal, 2006 <sup>284</sup> Fair	BL         6 HO         12 HO           Weight/Relative weight:         Weight, kg         IG         99.7 (13.6)         -8.2 (5.7)*            CG         103.2 (19.8)         0.7 (2.7)          Weight lost, percent         IG          -10.1 (2.0)           CG           1.2 (1.3)	Lipids:         HDL cholesterol, mg/dL           IG         48 (9)         -1 (4)           CG         43 (5)         -1 (2)           LDL cholesterol, mg/dL         IG         110 (33)         -5 (22)           CG         119 (21)         4 (30)
	Central adiposity: Waist circumference, cm IG 115 (15) -10 (10)** CG 115 (16) 1 (8)Overall adiposity: Fat mass and fat-free mass in kg (dual- energy x-ray absorptiometry) only at 6 mo* $p<0.001$ for IG vs CG* $p<0.005$ for IG vs CGIG n analyzed: 17 CG n analyzed: 10	IG       180 (87)       -45 (63)*         IG       133 (39)       0 (36)         Blood pressure:       Systolic blood pressure, mmHg         IG       139 (9)       -14 (9)**         CG       139 (10)       -3 (11)         Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg       IG       79 (8)       -7 (7)*         CG       78 (4)       -1 (7)       Glucose tolerance:         Fasting glucose, mg/dL       IG       100 (10)       -4 (7)**         CG       99 (10)       4 (11)       Other measurement instruments: NR         * $p<0.05$ for IG vs CG       ** $p<0.01$ for IG vs CG       **
		IG n analyzed: 17 CG n analyzed: 10
Werkman, 2010 <sup>174</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 12, 24 mo BL 12 mo 24 mo	Lipids: NR
Good	Weight/Relative weight: $BMI, kg/m^2$ IG       26.7 (3.6) $-0.49 (1.01)$ $-1.47 (3.66)$ CG       27.3 (3.1) $-0.43 (0.98$ $-1.58 (3.96)$ Weight, kg       IG       85.1 (11.9) $-1.86 (3.08)$ $-0.37 (1.12)$ CG       86.1 (11.4) $-1.62 (3.03)$ $-0.40 (1.29)$ Central adiposity:       Waist circumference, cm         IG       99.2 (9.5) $-2.32 (3.24)$ $-1.06 (3.48)$ CG       100.4 (9.2) $-1.9 (3.06)$ $-1.08 (3.60)$ Overall adiposity:       Total body fat (single frequency, tetra polar, body impedance analyzer was used to estimate total body water that was used to calculate total body fat)         Note: 24 mo data is 12 mon after cessation of the intervention.       IG nanalyzed: 174 (BL) 166 (12 mo) 147 (24 mo)	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 12, 24 mo         BL       12 mo       24 mo         Blood pressure:       Systolic blood pressure, mmHg         IG       142.7 (16.8)       -6.50 (9.93)       -4.19 (12.03)         CG       145.6 (17.9)       -4.59 (12.45)       -4.57 (14.68)         Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg       IG       86.1 (10.1)       -4.03 (6.62)       -2.89 (7.86)         CG       86.1 (8.9)       -2.79 (7.23)       -2.54 (7.21)         Glucose tolerance: NR       Note: 24 month data is 12 months after cessation of the intervention.         IG n analyzed: 174 (BL), 166 (12 mo), 147 (24 mo)       CG n analyzed: 178 (BL), 169 (12 mo), 154 (24 mo)
	<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 174 (BL), 169 (12 mo), 147 (24 mo)	

Study Reference	Anthronomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic measures	(Lipids Glucose Tolerance Blood Pressure)
Wholton 1009 <sup>175</sup>	Mean at PL Mean change (05% CI) at 0, 18, 20 me	Mean (SD) at PL and mean change (05% SE) at last visit prior to attempted med
Wheilon, 1998	P $P$ $P$ $P$ $P$ $P$ $P$ $P$ $P$ $P$	withdrawal (SD) at BL and mean Grange (95% SE) at last visit phot to attempted med
Annal 1005 <sup>285</sup>	BL 9110 12110 10110 30110	Placet visit
Appel, 1995		
$Chas - 2000^{286}$	IG1(WL) = 07(10) =	Diodu pressure:
Chao, 2000		System blood pressure, mining
16 mm and the 0000287	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<b>G</b> ( <b>WL</b> ) 128.0 (10.8) $-4.0$ (1.3)
Kumanyika, 2002		$CG^{*} = 127.7(12.1) - 0.8(0.8)$
Trial of		Diastolic biodo pressure, mining
		<b>IG1 (WL)</b> $70.7 (9.6)$ -1.1 (0.8)
Nonpharmacologic		$CG^{*}$ (1.5 (8.5) -0.8 (0.5)
Interventions in the	<b>CG1+CG2:</b> -3.8 (3.1, 4.5) <sup>^</sup> 3.6 (2.8, 4.3) <sup>^</sup> -3.9 (2.7, 5.1) <sup>^</sup>	(See health outcomes for combined outcome, including BP meds)
Elderly	One site only 48-mo weight, lb (n=94 of 141 rand)	Glucose tolerance: NR
	<b>IG1+IG2</b> -9.7 (11.4) (n=50)^^	
Good	<b>CG1+CG2</b> -3.3 (10.8) (n=44)	<sup>^</sup> CG is both overweight and non-overweight usual care groups
	Percent meeting 4.5kg weight reduction goal (~5.2%), %, calc n	
	(statistical significance NR)	IG n analyzed: 147 (BL), 144 (last visit)
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CG n analyzed: 341 (BL), 333 (last visit)
	CG1+CG2 13(34/260) 11(/) 13(/)	
	<b>IG1+IG2 n analyzed:</b> 294 (BL), NR (9, 18, and 30 months)	
	<b>CG1+CG2 n analyzed:</b> 291 (BL), NR (9, 18, and 30 months)	
	Mean (SE) at BL, Adjusted weight change at 27 mo	
	<u>BL 27 mo</u>	
	Weight, kg	
	Black	
	<b>IG1+IG2</b> 88.5 (1.0) -3.3 (0.5)*	
	<b>CG1+CG2</b> 87.3 (1.0) -1.4 (0.4)	
	White	
	<b>IG1+IG2</b> 87.6 (0.7) -4.2 (0.4)**	
	<b>CG1+CG2</b> 87.4 (0.6) -0.9 (0.4)	
	* p<0.01	
	** p<0.001	
	Note: Whites lost more weight than Blacks (p<0.01)	
	IG1+IG2 n analyzed: 294	
	CG1+CG2 analyzed: 291	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Wood, 1991 <sup>177</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 12 mo	Mean change (SD) at 12 mo
200	<u>BL 12 mo</u>	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
Kiernan, 2001 <sup>200</sup>	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
	BMI, kg/m²	Total cholesterol, mmol/L
Fair		Men 0.40 (0.54)
	$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{IG1} & 30.4 & (2.1) & -1.6 & (1.7)^{n} \\ \mathbf{IG2} & 20.7 & (2.4) & 0.7 & (4.0)^{*} \\ \end{array}$	1G10.42 (0.51)
	$102  30.7 (2.1  -2.7 (1.8)^{\circ})$	1020.38 (0.87)
	$W_{\text{omen}}$	Women
	<b>IG1</b> 28.0 (2.1) $-1.5(2.0)^*$	<b>IG1</b> 0.39 (0.61)**
	$IG2 = 280(24) -19(19)^*$	$1G2 0.28 (0.52)^*$
	<b>CG</b> $28.1(2.4)$ $0.5(2.0)$	CG 0.03 (0.47)
	Weight, kg	HDL cholesterol. mmol/L
	Men	Men
	<b>IG1</b> 97.7 (9.8) -5.1 (5.8)**	<b>IG1</b> 0.02 (0.17)
	<b>IG2</b> 98.5 (10.6) -8.7 (5.7)**	<b>IG2</b> 0.14 (0.18)***
	<b>CG</b> 98.9 (8.9) 1.7 (4.8)	<b>CG</b> 0.05 (0.15)
	Women	Women
	IG1 74.8 (6.1) -4.1 (5.5)**	IG10.15 (0.26)
	$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{IG2} & 74.9 \\ \mathbf{(8.2)} & -5.1 \\ \mathbf{(5.3)}^{**} \\ \mathbf{(5.3)}^{**} \end{array}$	162 - 0.02 (0.18)
	<b>CG</b> 75.1 (8.1) 1.3 (5.2)	LG =0.05 (0.24)
	Central adinosity: NR	Men
		<b>IG1</b> 0.39 (0.48)
	<b>Overall adiposity:</b> Fat weight (calculated based on an equation	IG2 0.27 (0.78)
	by Siri)	<b>CG</b> 0.20 (0.59)
	• /	Women
	* p<0.01 for difference between IG1 and IG2 versus CG	IG10.28 (0.63)*
	** p<0.001 for difference between IG and CG	IG20.29 (0.46)*
		<b>CG</b> 0.03 (0.41)
	IG1 n analyzed: 40 (men), 31 (women)	Triglycerides, mmol/L
	IG2 n analyzed: 39 (men), 42 (women)	
	<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 40 (men), 39 (women)	$10^{-1}$ 0.12 (0.59)
		$\begin{array}{c} 102 & & -0.46 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.67 \\ \end{array}$
		Women
		<b>IG1</b> 0.09 (0.36)
		$IG2 0.02 (0.26)^*$
		<b>CG</b> 0.13 (0.37)
		Blood pressure:
		Systolic blood pressure, mmHg
		Men
		IG14.1 (8.1)*
		$1G2 5.4 (8.3)^{**}$
		CG 0.1 (/./)
		women IG1 / 1 / 6 0)*
		IG14.1 (0.0)
		CG0.2(6.6)
		0.2 (0.0)

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes			
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)			
(continued) Wood, 1991 <sup>177</sup> Kiernan, 2001 <sup>288</sup> Fair		Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg         Men         IG1          IG2          -4.9 (5.7)***         CG          2.1 (5.0)         Women         IG2          2.2 (5.1)**         IG2          -2.0 (4.1)**         CG          0.9 (5.3)         Glucose tolerance:         Other measurement instruments: Applicementation A L and D			
		<ul> <li>* p&lt;0.05 for difference between IG and CG</li> <li>** p&lt;0.01 for difference between IG and CG</li> <li>*** p&lt;0.001 for difference between IG and CG</li> <li>IG1 n analyzed: 40 (men), 31 (women)</li> <li>IG2 n analyzed: 39 (men), 42 (women)</li> <li>CG n analyzed: 40 (men) 39 (women)</li> </ul>			
Wood, 1988 <sup>176</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 7 and 12 mo	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 7 and 12 mo			
150	BL 7 mo 12 mo	<u>BL 7 mo 12 mo</u>			
Frey-Hewitt, 1990 <sup>150</sup>	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:			
Fair	Weight, kg           IG1         94.1 (8.6) $-3.0 (2.8)^*$ $-4.0 (3.9)^*$ IG2         93.0 (8.8) $-7.6 (3.9)^*$ $-7.2 (3.7)^*$ CG         95.4 (10.6) $0.2 (2.5)$ $0.6 (3.7)$	I otal cholesterol, mmol/L         IG1 $5.64$ (1.11) $-0.21$ (0.63) $-0.25$ (0.64)         IG2 $5.71$ (0.99) $-0.40$ (0.55)† $-0.36$ (0.56)			
	Central adinosity: NR	<b>CG</b> 5.70 (0.84) -0.21 (0.48) -0.23 (0.65)			
	<b>Overall adiposity:</b> Fat free mass (kg), fat mass (kg), % body fat (underwater weighing) (IG1 & IG2 had greater reductions in fat mass, %body fat than CG ( $p \le 0.01$ )	HDL choiesterol, mmol/L         IG1       1.06 (0.23) $0.09 (0.21)^*$ $0.11 (0.15)^*$ IG2       1.10 (0.23) $0.06 (0.14)^*$ $0.12 (0.16)^{**}$ CG       1.05 (0.23) $0.00 (0.10)$ $-0.02 (0.11)$ LDL cholesterol, mmol/L       IIG1 $3.83 (0.93)$ $-0.11 (0.54)$ $-0.25 (0.61)$ IG2 $3.84 (0.90)$ $-0.27 (0.59)$ $-0.31 (0.64)$			
	IG1 n analyzed: 47 IG2 n analyzed: 42 CG n analyzed: 42	CG         3.93 (0.82)         -0.15 (0.46)         -0.21 (0.67)           Triglycerides, mmol/L         IG1         1.52 (0.68)         -0.25 (0.61)†         -0.16 (0.53)†           IG2         1.59 (0.82)         -0.40 (0.61)*         -0.27 (0.72)†         CG         1.47 (0.71)         -0.01 (0.51)         0.08 (0.60)			
		Blood pressure: NR Glucose tolerance: NR * p<0.01 for IG vs CG ** p<0.05 for IG vs CG t p<0.05 for IG vs CG IG1 n analyzed: 47 IG2 n analyzed: 41 (HDL at BL), 42 (all other outcomes and time points)			
		CG n analyzed: 41 (HDL at BL), 42 (all other outcomes and time points)			

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Woollard, 2003 <sup>178</sup>	Mean (SE) at BL, Mean change (SE) at 12, 18 months	Lipids:
	BL 12 mo 18 mo	Total serum cholesterol, LDL, HDL, and triglycerides: group differences NS at both 12
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:	and 18 mo (Data shown in a figure only)
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	
	IG1 28.0 (0.6)	Blood pressure: NR
	<b>IG2</b> 30.3 (0.7)	
	<b>CG</b> 29.8 (0.8)	Glucose tolerance: NR
	(outcomes data shown in figure only, NS)	
	Weight, kg	
	<b>IG1</b> 1.0 (0.7) 0.5 (0.6)	
	<b>IG2</b> 0.5 (0.8) 1.2 (0.6)	
	CG 2.0 (0.7) 1.7 (0.7)	
	Central adiposity: NR	
	Overall adiposity: NR	
	IG n analyzed: 69 (BL), 49 (12 mo), 52 (18 mo)	
	IG2 n analyzed: 74 (BL), 48 (12 mo), 54 (18 mo)	
	CG n analyzed: 68 (BL), 53 (12 mo), 57 (18 mo)	

Study Reference	Health Outcome	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Anderssen, 1995 <sup>144</sup> ODES (Oslo Diet and Exercise Study) Fair	NR	Mean change (SE) at 12 mo         BL       12 mo $VO_2$ , mL-kg/minute         BL DBP>91 mmHg         IG1          16       (1.2)*         IG3          4.4 (0.7)*         CG          -2.3 (1.0)         BL DBP 84-91 mmHg         IG1          0.3 (1.0)         IG2          IG3          4.9 (0.1)*         CG          CG          IG1          0.1 (0.8)         IG2          2.5 (1.0)*         IG3          4.9 (1.1)*         CG          CG          IG1          IG2       -         2.5 (0.8)       BL         BL       DBP<84 mmHg	NR	Subgroup analyses: Wt change in subset with metabolic syndrome provided in Anderssen 2007
Burke, 2005 <sup>145</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: Sex
ADAPT Fair				Other: At 40 months, 64/118 (54.2%) completed the study in the CG and 76/123 (61.8%). Due to the high attrition, outcomes at 40 months were not abstracted (weight, waist circumference, SBP, DBP, total cholesterol, HDL, triacylglycerols, glucose, insulin).
Christian, 2008	NK		NK	Subgroup analyses: NR
Fair				Other: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instruments	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Cohen, 1991 <sup>147</sup>	QOL Instrument used: NR Bange: NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: Change in mean arterial pressure, change in number
	# of questions: NR Directionality (higher score=better or worse): NR <b>Disability</b> Instrument used: NR Range: NR # of questions: NR Directionality (higher score=better or worse): NR <b>Depression</b> Instrument used: NR Range: NR # of questions: NR Directionality (higher score=better or worse): NR			of medications, and visits to physician reported for gainers vs losers <b>Other:</b> Change in number of antihypertensive medications also reported Of the 18 physicians: 1 had 5 ppts (IG - slight avg weight loss) 1 had 4 ppts (CG-no change on avg) 1 had 3 ppts (CG-slight avg weight gain) 3 had 2 ppts ea 12 had 1 ppt each
Cussler, 2008 <sup>148</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR
Fair				for baseline observation carried forward, not just completers Maintenance trial
Davis, 1992 <sup>149</sup>	QOL Instrument used: Life	Relative Risk (N) BL 6 mo	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR
Langford, 1991 <sup>260</sup>	Satisfaction Scale, Physical Complaints	Cardiovascular Risk Blacks		Other: Phase II data not
Davis, 1989 <sup>261</sup>	Inventory, Symptom	<b>IG</b> 1.01 (27)		randomized patients to the
ТАІМ	Range: NR	Whites		presentation of results
Fair	Directionality (higher score = better or worse): NR	<b>CG</b> $1.00 (53)$ Mean at BL, Mean change (SE) at 6 mo <i>Pulse rate, beats/minute</i>		(Davis, 1993, INVI #0343)
		$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{CG} & 76.4 & -1.8 (1.2) \\ \mathbf{CG} & 76.4 & -1.8 (1.2) \\ \mathbf{IG} & \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{a} \mathbf{l} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{v} 0 \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{l} \mathbf{l} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{s} 0 \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{l} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} 0 \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} s$		
		<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 90 (BL, 6 mo)		
		anxiety, sleep disturb-ances, fatigue, and sexual		
		complaints. There was significantly greater improvement in total physical complaints (p<0.002) and sexual		
		problems (p<0.001) in weight reduction groups vs other diet group assignments. However, no diet/drug combo		
		was better than any other or than placebo and usual diet.		

Study Reference	Health Outcome	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Quality Rating	Instruments			
Diabetes Prevention	Depression	<u>BL 12 mo 24 mo 36 mo</u>	<u>48 mot</u>	Subgroup analyses:
Program Research	Instrument used: Beck	Depression (BDI>10 or antidepressant use), percent	Age: All 25-44 45-59 60-85	Weight and waist
Group, 1999	Depression Inventory or	Men	Gastrointestinal symptoms (diarrhea,	circumference at 36 mo by
	current use of	<b>IG</b> 10.0 7.9 6.7	flatulence, nausea, vomiting), number	age (although >40% of
Diabetes Prevention	antidepressants (BDI	<b>CG</b> 9.1 7.5 8.9	of events/100 person-years	participants were lost to
Program Research	≥11 threshold used for	Women	<b>IG</b> 12.9* 13.1 14.2 9.7	followup by 36 mo); Subset
Group, 2005 <sup>212</sup>	depression)	<b>IG</b> 16.1 15.0 15.5	CG 30.7 32.4 30.8 27.8	of 758 participants who had
262	Range: 0-63	<b>CG</b> 18.1 17.1 19.6		measurements of body fat
Orchard, 2005 <sup>202</sup>	# of questions: NR		Musculoskeletal problems (mostly	and body fat distribution by
	Directionality (higher	Men n analyzed*: 1029 (BL), 948 (12 mo), 848 (24 mo)	myalgia, arthritis, arthralgia), number	sex at 1 year; Fasting
Diabetes Prevention	score = better or worse):	Women n analyzed*: 2158 (BL), 1980 (12 nmo), 1819	of events/100 person-years	glucose, TG, HDL, BP, waist
Program Research	Higher score = worse	(24 mo)	<b>IG</b> 24.1* 19.9 25.4 28.0	circumference, and BMI
Group, 2005 <sup>205</sup>			<b>CG</b> 21.1 16.1 21.9 26.7	median percent change at 1
	Anxiety	Cardiovascular disease related deaths, n		year stratified by % weight
Diabetes Prevention	Instrument use: Beck	IG 2	One or more hospital admissions,	loss and then sex; Weight
Program Research	Anxiety Inventory	CG 4	percent	loss by race/ethnicity
Group, 2005 <sup>207</sup>	Range: 0-63	Nonfatal cardiovascular disease events, percent	<b>IG</b> 15.6 15.4 13.3 20.6	
011	# of questions: NR	IG 2.2	<b>CG</b> 16.1 11.1 16.9 21.9	Other: 10-year unblinded
Ackermann, 2009 <sup>211</sup>	Directionality: Higher	CG 1.7		followup results available
	score = worse	Incidence of nonfatal cardiovascular disease events,	Rate of hospitalization, number of	(#8173).
Diabetes Prevention		events/1000 patient-years	admissions/100 person-years	
Program	QOL	IG 9.7	IG 8.0 7.5 6.4 12.3	After removal of interaction
	Instrument used:	CG 7.3	<b>CG</b> 7.9 6.3 7.9 10.6	terms, race (p<0.0001) and
Good	Medical Outcomes	Note: The small, nonsignificant excess of events in IG		gender (p=0.0259) main
	Study SF-36	consisted of CVD hospitalizations and	Median hospital stay, days	effects were significant
	Range: NR	revascularization procedures.	<b>IG</b> 3 3 3 3	within lifestyle treatment.
	# of questions: 36	Diabetes mellitus crude cumulative incidence,	<b>CG</b> 3 3 3 4	
	Directionality: Lower	cases/100 p-y		IG produced significantly
	score = worse	IG 4.8		larger percent weight
		CG 11.0		
	Instrument used: Quality	Diabetes Mellitus cumulative incidence, percent		
	of Well-Being Scale	IG 0 14.4		
	(QWB-SA)	CG 0 28.9		
	Range: NR			
	# of questions: NR			
	Directionality: Higher			
	score = better			

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Quality RatingDiabetes Prevention Program Research Group, 1999142Diabetes Prevention Program Research 	Instruments	BL         12 mo         24 mo         36 mo           Diabetes Mellitus incidence, percent lower from CG         (95% Cl)         IG         0           58 (48, 66)         Diabetes incidence, cases/100 person-years         25-44 years         IG           58 (48, 66)         Diabetes incidence, cases/100 person-years         25-44 years         IG           6.3         CG           6.3         CG           11.0         45-59 years         IG           10.3         IG         60-85 years         IG           10.3         IG         nanalyzed: 1079         CG analyzed: 1082         BL         12 mo         Anxiety, Beck Anxiety Inventory         IG         3.19 (4.48)         -0.89 (4.78)         CG 3.78 (4.89)         -0.25 (4.80)         IG nanalyzed: 1011 (BL), 998 (12 mos)         CG analyzed: 1011 (BL), 998 (12 mos)         CG analyzed: 1011 (BL), 998 (12 mos)         SF-6D         IG         0.82 (0.106)         0.004 (0.103)         CG 50.4 (7.2)         -0.04 (7.12)         SF-36 Mental Component Score         IG         53.7 (7.6)         -0.70 (8.67)         CG 50.4 (7.2)         -0.04 (7.12) <td>48 mot           Age: Al         25-44         45-59         60-85           Deaths, number/100 person-years         IG         0.10         0.1         0.0         0.31           CG         0.16         0.0         0.0         0.86         *           * p&lt;0.05 for comparison with CG         †         3.2 yrs for age groups         IG n analyzed: 1073 (22-44 yrs: 318; 45-59 yrs: 541; 60-85 yrs: 214)         CG n analyzed: 1092 (22-44 yrs: 324; 45-59 yrs: 557; 60-85 yrs: 201)           The rate of musculoskeletal symptoms was highest in the IG-L.         Hospital admissions were more common in the oldest age group, but did not differ by IG or CG.</td> <td>loss than CG and achieved greater weight loss than the metformin group across the race-gender groups (all p&lt;0.05). Weight loss, reduction in waist circumference, and percentage of participants who achieved the 7% weight loss goal all increased with increasing age. Association of weight loss and health utilities is reported which is independent of treatment group</td>	48 mot           Age: Al         25-44         45-59         60-85           Deaths, number/100 person-years         IG         0.10         0.1         0.0         0.31           CG         0.16         0.0         0.0         0.86         *           * p<0.05 for comparison with CG         †         3.2 yrs for age groups         IG n analyzed: 1073 (22-44 yrs: 318; 45-59 yrs: 541; 60-85 yrs: 214)         CG n analyzed: 1092 (22-44 yrs: 324; 45-59 yrs: 557; 60-85 yrs: 201)           The rate of musculoskeletal symptoms was highest in the IG-L.         Hospital admissions were more common in the oldest age group, but did not differ by IG or CG.	loss than CG and achieved greater weight loss than the metformin group across the race-gender groups (all p<0.05). Weight loss, reduction in waist circumference, and percentage of participants who achieved the 7% weight loss goal all increased with increasing age. Association of weight loss and health utilities is reported which is independent of treatment group

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instruments	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Fitzgibbon, 2010 <sup>204</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR
ORBIT				Other: NR
Fair				
Haapala, 2009 <sup>151</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR
Fair				Other: NR
Hypertension Prevention Trial Research Group, 1990 <sup>143</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR Other: NR
HPT				
Good				
Irwin, 2003 <sup>152</sup> Frank, 2005 <sup>263</sup> Mohanka, 2006 <sup>264</sup> PATH	NR	NR	No injuries were reported as a result of the exercise program	Subgroup analyses: Weight and body fat measures stratified by age and BMI at baseline; lipoprotein measures stratified by change in body fat and change in VO2 max;
Good				glucose and triglycerides stratified by change in total fat mass and by minutes of exercise per week Other: NR
Jeffery, 1993 <sup>153</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR
Jeffery, 1995 <sup>289</sup> Trial of Food Provision and Monitary Incentives Fair				Other: NR
Jones, 1999 <sup>154</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: Mean
Hansson, 1994 <sup>265</sup> The HOT Study Group, 1993 <sup>266</sup> Hypertension Optimal				(SEM) SBP by target DBP at 3, 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30 months; mean (SEM) DBP by target DBP at BL, 3, 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30 months
Substudy				Other: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instruments	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments	
Kastarinen, 2002 <sup>155</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: BP	
LIHEF Study (Lifestyle Intervention against Hypertension in Eastern				outcomes for those with and without HTN meds Other: NR	
Finland)					
Fair					
Kulzer, 2009 <sup>130</sup>	QOL	Mean (SD)	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR	
Fair	Histument used: World Health Organization- Five Well-Being Index (WHO-5) Range: NR # of questions: NR Directionality: Higher score = better <b>Depression</b> Instrument used: Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) Range: NR # of questions: NR Directionality: Higher score = worse	BL         12 mo         12 mo         12 mo         13 mo         14 mo         12 mo <th 12<="" td=""><td></td><td>Other: NR</td></th>	<td></td> <td>Other: NR</td>		Other: NR
Langford, 1985 <sup>157</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: Race	
Wassertheil-Smoller, 1985 <sup>267</sup> DISH Fair				Other: If a patient's drug therapy was restarted because of blood pressure rise as specified, or if drug therapy was restarted by physicians outside the study, this was considered a terminating event and the patient was counted as "withdrawal failure." Other terminating events were strokes, a new myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, or an elevated creatine level	
Martin, 2008 <sup>158</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR Other: Weight change for	
Martin, 2006 <sup>268</sup>				completers also available;	
Fair				the results were not statistically significant	

Screening/Management of Obesity in Adults

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instruments	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Mayer-Davis, 2004 <sup>159</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: High
POWER				allenders
Fair				Other: NR
Mensink, 2003 <sup>160</sup>	NR	Mean (SE) at BL, Mean change (SE) at 12, 24 mo	No serious adverse events were	Subgroup analyses: NR
Mensink, 2003 <sup>269</sup>		VO <sub>2max</sub> , L/minute	followup	Other: NR
Fair		<b>IG</b> 2.15 (0.1) 0.11 (0.03)* 0.09 (0.04)* <b>CG</b> 2.13 (0.1) -0.01 (0.04) -0.03 (0.04)		
		* p<0.05 between groups		
		<b>IC n analyzed:</b> 55 (PL) 40 (12, 24 ma)		
		<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 59 (BL), 48 (12, 24 mo)		
Mitsui, 2008 <sup>161</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR
Fair				Other: Mean steps per day
				figure
Moore, 2003 <sup>162</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR
Fair				Other: NR
Narayan, 1998 <sup>103</sup>	NR	n (percent) BL 6 mo 12 mo	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR
Fair		Abnormal glucose tolerance, 2-hour $PG \ge 7.8 \text{ mM}$		Other: Low attendance at
		$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{CG} & 0 & (0) & 4 & (9) & 5 & (11) \end{array}$		note that weekly classes
Parikh, 2010 <sup>208</sup>	NR	Incidence of diabetes, cases per person-year	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR
Project HEED		IG 0.36 CG 0.33		Other: IG group reported
				very limited behavior
Perri, 1988 <sup>164</sup>	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR
Fair				Other: Maintenance trial
i an				each group received an
				intervention for 6 months, but after 6 months the
Dritaband 4000 <sup>165</sup>		DI 40 ma		treatment differed
Prilchard, 1999		Daily dose of cardiovascular drug use, n (daily doses;		Subgroup analyses: NR
Fair		95% Cl) IG1 16 (1.8: 0.8, 2.8)		<b>Other:</b> Compared with CG, the cost of an extra kilogram
		<b>IG2</b> - 21 (3.2; 1.9, 4.5)		of weight loss for IG1 was
		Note: No significant differences in the daily doses of		\$9.76 and for IG1 it was \$7.30.
		cardiovascular drug use.		

Screening/Management of Obesity in Adults

Oregon Evidence-based Practice Center

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instruments	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Silva, 2009 <sup>166</sup> Silva, 2008 <sup>270</sup> Teixeira, 2009 <sup>271</sup> Fair	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR Other: Moderate/vigorous and lifestyle PA associated with 12 mo change in most eating behavior variables (disinhibition, perceived hunger, emotional eating, external eating) and body weight change
Simkin-Silverman, 2003 <sup>167</sup> Simkin-Silverman, 1998 <sup>272</sup> Kuller, 2001 <sup>273</sup> Park, 2007 <sup>274</sup> Women's Healthy Lifestyle Project (WHLP) Good	NR	NR	IG lost more BMD than CG at total hip, femoral neck, but not at spine or whole body after controlling for age and baseline BMD. Differences disappeared after controlling for weight change. Combining treatment and control groups, women who lost weight showed greatest reductions in hip, neck, and trochanteric sites and women who gained weight showed smallest reductions	Subgroup analyses: HDL, LDL, TG, and glucose by hormone use (non- users saw greater increases in LDL and smaller increases in HDL than users in both treatment groups, no diffs in TG, glucose) Other: NR
Stevens, 1993 <sup>46</sup> Whelton, 1992 TOHP Collaborative Research Group, 1992 Trials of Hypertension Prevention Phase I Good	NR	Incidence of Hypertension at either 12- or 18-mo, percent (n/N) IG 6.5 (20/308) CG 13.3 (34/256) RR (95% CI): 0.66 (0.46, 0.94)	NR	Subgroup analyses: Weight loss and BP presented by men and women: Group diffs in SBP and DBP seen at all followup time points for men, only SBP at 6-mo for women Linear regression showed smaller intervention effects for weight change and BP change for black than white participants Other: NR
Stevens, 2001 <sup>169</sup> Hollis, 1995 <sup>277</sup> TOHP, 1997 <sup>278</sup> Trials of Hypertension Prevention Phase II Good	NR	Percent (n) and risk ratio <u>6 mo</u> <u>18 mo</u> <u>36 mo</u> <u>48 mo</u> <i>Hypertension</i> IG 4.2 (25) 16.6 (97) 31.9 (185) 38.5 (211) CG 7.3 (43) 21.1 (124) 39.2 (229) 44.4 (248) <i>Risk ratio</i> 0.58* 0.78* 0.81** 0.87 * $p \le 0.05$ for CG vs IG ** $p < 0.01$ IG n analyzed: 595 (6 mo), 584 (18 mo), 582 (36 mo), 548 (48 mo) CG n analyzed: 589 (6 mo), 588 (18 mo), 577 (36 mo), 559 (48 mo)	NR	Subgroup analyses: Weight change by sex and race/ ethnicity (significant group diffs for white men and women through 18 mo, but not white women at 36 mo; black men and women through 6 mo, not at 18 and 36 mo for either black men or women); weight change by # of counseling sessions attend- ed, SBP and DBP by amount of weight lost. In IG, men had greater net wt loss than women by 1.2 kg at 18 mo and 1.7 kg at 36 mo. Other: NR

Screening/Management of Obesity in Adults

Oregon Evidence-based Practice Center

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instruments	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Svetkey, 2008 <sup>170</sup> Weight Loss Maintenance Trial PROTOCOL, 2008 <sup>279</sup> WLM Good	NR	Deaths IG1: 1 IG2: 1 CG: 1	NR	Subgroup analyses: Report change at 30 mo within 4 race-sex subgroups: no sig interactions with age or sex, and magnitude of observed treatment effects was generally consistent across race-sex subgroups. Change in weight from study entry (Phase I, pre- randomization); maintenance of at least 4 kg weight loss relative to entry weight; no net weight gain from entry; at least 5% loss from entry; no more than 3% gain from randomization
ter Bogt, 2009 <sup>171</sup> Fair	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: % change in body weight by gender, age, education, BMI, attempts to lose weight during the past 5 years, visits to NP, treatment recommended Other: NR
Tuomilehto, 2001 <sup>172</sup> Eriksson, 1999 <sup>280</sup> Lindstrom, 2003 <sup>281</sup> Uusitupa, 2009 <sup>282</sup> Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study Good	NR	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	NR	Subgroup analyses: Incidence of DM by success of attaining intervention goals; Incidence of DM by leisure-time physical activity Other: NR

Study Reference	Health Outcome	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Villareal, 2008 <sup>173</sup> Villareal, 2006 <sup>283</sup> Villareal, 2006 <sup>284</sup> Fair	QOL Instrument used: SF-36* Range: NR # of questions: NR Directionality (higher score = better or worse): Higher score = better * All 8 domains reported, data abstracted for the three with significant differences between groups	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 6 mo <u>BL</u> 6 months <i>SF-36 physical function domain</i> IG 60.0 (21.0) 23.2 (20.9)* CG 67.0 (15.1) 2.5 (26.4) <i>SF-36 role limitations, physical domain</i> IG 54.4 (43.5) 23.6 (35.9)* CG 62.5 (44.5) 5.0 (19.7) <i>SF-36 change in health domain</i> IG 38.2 (12.3) 25.3 (13.2)** CG 38.0 (6.3) 0.0 (9.4) <i>VO</i> <sub>2peak</sub> <i>mL/kg per min</i> IG 16.4 (2.3) 1.7 (1.6)* CG 15.7 (3.0) 0.3 (1.1) * p<0.05 for IG vs CG ** p<0.001 for IG vs CG IG n analyzed: 17 CG n analyzed: 10	% with adverse effect (calc) %falling during PA sessions: IG CG Fell 5.9 (N/A) 0 experienced any a.e. in serum electrolyte concentrations or in renal or liver function test results at 6 mo Mean (SD) at BL, Percent change (NR) at 12 mo BL 12 mo Total hip bone mineral density, g/cm2 IG 0.947 (0.115) -2.4 (2.5)* CG 0.993 (0.141) 0.1 (2.1) Trochanter bone mineral density, g/cm2 IG 0.716 (0.107) -3.3 (3.1)* CG 0.747 (0.152) -0.2 (3.3) Intertrochanter bone mineral density, g/cm2 IG 22.4 (7.0) -2.7 (3.0)* CG 24.8 (7.8) 0.3 (2.7) Lumbar spine bone mineral density, g/cm2 IG 1.107 (0.127) 0.9 (3.1) CG 1.127 (0.132) 1.3 (5.8) Whole body bone mineral density, g/cm2 IG 1.151 (0.127) -0.9 (1.7) CG 1.197 (0.138) 0.3 (2.1) Spine bone mineral content, g IG 65.5 (11.6) 2.1 (6.1) CG 67.7 (17.1) 2.1 (4.9) Whole body bone mineral content, g IG 2423 (474) -1.4 (2.5)	Subgroup analyses: NR Other: Changes in body weight correlated directly with changes in BMD at the total hip, trochanter, and intertrochanter sites.
Werkman, 2010 <sup>174</sup> Good	NR	NR	NR	Subgroup analyses: Men with low educational attainment (found group diffs in WC at 12-mo only, other outcomes NS) Other: Module 1 was used by 82%, Module 2 was used by 72%, Module 2 was used by 41%, Module 4 was used by 54%, and Module 5 was used by 16%

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instruments	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Quality Rating         Whelton, 1998 <sup>175</sup> Appel, 1995 <sup>285</sup> Chao, 2000 <sup>286</sup> Kumanyika, 2002 <sup>287</sup> Trial of         Nonpharmacologic         Interventions in the         Elderly         Good         Wood, 1991 <sup>177</sup> Kiernan, 2001 <sup>288</sup> Fair	Instruments         NR         Depression         Instrument used: Beck         Depression Inventory         Range: 0-63         # of questions: 21         Directionality (higher score = better or worse):         worse	12 mo         18 mo         30 mo           % free of medication, hypertension, and CV events after initial med withdrawn         IG1+IG2         54.2         48.6         39.2           IG1+IG2         54.2         48.6         39.2         CG1+CG2         42.2         38.6         26.2           Hazard ratio (95% CI): 0.70 (0.57, 0.87)         IG1+IG2 n analyzed: 291; CG1+CG2 n analyzed: 294         % with cardiac event         IG1 (WL)         CG*           Stroke         0.0         0.6         TIA         0.0         2.3           MI         1.4         1.2         Angina         6.8         5.6           CHF         0.7         0.3         Arrhythmia         1.4         1.2           Other         4.1         5.6         Total CV         14.3         16.7           *CG is both overweight and nonoverweight usual care $p>0.05$ for IG vs CG, limiting CG to overweight only         Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 12 mo         BL         12 mo           Depression         Men         IG1         4.3 (3.2)         1.1 (3.8)         IG2         5.5 (4.7)         -0.7 (2.9)           Women         IG1         5.8 (4.1)         -1.4 (4.4)         IG2         6.0 (5.9)         0.3 (5.4)           Aerobic Capacity, mL/kg/m	Subset of 67 overweight women No differences in the magnitude of change of bone mineral density of the spine, femoral neck, or total body between the IGs at 12 months (all p>0.30) When groups were combined, for each pound of weight loss the average decrease of BMD at 6 and 12 months were 0.0006 g/cm, i.e., 0.05%. No sig relationship at distant sites suggesting effects were more pronounced at the spine and not evident at the femoral neck, indicating exercise may be a protective factor for the femoral neck NR	Subgroup analyses: BP for those who were off antihypertensive meds by the last visit; BMD among subset of 67 overweight postmenopausal women (Chao 2000, RM #8229), outcomes by race (Kumanyika 2002, RM #8206) Other: HR (95% Cl) for freedom from HTN med, high BP, and CV events by trial end IG (WL, WL + Na) vs CG: 0.70 (0.57, 0.87), p=0.001 Subgroup analyses: Sex Other: NR
		**p<0.01 for diff between IG and CG;***p<0.001		

Study Reference H Quality Rating	lealth Outcome Instruments	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Wood, 1988 <sup>176</sup> NR Frey-Hewitt, 1990 <sup>150</sup> Fair	Mean (SD) <u>BL</u> Resting me IG1 77.14 IG2 75.30 CG 73.33 VO2max IG1 33.81 IG2 35.33 CG 33.72 0 * p≤0.01 fo	at BL, mean change (SE) at 12 mo <b>12 mo</b> tabolic rate (kcal/hr) (8.03) -6.21 (1.49)* (8.68) -0.95 (1.34) (10.75) 1.13 (1.39) (4.05) -0.27 (2.97)* (4.88) 4.16 (6.04) 4.48) -2.41 (3.24) r/G vs CG	NR	Subgroup analyses: NR Other: IG1 significantly different from CG at BL for RMR expressed as kcal/kg/hr, may have confused the interpretation of RMR changes for IG1
Woollard, 2003 <sup>178</sup> NR	NR		NR	Subgroup analyses: NR

Abbreviations: ACE=angiotensin-converting enzyme; ADAPT=Activity, Diet, and Blood Pressure Trial; ADL=activity of daily living; AE=adverse event; ASA=aspirin; BDI=Beck Depression Inventory; BL=baseline; BMD=bone mineral density; BMI=body mass index; BP=blood pressure; calc=calculated; CES-D=Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; CG=control group; CHD=coronary heart disease; CHF=congestive heart failure; CI=confidence interval; CI=chloride; CT=computed tomography; CV=cardiovascular; CVD=cardiovascular disease; DASH=Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; DBP=diastolic blood pressure; diff=differ/difference; DISH=Dietary Intervention to Study Hypertension; DM=diabetes mellitus; DMV=Department of Motor Vehicles; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; DXA=dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry; ECG=electrocardiography; est=estimated; GP=general practitioner; H/O=history of; HDFP=Hypertension Detection and Followup Program; HDL=high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR=homostasis model of insulin resistance; HOT=Hypertension Optimal Treatment; HPT=Hypertension Prevention Trial; HTN=hypertension; IG=intervention group; IQR=interquartile range; ITT=intention to treat; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; med=medication; MI=myocardial infarction; N=no; n=number; NA=not applicable; Na=sodium; NR=not reported; NS=not significant; ODES=Oslo Diet and Exercise Study; OW=overweight; PA=physical activity; PATH=Physical Activity for Total Health; POWER=Pounds Off with Empowerment; PREDIAS=Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program; pt=patient; QOL=quality of life; RCT=randomized controlled trial; RMR=resting metabolic rate; SBP=systolic blood pressure; SCORE=Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation; SDT=Self Determination Theory; SD=standard deviation; SE=standard error; SEM=standard error of the mean; SES=socioeconomic status; sig=significance; SR=sodium reduction; stat=statistics; TAIM=Trial of Antihypertensive Interventions and Management; TG=triglycerides; TIA=transient ischemic attack; TOHP=Trials of Hypertension Prevention; tx=treatment

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Orlistat Trials		•		
Berne, 2005 <sup>180</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Patients with type 2 diabetes	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 59.1 (calc)
Fair	Location: Sweden	receiving treatment with metformin alone or metformin and sulphonylurea;	N eligible: NR	Sex (% female): 45.5 (calc)
	Recruitment Setting: NR	hemoglobin A1c was 6.5-10%	N excluded: NR	Race/Ethnicity: % Caucasian: 100
	Self-selected: NR	Exclusion: Treatment with insulin; recent myocardial infarction; other	N refused or other reason: NR	SES (income, education): NR
		respiratory, renal, neurological,		% Hypertension:
		gastrointestinal, or endocrine diseases; signs of fat soluble deficiencies; taking	N Randomized: Total: 220 (221 randomized but 1 didn't ever	% Antihypertensive drugs: 45
		the following medications: drugs that influence appetite, resins, fish oil	receive drug) IG: 111	% Diabetes: 100
		supplements, and retinoids	CG: 109	% Dyslipidemia: % Lipid-lowering drugs: 14
			Total: 190 (86.4) IG: 96 (86.5) CG: 94 (86.2)	Other health problems: NR
			Cluster information: NR	
Broom, 2002 <sup>181</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Men and nonpregnant women: aged 18-80 vrs: BMI ≥28 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: 737 N eligible: NR	Age (mean): 46.0
UK Multimorbidity Study	Location: UK	(both at baseline and screening visits); at least one of the following obesity-	N excluded: NR N refused or other reason: NR	Sex (% female): 78.4 (calc)
Fair	Recruitment Setting:	associated CV risk factors: imapired	Pre-randomization compliance trial	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Self-selected: NR	mmol/L, 2 hrs after standard 75 g	Description: Single-blind placebo and mildly hypocaloric diet (600 kcal/day deficit)	SES (income, education): NR
		cholesterol ≥5.2 mmol/L or LDL cholesterol ≥4.2 mmol/L at screening);	Required compliance: NR Length: 2 weeks N (%) retained after run-in: NR	% Hypertension alone: 21.6 % Hypertension overall: 43
		hypertension (sitting DBP 90-105 mmHg)	Compliance used as stratification variable	% Impaired glucose
		Exclusion: Women of child-bearing age that were lactating or not using adequate contracention: MI: company artery bypass	N Randomized: Total: 531 IG: 265	tolerance alone: 5.0 % Impaired glucose tolerance overall: 17.0
		graft or percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty within 3 months before screening; gastrointestinal surgery	CG: 266 N ITT: Total: 522	% Dyslipidemia alone: 44.8 % Dyslipidemia overall: 72
		for weight reduction; active gastrointestinal disorders; pancreatic	CG: 263	Other health problems: Combinations of IGT,
		adhesions; excessive alcohol intake;	Total: 347 (65)	hypertension, and dyslipidemia
		might alter body weight or plasma lipids;	CG: 161 (61)	Note: Characteristics for N ITT.
		than hormone-replacement therapy):		
		concomitant pharmacotherapy for type 2		
		diabetes, dyslipidemia or hypertension		

Study Reference	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers	Participant Characteristics
	Decima: DCT	Inclusion: Ago older then 19 years:	Retention	
Davidson, 1999	Design: RC1	BMI 30 43 kg/m <sup>2</sup> : adoquato	N recruited of assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 43.5 (Galc)
Fair	Location: Multiple	contraception in women of childbearing	N englided: NP	Bace/Ethnicity:
1 dii	states US	notential: absence of weight loss (>4	N refused or other reason: NR	% White: 80.8 (calc)
	states, 00	ka) in the previous 3 months	Pre-randomization compliance trial	% Black: 14.0 (calc)
	Recruitment Setting:	kg) in the previous o months	Description: Controlled-energy diet (30% intake	% Hispanic: 4.2 (calc)
	Clinical research centers	Exclusion: Frequently changed	as fat and energy prescribed as 1.3 BMR - 2100	% Other: 1.0 (calc)
		smoking habits or had stopped smoking	to 3360 ki/d), placebo capsules	SES (income, education): NR
	Self-selected: NR	in the past 6 months; history or	Required compliance: ≥75% placebo capsules	% Hypertension:
		presence of substance abuse;	taken	% DBP>90 mmHg
		excessive intake of alcohol; significant	Length: 4 weeks	Untreated: 5.9 (calc)
		cardiac, renal, hepatic, gastrointestinal,	N (%) retained after run-in: 892 (75.1)	Treated: 2.5 (calc)
		psychiatric, or endocrine disorders;	N Randomized:	% Diabetes: 4.1
		drug-treated type 2 diabetes mellitus;	Total: 892	% Dyslipidemia:
		concomitant use of medications that	IG: 668	% Abnormal LDL level (>129.9
		alter appetite or lipid levels	CG: 224	<i>mg/dL):</i> 33.1 (calc)
			N ITT:	% Abnormal HDL level (<.9
			Total: 880	<i>mmol/L):</i> 14.4 (calc)
			IG: 657	% Abnormal triglycerides level
			CG: 223	(>98.2 mg/dL): 9.2 (calc)
			Followup (12 mo), n (%):	Other nealth problems:
				* Characteristics for NUTT
				Characteristics for NTTT
			24 mo data not given because high attrition	
			Cluster information: NR	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Derosa, 2003 <sup>183</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Obese (BMI>30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ); aged >40 years; severe	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR N eligible: NR	Age (mean): 52.0 (calc)
Fair	Location: Italy	hypercholesterolemia (TC≥240 mg/dL); normotensive (SBP<140 mmHg and	N excluded: NR N refused or other reason: NR	Sex (% female): 52 (calc)
	Recruitment Setting:	DBP<90 mmHg); nonsmokers; normal	Pre-randomization compliance trial	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Clinica Medica II at the	beta-blockers	carbohydrates, 24% proteins, 22% lipids (6% saturated) 108 mg cholesterol and 35 g fiber);	SES (income, education): NR
	Self-selected: N	Exclusion: NR	placebo Required compliance: NR	% Hypertension: NR
			Length: 4 weeks	% Diabetes: NR
			Degree of weight loss in compliance trial used for	% Dyslipidemia: NR
			N Randomized:	Other health problems: NR
			IG-0: 27 IG-0: 27	
			IG-OF: 25* CG: 23	
			Total (IG-O + CG): 50 Followup (12 mo) $p_1(%)$ :	
			Total (IG-0 + CG): 48 (96.0)	
			CG: 23 (100)	
			*IG-F (fluvastatin) & IG-OF (orlistat + fluvastatin)	
Derosa, 2010 <sup>215</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Caucasian: type II diabetic	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 52.5 (calc)
20.000, 20.0		patients; aged 18 years or older; BMI		
Good	Location: Italy	≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; uncontrolled type II diabetes (glycated hemoglobin >8.0%) in therapy	N eligible: NR	Sex (% female): 49.6 (calc)
	Recruitment Setting: University medical	with different oral hypoglycemic agents or insulin	N excluded: NR	Race/Ethnicity: % White: 100
	centers		N refused or other reason: NR	
	Solf coloctod: N	<b>Exclusion:</b> History of ketoacidosis;	<b>B</b> ro randomization compliance trial: NP	SES (income, education): NR
	Jen-Selected. N	retinopathy, nephropathy, or	N Pandomized	% Hypertension: 71.7
		impaired renal function; severe anemia;	Total: 254	% Diabetes: 100
		cerebrovascular conditions within 6	CG: 128	% Dyslipidemia:
		women pregnant or breastfeeding or of	Followup (12 mo), n (%):	% Hypertriglyceridemia: 3.1
		childbearing potential and not taking	Total: 234 (92.1)	% Combined dyslipidemia: 17.3
		adequate contraceptive precautions	IG: 113 (89.7) CG: 121 (94.5)	Other health problems: NR
			Cluster information: NR	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Finer, 2000 <sup>184</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Obese (BMI 30-43 kg/m <sup>2</sup> );	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 41.5 (calc)
James, 1997 <sup>290</sup>	Location: UK	<b>Exclusion:</b> Weight loss of more than 4	N eligible: 267	Sex (% female): 88.5 (calc)
Fair	Recruitment Setting:	kg in the 3 months before screening;	N excluded: NR	Race/Ethnicity:
	GP referral	including diabetes; uncontrolled	N refused or other reason: NR	% White: 94.9 % Black: 1.4
	Self-selected: Mixed	surgery for weight reduction; history of	Pre-randomization compliance trial	% Other: 3.7
		post-surgical adhesions; history or presence of cancer; psychiatric or	Description: Placebo and low-calorie diet Required compliance: Taking 75% of capsules	SES (income, education): NR
		neurological disorder requiring chronic medications or liable to prejudice patient	Length: 4 weeks N (%) retained after run-in: 228 (85.4)	% Hypertension: NR
		compliance; evidence of alcohol or substance abuse; bulimia or evidence of	Stratified by weight loss during run in <b>N Randomized:</b>	% Diabetes: NR
		laxative abuse; pregnancy or lactation (women of childbearing potential were	Total: 228 IG: 114	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		allowed to enter the study if using	CG: 114 Followup (12 mo) n (%):	Other health problems: NR
		post-menopausal women who had been	Total: 139 (61.0)	
		drugs capable of influencing body weight,	CG: 73 (64.0)	
		digoxin or lipid-soluble vitamin		
Lianofold 2002 <sup>187</sup>	Design DOT	supplements within the previous month	N receivited on concerned for all with life n	
Hanefeld, 2002	Design: RCT	kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; HbA1c 6.5-11%; diagnosis of	N recruited or assessed for eligibility:	Age (mean): 56.2 (caic)
Fair	Location: Germany	type 2 diabetes treated with sulphonylureas for at least two months	N eligible: 492	Sex (% female): 50.9 (calc)
	Recruitment Setting: Centers (primary care	before screening or were diagnosed with type 2 diabetes but not vet treated	N excluded:	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	physicians and outpatient clinics)	with antidiabetic medication	N refused or other reason:	SES (income, education): NR
	Self-selected: NR	<b>Exclusion:</b> Diabetes patients treated with drugs other than supporvlureas:	Pre-randomization compliance trial	% Hypertension: NR
		treated with medications known to	Required compliance:NR	% Diabetes: 100
		vitamins; proliferative retinopathy or	N (%) retained after run-in: 383 (77.8)	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		hypertension (DBP>120 mmHg); hypo-	N Randomized:	Other health problems: NR
		diabetes; cardiac insufficiency (NYHA	IG: 195	
		any significant appetite, renal, hepatic,	NITT:	
		gastrointestinal, psychiatric, immunological, or metabolic disorders;	CG: 189	
		pregnant, lactating, or of childbearing potential and not taking adequate	Followup (12 mo), n (%): Total: 264 (68.9)	
		contraceptive measures	IG: 133 (68.2) CG: 131 (69.7)	
			Cluster information: NR	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Hauptman, 2000 <sup>189</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Obese (BMI 30-44 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ); aged >18 years	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR N eligible: 796	Age (mean): 42.5 (calc)
Fair	Location: Multiple states. US	Exclusion: Women who were pregnant,	N excluded: NR N refused or other reason: NR	Sex (% female): 78.3
	Beenvitment Setting	lactating, or of childbearing potential and	Pre-randomization compliance trial	Race/Ethnicity:
	NR	measures; weight loss of more than 4 kg	(same as in study)	% White: 90.9 % Black: 6.8
		during the previous 3 months; history of	Required compliance: 75% compliance,	% American Indian: 0.2
	Sen-selected. NR	gastrointestinal disorders; uncontrolled	Length: 4 weeks	% Other: 0.3
		hypertension or any other clinically	N (%) retained after run-in: 635 (79.8)	
		significant condition; gastrointestinal surgery for weight-reducing purposes:	N Randomized: Total: 635	SES (income, education): NR
		bulimia or laxative and/or substance	IG1 (60 mg): 213	% Hypertension: NR
		abuse; abnormal laboratory measures (values ≥10% greater than the reference	IG2 (120 mg): 210 CG: 212	% Diabetes: NR
		value for the normal range sufficient to	(Use IG2 in MA)	//
		require medical followup by the study	Followup (12 mo), n (%):	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		the previous 6 months; use of any drug	IG1: 154 (72.3)	Other health problems: NR
		that might influence body weight or food	IG2: 151 (71.9)	
		screening	Cluster information: NR	
Hill, 1999 <sup>190</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Men and women aged ≥18	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 46.3 (calc)
Fair	Location: Multiple sites,	$\geq$ 8% of their initial body weight in run in	N eligible: 1313 N excluded: NR	Sex (% female): 84.0 (calc)
	US		N refused or other reason: NR	
	Recruitment Setting	Exclusion: Ever had significant	Pre-randomization compliance trial	Race/Ethnicity: % White: 88 3 (calc)
	Clinical research centers	hypertension; recurrent nephrolithiasis;	kJ/day with goal 0.5-1.0 kg/wk; 30% fat, 50% carb,	% Black: 5.8 (calc)
	Self-selected: NR	symptomatic cholelithiasis; active gastrointestinal disorders; type 2	20% protein) with no pharmacologic intervention. Included dietary counseling, 4 session behavioral	% Hispanic: 4.9 (calc) % Other: 1.0 (calc)
		pregnant or lactating; history of	encouraged to increase physical activity (brisk walking 20-30 min 5 times/wk)	SES (income, education): NR
		disorders; excessive alcohol intake; significantly abnormal laboratory test	Required compliance: Lose ≥8% of initial body wt Length: 6 months	% Hypertension: NR
		results; previous gastrointestinal	N (%) retained after run-in: 729 (55.5)	% Diabetes: NR
		postsurgical adhesions; had not taken	Total: 729	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		any medications known to influence	IG1 (30 mg): 187	
		body weight, appetite, or lipid	IG2 (60 mg): 173 IG3 (120 mg): 181	Other health problems: NR
		to screening	CG: 188	Note: Characteristics captured
			Followup (12 mo), n (%):	at beginning of run-in period (-6
			IG1: 140 (74.9)	Also, 9 participants appear to
			IG2: 133 (76.9)	be missing in the
			IG3: 126 (69.6)	characteristics table (720
			Cluster information: NR	completed the run-in period).

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Hollander, 1998 <sup>191</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged >18 years; drug compliance ≥70% during 5-week	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 55.1 (calc)
Fair	Location: 12 centers,	placebo run-in; HbA1c of 6.5-10%,	N eligible: NR	Sex (% female): 48.9 (calc)
	Beerwitment Setting	mmol/l at the end of the 4th week of the	N excluded: NR	Race/Ethnicity (calc):
	NR	vitamin above the lower limit of the	N refused or other reason: NR	% White: 87.5 % Black: 6.9
	Self-selected: NR	kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; were on oral hypoglycemic drug	Pre-randomization compliance trial	% Hispanic: 3.1 % Other: 2.5
		therapy for at least 6 months before the study; stable plasma glucose level on a	Description: Placebo and mildly hypocaloric(-500 kcal) weight loss diet (~30% calories from fat,	SES (income, education): NR
		second-generation sulfonylurea agent as the only hypoglycemic agent at entry	50% from carbohydrate, and 20% from protein, with a maximum of 300 mg/day of cholesterol)	% Hypertension: NR
		Exclusion: Pregnant; lactating; of child-	Length: 5 weeks	% Diabetes: NR
		contraception; any clinically relevant condition that might affect study	of 391)	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		outcomes; complications associated with diabetes; weight loss of >4 kg	N Randomized: Total: 322	Other health problems: NR
		during the previous 3 months; history of recurrent hephrolithiasis or symptomatic	IG: 163 CG: 159	
		cholelithiasis; gastrointestinal surgery	Followup (12 mo) n (%):	
		bulimia or laxative abuse; had taken	Total: 254 (79)	
		weight or plasma lipids during the 8	CG: 115 (73)	
Krempf, 2003 <sup>193</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged 18-65 years; BMI ≥28	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 41
Fair	Location: France	Exclusion: Serious eating disorders;	N eligible: NR	Sex (% female): 86.4
	Recruitment Setting:	lactating; smoking ≥1 pack/day or	N excluded: NR	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Self-selected: NR	trial; previous surgical treatment for obesity: known or suspected substance	N refused or other reason: NR	SES (income, education): NR
		abuse; significant thyroid, renal,	<b>Pre-randomization compliance trial</b>	% Hypertension: NR
		disorders; concomitant use of medications that alter body weight	Required compliance: NR	% Diabetes: 0
		appetite, or the absorption of food	N (%) retained after run-in: 696 (87.4% (calc))	% Dyslipidemia: NR
			N Randomized: Total: 696	Other health problems: NR
			IG: 346 CG: 350	
			Followup (18 mo), n (%): Total: 425 (61.1) (calc) IG: 224 (64.7) (calc) CG: 201 (57.4) (calc) Cluster information: NR	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Lindgarde, 2000 <sup>194</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Men and nonpregnant women: aged 18-75 vrs; BMI 28-38	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 53.5
Swedish Multimorbidity	Location: Sweden	kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; at least one of the following	N eligible: 382	Sex (% female): 63.6
Study	Recruitment Setting:	fasting serum glucose ≥6.7 mmol/L or	N excluded: NR	Race/Ethnicity: NR
Fair		sulphonylurea or metformin but not	N refused or other reason: NR	SES (income, education): NR
	Self-Selected. NIX	mmol/L and/or LDL cholesterol ≥4.2	Pre-randomization compliance trial	% Hypertension: 74.5
		prescribed lipid-lowering med, DBP ≥90	hypocaloric diet (-600 kcal/day deficit); minimum	% Diabetes: 26.1 (type 2)
		confirmed hypertension treated with antihypertensive medication	Required compliance: NR (weight loss used for stratification)	<b>% Dyslipidemia:</b> 39.9 (hypercholesterolemia)
		Exclusion: Women of child-bearing	Length: 2 weeks N (%) retained after run-in: 376 (98.4)	Other health problems:
		potential who were lactating or not using	N Randomized	Combinations of hypercholesterolemia
		prior to screening; gastrointenstinal	Total: 376	diabetes, and hypertension and
		gastrointestinal disorders; pancreatic	CG: 186	with each condition alone
		adhesions; excessive alcohol intake;	Followup (12 mo), n (%):	
		substance abuse; required any drug that might alter body weight or plasma lipids:	Total: 323 (85.9) IG: 159 (83 7)	
		administration of systemic steroids (other than hormone replacement therapy) or	CG: 164 (88.2)	
		insulin	Cluster information: NR	
Miles, 2002 <sup>197</sup>	Design: RCT	<b>Inclusion:</b> Patients with type 2 diabetes; 40-65 yrs; BMI 28-43 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; maintained	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 53.1 (calc)
Fair	Location: US and Canada	stable weight for ≥3 mo; HbA1c between 7.5 and 12.0%; received metformin	N eligible: NR	Sex (% female): 48 (calc)
	Recruitment Setting:	treatment at 1000-2500 mg/day for at	N excluded: NR	Race/Ethnicity: % Caucasian: 82
	NR	combination with metformin was	N refused or other reason: NR	% Black: 12 % Other: 6
	Self-selected: NR	dose was stable for 12 weeks before	Pre-randomization compliance trial: NR	SES (income education): NR
			N Randomized:	
		<b>Exclusion:</b> Receiving insulin, thiazolidinediones, or α-qlucosidase	Total: 516 IG: 255	% Hypertension: NR
		inhibitors; any clinical condition that	CG: 261	% Diabetes: 100
		renal, hepatic, or endocrine disorders;	N ITT:	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		(SBP≥160 mmHg or DBP≥100 mmHg);	I G: 250	Other health problems: NR
		bariatric surgery; history of bulimia:	Followup (12 mo), n (%):	
		substance abuse; use of any weight	Total: 311 (60)	
		loss medications; women who were	IG: 165 (65)	
		pregnant, lactating, or of child-bearing potential	CG: 146 (56) Cluster information: NR	

Richelsen, 2007 <sup>198</sup> Design: RCT       Inclusion: Aged 18-65 years; BMI between 30-45 kg/m <sup>2</sup> and a waist circumference ≥102 cm (men) or ≥92 cm (men); one or more of the Scandinavia       N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR       Age (mean): 47.0 (calc)         Fair       Location: Multiple sites, Scandinavia       Inclusion: Aged 18-65 years; BMI between 30-45 kg/m <sup>2</sup> and a waist circumference ≥102 cm (men) or ≥92 cm (men); one or more of the following right fortune; imperiation or more or more or more or more or more of the following right fortune; imperiation or more or
Fair       Location: Multiple sites, Scandinavia       circumference ≥102 cm (men) or ≥92 cm (women); one or more of the following risk forting       N excluded: NR N refused or other reason: NR       Race/Ethnicity: NR SES (income, education): N
Contrained in Contrained in the Contrained for the
i tollowing risk factors: impaired fasting i Pre-rangomization compliance trial i Muthersion: NR
Recruitment Setting: glucose (plasma glucose ≥6.1 mmol/L), Description: Very-low-energy diet of 600-800 % Diabetes: 22.3
Clinical research centers diet-treated type 2 diabetes (plasma kcal/day % Dyslipidemia:
glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L) or dyslipidemia Required compliance: Body weight loss of ≥5% % Low HDL (≤0.9/1.1 mmol/L)
Self-selected: NR (HDL cholesterol ≤0.9 mmol/L for men, Length: 8 weeks 43.4
s1.1 mmol/L for women), and/or serum N (%) retained after run-in: 309 (80.7) % High triglycendes (>2.0 mmol/L but <10.0 N Bandomized:
mmol/i mmol/i but <10.0 h Kandomized. mmol/i 55.2
IG: 153 Impaired fasting glucose
Exclusion: NR CG: 156 Characteristics reported for -
Followup (36 mo), n (%): months
Total: 200 (64.7)
IG: 102 (66.7)
Cluster information: NP
Rossner 2000 <sup>199</sup> Design: RCT Inclusion: Aged >18 years: BMI 28-43 N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR Age (mean): 44.2 (calc)
ka/m <sup>2</sup> Neliaible: 783
Fair Location: 14 centers, N excluded: NR Sex (% female): 82.3 (calc)
Europe         Exclusion: Pregnant, lactating, or of         N refused or other reason: NR
childbearing potential but not taking <b>Pre-randomization compliance trial Race/Ethnicity:</b> NR
Recruitment Setting: adequate contraceptive measures; any adequate contraceptive measures; any alignetive the setting designed to suttritionally balanced
NR clinically significant condition other than det that was designed to cause a book-kcal daily SES (income, education): N
Self-selected: NR the study: lost >4 ke during the previous as fat
6 months; undergone GI surgery for Required compliance: 75% assessed by % DBP ≥90 mmHq: 21.6
weight reducing purposes; had a history proportion of capsules taken
of post-surgical adhesions or of bulimia Length: 4 weeks % Diabetes: NR
or laxative abuse; taken any drug that N (%) retained after run-in: 729 (93.1) (calc)
might influence body weight of serum <b>N kandomized: % Dyslipidemia:</b>
uncontrolled hypertension drug-treated [161.60 mg] 22.20 mg/(1.53.3)
DM. or history or presence of IG2 (120 ma): 244
symptomatic cholelithiasis CG: 243 Other health problems: NR
Followup (12, 24 mo), n (%):
12 mo NOTE: Reported for 718
I total: 524 (71.9) (calc) Subjects only aubients that h
IG1. 103 (70.4) (calc) Exclude the subjects with
$C_{C} = 158 (65.0)$ $n=11)$
24 mo
Total: 435 (59.7) (calc)
IG1: 140 (57.9) (calc)
IG2: 159 (65.2) (calc)
UG: 130 (50.U)
Cluster information: NR
# Appendix C Table 2a. Evidence Table of Medication Trials: Study Characteristics

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Sjostrom, 1998 <sup>200</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Obese (BMI 28-47 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) men and women; aged 18 years and	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: 937 N eligible: 743	Age (mean): 44.8 (calc)*
Fair	Location: Multi-center,	over; using adequate contraception	N excluded: 194 N refused or other reason: NR	Sex (% female): 83.0 (calc)*
	Pecruitment Setting:	Exclusion: Serious diseases including	Pre-randomization compliance trial	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Hospital waiting lists and	uncontrolled hypertension and	caloric diet with -600 kcal/day from total estimated	SES (income, education): NR
	Self-selected: Mixed	weight loss of more than 4 kg in the 3	of energy from fat); minimum 1200 kcal/day Bequired compliance: 75% compliance calculated	% Hypertension: NR
		weight reduction; history of post	from number of capsules returned	% Diabetes: NR
		abuse; use of any drug that might have	N (%) retained after run-in: 688 (92.6)	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		in the month before study entry; drug or	Total: 688	Other health problems: NR
			CG: 343	* Characteristics from ITT
			Total: 683	pancipants
			CG: 340	
			Total: 544 (79)	
			CG: 260 (76)	
			Cluster information: NR	
Swinburn, 2005 <sup>201</sup>	Design: RCT	<b>Inclusion:</b> Aged 40-70 years, BMI 30- 50 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; One or more of the following	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: 352 N eligible: NR	Age (mean): 52.2 (calc)
Fair	Location: 8 clinical research centers,	conditions: hypercholesterolemia (serum total cholesterol >5.5mmol/l	N excluded: NR N refused or other reason: NR	Sex (% female): 56.9 (calc), significantly greater in CG
	Australia and New Zealand	and/or LDL >3.5 mmol/L and clinically stable if on treatment). hypertension	Pre-randomization compliance trial: Description: Single blind placebo lead-in period	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Recruitment Setting:	(systolic >140 mmHg and/or diastolic >90 mmHg and clinically stable if on	with advice on reducing dietary fat and increasing	SES (income. education): NR
	NR	treatment), and/or Type-2 diabetes	Required compliance: NR Length: 4 weeks	% Hypertension: 56 6 (calc)
	Self-selected: NR	oral hypoglycemic agent for 6+ months	N (%) retained after run-in: NR	% Diabetes:
		hemoglobin: 6.5-10%)	Total: 339	% Type 2 diabetes: 26.8 (calc)
		Exclusion: History of significant	CG: 169 Followiup (12 mo), p (%):	% Dyslipidemia:
		or endocrine disorders; uncontrolled	Total: 269 (79.4) (calc)	(calc)
		surgery for weight reduction; history of	CG: 137 (81.1 (calc))	Other health problems: 10
		history or presence of substance abuse,		year lisk UV uisease
		disorders, or active gastrointestinal		
		disease		

# Appendix C Table 2a. Evidence Table of Medication Trials: Study Characteristics

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Torgerson, 2004 <sup>202</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged 30-60 years; BMI ≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; nondiabetic glucose tolerance	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: 20,401	Age (mean): 43.3 (calc)
Torgerson, 2001 <sup>291</sup>	Location: 22 medical	(2-hour whole blood glucose <10.0	N eligible: 3373	Sex (% female): 55.2 (calc)
XENDOS	Beenvitment Setting	glucose <6.7 mmol/L); IGT (fasting	N excluded: NR	Race/Ethnicity: NR
Fair	Newspaper	2-hour whole blood glucose <6.7 mmoi/L and	N refused or other reason: NR	SES (income, education): NR
	advertisements	mmol/L)	Pre-randomization compliance trial: NR	% Hypertension: NR
	Self-selected: Y	Exclusion: Diabetes; ongoing and active cardiovascular and	N Randomized:	% Diabetes: 0
		gastrointestinal disease; change in body weight >2 kg between screening	Total: 3305 IG: 1650	% Dyslipidemia: NR
		and baseline examinations; SBP >165 mmHg or DBP >105 mmHg on the	CG: 1655	Other health problems: NR
		same 2 consecutive visits; MI within 6	Followup, n (%):	
		gastrointestinal surgery for weight	Total: 2746 (83.1) (calc)	
		pancreatic disease; malignancy;	CG: 1268 (calc) (76.6)	
		significant psychiatric or neurologic disorder; abuse or previous	48 mo Total: 1414 (42.8%)	
		participation in any trial of orlistat	IG: 850 (52%) , ITT 1640 (99.4 (calc)) CG: 564 (34%), ITT 1637 (98.9 (calc))	
			Cluster information: NR	
Metformin Trials				
Fontbonne, 1996 <sup>185</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: High waist-to-hip ratio	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 49.5
BIGPRO	Location: France	aged 35-60 years; women aged 40-65 years	N eligible: NR	Sex (% female): 66.7 (calc)
Fair	Recruitment Setting:	Fyclusion: Ischemic cardiovascular	N excluded: NR	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Self-selected: NR	disease (diagnosed before inclusion or detected by ECG required for inclusion.	N refused or other reason: NR	SES (income, education): NR
		diabetes (diagnosed before inclusion or	Pre-randomization compliance trial: NR	% Hypertension:
		medical treatment; serious life-	N Randomized:	treatment: 33.0 (calc)
		nreatening medical conditions;	I Otal: 457	% Diabetes:
		function (plasma creatinine ≥15 mg/dL)	CG: 230	% Abnormal glucose tolerance: 21.5
			Followup (12 mo), n (%):	% Dyslinidemia: NR
			IG: 164 (72.2)	Jonphaonna, MA
			CG: 160 (69.6)	Other health problems: NR
			Cluster information: NR	for those for participants who
				complete study; Also present baseline characteristics of
				subjects present and absent at 12 months

# Appendix C Table 2a. Evidence Table of Medication Trials: Study Characteristics

Study Reference Quality Rating	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Gambineri, 2006 <sup>186</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Women with polycystic	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: 140	Age (mean): 27.0 (calc)
Fair	Location: Italy	consensus: ( <i>need 2 of the following</i> )) 1.	N eligible: 85	Sex (% female): 100
	Recruitment Setting:	oligomenorrhea/amenorrhea, 2.	N excluded: 55	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Endocrinology, S.	at least 0.72 ng/mL, 3. polycystic	N refused or other reason: 5	SES (income, education): NR
		aged 18-45 years; BMI of at least 28	Pre-randomization compliance trial: NR	% Hypertension: NR
	Self-selected: Probably not but did not state that all PCOS were assessed so could have been some volunteer	kg/m <sup>-</sup> ; waist circumference of at least 88 cm; consistent with an abdominal fat distribution phenotype <b>Exclusion:</b> Use of any medication or a significant modification in body weight		% Diabetes: % Impaired glucose tolerance and/or impaired fasting glucose: 33
	recruitment through fliers, etc.	within the previous 3 months or dieting; hyperprolactinemia; Cushing's syndrome; late-onset congenital		% Dyslipidemia: NR
		adrenal hyperplasia; thyroid dysfunction; diabetes; cardiovascular,		Other health problems: 100% Polycystic ovarian
Distantes	Design: DOT	renal, or liver diseases		syndrome
Diabetes Prevention Program	Design: RCT	125 mg/dl (<125 mg/dl in American	N recruited or assessed for eligibility: NR	Age (mean): 50.6
Research Group.	Location: 27 clinical	Indian clinics): 2-hour postchallenge	N excluded: NR	Sex (% female): 67.7
1999 <sup>142</sup>	centers (research and	glucose 140-199 mg/dL after a 75 g	N refused or other reason: NR	
	community based), US	glucose load; aged ≥25 years; BMI ≥24	Pre-randomization compliance trial	Race/Ethnicity:
Haffner, 2005 <sup>212</sup>		kg/m <sup>2</sup> (≥22 kg/m <sup>2</sup> for Asian Americans)	Description: Compliance with pill taking (placebo)	% White: 54.7
<b>a</b> + + <b>a a a a a a b a b b b b b b b b b b</b>	Recruitment Setting:		and diet and exercises recordkeeping, no further	% African American: 19.9
Orchard, 2005	Mass media, mail,	Exclusion: Diabetes at baseline;	detail	% Hispanic: 15.7
Dishataa	telephone contacts, and	medical conditions likely to limit life	Required compliance: NR	% American Indian: 5.3
Diductes Provention Program	omployment or social	intervention: conditions or behaviors	N (%) retained after run in: NP	% ASIAII/Facilic Islanuers. 4.4
Research Group	droups or health care	likely to affect conduct of the trial.	N Randomized:	SES (income education): NR
2006 <sup>210</sup>	systems	medications and medical conditions	Total: 3234	
Patner 2005 <sup>207</sup>	Salf-salacted: Assume	likely to confound the assessment for	IG-Metformin: 1073	% Hypertension: 29.6
Tather, 2005	mostly self-selected	diabetes	CG: 1082	% Diabetes: 0
Knowler, 2002 <sup>206</sup>			Followup (12 mo, 36 mo), n (%):	% Dyslinidemia: 44 1% had
West, 2008 <sup>214</sup>			Total: 3070 (94.9) (calc)	elevated LDL or taking
Rubin, 2005 <sup>205</sup>			IG-L: 1017 (94.8 (carc)) IG-L: 1026 (95.1 (carc))	medication
Ackermann, 2009 <sup>211</sup>			G: 1027 (94.9 (calc)) 36 mo	Other health problems: History of stroke,
			Total: 1921 (59.4) (calc)	revascularization, MI, MI by
Diabetes			IG-M: 626 (58.3 (calc))	ECG, elevated TG, metabolic
Prevention Program			IG-L: 638 (59.1 (calc)) CG: 657 (60.7 (calc))	syndrome
Good			Cluster information: NR	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Medication Dose/Duration	Behavioral Components
Orlistat Trials		
Berne, 2005 <sup>180</sup>	Intervention setting: 16 primary care centers and 6 hospital-based diabetes clinics	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Mildly reduced calorie diet (600 kcal per day deficit) containing 30% of calories from fat.
Fair	Medication: Orlistat	<b>Exercise prescription:</b> Encouraged to increase their physical activity by a daily 30-minute walk
	Dose: 120 mg TID	Behavioral intervention description: Dietary counseling by nurse or dietician at every
	Duration: 52 weeks	study visit. Self-management package given including leaflets and a food diary.
	Prescriber: NR (Assume not PCP) Incentives: NR	Control weighing frequency (after BL): 4 times over 52 weeks
Broom, 2002 <sup>181</sup> UK Multimorbidity	Intervention setting: 54 GP surgeries and 12 hospital clinics	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Mildly hypocaloric diet (nutritionally balanced with approximately 30% of energy from fat; negative 600 kcal/day); at 6 months, the diet was reduced by a further 300 kcal/day
Study	Medication: Orlistat	Exercise prescription: NA
Fair	Dose: 120 mg TID Duration: 52 weeks	Behavioral Intervention description: NR
	Prescriber: NR	Control weighing frequency (after BL): 12 times over 12 months
402	Incentives: NR	
Davidson, 1999 <sup>102</sup>	Intervention setting: Clinical research centers	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Controlled-energy diet (30% intake as fat and energy prescribed as 1.3 BMR minus 2100 to 3360 kj/d [500-800 kcal(calc]est mid-point for MA: 650)
Fail	Dose: 120 mg TID	Exercise prescription: Encouraged to walk briskly for 20-30 minutes 3-5 times per week
	Duration: 12 months	<b>Behavioral intervention description:</b> Dietitians provided instructions on dietary intake recording as part of behavior modification program and used food diaries for counseling. 4 behavior modification session on weight loss strategies
	Prescriber: NR	Control weighing frequency (after BL): 17 times in 1 year (including final)
Derosa, 2003 <sup>183</sup>	Intervention setting: NR	Diet prescription: Controlled-energy diet (1500 kcal, 54% carbohydrates, 24% proteins,
Fair	Medication: Orlistat	22% lipids (6% saturated), 108 mg cholesterol, and 35 g fiber)
	Dose: 120 mg TID	week by bicycle
	Duration: 12 months	<b>Behavioral intervention description:</b> Food diaries and discussion used to ensure dietary and exercise compliance: every 3 mo dieticians provided instruction on dietary
	Prescriber: NR	intake-recording procedures as part of behavior-modification program; patient discussion and assessment to diaries used for counseling patients during study period
	Incentives: NR	Control Weighing Frequency (after BL): 2 times (including final)

Study Reference Quality Rating	Medication Dose/Duration	Behavioral Components
Derosa, 2010 <sup>215</sup>	Intervention setting: University medical centers	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Controlled energy diet (near 600 kcal daily deficit) based on AHA recommendations, including 50% of calories from carbohydrates, 30% from fat (6%
Good	Dose: 120 mg TID	saturated), and 20% from proteins, with a maximum cholesterol content of 300 mg/day and 35 g/day of fiber. No vitamin or mineral preparations. Standard diet advice by dietitian who periodically provided instruction on dietary intake recording procedures and used food
	Duration: 12 months	Exercise prescription: Encouraged to increase physical activity by walking briskly for 20-
	Prescriber: NR	Behavioral intervention description: NR
	Incentives: NR	Control Weighing Frequency (after BL): 4 times over 12 months
Finer, 2000 <sup>184</sup>	Intervention setting: 5 centers (authors from mix of research centers, medical schools, hospitals)	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Low-calorie diet with a 600 kcal deficit with a minimum of 1200 kcal/day (30% of energy derived from fat, alcohol limited to 150 g/week). After 24 weeks, another reduction of 200 kcal/day. Cash weight here through dist of 0.25 to 0.5 kg/week.
James, 1997	Medication: Orlistat	reduction of 300 kcal/day. Goal weight loss through diet of 0.25 to 0.5 kg/week
Fair	Dose: 120 mg TID	Exercise prescription: NR
	Duration: 12 months	Behavioral intervention description: NR
	Prescriber: NR	Control weighing frequency (after BL): 15 times over 12 months
	Incentives: NR	
Hanefeld, 2002 <sup>187</sup> Fair	Intervention setting: Not stated, but likely center (primary care physicians and outpatient clinics) where recruited	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Nutritionally balanced, mildly calorie-reduced diet (30% fat, 50% carbohydrates, 20% protein, and 300 mg of cholesterol maximum), based on estimates of maintenance needs less 600 kcal/day to promote weight loss of 0.25 to 0.50 kg/week by
	Medication: Onistat	week 24, minimum of 1200 kcal/day
	Dose: 120 mg TID	Exercise prescription: NR
	Duration: 12 mo (48 weeks)	<b>Behavioral intervention description:</b> Diet diary every 4 weeks for four days, at week 20, patients' diets examined and modified if necessary to provide appropriate caloric intake
	Prescriber: NR	Control weighing frequency (after BL): 12 times over 48 weeks
Hauptman 2000 <sup>189</sup>	Incentives: NR	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Reduced energy diet: nutritionally balanced: 30% energy as fat 50%
Fair	Medication: Orlistat	carbohydrate, 20% protein, maximum of 300 mg/day of cholesterol; alcohol limited to 10 drinks per week; 5020 kj/day for patients <90 kg, 6275 for patients ≥90 kg
	Dose: IG1: 60 mg TID	<b>Exercise prescription:</b> Encouraged to increase physical activity by walking briskly for 20- 30 minutes 3-5 times per week
		Behavioral intervention description: Dietary guidance on desired energy intake from
	Duration: 12 months	study physician only at start of placebo lead-in phase. Physicians did not receive any specific training in nutrition or weight management techniques beyond same instructional
	Prescriber: NR	materials given to patients. No registered dieticians or behavioral psychologists were involved. At 4 points during first 52 weeks, patients viewed videos of behavior modification
	Incentives: NR	techniques for weight control. No group meetings or counseling sessions. Completed 3- day dietary records at 10 points over 2 year study (assume 5 during year 1)
		<b>Control weighing frequency (after BL):</b> Once at 52 weeks. Brief physician visits at 7 other time points in first year (likely had weight but not stated)

Study Reference Quality Rating	Medication Dose/Duration	Behavioral Components
Hill, 1999 <sup>190</sup>	Intervention setting: Not stated but likely clinical research centers where recruited	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Energy intake to maintain body weight (not give hypoenergetic diet if gaining weight but encouraged to maintain higher weight)
Fair	Medication: Orlistat	Evercise prescription: NR
	Dose: 30, 60, or 120 mg TID	
	Duration: 12 months	Behavioral intervention description: Dietary and behavioral counseling provided
	Prescriber: NR	record 4 timepoints during 1 year treatment period
	Incentives: NR	Control weighing frequency (after BL): 10 times 1 year
Hollander, 1998 <sup>191</sup>	Intervention setting: NR	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Mildly hypocaloric diet (~500 kcal/day deficit)
Foir	Medication: Orlistat	Evercise procerintion: NP
	Dose: 120 mg TID	
	Duration: 52 weeks	Behavioral intervention description: All patients were instructed on the dietary
	Prescriber: NR	requirements of the study and procedures for completing food intake records
	Incentives: NR	Control weighing frequency (after BL): 14-25 times over 12 months
Krempf, 2003 <sup>193</sup>	Intervention setting: 81 hospital centers	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Individually tailored diet prescription by a dietician beginning with the
Fair	Medication: Orlistat	Reassessed at clinic visits at months 3, 7, 11, 15, and 18. Those who lost weight maintained the diet those who maintained or gained were decreased by a further 10%
	Dose: 120 mg TID	never below 1200 kcal/day
	Duration: 18 months	Exercise prescription: NR
	Prescriber: NR	Behavioral intervention description: Completed 4-day food diaries every 4 months
L. L. 0000 <sup>194</sup>	Incentives: NR	Control weighing frequency (after BL): 18 over 18 months
Swedish	Medication: Orlistat	approximately 30% of calories from fat); at 6 months, energy content was reduced another 300 kcal per day.
Multimorbidity Study	Dose: 120 mg TID	Exercise prescription: encouraged to increase physical activity by taking a 30 minute walk daily
Fair	Duration: 52 weeks	Behavioral intervention description: Monthly dietary counseling by a practice nurse as
	Prescriber: NR	and asked at each visit how often watch videotape
	Incentives: NR	Control weighing frequency (after BL): 10 times over 1 year
Miles, 2002 <sup>197</sup>	Intervention setting: NR	Diet prescription: Reduced-calorie diet (~600 kcal daily deficit) containing 30% of
Fair	Medication: Orlistat	calories as fat, 50% as carbohydrate, and 20% as protein, with a maximum cholesterol content of 300 mg/day. Daily calorie intake was reduced by an additional 200 kcal after 6 months with a minimum intake of 1200 kcal per day. A multivitation supplement was
	Dose: 120 mg TID	prescribed to be taken daily at least 2 hours before or after the evening dose of study medication.
	Duration: 52 weeks	Exercise prescription: Encouraged to increase their level of physical activity
	Prescriber: NR	<b>Behavioral intervention description:</b> Received dietary counseling at baseline and at regular intervals throughout the study
	Incentives: NR	Control weighing frequency (after BL): Checked 12 times over 12 months

Study Reference Quality Rating	Medication Dose/Duration	Behavioral Components
Richelsen, 2007 <sup>198</sup>	Intervention setting: Not specifically stated but likely clinical research centers where recruited	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Standard energy-restricted diet (600 kcal daily deficit), dietary and lifestyle counseling, advised to reduce fat to ~30% of total energy
ган	Medication: Orlistat	Exercise prescription: Advice to increase physical activity
	Dose: 120 mg TID	<b>Behavioral intervention description:</b> Dietician provided dietary and lifestyle counseling
	Duration: 36 months	Control weighing frequency (after BL): 24 times over 3 years
	Prescriber: NR	Control weighing frequency (after DE). 24 times over 5 years
	Incentives: NR	
Rossner, 2000 <sup>199</sup>	Intervention setting: centers (assumed to be clinical centers)	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Nutritionally balanced diet that was designed to cause a 600-kcal daily energy deficit and to supply about 30% of energy as fat
Fair	Medication: Orlistat	Exercise prescription: NR
	<b>Dose:</b> IG1: 60 mg TID IG2: 120 mg TID	<b>Behavioral intervention description:</b> Patient received advice from dietician on the dietary requirements of the study and received instructions on accurate completion of food intake diaries. Food diaries assessed by a dietitian and advice given 12 times over year (18 times 2 years)
	Duration: 2 years	Control weighing fragmeney (after PL): 12 times over 12 months (18 times over 24
	Prescriber: NR	months)
	Incentives: NR	
Sjostrom, 1998 <sup>200</sup>	Intervention setting: NR	Diet prescription: Hypocaloric diet with -600 kcal from total estimated energy
Fair	Medication: Orlistat	expenditure (1.3 times BMR) (roughly 30% of energy from fat); minimum 1200 kcal; further reduced 300 kcal at 24 week and down to minimum of 1000 kcal
	Dose: 120 mg TID	Exercise prescription: NR
	Duration: 52 weeks	Behavioral intervention description: NR
	Prescriber: NR	Control weighing frequency (after BL): 15 times in first year; 8 in year 2
	Incentives: NR	
Swinburn, 2005 <sup>201</sup>	Intervention setting: NR	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Reduce daily dietary fat intake to be between 25-30% of total daily energy intake or about 40 g/day. Otherwise ad libitum diet
Fair	Medication: Orlistat	Everaine preserintion: Undertake regular, mederate intensity physical activity of at least
	Dose: 120 mg TID	30 minutes a day on most days
	Duration: 52 weeks	Behavioral intervention description: Received advice from dietician about identifying
	Prescriber: NR	strategies including fat reduced cooking methods. Participants completed 5-day diet and physical activity logs immediately after screening and immediately before PL 12 work
	Incentives: NR	and 52 week visits as part of the advice and goal-setting process.
		Control weighing frequency (after BL): 2 clinic visits over 4 weeks (lead-in) and 13 visits over 52 weeks (treatment)

Study Reference Quality Rating	Medication Dose/Duration	Behavioral Components
Torgerson, 2004 <sup>202</sup>	Intervention setting: Medical centers	<b>Diet prescription:</b> 800 kcal/day deficit containing 30% of calories from fat and not more than 300 mg of cholesterol per day. Readjusted every 6 months to account for weight loss
Torgerson, 2001 <sup>291</sup>	Dose: 120 mg TID	Exercise prescription: Walk at least 1 extra km/day
XENDOS	Duration: 4 years	<b>Behavioral intervention description:</b> Dietary counseling every 2 weeks for the first 6 months and monthly thereafter. Kept physical activity diaries
Fair	Prescriber: NR	<b>Control weighing frequency (after BL):</b> 16 times over 4 years (4 times 12 months)
	Incentives: NR	Note: All participants were prescribed the diet and exercise programs
Metformin Trials	Intervention action of Clinical content (accurred)	Dist une existing. Circa dist eduies to reduce insulty resistance
Fontbonne, 1996	intervention setting: Clinical centers (assumed)	Diet prescription: Given diet advice to reduce insulin resistance
BIGPRO	Medication: Metformin	Exercise prescription: Given exercise advice to reduce insulin resistance
Foir	Dose: 850 mg BID	<b>Behavioral intervention description:</b> NP except for lifestyle advice to reduce insulin
i all	Duration: 12 months	resistance as described above
	Prescriber: NR	
	Incentives: NR	Control weighing frequency (after BL): 4 times
Gambineri, 2006 <sup>186</sup>	Intervention setting: Hospital endocrine clinic	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Hypocaloric diet (-500 kcal from the usual individual energy intake) containing 20% proteins, 30% lipids, and 50% carbohydrates. Final diets ranged between
Fair	Medication: Metformin	1200-1400 kcal/day
	Dose: 850 mg BID	<b>Exercise prescription:</b> Invited to maintain their usual physical activity throughout the study, which was checked monthly by the self-administered questionnaire
	<b>Duration:</b> 12 months (started one month after diet started)	Behavioral intervention description: Placed on diet above by same dietician who
	Prescriber: NR	diet monthly according to previously defined method providing quantitative information on daily energy intake and macronultrient composition of the diet consumed during previous
	Incentives: NR	month
		<b>Control weighing frequency (after BL):</b> Monthly visits likely included weight but not clear; so probably 12 times
Diabetes Prevention	Intervention setting: NR	<b>Diet prescription:</b> Follow the Food Guide Pyramid and the equivalent of a National
Group, 1999 <sup>142</sup>	Medication: Metformin	Cholesterol Education Program Step 1 diet,
Haffner, 2005 <sup>212</sup>		Exercise prescription: Increase physical activity gradually with a goal of at least 30
Orchard, 2005 <sup>262</sup>	<b>Dose:</b> Started at 850 mg QD and increased to 850 mg BID; dosage adjusted if necessary for GL symptoms	minute of an activity such as walking 5 days each week
<b>Diabetes</b> Prevention		
Program Research Group, 2006 <sup>210</sup>	<b>Duration:</b> NR, average of 2.8 years in DPP before they were unmasked to treatment assignment	<b>Behavioral intervention description:</b> Participants in both groups were provided written information and had an annual 20-30 minute individual session with their case manager
Ratner, 2005 <sup>207</sup>	Prescriber: NR. presume research staff	addressing the importance of a healthy lifestyle for the prevention of type 2 diabetes; encouraged to lose 5-10% of their initial weight through a combination of diet and
Knowler, 2002 <sup>206</sup>		exercise; to avoid excessive alcohol intake; to stop smoking if smoker; recommendations
West, 2008 <sup>214</sup>	Incentives: "Rewards deployed according to the judgment	reviewed annually
Rubin, 2005 <sup>205</sup>		Control weighing frequency (after BL): Annually
Ackermann, 2009 <sup>211</sup>		
Diabetes Prevention		
Cood		
9000		

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Orlistat Trials		(Lipius, Glucose Tolefance, Blood Plessure)
Berne 2005 <sup>180</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL Percent change at 12 mo	Mean (SD) at BL. Mean change (SD) at 12 mo
Deme, 2000	BI 12 mo	BI 12 mo
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
1 dil	BMI ka/m <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol mmol/l
	IG 32.6 (3.1) NR	$IG 5.5(1.0) -0.24(1.00)^*$
	CG 32.9 (3.0) NR	<b>CG</b> 5.4 (1.1) 0.10 (1.11)
	Weight, kg	HDL cholesterol. mmol/L
	IG 95.3 (12.6) -5.0**	<b>IG</b> 1.3 (0.3) -0.01 (0.17)*
	CG 95.7 (12.5) -1.8	<b>CG</b> 1.2 (0.2) 0.07 (0.23)
	Weight loss ≥5%, n	LDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	IG 51**	<b>IG</b> 3.1 (1.0) -0.08 (0.96)
	<b>CG</b> 12	<b>CG</b> 3.0 (0.8) 0.01 (0.95)
	Weight loss ≥10%, n	Triglycerides, mmol/L
	IG 15*	<b>IG</b> 2.6 (1.4) -0.12 (1.06)
	CG 3	<b>CG</b> 2.8 (2.5) -0.04 (2.41)
		Blood pressure:
	Mean (SD)	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg
	Central adiposity:	IG 145.0 (18.2) -3.2
	Waist circumference, cm	<b>CG</b> 145.0 (16.1) -3.1
	<b>IG</b> 108.0 (9.0) 103.0 (8.9)*	Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg
	<b>CG</b> 109.0 (9.3) 106.0 (9.1)	<b>IG</b> 84.5 (9.7) -2.4
		<b>CG</b> 84.3 (10.0) -1.9
	Overall adiposity: NR	Glucose tolerance:
		Hemoglobin A1c, percent
	** p<0.0001 for change in IG versus CG	<b>IG</b> 7.6 (0.8) -1.1*
	* p<0.005	<b>CG</b> 7.6 (0.8) -0.22
		Fasting glucose, mmol/L
	IG n analyzed: 111	<b>IG</b> 11.2 (2.6) -1.9*
	CG n analyzed: 109	<b>CG</b> 10.9 (2.5) -0.26
		* p<0.05 for IG versus CG
		IG n analyzed: 111; CG n analyzed: 109

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Broom, 2002 <sup>181</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 12 mo	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) at 12 mo
	<u>BL 12 mo</u>	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
UK Multimorbidity	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
Study	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol, mmol/L
	IG 37.1 (6.4)	Total
Fair	<b>CG</b> 37.0 (6.2)	<b>IG</b> 5.8 (1.1) -0.12 ()****
	Weight, kg	<b>CG</b> 5.7 (1.0) 0.16 ()
	<b>IG</b> 100.9 (20.5) -5.8 (8.5)*	Patients with Dyslipidemia
	<b>CG</b> 101.8 (19.8) -2.3 (6.4)	<b>IG</b> 6.10 () 0.2 () (calc)***
		CG 5.97 () 0.08 () (calc)
	Central adiposity:	HDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	Waist circumference, cm	Total
	<b>IG</b> 107.8 (15.6) $-5.99$ ()*	IG = 1.4 (0.4) = -
	<b>CG</b> 108.6 (16.4) -2.60 ()	CG 1.4 (0.3)
		Patients with Dyslipidemia
	Overall adiposity: Body fat composition, bio-impedence method (BL	<b>IG</b> $1.38()$ $0.03()(calc)^{2}$
	oniy)	CG 1.33 () 0.07 () (Calc)
		LDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	* p<0.0001 for difference between IG and CG change at 12 mo	
	IC a such and 250	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{G} \\ $
	IG n analyzed: 259	CG 3.8 (0.9) -0.02 ()
	CG n analyzed: 203	Patients with Dyslipidemia $(20.4) \times (20.4) \times ($
		$\mathbf{CC} = 4.06 () = 0.01 () (calc)$
		CG 4.00 () -0.01 () (Calc)
		$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{CC} & 1.0 (1.0) & 0.44 () \\ \mathbf{CC} & 1.0 (1.0) & 0.17 (-) \end{array}$
		CG = 1.9 (1.0) = 0.17 ()
		Sustalic blood pressure, mmHa
		IG = 141.1(15.0) = 6.0(-)**
		<b>CG</b> 130.2 (15.7) $-2.3$ ()
		Diastolic blood pressure mmHa
		Total
		<b>IG</b> 89.0 (9.7) $-5.5()^{**}$
		<b>CG</b> 881(101) -31()
		Patients with Hypertension
		IG = 95.5() = -10.2()(calc)
		<b>CG</b> 95.7 () -7.2 () (calc)
		Glucose tolerance:
		OGTT score, mmol/L
		Total
		<b>IG</b> 8.0 (2.4) -0.37 ()*
		<b>CG</b> 8.1 (2.8) 0.09 ()
		Patients with Impaired Glucose Tolerance
		IG 11.84 () -0.29 () (calc)
		CG 12.63 () -0.11 () (calc)
		Fasting glucose
		IG0.19 ()*
		CG 0.06 ()

Appendix C Table 2c. Evidence	Table of Medication	<b>Trials: Intermediate Outcomes</b>
-------------------------------	---------------------	--------------------------------------

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
(continued)		**** p<0.0001 for difference between IG and CG change at 12 mo
Broom, 2002 <sup>101</sup>		*** p<0.001
UK Multimorbidity		* p<0.01 * p<0.05
Fair		IG n analyzed: 250: CG n analyzed: 263
Davidson 1999 <sup>182</sup>	Mean (says SD, but believe these are really SEs) at BL. Mean change	Linids: FIGURE FORM only
Baviason, 1000	(SE) at 12 mo	(IG greater reductions than CG, p<0.05 for LDL, Total Cholesterol)
Fair	<u>-4 wk 12 mo</u>	(-5,,,,,,,,
	Weight/Relative weight:	Mean (SE) at BL, 12 mo
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	<u>-4 wk 12 mo</u>
	13 36.2 (0.1) 0.0 5 (0.0)	Blood pressure:
	$CG_{30.5}(0.9) =$	System Blood Pressure, mmHg $IG = 110.4 (0.5) = 118.6 (0.6)^*$
	$IG = 100.7 (0.6) = 8.76 (0.37)^*$	<b>CG</b> 118.6 $(0.9)$ 119.6 $(1.3)$
	<b>CG</b> 100.6 (0.9) -5.81 (0.67)	Diastolic Blood Pressure. mmHg
	% of subjects losing more than 5% of their initial body weight (calc n):	<b>IG</b> 76.9 (0.4) 75.9 (0.4)**
	IG 65.7† (432)	<b>CG</b> 76.1 (0.6) 77.4 (0.9)
	CG 43.6 (97)	
	Central adiposity: NR	* p=0.002 for lowering of SBP by 12 mo in IG versus CG
	Verall adiposity: NR	** p=0.009 for lowering of DBP by 12 mo in IG versus CG
	to<0.001 for least squares mean difference	Glucose tolerance: NR
		Figure only (at 12 mo)
	IG n analyzed: 657 (assumed N ITT for 12 mo)	IG lower than CG at 12 mo (appears p<0.05, but information in article
	CG n analyzed: 223 (assumed N ITT for 12 mo)	contradictory)
Derosa, 2003 <sup>183</sup>	Mean (SD) (assume SE at followup)	Mean (SD)
	<u>BL 6 mo 12 mo</u>	<u>BL 6 mo 12 mo</u>
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	lotal cholesterol, mg/dL
	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{C} \\ $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	Mean (SD) at BL Mean change (SD) at 6 and 12 mo	HDI cholesterol ma/dl
	Weight, kg	<b>IG</b> 43 (4.0) 43 (3.5) 44 (4.0)
	<b>IG</b> 94.2 (9.8) -5.1 (0.7) -8.6 (1.0)	<b>CG</b> 41 (3.5) 42 (3.0) 42 (3.0)
	<b>CG</b> 95.3 (10.2) -4.2 (0.6) -7.6 (0.7)	LDL cholesterol, mg/dL
	Other measures: NR	IG 195 (20) 179 (19) 158 (20)*
	Control adia asite	<b>CG</b> 194 (22) 183 (20) 173 (19)
	Central adiposity:	Inglycenaes, mg/dL IC 132 (32) 111 (18) 07 (10)
	$IG_{100,8}(5,3) = 19(0,7) = 30(1,0)$	CG = 128 (25) = 116 (18) = 109 (20)
	<b>CG</b> 102.3 (6.2) -1.6 (0.5) -2.4 (0.4)	Blood pressure:
		Systolic blood pressure, mmHg
	Overall adiposity: NR	IG 131 (3) 129 (4) 125 (3)
		<b>CG</b> 132 (5) 130 (4) 128 (3)
	IG n analyzed: 27 (BL), 25 (6, 12 mo)	Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg
	CG n analyzed: 23	10 $00$ $(4)$ $04$ $(4)$ $01$ $(2)CC 94 (2) 94 (2) 92 (2)$
		Glucose tolerance: NR
		* p<0.05 for change in IG versus CG
		IG n analyzed: 25; CG n analyzed: 23

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Derosa, 2010 <sup>210</sup>	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Cood	BL 6 m0 12 m0	<u>BL 6 MO 12 MO</u>
Good	PML ko/m <sup>2</sup>	Lipids:
	D(V), Ky/11	10(a) (10(a))(a) (10(a)) (10
	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{CG} & 32.5 (2.3) & 31.9 (2.0) & 31.6 (1.8) \\ \end{array}$	<b>CG</b> $217(21)$ $205(13)$ $100(3)$
	Weight kg	HDI cholesterol ma/dl
	$IG = 94.5(9.6) = 90.3(8.4) = 85.0(5.9)^*$	IG = 45(7) = 46(8) = 46(8)
	<b>CG</b> 917 (87) 910 (83) 891 (78)	<b>CG</b> $46(8)$ $46(8)$ $45(7)$
	Other measures: NR	LDL cholesterol. mg/dL
		IG 153 (15) 144 (8) 126 (6)*
	Central adiposity:	CG 151 (13) 141 (7) 149 (11)
	Waist circumference, cm	Triglycerides, mg/dL
	<b>IG</b> 102.0 (6.0) 99.0 (4.0) 95.0 (3.0)*	IG 109 (48) 84 (30) 72 (25)
	<b>CG</b> 101.0 (5.5) 99.5 (4.5) 99.0 (4.0)	<b>CG</b> 99 (41) 92 (37) 88 (32)
	Other measures: NR	Blood pressure: NR
		Glucose tolerance:
	Overall adiposity: NR	Fasting plasma glucose, mg/dL
		<b>IG</b> 136 (16) 129 (13) 121 (11)
	* p<0.05 versus CG	<b>CG</b> 133 (15) 127 (13) 120 (10)
		Post-prandial plasma glucose, mg/dL
	<b>IG n analyzed:</b> 126 (BL), 119 (6 mo), 113 (12 mo)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 128 (BL), 125 (6 mo), 121 (12 mo)	<b>CG</b> 1/1 (20) 162 (17) 155 (15)
		HDA IC, percent
		$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C} \\ $
		* n < 0.05  versus CG
		$\mu < 0.00 \text{ Versus CG}$
		<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 128 (BL), 125 (6 mo), 121 (12 mo)
Finer, 2000 <sup>184</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, LSM change from baseline to 12 mo	Mean (SD) at BL. Mean change (SD) at 12 mo
	BL 12 mo	BL 12 mo
James, 1997 <sup>290</sup>	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
	BMI, kq/m <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol, mmol/L
Fair	IG 36.8 (3.6)	<b>IG</b> 5.22 (0.96) -0.05 (0.76)*
	<b>CG</b> 36.8 (3.7)	<b>CG</b> 5.17 (0.92) 0.30 (0.68)
	Weight, kg	HDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	IG 97.9 (12.9) -3.29	<b>IG</b> 1.11 (0.26) 0.15 (0.23)
	<b>CG</b> 98.4 (15.0) -1.31	<b>CG</b> 1.08 (0.25) 0.16 (0.21)
	Weight loss ≥5%, percent (calc n):	LDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	IG 35* (38)	<b>IG</b> 3.44 (0.82) -0.11 (0.63)*
	<b>CG</b> 21 (23)	<b>CG</b> 3.46 (0.79) 0.21 (0.53)
	Weight loss $\geq 10\%$ , percent, calc h	
	$10^{-1}$ $10^{-1}$ $(18)$	Blood pressure: NR
	CG = 0  (0)	Glucese telerence: NP
	CL 2.6 0.28) difference from CC for change in initial body weight	
	Central adinosity: Decrease in waist circumference stratified by	* n<0.001 for IG difference from CG
	baseline waist circumference	
	Overall adiposity: NR	IG n analyzed: 110
	* p<0.05 for IG difference in change from CG	CG n analyzed: 108
	IG n analyzed: 110; CG n analyzed: 108	
	Note: Also presents completer analysis	

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Hanefeld, 2002 <sup>187</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (NR but SD in table so may be SD) at 12	Mean (SD) at BL, Percent change (NR) at 12 mo
	mo	<u>-4 wk 12 mo</u>
Fair	<u>-4 wk 12 mo</u>	Lipids:
	Weight/Relative weight:	Total cholesterol, mmol/L
	BMI, kg/m²	<b>IG</b> 5.8 (1.1) -2.3 (16.3)**
	IG 34.5 (5.6)	<b>CG</b> 6.1 (1.4) 1.8 (22.0)
	<b>CG</b> 33.7 (5.2)	HDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	Weight, kg	<b>IG</b> 1.2 (0.3) 0.6 (20.0)
	<b>IG</b> 99.4 (17.5) -5.3 (5.1)*	<b>CG</b> 1.2 (0.3) 6.4 (24.5)**
	<b>CG</b> 98.4 (18.5) -3.4 (5.3)	LDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	Weight loss ≥5%, percent (calc n)	<b>IG</b> 3.5 (0.9) -2.0 (26.7)*
	IG 51.3** (97)	<b>CG</b> 3.6 (1.0) 5.1 (34.3)
	<b>CG</b> 31.6 (57)	
		Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (NR) at 12 mo
	Central adiposity:	Blood pressure:
	Waist circumference, cm	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg
	<b>IG</b> 112.4 (12.5) -5.5 (5.3)***	<b>IG</b> 148.0 (20.4) -4.96 ()
	<b>CG</b> 112.0 (12.7) -3.0 (5.6)	<b>CG</b> 147.9 (17.8) -4.98 ()
		Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg
	Overall adiposity: NR	<b>IG</b> 87.0 (10.8) $-4.78$ ()
		CG 87.2(10.7) -4.80()
	^ p=0.006 for between-group difference	Glucose tolerance:
	^^ p=0.0001 for IG vs CG	Hemoglobin A1c, percent, mean decrease at 12 mo
	^^^ p<0.01	<b>IG</b> 8.6 $(1.1)$ -0.9 $(1.3)^{**}$
		<b>CG</b> 8.6 (1.2) -0.4 (1.5)
	IG n analyzed: 189 (ITT, LOCF)	Fasting glucose, mmol/L
	CG n analyzed: 180 (ITT, LOCF)	<b>IG</b> $10.95(2.93) - 1.6(2.5)^{nnn}$
		<b>CG</b> 10.95 (3.17) -0.7 (3.2)
		* n <0.05 for hotwoon aroun difference
		<i>p</i> >0.00 for between-group difference
		μ~υ.υτ *** n=0 0003
		μ-0.0003 ****p-0.004
		μ-0.00 <del>4</del>
		IG n analyzed: 189 (ITT I OCF)
		<b>CG</b> n analyzed: $180 (ITT \perp OCE)$

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Hauptman, 2000 <sup>189</sup>	Mean (SE) at -4 weeks, Mean change (SE) at BL, 6, 12 mo	Mean (SE)
	<u>-4 wk BL 6 mo 12 mo</u>	<u>-4 wk BL 12 mo</u>
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol, mmol/L
	IG1 35.8 (0.3)	<b>IG1</b> 5.35 (0.07) 5.02 (0.07) 4.96 (0.08)*
	IG2 36.0 (0.2)	<b>IG2</b> 5.39 (0.07) 4.99 (0.08) 4.95 (0.08)*
	<b>CG</b> 36.1 (0.3)	<b>CG</b> 5.38 (0.07) 5.02 (0.06) 5.32 (0.07)
	Weight, kg	HDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	<b>IG1</b> 100.4 (1.00) -2.49 (0.14) -6.92 (0.64)* -7.08 (0.54)*	<b>IG1</b> 1.29 (0.02) 1.22 (0.02) 1.27 (0.02)*
	<b>IG2</b> 100.5 (0.98) -2.54 (0.15) -8.0 (0.58)* -7.94 (0.57)*	<b>IG2</b> 1.27 (0.02) 1.20 (0.02) 1.26 (0.03)
	<b>CG</b> 101.8 (1.00) -2.73 (0.15) -4.70 (0.60) -4.14 (0.56)	<b>CG</b> 1.27 (0.02) 1.17 (0.02) 1.28 (0.02)
	Weight loss ≥5%, percent (calc n)	LDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	IG1 48.8*	<b>IG1</b> 3.33 (0.06) 3.11 (0.06) 3.04 (0.07)*
	<b>IG2</b> 50.5* (106)	<b>IG2</b> 3.37 (0.06) 3.16 (0.06) 3.04 (0.08)*
	<b>CG</b> 30.7 (65)	<b>CG</b> 3.35 (0.06) 3.16 (0.05) 3.41 (0.07)
	Weight loss ≥10%, percent (calc n)	Triglycerides, mmol/L
	IG1 24.4*	<b>IG1</b> 1.80 (0.06) 1.65 (0.05) 1.57 (0.07)
	IG2	<b>IG2</b> 1.85 (0.06) 1.55 (0.04) 1.61 (0.05)
		<b>CG</b> 1.81 (0.06) 1.67 (0.08) 1.57 (0.07)
		Blood pressure:
		Systolic blood pressure, mmHg
		IG1 124(1) 121(1) 123(1)
		IG2 124 (1) 120 (1) 122 (1)
		CG 123 (1) 121 (1) 124 (1)
		Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg
		$[\mathbf{G1} \ 80\ (1) \ 78\ (1) \ 77\ (1)^n$
		1G2 80(1) 78(1) 77(1)
		CG 81(1) 78(1) 80(1)
		Glucose tolerance:
		Fasting Serum Glucose, mmol/L
		$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{IG1} & 5.62 \\ (0.04) & 5.59 \\ (0.03) & 5.68 \\ (0.04) \\ (0$
		162 5.75 (0.06) 5.66 (0.04) 5.69 (0.04)
		<b>CG</b> 5.66 (0.04) 5.66 (0.04) 5.77 (0.48)
		* p<0.05 for change from BL compared with placebo at 12 mo based on least
		squares means
		IG1 n analyzed: 213 (ITT)
		IG2 n analyzed: 210 (ITT)
		CG n analyzed: 212 (ITT)
		Note: 24 month data not abstracted because of high attrition

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
	Maan (SE) at 6 ma Maan abanga (SE) from 6 ma to DL and 12 ma	Maan abanga (SE) from 6 ma. Pl. and 12 ma
niii, 1999		
Fair	<u>-6 mo BL 12 mo</u> Weight/Belative weight:	Linide:
1 all	BMI ka/m <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol mmol/l
	1G1 32 6 (0 2)	
	$ \mathbf{G}_{2}  =  $	$IG2 = 0.46 (0.06) = 0.50 (0.07)^{**}$
	IG3 32.8 (0.2)	$\mathbf{IG3} = 0.39 (0.05) = 0.47 (0.07)^{**}$
	<b>CG</b> $32.8(0.2)$	CG = -0.45(0.06) - 0.28(0.08)
	Weight kg	HDL cholesterol mmol/l
	<b>IG1</b> 89.3 (0.9) -10.06 (0.31) -5.15 (0.55)	<b>IG1</b> 0.01 (0.04) 0.01 (0.08)
	<b>IG2</b> 92.4 (0.9) -10.00 (0.29) -6.16 (0.49)	<b>IG2</b> 0.03 (0.06) -0.04 (0.07)***
	<b>IG3</b> 89.7 (0.9) -9.86 (0.27) -7.24 (0.52)*	<b>IG3</b> 0.01 (0.05) -0.03 (0.07)
	<b>CG</b> 90.8 (0.9) -10.33 (0.31) -5.93 (0.69)	<b>CG</b> 0.01 (0.06) 0.01 (0.07)
	Weight loss >5% maintained, percent (calc n)	LDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	IG1	<b>IG1</b> -0.28 (0.04) -0.38 (0.08)**
	IG2	IG2 -0.34 (0.06) -0.42 (0.07)***
	IG3 61.8 (70)	IG3 -0.24 (0.05) -0.29 (0.07)**
	<b>CG</b> 49.8 (60)	<b>CG</b> -0.33 (0.06) -0.21 (0.07)
		Triacylglycerol, mmol/L
	Central adiposity:	<b>IG1</b> -0.23 (0.05) -0.01 (0.08)
	During 1 year treatment period waist circumferences increased slightly in	<b>IG2</b> -0.34 (0.06) -0.08 (0.08)†
	all groups and the resulting mean reductions of 6-8 cm	<b>IG3</b> -0.29 (0.05) -0.27 (0.06)
	after 1 yr of treatment were not significantly different between groups	<b>CG</b> -0.29 (0.06) -0.15 (0.07)
		Blood pressure:
	Overall adiposity: NR	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg
		<b>IG1</b> 0.8 (1.1)
	* p<0.001 for least-squares mean percentage regain compared with CG	<b>IG2</b> 0.4 (1.2)
	(table says also significant for 30 mg tid but text says only 120 mg)	<b>IG3</b> 3.0 (1.3)
		<b>CG</b> 2.6 (1.2)
	Note: All reported data are observed rather than derived values, whereas	Diastolic blood pressure
	the technique of LOCF was applied only for analyses of statistical	After 12 mo of treatment, reductions in DBP ranged from 0.2-2.0 mmHg and
	significance.	dia not differ significantily between groups.
	C(1)  =	Glucose tolerance:
	101  in analyzed:  100 (-0 110), 119 (BL, 12 110)	Fasting glucose decreased signily (0.02-0.1 minor/L) in all groups during the
	IG2 n analyzed: 171 (-0 110), 110 (BL, 12 110)	o mo run-in. After 12 mo of freatment, mean increases of 1-2% above initial
	<b>CG n analyzed:</b> $179 (-0.110), 113 (BL, 12.110)$	G2 and IG3 (Assume not statistically significant, since no montion of
		statistical significance of results)
		* n=0.007 for least-squares mean percentage change compared with CG
		*** n=0.006
		t = 0.041
		,
		IG1 n analyzed: 186 (BL), 96 (TC, LDL. 12 mo). 99 (HDL. TG. 12 mo). NR
		(SBP)
		IG2 n analyzed: 171 (BL), 87 (TC, LDL, 12 mo), 88 (HDL, TG. 12 mo). NR
		(SBP)
		IG3 n analyzed: 179 (BL), 87 (TC, LDL, 12 mo), 89 (HDL, TG, 12 mo), NR
		(SBP)
		CG n analyzed: 184 (BL), 102 (TC, LDL, 12 mo), 103 (HDL, TG, 12 mo), NR
		(SBP)

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Hollander, 1998 <sup>191</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, mean change (SE) at 12 mo	Mean (SD) at BL, mean change (SEM) at 12 mo
-	BL 57 wk	<u>BL 52 wk</u>
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol, mmol/l
	IG 34.5 (3.2)	IG0.08 (0.05)*
	<b>CG</b> 34.0 (3.4)	<b>CG</b> 0.39 (0.06)
	Weight, kg	LSM% difference from CG: -9.14
	<b>IG</b> 99.6 (14.5) -6.19 (0.51)***	HDL cholesterol, mmol/l
	<b>CG</b> 99.7 (15.4) -4.31 (0.57)	IG 0.06 (0.01)
	≥5% weight loss, percent (calc n)	<b>CG</b> 0.08 (0.01)
	IG 48.8*** (79)	LSM% difference from CG: -1.20
	<b>CG</b> 22.6 (36)	LDL cholesterol, mmol/l
	≥10% weight loss, percent (calc n)	IG0.13 (0.05)*
	<b>IG</b> 17.9* (29)	<b>CG</b> 0.22 (0.06)
	CG 8.8 (14)	LSM% difference from CG: -12.79
		Triglycerides, mmol/l
	Central adiposity:	<b>IG</b> 0.01 (0.07)†
	Waist circumference, cm	<b>CG</b> 0.21 (0.08)
	IG4.8(0.5)	LSM% difference from CG: -10.62
	<b>CG</b> 2.0 (0.5)**	Glucose tolerance:
		Hemoglobin A1c, %
	Overall adiposity: NR	<b>IG</b> 8.05 (0.98) $-0.28 (0.09)^*$
		CG = 8.2(1.07) = 0.18(0.11)
	*** p<0.001 for IG vs CG	Fasting glucose, mmol/l
	** p<0.01 for IG vs CG	<b>IG</b> 8.85 $(1.68)$ -0.02 $(0.14)^{\circ}$
	* p<0.05 for IG vs CG	CG 9.09 (1.87) 0.54 (0.15)
		Fasting plasma glucose ≥7.7/mmol/l at BL
	IG n analyzed: 162	$IG = -0.47 (0.19)^*$
	CG n analyzed: 159	CG = -0.36(0.27)
		* p<0.001 for IG vs CG; †p=0.036
		IG n analyzed: 162 (total); NR (Fasting plasma glucose $\geq$ /.77mmol/l at BL)
		CG n analyzed: 159 (total); NR (Fasting plasma glucose ≥7.77mmol/l at BL)

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Krompf, 2003 <sup>193</sup>	Moon (SE) at PL loget squares moons (SE) at 12 and 18 mo LOCE	Proportion of nationts
Mempi, 2005	BI 12 mo 18 mo	BI 18 mo
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
	$BMI ka/m^2$	Total cholesterol reduced by $\geq 20\%$ percent
	<b>IG</b> 36.0 (0.3)2.3 (0.3)**	IG 10.1
	<b>CG</b> 36.2 (0.3)1.0 (0.3)	<b>CG</b> 2.6
	Weight, kg	LDL cholesterol reduced by $\geq$ 20%, percent
	<b>IG</b> 97.0 (0.9) -6.3 (0.5)†† -5.3 (0.5)††	<b>IG</b> 19.9
	<b>CG</b> 97.5 (0.9) -3.3 (0.5) -2.4 (0.5)	<b>CG</b> 6.6
	≥5% weight loss, percent (calc n)	
	<b>IG</b> 65.9*** (170) 58.3***	IG n analyzed: NR
	<b>CG</b> 46.4 (102) 37.8	CG n analyzed: NR
	≥10% weight loss, percent	
	$IG 32.9^{\circ}(85) 33.6^{\circ\circ\circ}$	
	CG 24.5 (54) 16.8	
	Central adiposity:	
	Waist circumference, cm	
	<b>IG</b> 105.6 (0.8)5.3 (0.7)	
	<b>CG</b> 106.5 (0.8)6.5 (0.8)†	
	<b>Overall adjocsity:</b> Body fat $(ka + \%)$ measured by impedancemeter	
	monthly for 18 mo	
	* n<0.05 for IG vs CG	
	** p<0.001 for IG vs CG	
	*** p<0.0001 for IG vs CG	
	† p<0.05 for IG vs CG least squares mean difference	
	<i>††</i> p<0.0001 for IG vs CG least squares mean difference	
	IG n analyzed: 346, 258 (12 mo, 5 + 10% weight loss), 223 (18 mo, 5 +	
	10% weight loss only)	
	<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 350, 220 (12 mo, $5 + 10\%$ weight loss), 196 (18 mo, $5 + 10\%$	
	10% weight loss)	
	IG       36.0 (0.3)        -2.3 (0.3)^{-1}         CG       36.2 (0.3)        -1.0 (0.3)         Weight, kg       IG       97.0 (0.9)       -6.3 (0.5)††       -5.3 (0.5)††         CG       97.5 (0.9)       -3.3 (0.5)       -2.4 (0.5)         ≥5% weight loss, percent (calc n)       IG        65.9*** (170)       58.3***         CG        46.4 (102)       37.8         ≥10% weight loss, percent       IG        32.9* (85)       33.6***         CG        24.5 (54)       16.8         Central adiposity:       Waist circumference, cm       IG       105.6 (0.8)        -5.3 (0.7)         CG       106.5 (0.8)        -5.3 (0.7)       CG       106.5 (0.8)        -6.5 (0.8)†         Overall adiposity:         Waist circumference, cm       IG       106.5 (0.8)        -6.5 (0.8)†         Overall adiposity: Body fat (kg + %) measured by impedancemeter       monthly for 18 mo       *       *         ** p<0.001 for IG vs CG       *** p<0.0001 for IG vs CG       *       *       *         *** p<0.0001 for IG vs CG least squares mean difference       #       p<0.05 for IG vs CG least squares mea	IG 10.1 CG 2.6 LDL cholesterol reduced by ≥20%, percent IG 19.9 CG 6.6 IG n analyzed: NR CG n analyzed: NR

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Lindgarde, 2000	Mean (SD) at -2 wk, Mean change (SD) from -2 wk at BL and 12 mo	Mean (SD) at -2 wk, Mean change (SD) at BL and 12 mo (except HbA1c)
	<u>-2 WK BL 12 mo</u>	<u>-2 wk BL 12 mo</u>
Swedish Multimeret i ilita Otaata	Weight/Relative weight:	
Multimorbiality Study	BMI, Kg/m	1  otal choicesterol, mmol/L
	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{IG} & 33.2 \\ \mathbf{CC} & 23.2 \\ (3.0) \end{array} \qquad \qquad \\ \mathbf{CC} & 33.2 \\ (3.1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{IG} & 0.15 (1.21) & -0.27 (0.04) & -0.24 (0.83)^{\circ} \\ \mathbf{CC} & 0.06 (1.10) & 0.25 (0.62) & 0.00 (0.82) \\ \end{array}$
Fail	$V_{0}$ (0.1)	CG = 0.00 (1.19) -0.33 (0.02) -0.09 (0.02)
	Total	LDL Cholesterol, Thintol/L $IC = 3.75 (1.38) = 0.03 (1.14) = 0.25 (1.12)*$
	$IG = 961(137) = -56(52)^*$	<b>CG</b> 3.66 $(1.41)$ -0.14 $(0.88)$ -0.07 $(0.98)$
	<b>CG</b> 95 9 (13.5)4.3 (5.9)	HDI cholesterol mmol/l
	Weight percent	IG = -0.03 (0.19) = 0.00 (0.22)
	Patients with type 2 diabetes	<b>CG</b> $-0.06(0.19) = 0.02(0.20)$
	$IG 5.4 (4.6)^*$	Trialvcerides. mmol/L
	<b>CG</b> 3.5 (4.2)	<b>IG</b> 0.22 (1.11) -0.04 (1.16)
	$\geq$ 5% weight loss, percent (calc n)	CG0.19 (0.95) -0.15 (0.93)
	Total	Improvements in LDL and TC were greater in IG vs CG for patients with type
	IG 54.2 (103)**	2 diabetes, though not significant (-4.3% vs1.0% and 10.4% vs3.9%)
	<b>CG</b> 40.9 (76)	Blood pressure:
	Patients with type 2 diabetes	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg
	IG 57.4 ()*	IG 146 (19) -4.4 (13.5) -4.9 (17.7)
	CG 34.1 ()	<b>CG</b> 145 (17) -3.2 (12.3) -4.1 (15.7)
	≥10% weight loss, percent (calc n)	Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg
	IG 19.2 (36)	<b>IG</b> 87 (10) -1.6 (6.69) -2.5 (8.9)
	CG 14.6 (27)	<b>CG</b> 88 (10) -1.6 (8.1) -2.9 (9.2)
	Or sectoral and the and the sector	Glucose tolerance:
		Hemoglobin A1c, percent
	Waist circumference, cm	10 $10$ $10$ $10$ $10$ $10$ $10$ $10$
	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{G} & 100 (10.0) & & -4.0 () \\ \mathbf{G} & 106 (11.0) & 4.1 () \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{G} & & 5.7 (1.2) & -0.25 (0.76) \\ \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{G} \end{array}$
		$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{CG} & & 5.5 (0.9) & -0.05 (0.51) \\ \textbf{Datients with type 2 diabetes} \end{array}$
	Overall adiposity: NR	$IG_{}$ =
		<b>CG</b> 0.03 ()
	* p<0.05 for IG v_CG	Easting alucose mmol/l
		Total
	IG n analyzed: 190 (total): 54 (type 2 diabetes):	<b>IG</b> 6.62 (2.53) -0.09 (1.02) -0.55 (1.65)**
	CG n analyzed: 186 (total); 44 (type 2 diabetes)	<b>CG</b> 6.35 (1.96) -0.17 (0.86) -0.09 (1.19)
		Patients with type 2 diabetes
		IG1.63 ()**
		CG 0.28 ()
		convert to mg/dL: 0.55=9.9; 0.09=1.6; 1.63=29.4; 0.28=5.0
		** $p < 0.01$ for between-group difference in change from -2 wk
		* p<0.05
		IG n analyzed: 190 (total); 54 (type 2 diabetes)
		CG n analyzed: 186 (total); 44 (type 2 diabetes)

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Miles 2002 <sup>197</sup>	Mean (SE) at BL Mean change (SE) at 12 mg	Mean (SE)
111100, 2002	BL 12 mo	BL 12 mo
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol, mmol/L
	IG	<b>IG</b> 5.40 (0.06) 5.13 (0.06)*
	CG	<b>CG</b> 5.40 (0.06) 5.46 (0.07)
	Weight, kg	HDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	<b>IG</b> 102.1 (1.1) -4.7 (0.3)**	<b>IG</b> 0.98 (0.02) 1.07 (0.02)
	<b>CG</b> 101.1 (1.0) -1.8 (0.3)	<b>CG</b> 0.98 (0.02) 1.08 (0.02)
	≥5% weight loss, percent (calc n)	LDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	IG 39.0* (98)	<b>IG</b> 3.14 (0.06) 2.89 (0.06)*
	<b>CG</b> 15.7 (40)	<b>CG</b> 3.23 (0.06) 3.18 (0.07)
	≥10% weight loss, percent	Triglycerides, mmol/L
	<b>IG</b> 14.1* (35)	<b>IG</b> 2.81 (0.11) 2.56 (0.11)
	<b>CG</b> 3.9 (10)	<b>CG</b> 2.63 (0.09) 2.66 (0.13)
		Blood pressure:
	Central adiposity: NR	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg
		<b>IG</b> 132.7 (0.9) 130.6 (0.9)*
	Overall adiposity: NR	CG = 132.1 (0.9) = 131.8 (0.9)
		Mean (SE) at BL, Mean change (SE) at 12 mo
	^ p<0.01 for IG versus CG	Glucose tolerance:
	<sup>**</sup> p<0.0001 for difference in change between IG and CG	Fasting glucose, mmol/L
	IC is each mode 050	$\mathbf{G} = 11.0 (0.2) - 2.0 (0.2)^{\circ}$
	IG n analyzed: 250	CG [1.1 (0.2) -0.7 (0.2)
	CO II aliaiyzeu: 204	
		$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{U} & 0.07 (0.07) & 0.73 () \\ \mathbf{CC} & 0.70 (0.07) & 0.44 (-) \\ \end{array}$
		$\mathbf{U}$ 0.79 (0.07) 0.41 ()
		p<0.05 for difference in change between 16 and CG
L		ig n analyzed: 250; CG n analyzed: 254

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Richelsen, 2007 <sup>198</sup>	Mean (range)	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change at 18, 36 mo
	<u>-2 mo</u>	<u>-2 mo BL 18 mo 36 mo</u>
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol, mmol/L
	<b>IG</b> 37.4 (30.1-45.2)	<b>IG</b> 5.91 (1.26) -1.2 -0.36 -0.46
	<b>CG</b> 37.6 (30.0-45.0)	<b>CG</b> 6.02 (1.08) -1.2 -0.13 -0.46
	<u>-2 mo BL 18 mo 36 mo</u>	HDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change at 18, 36 mo	<b>IG</b> 1.13 (0.26) -0.05 0.06 0.04
	Weight, kg	<b>CG</b> 1.15 (0.26) -0.07 0.11 0.06
	IG 110.7 (17.9) -14.5 -11.7 -9.4†	LDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	<b>CG</b> 111.9 (16.0) -14.3 -9.6 -7.2	<b>IG</b> 3.71 (1.04) -0.75 -0.29 -0.34
	<u>12 mo 36 mo</u>	<b>CG</b> 3.77 (0.94) -0.80 -0.12 -0.38
	≥5% weight loss, percent (calc n)	Triglycerides, mmol/L
	IG 85** (130) 67*	<b>IG</b> 2.36 (1.24) -0.89 -0.32 -0.38
	<b>CG</b> 72 (112) 56	<b>CG</b> 2.50 (1.41) -0.94 -0.34 -0.43
	≥10% weight loss, percent	Blood pressure:
	IG 34	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg
	CG 29	IG 144 (19.3) -13 -8.2 -7.8
	Mean (SD) at -2 mo, Mean change at BL, 18, 36 mo	<b>CG</b> 144 (17.3) -12 -7.2 -8.2
	Central adiposity:	Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg
	<u>-2 mo BL 18 mo 36 mo</u>	IG 90.8 (11.6) -7.2 -5.1 -3.7
	Waist circumference, cm	<b>CG</b> 90.7 (10.4) -7.6 -4.8 -4.7
	IG 119 (12.1) -12 -12 -7.7†	Glucose tolerance:
	<b>CG</b> 119 (10.9) -12 -9 -5.4	Hemoglobin A1c, percent
	* p<0.05 for absolute changes between IG and CG	IG 6.32 (0.93) -0.54 -0.43 -0.69
	**p<0.001 for IG vs CG	CG 6.28 (0.64) -0.48 -0.34 -0.51
	<i>† p</i> <0.05 for absolute changes between IG and CG after 36 mo	Fasting glucose, mmol/L
	IG n analyzed: 153 (ITT, LOCF)	IG 6.44 (1.83) -1.1 -0.67 -0.49
	CG n analyzed: 156 (ITT, LOCF)	CG 6.27 (1.54) -0.95 -0.45 -0.32
		IG n analyzed: 153 (ITT, LOCF); CG n analyzed: 156 (ITT, LOCF)

### **Study Reference** Anthropomorphic Measures Other Intermediate Outcomes **Quality Rating** (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure) Rossner, 2000<sup>199</sup> Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) from Week -4 to 12 and 24 months Mean (SD) at BL, 12, 24 months BL 12 mo 24 mo BL 12 mo 24 mo Fair Weight/Relative weight: Lipids: $BMI, kq/m^2$ Total cholesterol, mmol/L **IG1** 35.2 (3.9) IG1 5.39 (1.10) 5.15 (1.17)\*\* 5.42 (1.06)\*\* ------**IG2** 34.7 (3.7) ------IG2 5.26 (0.97) 4.91 (0.93)\*\* 5.29 (0.96)\*\* **CG** 35.3 (4.1) ------CG 5.43 (1.14) 5.38 (1.04) 5.74 (1.04) Weight, kg HDL cholesterol, mmol/L **IG1** 99.1 (14.3) -8.5 (7.3)\*\* IG1 1.13 (0.31) -6.6 (8.3)\* 1.26 (0.33) 1.29 (0.36) **IG2** 96.7 (13.8) -9.4 (6.4)\*\* -7.4 (7.1)\*\* IG2 1.17 (0.30) 1.25 (0.30)\* 1.29 (0.32) **CG** 97.7 (14.6) -6.4 (6.7) CG 1.17 (0.36) 1.32 (0.35) 1.33 (0.34) -4.3 (7.4) LDL cholesterol, mmol/L IG1 3.49 (0.86) 3.18 (0.82)\*\* 3.42 (0.85)\*\* Percent $\geq$ 10% weight loss, percent (calc n) IG2 3.44 (0.86) 3.11 (0.78)\*\* 3.48 (0.87)\*\* 3.55 (0.98) IG1 --31.2\*\* CG 3.49 (0.92) 3.83 (0.91) IG2 --38.3\*\* (93) Triglycerides, mmol/L CG --IG1 18.8 (45) 1.75 (1.46) 1.77 (1.95) 1.89 (1.83) Significantly more IG2 patients lost more than 5% of their body weight IG2 1.53 (0.97) 1.44 (0.91) 1.43 (0.85) after 1 and 2 years of treatment than CG patients (p<0.001). CG 1.58 (0.89) 1.50 (0.79) 1.53 (0.81) Blood pressure: Mean (SD) at BL. Mean change (SD) from Week -4 to 12 mo Systolic blood pressure, mmHa Central adiposity: IG1 128.4 (14.5) 125.7 (15.9) 129.6 (16.7) Waist circumference, cm IG2 125.5 (14.9) 122.8 (16.0) 124.9 (16.5) IG1 ---6.0 CG 127.3 (16.1) 125.4 (18.6) 128.5 (17.5) IG2 ---6.2 Diastolic blood pressure, mmHa CG ---4.7 **IG1** 81.5 (10.3) 79.5 (10.0) 81.7 (10.3) **IG2** 79.5 (9.4) 78.6 (10.2)\* 79.9 (9.5) **Overall adiposity: NR CG** 81.2 (9.8) 79.9 (11.0) 81.2 (9.9) \* p<0.01 derived from least squares mean differences for IG versus CG Glucose tolerance: \*\* p<0.001 derived from least squares mean differences for IG versus Fasting glucose, mmol/L ĊĠ **IG1** 5.62 (1.06) 5.57 (0.96)\* 5.57 (1.18) *t p<0.005 for IG versus CG* **IG2** 5.47 (0.68) 5.48 (0.86)\* 5.51 (1.29) **CG** 5.56 (0.95) 5.66 (1.01) 5.54 (0.68) IG1 n analyzed: 239† \*\* p<0.001 \* p<0.05 IG2 n analyzed: 242† CG n analyzed: 237† † The methods report that an additional 2 participants were not included IG1 n analyzed: 239<sup>+</sup> in the ITT analysis, but they do not report what groups they were from IG2 n analyzed: 242† (IG1, IG2, or CG) CG n analyzed: 237† Note: Completer analysis available *†* The methods report that an additional 2 participants were not included in the MA: Only include 12-mo outcomes in MA ITT analysis, but they do not report what groups they were from (IG1, IG2, or CG)

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Sjostrom, 1998 <sup>200</sup>	Mean (range) at BL, Mean change at 12 mo	LSM (SE)
-	BL 12 mo	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Total cholesterol, mmol/L
	IG 36.0 (28.3-47.2)	IG 5.39 (0.03) 5.31 (0.04)***
	<b>CG</b> 36.1 (29.2-43.5)	<b>CG</b> 5.36 (0.03) 5.59 (0.04)
	Weight, kg	HDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	IG 99.1 (61.0-148.6) -10.3*†	IG 1.15 (0.01) 1.25 (0.01)
	<b>CG</b> 99.8 (64.2-137.2) -6.1	<b>CG</b> 1.16 (0.01) 1.26 (0.01)
		LDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	Percent	IG 3.55 (0.03) 3.46 (0.03)***
	>5% weight loss, percent (calc) (calc n)	<b>CG</b> 3.55 (0.03) 3.68 (0.03)
	IG 68.5 (235)	Triglycerides, mmol/L
	<b>CG</b> 49.2 (167)	<b>IG</b> 1.60 (0.05) 1.53 (0.04)
	>10% weight loss, percent (calc)	<b>CG</b> 1.53 (0.05) 1.59 (0.04)
	IG 38.8 (133)	
	<b>CG</b> 17.7 (60)	Blood pressure:
	Statistical significance not reported for 5, 10% weight loss.	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg
		<b>IG</b> 129 (0.60) 127 (0.70)
	Mean (range) at BL, Mean change at 12 mo	<b>CG</b> 128 (0.60) 129 (0.71)
	Central adiposity:	Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg
	Waist circumference, cm	<b>IG</b> 82.4 (0.40) 80.3 (0.43)**
	<b>IG</b> 105.4 (70-149)	CG 81.9 (0.40) 82.1 (0.43)
	<b>CG</b> 105.9 (/1-135)	
		Glucose tolerance:
	Overall adiposity: NR	Fasting blood glucose, mmol/L
		<b>IG</b> 5.84 $(0.03)$ 5.63 $(0.04)^{\circ}$
	p<0.001 for LSM weight loss difference from <u>randomization</u> (3.9 kg)	<b>CG</b> 5.83 (0.03) 5.77 (0.04)
	T Note: change in weight at 12 months is from the start of the 4 week	
	run-in period. The results at baseline are from randomization (4 weeks	^^^ p<0.0001
	after the start of the run-in period).	<i>p=0.0022</i>
	IC n analyzed: 242	p=0.0090
	C n analyzeu: 343	<b>IC</b> n analyzed: 242 (PL 12 mg)
	CG n analyzeu: 340	C n analyzeu: 343 (BL, 12 mo)
		<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 340 (BL, 12 mo)

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Swinburn, 2005 <sup>201</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) from BL at 12 mo	Mean (SD) at BL, Mean change (SD) from BL at 12 mo
	BL 12 mo	BL 12 mo
Fair	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
	BMI, ka/m <sup>2</sup>	Serum total cholesterol, mmol/L
	IG 37.6 (5.1)	<b>IG</b> 5.66 $(1.10)$ -0.08 $(0.73)^*$
	<b>CG</b> 38.0 (4.9)	<b>CG</b> 5.53 (0.95) 0.16 (0.68)
	Weight, ka	Serum HDL cholesterol. mmol/L
	$IG = 103.3 (17.8) -4.7 (7.7)^*$	<b>IG</b> 1.16 (0.28) 0.04 (0.18)
	<b>CG</b> 106 9 (17 8) $-0.9 (4.2)$	<b>CG</b> 1 14 (0.33) 0.08 (0.19)
		Serum I DL cholesterol mmol/l
	Central adiposity	$IG = 3.58(0.99) = -0.12(0.65)^*$
	Waist circumference cm	CG = 3.47 (0.84) = 0.11 (0.62)
	<b>IG</b> $1124(128)$ -5 1 (7 0)*	Serum Trialycerides mmol/l
	<b>CG</b> 114.8 (13.1) $-1.9 (4.2)$	IG = 1.78(0.78) = 0.01(0.73)
		CG = 1.87 (0.91) = 0.06 (0.57)
	Overall adiposity: NR	
		Blood pressure:
	* n=0.001	Systelic blood pressure mmHa
	p=0.001	$10^{-137} 3(157) = -4.05(13.0)**$
	IC n analyzed: 170	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C} \\ $
	CC n analyzed: 1/0	Disotalia blood procesure $mmHa$
	Con analyzeu. 109	Diastolic blood pressure, mining
		$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C} \\ $
		-1.37(0.09)
		Glucoso toloronos
		Chrosted homenlabin nercent
		$\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ \mathbf$
		Serum giucose (rasting), mmol/L
		$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{IG} & 0.00 \ (2.02) & -0.19 \ (1.13)^{-10} \\ \mathbf{OO} & 0.00 \ (4.70) \\ \mathbf{OO} & 0.00 \ (4.70) \\ \end{array}$
		<b>CG</b> 6.29 (1.78) 0.29 (1.42)
		Madian at DL Maan abanan (OD) farm DL at 40 ma
		Median at BL, Mean change (SD) from BL at 12 mo
		To-year risk of CVD, percent
		* = 10.04
		<sup>m</sup> p<0.01
		**p<0.05
		~~~p=0.001
		10 m anakaza da 170
		IG n analyzed: 1/0
		CG n analyzed: 169
		Neder Die eilde de surem factions
		NOTE: BIOOD TESTS WERE TASTING

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes
Quality Rating		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Torgerson, 2004 <sup>202</sup>	Mean (SD) at BL, mean change at 1 and 4 years	Mean (SD) at BL, mean change at 1 and 4 yrs for waist circumference, blood
201	BL 12 mo	pressure, and glucose tolerance, % mean change at 1 and 4 yrs for others
Torgerson, 2001 <sup>291</sup>	Weight/Relative weight:	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
	BMI, kg/m²	Lipids:
XENDOS	IG 37.3 (4.2)	Total cholesterol, mmol/l
	<b>CG</b> 37.4 (4.5)	IG 5.8 (1.0) -8.8*
Fair	Weight, kg	<b>CG</b> 5.8 (1.0) -1.3
	<b>IG</b> 110.4 (16.3) -10.6 <sup>°</sup>	HDL cholesterol, mmol/l
	<b>CG</b> 110.6 (16.5) -6.2	IG 1.2 (0.3) 3.4"
	LCM difference	CG 1.2 (0.3) 8.5
	25% weight loss, percent (calch)	$\begin{array}{c} 16 & 5.7 (0.9) & -11.4 \\ 16 & 3.8 (0.0) & 1.6 \end{array}$
	10 72.0 (1194)	$\frac{CG}{Trialveoridoo} = \frac{10}{mmol/l}$
	>10% weight loss percent	$10^{-10}$
	$IG = -41.0^{*} (672)$	1.5(1.0) $-0.2CC 19(12) -63$
	CG = 20.8 (340)	Blood pressure:
		Systelic blood pressure mmHa
	Central adiposity:	$IG = 130.8 (15.8) -7.3^*$
	Waist circumference cm	<b>CG</b> $130.4(15.4)$ -5.2
	$IG = 1150(104) -96^{**}$	Diastolic blood pressure mmHa
	<b>CG</b> $1154(104)$ -70	$IG = 82.0(10.0) -3.6^{*}$
		<b>CG</b> $82.3(10.0)$ -2.6
	Overall adiposity: NR	Glucose tolerance:
		Fasting glucose, mmol/l
	* p<0.001 for IG vs CG	IG 4.6 (0.6) 0.1*
	** p<0.01 for IG vs CG	<b>CG</b> 4.6 (0.6) 0.2
		Note: 4 year data not presented because of high attrition
	IG n analyzed: 1640	*p<0.01 for IG vs CG
	CG n analyzed: 1637	**p<0.05 for IG vs CG
		IG n analyzed: 1640 (BL), 1487 (1 yr)
		CG n analyzed: 1637 (BL), 1295 (1 yr)
Metformin Trials		
Fontbonne, 1996 <sup>185</sup>	Geometric mean (95% tolerance limit) at BL, Mean change (95% CI) at	Arithmetic (SD) mean or geometric mean (95% tolerance limit) at BL, Mean
	12 mo	change (95% CI) at 12 mo
BIGPRO	<u>BL 12 mo</u>	<u>BL 12 mo</u>
	Weight/Relative weight:	Lipids:
Fair	BMI, kg/m²	Total cholesterol, mmol/L
	<b>IG</b> 33.3 (24.6, 45.1)	<b>IG</b> 5.7 (1.0) 0.05 (-0.08, 0.18)
	<b>CG</b> 33.0 (24.0, 45.4)	<b>CG</b> 5.4 (1.1) 0.21 (0.08, 0.33)
	Weight, kg	HDL cholesterol, mmol/L
	IG2.0 (-3.0, -1.1)	<b>IG</b> 1.1 (0.3) 0.05 (-0.02, 0.10)
	-0.8 (-1.6, 0.1)	<b>CG</b> 1.1 (0.3) 0.10 (0.05, 0.16)
	Orantaral and in a site a NID	LDL cholesterol, mmol/L
		$\begin{array}{c} 10  3.0 \ (0.8)  -0.02 \ (-0.15, \ 0.08) \\ 0.0  0.40 \ (0.0, \ 0.24) \\ \end{array}$
	Querell edimentity ND	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{U} \textbf{U}  3.4 \ (1.0)  0.10 \ (0.0, 0.21) \\ \textbf{Trick variates remark} \end{array}$
	Overall adiposity: NR	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{10} $
	IG n analyzod: 164	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C} & 1.0 (0.7, 3.4) \\ \mathbf{C} & 1.6 (0.7, 3.5) \\ 0 & 0.2 (0.15, 0.11) \\ \end{array}$
	CC n analyzed. 104	

Study Reference	Anthropomorphic Measures	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)
Quality Rating (continued) Fontbonne, 1996 <sup>185</sup> BIGPRO Fair		(Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)           Blood pressure:           Systolic blood pressure, mmHg           IG         134 (16)         -0.88 (-3.63, 1.88)           CG         133 (17)         -1.88 (-4.56, 0.79)           Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg         IG         81 (10)         -0.89 (-2.66, 0.89)           CG         82 (11)         -1.50 (-3.59, 0.66)         Glucose tolerance:           Fasting glucose, mmol/L         Total         IG         5.3 (0.8)         0.2 (0.05, 0.4)*           CG         5.2 (0.6)         0.4 (0.3, 0.6)         0.4 (0.3, 0.6)         0.4 (0.3, 0.6)
		Normal glucose tolerance IG 5.2 (0.7)* 0.3 (0.2, 0.4)* CG 5.1 (0.6) 0.3 (0.2, 0.5) Abnormal glucose tolerance IG 6.0 (0.9)* -0.3 (-0.9, 0.2)* CG 5.6 (0.8) 0.8 (0.1, 1.5) * $p<0.05$ for two-tailed t-test IG n analyzed: 164 (total); 171 (NGT); 49 (abnormal glucose tolerance) CG n analyzed: 160 (total); 175 (NGT); 47 (abnormal glucose tolerance)
Gambineri, 2006 <sup>100</sup> Fair	Mean (SD) BL 7 mo 13 mot Weight/Relative weight: BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> IG 35 (4) 33 (5)* 33 (5)** CG 37 (5) 35 (5)* 35 (5)*** Weight, kg IG 92 (13) 88 (14)* 88 (13)** CG 97 (16) 93 (16)* 92 (16)*** Central adiposity: Waist circumference, cm IG 100 (10) 96 (11)*** 95 (10)*** CG 102 (10) 98 (11)*** 98 (10)*** CG 102 (10) 98 (11)*** 98 (10)*** Overall adiposity: Total adipose tissue area, Sc adipose tissue area, Visceral adipose tissue area, Sc-to-visceral adipose tissue area ratio * $p<0.05$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.01$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline and followup within group ** $p<0.001$ for comparison between baseline	Mean (SD)       EL       7 mo       13 mo         Lipids:

Study Reference Quality Rating	Anthropomorphic Measures		Other Intermediate Outcomes (Lipids, Glucose Tolerance, Blood Pressure)		
Diabetes Prevention	Mean (SD) at BL (median (IQR) for age groups at BL), r	nean change	Mean (SD) at BL. % change at 36 mo for total and LDL cholesterol, mean		
Program Research	(SE) at 12 mo, mean change (NR) at 30 mo and 2.8 yrs	mean change	change (SE) at 12, 24, and 36 months all other outcomes		
Group, 1999 <sup>142</sup>	(SE) at 36 mo	Ū	BL 12 mo 24 mo	36 mo <del>††</del>	
	BL 12 mo 30 mo	2.8 yr	Lipids:		
Haffner, 2005 <sup>212</sup>	Weight/Relative weight:		Total cholesterol, mmol/l		
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>		IG 5.3\$	-0.9*	
Orchard, 2005 <sup>262</sup>	IG 33.9 (6.6) -0.97 (0.06)*		CG 5.3\$	-1.2	
	<b>CG</b> 34.2 (6.7) -0.15 (0.06)		HDL cholesterol, mmol/l		
Diabetes Prevention	Weight, kg		IG	-0.008**	
Program Research	Total		CG	-0.002	
Group, 2006 <sup>210</sup>	<b>IG</b> 94.3 (19.9) -2.72 (0.17)* -1.59 (5.98)	-2.1 ()	LDL cholesterol, mmol/l		
207	<b>CG</b> 94.3 (20.2) -0.42 (0.17)	-0.1 ()	IG 3.2\$	-0.3*	
Ratner, 2005 <sup>207</sup>	<u>BL 36 mott</u>		CG 3.2\$	-1.3	
14 1 22222206	25-44 years		Triglycerides, mmol/l		
Knowler, 2002	<b>IG</b> 95.0 (28.0) -1.5 (0.3)		IG 0.08	3	
Mart 0000 <sup>214</sup>	<b>CG</b> 95.5 (29.3) 0.5 (0.3)		<b>CG</b> 0.13	5 	
West, 2008-1	45-59 years		Other measures: % with high I G levels or receiving treatme	ent for nign	
Dubin 2005 <sup>205</sup>	$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{IG} & 92.2 (20.0) & -1.7 (0.2) \\ \mathbf{CC} & 0.1 5 (27.1) & 0.4 (0.2) \end{array}$		trigiyceride ievels; % with low HDL ievel		
Rubin, 2005	CG = 91.5(27.1) = 0.1(0.2)		Pland pressures		
Ackermonn $2000^{211}$	IC = 864(10.0) = 27(0.3)		Svetelia blood proceure mmHa		
Ackelmann, 2009	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C} \\ $		$\mathbf{IC} = 124 \ 0 \ (14 \ 0) = 0.01 \ (0 \ 4)^{***} \ 0.04 \ (0 \ 4)^{***} \ 0.20 \ (0 \ 5)^{***}$	•	
Diabetes Prevention	<b>CG</b> 07.0 (21.8) -0.2 (0.3)		<b>CG</b> 123 5 (14.4) $-0.90(0.4) -0.52(0.4) -0.29(0.5)$		
Program	Central adinosity:		Diastolic blood pressure $mmHa$		
riogram	BI 12 mo		<b>IG</b> 78.2 (9.5) $-1.26(0.2)^{***} -1.06(0.2)^{***} -1.59(0.3)^{**}$	*	
Good	Waist circumference. cm		<b>CG</b> 78.0 (9.2) $-0.89(0.2)$ $-1.07(0.2)$ $-1.88(0.3)$		
	Total		Other measures: %high blood pressure or receiving treatme	ent for high blood	
	<b>IG</b> 104.9 (14.4) -2.23 (0.19)*		pressure	sint for high block	
	<b>CG</b> 105.2 (14.3) -0.69 (0.19)				
	BL		Glucose tolerance:		
	45-59 years		Fasting glucose, mg/dl		
	<b>IG</b> 104.0 (19.7) -1.7 (0.3)		<b>IG</b> 106.5 (8.3) -4.18 (0.36)†		
	<b>CG</b> 103.5 (19.6) -0.5 (0.2)		<b>CG</b> 106.7 (8.4) 0.63 (0.36)		
	60-85 years		Other measures: HOMA-IR (1135); % with high fasting plas	ma glucose level;	
	<b>IG</b> 103.7 (14.1) -2.8 (0.3)		Metabolic syndrome incidence (1139)		
	<b>CG</b> 103.0 (17.8) -0.4 (0.3)				
			<pre>\$average of all groups together (assumed)</pre>		
	Overall adiposity: Body fat measurement (visceral L2-	_3,	*p=NS for IG vs CG		
	visceral L4-L5, subcutaneous L2-L3, subcutaneous		**p=0.002 for IG vs CG	10 00	
	L4-L5) (for subsample, n=758, 68.5%)		<pre>***p&lt;.001 vs placebo for changes in mean over time for both</pre>	n IG vs CG	
	* p<0.001 for mean difference between IG-M vs IG-L vs CG		<i>†† Assumed</i>		
	†† Assumed		<b>IG n analyzed:</b> 1073 (BP at 12 mo: 1017)		
	<b>IG n analyzed:</b> 1073 (BI 12 mo 36 mo): 985 (30 mo):	NR (2.8 vr)			
	<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 1082 (BL 12 mo, 36 mo); NR (2.8 vr)	···· (2.0 j1)			
	L4-L5) (for subsample, n=758, 68.5%) * p<0.001 for mean difference between IG-M vs IG-L vs CG †† Assumed IG n analyzed: 1073 (BL, 12 mo, 36 mo); 985 (30 mo); CG n analyzed: 1082 (BL, 12 mo, 36 mo); NR (2.8 yr)	NR (2.8 yr)	<ul> <li>***p&lt;.001 vs placebo for changes in mean over time for both † p&lt;0.001 for mean difference between IG-M vs IG-L vs CG †† Assumed</li> <li>IG n analyzed: 1073 (BP at 12 mo: 1017)</li> <li>CG n analyzed: 1082 (BP at 12 mo: 1027)</li> </ul>	h IG vs CG	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instrument	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Orlistat Trials				
Berne, 2005 <sup>180</sup>	NR	BL 12 mo Metformin dosage increased, n	Percent 12 mo	Subgroup analyses: By treatment medication for
Fair		IG 15 CG 22	Subjects with Adverse Events IG 90.1	diabetes
		Metformin dosage decreased, n IG 7	<b>CG</b> 82.6	Other: NR
		CG 1 Metformin treatment started, n	n Number of gastrointestinal events	
		CG 0 Metformin treatment ended n	CG 48	
		IG 1 CG 0	IG 49 CG 72	
		Sulphonylurea dosage increased, n IG 1		
		CG 9 Sulphonylurea dosage decreased or ended, n		
		IG 11 CG 4		
		IG 9 CG 1		
		Sulphonylurea treatment started, n		
		CG 2 IG n analyzed: 111		
181		CG n analyzed: 109		
Broom, 2002 <sup>101</sup>	NR	NR	Percent <u>12 mo</u>	Subgroup analyses: Total, HDL and LDL cholesterol by
UK Multimorbidity			Gastrointestinal events	dyslipidemia; glucose tolerance by IGT; and DBP
Study			<b>CG</b> 47 Overall incidence for other adverse events was similar	by hypertension
Fair			between IG and CG (data not given); 13 IG patients & 17 CG patients experienced serious adverse events,	Other: NR
			none of which was considered by study investigators to have a probable causal relationship with the study med;	
			1 death occurred in IG, cause of death was carcino- matosis, which was unrelated to the study med	
Davidson, 1999 <sup>182</sup>	NR	NR	Percent <u>12 mo</u>	Subgroup analyses: NR
Fair			Withdrawn because of adverse events	Other: Subjects in IG were rerandomized after 12
			At least 1 gastrointestinal event	abstracted due to the high
			CG 59 Vitemin D and E laught descent d	point
			significantly in IG but mean levels within reference	
			compared to 6.5% CG over 2 years	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instrument	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Derosa, 2003 <sup>183</sup> Fair	NR	NR	Percent <u>12 mo</u> Participants dropping out due to adverse events, percent IG 7.4 CG 0	Subgroup analyses: NR Other: NR
Derosa, 2010 <sup>215</sup>	NR	NR	n (percent)	Subgroup analyses: NR
Good			12 mo         Withdrew due to adverse events         IG       13 (10.3)         CG       4 (3.1)         Majority of reasons for withdrawal (92.3%) were GI related         Other AEs: Flatulence, constipation, fatty/oily evacuation, increased defecation, fecal urgency, malaise	Other: NR
Finer, 2000 <sup>184</sup>	NR	NR	<u>12 mo</u> Withdraw because of adverse events, percent	Subgroup analyses: NR
James, 1997 <sup>290</sup>			IG 8.0	Other: NR
Fair			CG       6.4         At least one gastrointestinal event, percent         IG       82.1         CG       56.4         Other AEs: Loose stools, Increased defecation, Abdominal pain, Uncontrolled oily discharge, Fecal urgency, Nausea/vomiting, Discolored feces, Flatulence, Decreased defecation, Upper respiratory tract infection, Pharyngitis, Influenza/influenza syndrome, Headache, Back pain, Gallbladder abnormalities, Renal abnormalities, Mild severity AE*, Moderate severity AE*, Unrelated to test drug AE*, Remotely related to test drug AE*, Possibly related to test drug AE*, Probably related to test drug*, List of AE leading to withdrawl in IG and CG*         N (percent)       12 mo Patients with adverse events*         IG       23 (100)         CG       21 (91.3)         Severe Severity*         IG       3 (13)         CG       6 (26)         * From a subsample of patients only seen at the Aberdeen center (n=23 in IG and n=23 in CG)	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instrument	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Hanefeld, 2002 <sup>187</sup> Fair	NR	Mean change from BL at 12 mo <u>-4 week 12 mo</u> Anti-DM medication dosage decreased or ended, percent IG 9.7 CG 9.0 Anti-DM medication dosage increased or started, percent IG 14.0 CG 17.5	12 mo         At least one adverse event, percent         IG       89         CG       88         At least one gastrointestinal event, percent         IG       76         CG       46         Severe gastrointestinal event, n         IG       6         CG       5         Withdrew because of GI events related to mode of action of orlistat, percent         IG       4         CG       2         Hypoglycemia (at least 1 episode), n         IG       2         CG       4         All hypoglycemic episodes were mild or moderate and none resulted in hospitalization or any adjustment in antidiabetic medication. No apparent differences in clinical laboratory parameters or vital signs between treatment groups were noted. Levels of fat-soluble vitamins were generally lower in IG than CG, but remained in normal ranges	Subgroup analyses: Patients with type 2 diabetes previously treated with diet alone; effects of IG in patients not on DM medication at baseline Other: NR
Hauptman, 2000 <sup>189</sup> Fair	NR	N (percent) <u>24 mo</u> Died (acute myocardial infarction) IG1 0 (0) IG2 1 (0.5) CG 0 (0)	24 mo Withdrew because of adverse event, percent IG1 6.6 IG2 11.0 CG 7.1 Withdrew because of GI adverse event, percent IG1 4.7 IG2 5.7 CG 1.4 GI events, percent IG1 72** IG2 79** CG 59 Requiring supplementation with β-carotene, percent IG1 4.3 IG2 6.3 CG 2.4 Other AEs: Fecal urgency*, oily spotting*, fatty/oily stool*, flatus with discharge*, oOily evacuation*, increased defecation*, fecal incontinence*, 2+ consecutive low vitamin levels for vitamin A, E*, D and β-carotene** * $p<0.005$ for IG versus CG ** $p<0.01$ for IG versus CG <1.9% of all patients required and received vitamin A or E supplementation. Almost all patients who needed vitamin supplementation achieved normal levels by the end of the study.	Subgroup analyses: NR Other: 24 month data not abstracted because of high attrition

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instrument	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
(continued) Hauptman, 2000 <sup>189</sup> Fair			Most GI events were mild-moderate in intensity, limited to 1-2 episodes/patient, and occurred early in treatment. AEs in all groups were transient, mild, or moderate in intensity and resolved without intervention. With the exception of GI events, incidence and type of adverse events were similar in all treatment groups	
Hill, 1999 <sup>190</sup>	NR	NR	% of subjects who reported ≥1 AEs was ~7-8% greater	Subgroup analyses: NR
Fair			in IG than CG 12 mo Reporting gastrointestinal events, percent IG1 82.3 IG2 91.8 IG3 95.0 CG 68.1 Withdrawals related to gastrointestinal events, percent IG1 5.4 IG2 7.0 IG3 11.7 CG 0.5 Other AEs: Flatus with discharge, abdominal pain, fecal urgency, oily spotting. Most subjects experienced only 1-2 episodes and most GI events were mild- moderate in intensity, occurred early during treatment, and resolved spontaneously. Vitamin E and $\beta$ -carotene were significantly lower in IGs compared to CG at end of study (p<0.001). <4% of subjects met criteria for additional vitamin supplementation and those who did had normal values at end of study.	Other: NR
Hollander, 1998 <sup>191</sup> Fair	NR	Percent change Percent change in average dose of oral sulfonylurea medication IG -23** CG -9 Percent of patients that decreased the amount of oral sulfonylurea medication IG 43.2 CG 28.9 Percent Discontinued sulfonylurea medication IG 11.7 CG N (percent) Withdrew from trial prematurely because of elevated plasma glucose levels on 3 or more occasions despite maximal sulfonlyurea medication IG 5 (2.5) CG 15 (8.8) ** p=0.0019	12 mo         % with ≥1 GI event         IG       79         CG       59         Majority of patients in IG experienced 1-2 GI events that occurred early, of mild-moderate intensity, transient, and resolved spontaneously         Withdrew due to GI event , n         IG       7         CG       2         Withdrew due to adverse events, n         IG       12         CG       23         Other AEs: Flatus with discharge, oily spotting, fecal urgency, fatty/oily stool, oily evacuation, fecal incontinence, increased defecation, vitamin D, E or β-carotene supplementation needed (due to 2 or more consecutive low vitamin levels). No evidence for the development of gallstones or renal stones after orlistat treatment. Mean plasma levels of vitamins A, D, E and β -carotene remained within reference range through study. At 12 mo, mean vitamin E and β -carotene levels were lower in IG than CG (p<0.001). No sig change in vitamin E to LDL ratio in either group.	Subgroup analyses: HbA1c presented for those with levels of >8% at BL; cholesterol, LDL, and HbA1c changes presented by % of weight loss Other: note that unable to locate weight change from randomization; give changes during lead in

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instrument	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Krempf, 2003 <sup>193</sup> Fair	NR	NR	Percent Withdrew prematurely due to AE IG 6.9 CG 3.4 1+ adverse event IG 86.1, CG 72.3, p<0.001 (Difference was because of the % of orlistat patients experiencing GI events, suggesting fat intake was still excessive, although reduced from initial.) N Withdrew prematurely due to serious adverse events* IG 5 CG 4 * 7 of these events were deemed doubtfully related to study by investigators (IG: thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, anal abscess, pain hypocondrium, liver disorder; CG: abdominal pain, breast cancer, ulcerative colitis)	Subgroup analyses: Changes in fasting glucose, LDL, HDL, triglycerides, SBP, and DPB presented for those "at risk" per those same measures at BL, however ns at BL for each condition NR Other: NR
Lindgarde, 2000 <sup>194</sup> Swedish Multimorbidity Study Fair	NR	A higher proportion of IG patients with type 2 diabetes were able to stop or reduce their dosage of anti-diabetic meds compared with CG (23.3% vs. 18.2%)	Percent <u>12 mo</u> Gastrointestinal events IG 80 CG 39 Overall incidence for other adverse events was similar between IG and CG. 10 IG patients and 5 CG patients withdrew due to an adverse event. 5 IG patients and 1 CG patient withdrew because of GI events. 19 IG patients and 5 CG patients experienced serious adverse events, none of which were considered by study investigators to have a probable causal relationship with the study medication. 1 death occurred in IG; patient had type 2 diabetes and severe arterio- sclerosis and died as a result of a brain stem infarction.	Subgroup analyses: Weight change, fasting glucose, and HbA1c in patients with type 2 diabetes Other: NR
Miles, 2002 <sup>197</sup> Fair	NR	Mean (SD) 12 mo Reduction in metformin dose, mg/day IG -16 (24)* CG 49 (24) Reduction in relative sulfonylurea dose, %† IG -11.5 (3.6)* CG -0.9 (2.6) † Doses standardized to a % of maximum daily dose * $p < 0.05$ Twice as many patients in IG vs CG either reduced or discontinued 1 or more diabetes medications (17.1 vs. 8.2%). More CG than IG patients required additional or increased doses of diabetes medication (21.7 vs. 12.2%). These changes in diabetes medication usage were significantly different between groups (p=0.0004)	Percent <u>12 mo</u> Experiencing at least one gastrointestinal event IG 83 CG 62 Mild-Moderate hypoglycemic episodes IG 10 CG 4 Withdrew due to adverse events, n IG 25 CG 12 More IG than CG patients discontinued treatment because of an adverse event (10 vs. 5%, p<0.05)	Subgroup analyses: NR Other: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instrument	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Richelsen, 2007 <sup>198</sup> Fair	NR	n (percent) <u>BL</u> <u>36 mo</u> Newly developed Diabetes Mellitus IG 8 (5.2)* CG 17 (10.9) * p=0.041	Percent 36 mo Withdrawals due to adverse events IG 5 CG 5 Fatty/oily stool IG 23 CG 2.5 Oily spotting IG 17.5 CG 0 Abdominal pain IG 21.5 CG 16 Fecal urgency IG 8.5 CG 5 One or more gastrointestinal event IG 88* CG 63 Serious adverse event IG 18 CG 28 * $p<0.01$ ; statistical significance NR for first 5 AEs.	Subgroup analyses: Dietary intake for a subsample (Svendsen) Other: Number (IG vs CG) of patients who started with meds with statins (11 vs 11) metformin (13 vs 18) blood pressure (84 vs 90) was same in 2 groups
Rossner, 2000 <sup>199</sup> Fair	QOL Instrument used: Technology Assessment Group quality-of- life questionnaire Range: NR # of questions: 55 Directionality: NR Description: Measures obesity distress, depression, satisfaction with treatment NOTE: The study calls this QOL, but it is a QOL scale specific to obesity and might not correspond with other QOL instruments we have	QOL IG1 and IG2 reported significantly greater satisfaction with their weight loss medication versus CG after 1 and 2 years (p<0.001 for IG2, p<0.05 for IG1). IG2 patients also expressed greater satisfaction both with losing weight and their weight loss program (p=0.011 and p=0.002, respectively, after 2 years). Overall satisfaction with treatment, as expressed by the treatment index, was significantly greater among IG1 and IG2 versus CG after 2 years (p<0.001 for IG2, p<0.05 for IG1). IG1 and IG2 patients reported less overweight distress than CG and this became statistically significant after 2 years (p<0.05). There were no significant differences between treatment groups in depression scores after 1 or 2 years	24 moWithdrew due to severe GI events, percentIG16.6IG210.3CG3.4Withdrew due to adverse events, percentIG19.6IG27.9CG2.5Withdrew due to adverse GI events, percentIG15IG23.7CG0.82 serious adverse events possibly related to orlistat: 1case of cholelithiasis and diverticulitis. Adverse eventprofiles were similar in all 3 groups (except GI events)throughout study, generally mild-moderate in intensityand resolved spontaneously. Majority of severe GIevents occurred during year 1 (n=38). Majority of vit-amin supplement occurred during year 1. Differences inmean plasma values for vitamins D, E and β-carotenebetween IG1/IG2 and CG were statistically significant(p<0.001). Orlistat had no clinical significant effects on	Subgroup analyses: Outcomes also reported for completers Other: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instrument	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Sjostrom,	NR	NR	<u>12 mo</u>	Subgroup analyses: Bone
1998 <sup>200</sup>			Adverse event frequency, %	density measured for a very
			IG 94	small subsample (n=30)
Fair			CG 82	(Gotfredson, #8364) did not
			Premature withdrawals due to GI adverse events, %	show difference between IG
			<b>IG</b> 0.6	and CG in bone mineral
			Premature withdrawals due to other adverse events %	measurement during Tyear
			IG 3.2	Other: Authors found low
			CG 2.0	systemic absorption of orlistat
			Frequency of adverse events slightly higher in IG vs	after 2 years of treatment with
			CG in year 1 and similar for all 4 treatment groups in	no evidence of accumulation
			year 2. Patients taking orlistat experienced far fewer GI	
			events in year 2 vs year 1. Serious adverse events	
			related to treatment 2 adverse events in year 2 related	
			to treatment 1 case of GI neoplasm in CG. Events	
			occurring in <5% of patients NR.	
			Other AEs: Fecal incontinence, flatus with discharge,	
			fecal urgency, abdominal pain, liquid/soft stool, oily	
			spotting, increased defecation, fatty/oily stool, oily	
			evacuation, headache, 2 consecutive low vitamin A, D,	
Crasherma	001	Maan (CD) at DL Maan abanga (CD) at 12 ma	E levels, vitamin supplementation, other reason	Cubaraun analyzaa, ND
$3005^{201}$	QUL	SE 26 Physical functioning	Percent 12 mo	Subgroup analyses: NR
2005	SF-36	IG = 75.5 (19.6) = 3.23 (1.97)	At least one adverse event	Other: Change in
Fair	Range: 0-100 for	<b>CG</b> 75.7 (19.5) 1.32 (18.0)	IG 94.7	medications for diabetes
	each domain	SF-36 Physical role	CG 93.5	mellitus, hypertension, lipids
	# of questions:	IG 78.8 (34.6) 1.41 (40.0)	Serious adverse events	in IG and CG shown in a
	NR	<b>CG</b> 78.8 (33.4) 3.06 (32.2)	IG 9.4	figure only.
	Directionality:	SF-36 Bodily pain	<b>CG</b> 7.1	
	Higher score =	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{IG} & 72.1 & (23.2) & 0.70 & (22.7) \\ \mathbf{CC} & 75 & (22.6) & 0.22 & (22.0) \\ \end{array}$	Gastrointestinal system adverse event	
	Deller	CG 75.1 (23.0) -2.33 (22.0) SE-36 General health	CG 60.4	
		IG = 69.1 (19.6) = 3.28 (14.8)	Withdrew because of GL adverse events	
		<b>CG</b> 70.1 (18.4) 0.13 (14.6)	IG 2.9	
		SF-36 Vitality	CG 1.2	
		IG 61.7 (19.8) 5.42 (19.3)*	Withdrew because of adverse events	
		<b>CG</b> 62.3 (19.4) -1.51 (19.4)	IG 10.0 (calc)	
		SF-36 Social functioning	CG 4.7 (calc)	
			* n=0 0005	
		SE-36 Emotional role	p=0.0000	
		<b>IG</b> 84.5 (31.9) 2.58 (36.8)	In general, adverse events were mild to moderate in	
		<b>CG</b> 90.0 (23.9) -5.48 (31.8)	intensity. For all other events reported in more than 10	
		SF-36 Mental health	participants in either group, there were no statistically	
		IG 77.9 (15.6) 3.15 (15.3)	significant differences between IG and CG.	
		<b>CG</b> 79.6 (15.7) -0.52 (17.9)		
		* p=0.006; There were significant changes		
		toward rewer or lower-dose medications in IG for		
		$\mu$ unabelies (p=0.026) and hypertension (p=0.0062), but not for linids (n=0.42)		

Quality RatingInsTorgerson, 2004 <sup>202</sup> NRTorgerson, 2001 <sup>291</sup> XENDOSFairFair	alth Outcome	Health Outcomes		Adverse Effects	Comments
Torgerson, NR 2004 <sup>202</sup> Torgerson, 2001 <sup>291</sup> XENDOS Fair	Instrument				
2004 <sup>202</sup> Torgerson, 2001 <sup>291</sup> XENDOS Fair	Cumulative	incidence, percent		Percent	Subgroup analyses:
Torgerson, 2001 <sup>291</sup> XENDOS Fair		BL	<u>4 yr</u>	<u>1 yr 4 yr</u>	Incidence of DM among pts
Torgerson, 2001 <sup>291</sup> XENDOS Fair	Diabetes Me	ellitus		1+ gastrointestinal event	with IGT at BL; HR of
2001 <sup>291</sup> XENDOS Fair	IG	0	6.2**	IG 91 36	developing DM by BL glucose
XENDOS Fair	CG	0	9.0	CG 65 23	tolerance, sex, age, and BMI;
XENDOS Fair	Diabetes Me	ellitus among those	with IGT at	1+ SAE, percent	weight loss for completers
Fair	baseline			<b>IG</b> 15	only, and for all randomized
Fair	IG	0	18.8**	<b>CG</b> 13	(BL carried forward for
	C	0	28.8	1+ serious gastrointestinal event	dropouts); proportion weight
				IG 2	loss ≥5% and ≥10%, and for
	Hazard ratio	o (95% CI)		<b>CG</b> 2	completers only
	Risk of deve	eloping diabetes		Withdrew due to AE or laboratory abnormalities	
	IG v. CG	0.	.63 (0.46, 0.87)**	IG 8	Other: Other intermediate
	IGT v. NGT	10	.60 (7.30, 5.4)***	CG 4	outcomes only reported for
	Male v. Fen	n <b>ale</b> 1	1.41 (1.02, 1.96)*	Death	851 and 567 pts in IG and
	>44 v. ≤44 y	<b>/ears†</b> 1	1.44 (1.02, 2.04)*	IG 0	CG respectively at 4 years
	≥37 vs. < 37	7 kg/m²†	1.36 (0.97, 1.91)	<b>CG</b> 0	
	† Median			Mean change from baseline	
	*** p < 0.00	1		Vitamin A, μmol/L	
	** p < 0.01			IG0.22*	
	* p < 0.05			<b>CG</b> 0.19	
				25-hydroxyvitamin D, nmol/mL	
				IG17.2**	
				<b>CG</b> 13.0	
				Vitamin E, µmol/L	
				IG2.8**	
				<b>CG</b> 0.4	
				Vitamin K1, μg/L	
				IG0.08**	
				<b>CG</b> 0.07	
				1,25-hydroxyvitamin D, pmol/mL	
				IG15.8	
				<b>CG</b> 14.0	
				Proportion that went from normal to having two	
				subsequent, consecutive abnormally low values was	
				similar for Vitamin A (5.5 vs 4.4%) and notably	
				different only for Vitamin E (3.2 vs 0.5%). Proportion	
				for all other vitamin levels were <1% and similar	
				between treatment groups	
				<b>0</b>	
				* p<0.05 for IG vs CG	
				** p<0.001 for IG vs CG	
				* p<0.05 for IG vs CG	

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instrument	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Metformin Trials			<u></u>	<u></u>
Fortbonne, 1996 <sup>185</sup> BIGPRO Fair	NR	During the course of the trial, no patient developed ischemic cardiovascular disease but 5 CG patients were diagnosed with diabetes by local investigators	Reasons for absence at last visit, percent         12 mo       Side effect of allocated treatment         IG       17.5         CG       4.3         Death       IG         IG       1.6         CG       0         Diabetes       IG         IG       0         CG       2.9         Other health problems       IG         IG       7.9         CG       5.7         Other AEs: Diarrhea*, Nausea/vomiting, Abdominal pain, Constipation, Cramps, Headache/fatigue, Mood shifts, Cutaneous rash, Hunger, Bad taste in mouth         *Except for diarrhea and to a much lesser degree, nausea and vomiting, all other reported side effects occurred with similar frequence in both treatment	Subgroup analyses: Fasting blood glucose by glucose tolerance at baseline Other: All participants weighed every 3 months
Gambineri, 2006 <sup>186</sup> Fair	NR	BL       7 mo       13 mo         Impaired fasting glucose       IG       3 (15)       3 (15)         IG       3 (15)       3 (15)       3 (15)         CG       2 (11)       1 (5)       2 (11)         Impaired glucose tolerance       IG       3 (15)       4 (20)       2 (10)         CG       2 (11)       1 (5)       0 (0)       Impaired glucose tolerance         IG       3 (15)       4 (20)       2 (10)       C0       Impaired fasting glucose + Impaired glucose tolerance         IG       2 (10)       0 (0)       0 (0)       C0       C0       CG       CI       CI <thci< th=""> <thci< th=""> <thci< th=""></thci<></thci<></thci<>	Two women who completed the study reported transient abdominal discomfort (abdominal swelling, mild diarrhea, and flatulence) during the first 2 weeks of treatment	Subgroup analyses: NR Other: NR

Study Reference Quality Rating	Health Outcome Instrument	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Diabetes	Depression	BL 12 mo	48 mo (3.2 yrs for age groups)	Subgroup analyses: Age,
Prevention	Instrument used:	Depression: BDI ≥11 or antidepressant use (%)	GI symptoms (diarrhea, flatulence, nausea, vomiting),	gender, race
Program	Beck Depression	IG-men 8.1 8.6	number of events/100 person-years	
Research	Inventory or	IG-wmn 19.7 14.7	Total	Other: 10-year unblinded
Group, 1999 <sup>142</sup>	current use of	<b>CG-men</b> 9.1 7.5	IG 77.8*	followup results available
	antidepressants	<b>CG-wmn</b> 18.1 17.1	<b>CG</b> 30.7	(#8173).
Haffner, 2005 <sup>212</sup>	(BDI ≥11	<u>36 mo</u>	25-44 years	
	threshold used for	Diabetes crude cumulative incidence, cases/100	IG 82.2	As has been previously
Orchard,	depression)	<i>p-y</i>	CG 32.4	observed with this drug, the IG
2005-02	Range: NR	l otal	45-59 years	participants experienced
<b>D</b> : 1 (	# of questions:	IG 7.8	IG 77.5	modest weight loss, which was
Diabetes	NK Directionality	CG 11.0		greatest in the oldest age
Prevention	Directionality:		60-85 years	group. Waist circumference
Program	Higher score =		IG 72.2	was reduced, with the greatest
Croup 2006 <sup>210</sup>	worse, used	45 50 years	Dootha number/100 norgan years	group. In contract, there were
Gloup, 2000	$50010 \ge 11$ dS	40-09 years	Total	no significant changes in
Ratner 2005 <sup>207</sup>	depression	<b>CC</b> 10.8		weight or waist circumference
Tallier, 2005	depression	> 60 years	<b>CG</b> 0.16	at any age in the CG
Knowler	Δηχίετν	IG 96	25-44 years	at any age in the CO.
$2002^{206}$	Instrument use	<b>CG</b> 10.8		After removal of interaction
2002	Beck Anxiety	Male		terms race (p<0.0001) and
West 2008 <sup>214</sup>	Inventory	IG 8.1	45-59 years	gender ( $p=0.0259$ ) main
	Range: 0-63	<b>CG</b> 12.5	IG 0.13	effects were not significant
Rubin. 2005 <sup>205</sup>	# of questions:	Female	<b>CG</b> 0	within metformin treatment.
,	NR	IG 7.6	60-85 years	
Ackermann,	Directionality:	CG 10.3	IG 0.48	Metformin interventions
2009 <sup>211</sup>	Higher score =	White	<b>CG</b> 0.86	produced significantly larger
	worse	IG 7.8		percent weight loss than CG
Diabetes		CG 10.3	* p<0.05 for comparison with CG	across the race-gender groups
Prevention	QOL	Black		(all p<0.05). The only
Program	Instrument used:	IG 7.1	IG n analyzed: 1073 (22-44 yrs: 318; 45-59 yrs: 541;	exception to this pattern was
	Medical	CG 12.4	60-85 yrs: 214)	that Hispanic women within
Good	Outcomes Study	Hispanic	<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 1092 (22-44 yrs: 324; 45-59 yrs: 557;	the IG did not experience
	36-item short	IG 8.4	60-85 yrs: 201)	significantly greater percent
	form (SF-36); can	CG 11.7		weight loss than those in CG
	be used to		Gastrointestinal complaints were more common in IG	(p=0.0547).
	determine SF-6D,	<b>IG</b> 9.7	(as expected), with rates slightly lower in the middle-	The study had inadequate
		Asian/Dasifia Islandor	age and older groups, although this difference was not	newor to page the
	Bange NR	IC 75	symptoms was highest in IG. Hospitalization and	significance of effects within
	# of questions: 36	<b>CG</b> 12.1	mortality rates were unrelated to treatment. No deaths	the subgroups, por were such
	Directionality:	BL BML 22 to $<30$	were attributed to intervention	tests planned Treatment
	Lower score =	IG 88		effects did not differ
	worse	<b>CG</b> 9.0	Other AEs: Musculoskeletal problems (mostly myalgia	significantly according either to
		BL BMI 30 to <35	arthritis, arthralgia), Hospital admissions, Rate of	sex or race or ethnic group.
		IG 7.6	hospitalization, Hospital stav	
		CG 8.9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Effect of metformin was less
		Diabetes incidence, % lower from CG (95% Cl)		with a lower BMI or a lower
		IG 31 (17, 43)†		fasting glucose concentration
				than with higher values for
				those variables.
## Appendix C Table 2d. Evidence Table of Medication Trials: Health Outcomes

Study Reference	Health Outcome	Health Outcomes	Adverse Effects	Comments
Quality Rating	Instrument			
(continued)	QOL	Nonfatal cardiovascular disease events, %		
Diabetes	Instrument used:	IG 1.7		
Prevention	Quality of Well-	CG 1.5		
Program	Being Scale	Nonfatal cardiovascular disease events, event		
Research	(QWB-SA)	rate (number of events per 1000 p-y)		
Group, 1999 <sup>142</sup>	Range: NR	IG 5.2		
Haffner, 2005 <sup>212</sup>	# of questions:	CG 7.3		
Orchard,	NR	Cardiovascular disease related deaths, n		
2005-02	Directionality:			
Diabetes	Higher score =	CG 4		
Prevention	better	Antinypertensive pharmacologic therapy		
Program		prevalence, %		
Group, 2006 Botnor, 2005 <sup>207</sup>		**p<0.001 for IC vo CC		
Knowlor		t Significant by group sequential log rank test		
$2002^{206}$		t Diabetes incidence did not differ by age in CG		
West 2008 <sup>214</sup>		(11.0, 10.8, 10.3 cases per 100 p-v) Incidence in		
Rubin 2005 <sup>205</sup>		IG was lowest among youngest participants (6.7		
Ackermann		vs 7 7 vs 9 3 cases per 100 p-v) but this trend		
2009 <sup>211</sup>		was not statistically significant ( $p=0.07$ )		
Diabetes		12 mo change from BL		
Prevention		Anxiety, Beck Anxiety Inventory		
Program		IG 3.75 (4.69) -0.15 (4.44)		
Ū.		CG 3.78 (4.89) -0.25 (4.80)		
Good		IG n analyzed: 1001 (BL), 992 (12 mos)		
		CG n analyzed: 1012 (BL), 993 (12 mos)		
		SF-6D		
		IG 0.797 (0.105) -0.002 (0.108)		
		<b>CG</b> 0.788 (0.111) -0.013 (0.106)		
		SF-36, physical component score		
		<b>IG</b> 50.1 (7.3) 0.22 (7.49)		
		<b>CG</b> 50.4 (7.2) -0.04 (7.12)		
		SF-36, mental component score		
		<b>IG</b> 54.1 $(7.7)$ -0.58 $(8.30)$		
		<b>IG p analyzed</b> : $1067$ (PL) $1011$ (12 mas)		
		<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 1007 (BL), 1011 (12 mos)		
		Quality of Well-being OWB-SA		
		IG 0.693 (0.114) 0.017 (0.105)		
		CG = 0.000 (0.114) = 0.013 (0.103)		
		<b>IG n analyzed:</b> 707 (BL) 262 (12 mos)		
		<b>CG n analyzed:</b> 702 (BL), 252 (12 mos)		
		In a fully adjusted model including both IG and		
		weight change, assignment to either IG was not		
		significantly associated with change in SF-6D at		
		12 mo vs CG. After adjusting for IG, change in		
		weight asscolated with significant change at 12		
		mo for SF-6D (p<0.001), PCS-36 (p<0.001),		
		MCS-36 (p=0.04) for ever 5 kg loss; similar		
		associations at 24 mo		

### Appendix C Table 2d. Evidence Table of Medication Trials: Health Outcomes

Abbreviations: AE=adverse event; ANCOVA=analysis of covariance; AUC=area under the curve; BDI=Beck Depression Inventory; bid=two times a day; BL=baseline; BMI=body mass index; BMR=basal metabolic rate; BP=blood pressure; bpm=beats per minute; calc=calculated; carb=carbohydrate; CG=control group; CI=confidence interval; CV=cardiovascular; DBP=diastolic blood pressure; DM=diabetes mellitus; DPP=Diabetes Prevention Program; ECG=electrocardiography; GI=gastrointestinal; GP=general practitioner; HDL=high-density lipoprotein; HOMA=homeostatic model assessment; HR=heart rate; IG=intervention group; IGT=impaired glucose tolerance; IR=insulin resistance; ITT=intention to treat; IQR=interquartile range; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; LOCF=last observation carried forward; LSM=least squares mean; MA=meta-analysis; MI=myocardial infarction; n=number; NA=not applicable; NGT=normal glucose tolerance; NR=not reported; NCD=primary care practitioner; generatic; GC=rendized controlled trial; SAE=serious adverse event; SBP=systolic blood pressure; SC=subcutaneous; SD=standard deviation; SE=standard error; SES=socioeconomic status; SF-36=36-Item Short-form Health Survey; TG=triglyceride; tid=three times a day; UK=United Kingdom; US=United States; VLCD=very low calorie diet; WC=waist circumference; WHO=World Health Organization.

## Appendix C Table 3a. Evidence Table of Behavioral Harms Trials: Study Characteristics

Study Reference	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Kirk, 2003 <sup>128</sup>	Design: RCT	<b>Inclusion:</b> Aged 19-30 years; BMI 27-32	N Randomized:	Age (mean): 23 (calc)
MET	Location: Nebraska and Kansas, US Recruitment Setting: University of Nebraska- Kearney, University of Kansas and respective communities Volunteer: NR	kg/m <sup>-</sup> (women) and 27-31 kg/m <sup>-</sup> (men); met or exceeded the 85th percentile for triceps skinfold of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey II populations; sedentary and did not exceed 500 calories of physical activity per week <b>Exclusion:</b> History of chronic disease; elevated blood pressure (>140/90), lipids (cholesterol>6.7 mmol/L, triglycerides>5.6 mmol/L), or fasting glucose (>7.8 mmol/L); smokers; took medication that would affect physical performance or metabolism; lacked ability to perform laboratory tests or participate in routine moderate intensity exercise	I otal: 131 IG: 87 CG: 44 <b>N Analyzed:</b> Total: 74 IG: 41 CG: 33	Sex (% female): 58.1 (calc) Race/Ethnicity: % White: 82.4 % African-American: 8.1 % Native American: 1.4 % Hispanic: 1.4 % Asian: 6.8 SES (income, education): NR % Hypertension: NR % Diabetes: NR % Diabetes: NR % Dyslipidemia: NR Other health problems: NR Note: Baseline characteristics for
Uusi-Rasi, 2010 <sup>135</sup>	Design: Cohort Location: Finland Recruitment Setting: Tampere University Hospital Volunteer: NR	Inclusion: Aged 25-45 years; BMI > 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; clinically healthy premenopausal women Exclusion: Metabolic bone disease; eating disorders, severe menstrual irregularities; use of estrogen other than hormonal contraceptives; use of medication that could affect the skeleton; recent (<1 year) delivery or lactation, fracture/trauma and related long immobilizaton (> 1 month)	N Randomized: Total: 75 IG: 75 CG: NA N Analyzed: Total: 62 (82.7%) IG: 62 CG: NA	Completers only (n=74)         Age (mean): 40.2         Sex (% female): 100         Race/Ethnicity: NR         SES (income, education): NR         % Hypertension: 11.3 (regular use of hypertensive med)         % Diabetes: NR         % Dyslipidemia: NR         Other health problems:         Hypothyroidism, other regular medication use         Note: Baseline characteristics for completers only (n=62)

## Appendix C Table 3a. Evidence Table of Behavioral Harms Trials: Study Characteristics

Study Reference	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Warren, 2009 <sup>138</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Aged 25-44 years; BMI 25-35 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; stable body weight (<10% change	N Randomized: Total: 164	Age (mean): 35.7 (calc)
SHE	Location: US Recruitment Setting:	during the past year); premenopausal; sedentary or modestly physically active (<3 weekly sessions of moderate aerobic	IG: 82 CG: 82	Sex (% female): 100 Race/Ethnicity:
	Community	activity; nonsmoker	N Analyzed: Total: 163	% NonWhite: 35
	Volunteer: Y	<b>Exclusion:</b> Medical condition or medications that could limit participation in the exercise	IG: 81 CG: 82	SES (income, education): NR
		program or affect study measurements; any positive responses on the Physical Activity		% Hypertension: NR
		Readiness Questionnaire		% Diabetes: NR
				% Dyslipidemia: NR
				Other health problems: NR
Williamson, 2008 <sup>137</sup>	Design: RCT	<b>Inclusion:</b> Non-smoking, adult men (25-50 years) and women (25-45 years); overweight	N Randomized: Total: 48	Age (mean): 38
CALERIE	Location: US	at screening (25≤BMI<30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ); otherwise healthy; not taking medications other than	IG1: 12 IG2:12	Sex (% female): 56
	Recruitment Setting:	oral contraceptives	IG3 <sup>.</sup> 12	Race/Ethnicity:
	Community		CG: 12	% White: 62 5
	Community	Exclusion: Mental health problems: eating	00.12	% African American: 33 3
	Volunteer: Y	disorders; significant barriers to participation	N Analyzed:	% Asian or Latino: 4.2
			I otal: 48 IG1: 12 IG2: 12	SES (income, education): NR
			IG3: 12 CG: 12	% Hypertension: NR
				% Diabetes: NR
				% Dyslipidemia: NR
				Other health problems: NR

# Appendix C Table 3b. Evidence Table of Behavioral Harms Trials: Intervention Details and Adverse Effects

Study Reference	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control	Adverse Effects	Comments
Study Reference Kirk, 2003 <sup>128</sup> MET	Intervention Aim/Theory Aim/theory: To determine the time course for changes in aerobic capacity, body weight, and composition in overweight adults	Description of Intervention and Control Intervention description: Walking on treadmill (stationary bike and water aerobics allowed for 20% of total exercise sessions). Exercise progressed from 20 min 3 days/wk at 60% of heart rate reserve to 45 min 5 days/wk at 75% of heart rate reserve at 6 mo and maintained through 16 mo Control description: NR Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions Number: 3 days/wk to 5 days/wk (by 6 mo) Length: 20 min to 45 min (by 6 mo) Time period: 16 mo Group Sessions: NR Who administered intervention:	Adverse Effects "No major adverse events" for either IG or CG	NR
Llusi-Rasi 2010 <sup>135</sup>	Aim/theory: To	Who administered intervention: Providers: Research personnel Training: NR Intervention Setting: NR Incentives: "Compensated for participation in this project" Intervention description: Intensive 3-	Mean change (assume SD, but not	5 groups of 15 women
	determine the effects of weight reduction on bone turnover, mass and structure among premenopausal obese women	mo weight reduction intervention [low- energy diet (wk 1), very-low-energy diet (wks 2-10, 3 sachets of 585 kJ each and 1 light meal or 5 sachets), low-energy diet and weight maintenance instruction (wks 11-12)]; followed by 9-mo weight maintenance period IG1 (n=20): Large group, 15.5% (mean) weight loss IG2 (n=21): Medium group, 10.5% (mean) weight loss IG3 (n=21): Low group, 5.9% (mean) weight loss <b>Control description:</b> NA <b>Intervention Duration:</b> <i>Individual Sessions:</i> NR <i>Group Sessions:</i> Number: 1/week for first 3 mo; 1/month during maintenance period (total 21) Length: NR Time period: 12 mo <b>Who administered intervention:</b> Providers: Nutritionist Training: NR <b>Intervention Setting:</b> NR	Specified)       3 mo       12 mo         Total body Bone Mineral Content, g       IG1(Large)       8 (155)       -30 ()         IG2(Med)       -50 (161)       -48 ()       IG3(Low)       -17 (131)       -5 ()         Bone changes were marginal at 3 mo and 12 mo, no between-group differences         Amount of weight loss was not associated with the observed changes in bone traits         Only significant change in strength of nonweight-bearing distal radius (mean declines, 3-44%), not statistically significant between groups	each received same intervention; women divided into 3 groups based on tertiles of weight loss at 3 months

## Appendix C Table 3b. Evidence Table of Behavioral Harms Trials: Intervention Details and Adverse Effects

Study Reference	Intervention Aim/Theory	Description of Intervention and Control	Adverse Effects	Comments
Warren, 2009 <sup>138</sup> SHE	Aim/theory: To explore the safety of twice- weekly strength training	Intervention description: Strength training twice/week (3 sets of 8-10 repetitions using variable weight machines and free weights). Aerobic warm-up, stretching, and core training. Control description: Mailed American Heart Association brochures that recommended 30 minutes of moderate activity most days of the week Intervention Duration: Individual Sessions: NR Group Sessions Number: 2/week Length: NR Time period: 104 weeks Who administered intervention: Providers: Fitness trainers (first 16 wks and booster sessions every 12 wks) Training: Certified trainers	24 mo Cumulative incidence of physical activity- related injury per 100 women IG 46.9 CG 13.6 OR (95% CI): 4.0 (1.8, 9.0) Cumulative incidence of strength training injury limiting daily activity for at least 1 week per 100 women IG 33.3 CG 4.9 OR (95% CI): 10.1 (3.0, 34.2) Rate of serious injuries (resulting in loss of work time or major change in daily activities), percent IG 7 CG 7 No life-threatening injuries in either group.	NR
		Intervention Setting: Free-living community	CG n analyzed: 82	
Williamson, 2008 <sup>137</sup> CALERIE	<b>Aim/theory:</b> To test whether a period of intentional caloric restriction would be associated with increased eating and mood disturbances	Intervention description: IG1: 25% calorie restriction of baseline energy requirements IG2: Calorie restriction and 12.5% increased energy expenditure by structured exercise IG3: 890 kcal/day liquid diet until 15% of body weight was lost, followed by a weight maintentance diet Control description: Weight maintenance diet Intervention Duration: NR Who administered intervention: Providers: NR Training: NR Intervention Setting: University Research Center Incentives: NR	Eating disinhibition reduced in IGs compared to CG (reduction is associated with reduced binge eating) No other group differences on eating disorder scales	NR

Abbreviations: BMI=body mass index; calc=calculated; CG=control group; CI=confidence interval; IG=intervention group; med=medication; MET=Midwest Exercise Trial; NA=not applicable; NR=not reported; RCT=randomized controlled trial; SHE=Strong, Healthy, Empowered; SES=socioeconomic status; US=United States; Y=yes.

# Appendix C Table 4a. Evidence Table of Medication Harms Trials: Study Characteristics

Study Reference	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Orlistat Trials				•
Orlistat Trials Acharya, 2006 <sup>133</sup> Perrio, 2007 <sup>134</sup> Bakris, 2002 <sup>126</sup>	Design: Observational Cohort study/Prescription event monitoring Location: UK Recruitment Setting: Patients identified from dispensed NHS prescription data Self-Selected: NR Design: RCT Location: 41 centers, US Recruitment Setting: 41 referral centers	Inclusion: Prescribed orlistat from Dec 1998-Nov 1999; questionnaire returned by GP Exclusion: Questionnaires returned with no information or not returned Inclusion: BMI 28-43 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; taking at lease one antihypertensive medication (stable dose for at least 12 weeks prior); had a sitting DBP 96 - 109 mmHg on 2 consecutive visits; easily controlled & stable diabetes allowed Exclusion: unstable medical and/or psychiatric illness; recent (within 12 wks)	Retention N Randomized: NA N Analyzed: Total: 16,021 (45.4% of forms sent) N Randomized: Total: 554 IG: 278 CG: 276 N Analyzed: Total: 535 (calc)	Age (median): 45 Sex (% female): 80.1 Race/Ethnicity: NR SES (income, education): NR % Hypertension: NR % Diabetes: NR % Dyslipidemia: NR Other health problems: NR Age (mean): 52.9 (calc) Sex (% female): 61.1 (calc) Race/Ethnicity: (calc) % African American: 11.5 % Caucasian: 85.5
	Self-Selected: NR	initiation or change in diuretic therapy; previous gastrointestinal surgery for weight reduction, and any active GI disorders such as malabsorption syndrome except more than mild lactose intolerance, diarrhea or constipation; history of bulimia or laxative abuse, substance abuse (including alcohol), and unwillingness or inability to comply with protocol requirements; pregnant or lactating women; the use of nicotine replacement therapy, appetite suppressants, fish-oil supplements, oral retinoids, chronic systemic steriods other than sex hormone replacement & gonadotropin releasing hormone, and acute antidepressant or anxiolytic therapy were prohibited during the study	IG: 267 CG: 265	<ul> <li>% Hispanic: 2.4</li> <li>% Other: 0.6</li> <li>SES (income, education): NR</li> <li>% Hypertension: 100</li> <li>% Diabetes: 8</li> <li>% Dyslipidemia: 38 (calc)</li> <li>Other health problems: NR</li> </ul>
Broom, 2002 <sup>132</sup>	Design: RCT Location: UK Recruitment Setting: 12	Inclusion: BMI ≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; aged ≥ 18 yrs; total plasma cholesterol ≥ 6.5 mmol/L or LDL- C ≥ 4.2 mmol/L; women of childbearing age who were using adequate contraception Exclusion: myocardial infarction or major	N Randomized: Total: 142 IG: 71 CG: 71	Age (mean): 51.5 (calc) Sex (% female): 60.6 (calc) Race/Ethnicity: NR
	outpatient clinics in the UK specializing in obesity and/or dyslipidaemia	surgery within previous 3 mo; active GI or pancreatic disease; type 1 diabetes; uncontrolled hypertension; history of carcinoma, GI surgery for weight loss, post- surgical lesions, bulimia or layative abuse	<b>N Analyzed:</b> Total: 137 (calc) IG: 66 CG: 71	SES (income, education): NR % Hypertension: NR
	Self-Selected. NK	drug or alcohol abuse; using drugs altering appetite or lipid concentrations, fish oil supplements, retinoids, systemic steroids		% Diabetes: 24.8 (calc) % Dyslipidemia: 100
		anticoagulants		Other health problems: NR

# Appendix C Table 4a. Evidence Table of Medication Harms Trials: Study Characteristics

Study Reference	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Kelley, 2002 <sup>127</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Age 40-65 yrs; BMI 28-43 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ;	N Randomized:	Age (mean): 57.9 (calc)
		type 2 diabetes; stable weight (<3 kg weight	Total: 550	Sex (% female): 56.3 (calc)
	Location: 43 centers, US	change) for previous 3 mo; treatment with	IG: 274	
	Pocruitmont Sotting:	Stable daily dose (±10%) of insulin in previous	CG: 276	Kace/Ethnicity: (calc)
	NR	women required to have negative serum	N Analyzed (ITT)	% African American: 16.4
		pregnancy test & use an acceptable form of	Total: 535 (calc)	% Asian: 1.3
	Self-Selected: NR	contraception during study period	IG: 266	% Other: 10.3
		Exclusion: Diabetes treatment that included	CG: 269	SES (income. education): NR
		thiazolidinedione or if diabetic meds (except insulin) had changed during previous 12 wks:		% Hypertension: NR
		medical history or presence of renal, hepatic,		% Diabotos: 100
		or endocrine disorder that could affect results		
		of study; previous bariatric surgery; use of approved or experimental weight reduction		% Dyslipidemia: NR
		meds or treatments; presence of malabsorp-		Other health problems: NR
		tion syndrome, bulimia or laxative abuse, or		
130	<b>P</b> 1 POT	disorders that could affect study compliance		
Muls, 2001	Design: RC1	Inclusion: BMI 27-40 kg/m <sup>-</sup> ; age 18-70 yrs;	N Randomized:	Age (mean): 48.6 (calc)
	Location: 19 centers	4.5  mmol/l (<400 mg/dl): >75% compliance	10(a). 294 IC: 147	Sex (% female): 80.7 (calc)
	Belgium	with the rapy and $<1$ kg weight gain during run	CG: 147	Race/Ethnicity: NR
		in were eligible for randomization		SES (income education): NR
	Recruitment Setting:	Exclusion: Patients with serious diseases,	N Analyzed:	
	NR	diabetes or uncontrolled hypertension; women	l otal: 290	% Hypertension: NR
	Self-Selected: NP	or childbearing age without adequate contra-	IG. 147 CC: 143	% Diabetes: 0 % Dyslinidemia: 100
	Self-Selected. NIX	appetite suppressants or linid lowering meds:	60. 145	Other health problems: NR
		evidence of alcohol or substance abuse		Data for ITT population at BL (n=290)
Van Gaal, 1998 <sup>129</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Age ≥18 yrs; BMI 28-43 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; to	IG1: 30 mg, IG2: 60mg,	Age (mean): 42 (calc)
	_	be randomized had to have ≥70% compliance	IG3: 120 mg, IG4: 240 mg	
	Location: 14 centers,	with test medication (placebo)	N Randomized:	Sex (% female): 77 (calc)
	Austria, Belgium, Brazil,	<b>Exclusion:</b> weight loss >4 kg in past 3 mo;	Total: 613 (calc)	
	Finland, Germany, Italy,	history/presence of significant medical	IG1: 122	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	and LIK	tension): pancreatic disease: previous Gl	IG2. 124 IG3: 122	SES (income education): NR
		surgery for weight loss: history of postsurgical	IG4 <sup>.</sup> 120	
	Recruitment Setting:	adhesions or presence of cancer (except	CG: 125	% Hypertension: NR
	NR	treated basal cell carcinoma); psychiatric or		
		neurological disorder requiring chronic meds	N Analyzed: (used	% Diabetes: 0
	Self-Selected: NR	or liable to prejudice compliance; alcohol or	numbers from table 3)	
		substance abuse; bulimia or laxative abuse;		% Dyslipidemia: NR
		pregnancy of lactation, postmenopausal	IG1: 122 IG2: 123	Other health problems: NP
		meds likely to influence body weight or	IG3: 120	
		plasma lipids during past mo; use of anti-	IG4: 117	Note: Data from ITT before the start of
		coagulants, digoxin, antiarrhythmics and lipid-	CG: 124	the double-blind treatment (n=605)
		soluble vitamin supplements; gallstones or		. ,
		symptomatic cholelithiasis; lipid-soluable		
		vitamin levels not in clinical reference range		
	1	or a clinically significant GI disorder		

# Appendix C Table 4a. Evidence Table of Medication Harms Trials: Study Characteristics

Study Reference	Study Characteristics	Inclusion/Exclusion	CONSORT Numbers Retention	Participant Characteristics
Metformin Trials			•	
Trolle, 2007 <sup>131</sup>	Design: RCT	Inclusion: Women aged 18-45 years; referred to the outpatient clinic from Sept	N Randomized: Total: 60	ITT Age (mean): 32
	Location: Denmark	2001-Dec 2002 with symptoms indicating Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS);	IG: 29 CG: 31	Sex (% female): 100
	Patients referred to the outpatient clinic in	limit and olig- or amnorrhea; taking antihypertensive agents was permitted	N Analyzed: Per protocol	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Holstebro	Exclusion: periclimacteric gonadotrophin	Total: 38 IG: 19	SES (income, education): NR
	Self-Selected: NR	values; hyperprolactinaemia; diabetes mellitus; impaired thyroid, renal, or hepatic	CG: 19 <i>ITT Analysis</i>	% Hypertension: NR
		function; hormonal treatment; pregnancy, lactation, or wish for fertility treatment	Total: 56 IG: 27	% Diabetes: 0
			CG: 29	% Dyslipidemia: NR
				Other health problems: PCOS
Combination Trials				
Gokcel, 2002	Design: RCT	<b>Inclusion:</b> Females with BMI > 30 kg/m <sup>-</sup>	N Randomized: Total: 150 (calc)	Age (mean): 42.7 (calc)
	Location: Adana, Turkey	<b>Exclusion:</b> existence of endocrine diseases other than type 2 diabetes; uncontrolled	IG1: 50 IG2: 50	Sex (% female): 100
	Recruitment Setting: Outpatients at the	hypertension or secondary hypertension; renal or hepatic insufficiency; GI disease;	IG3: 50	Race/Ethnicity: NR
	Baskent University Endocrinology and	autoimmune disease; isch heart disease; glaucoma; dysrhythmia; lactation/	N Analyzed: NR	SES (income, education): NR
	Metabolism Clinic in Turkey	pregnancy; psychosis & requirement for any drug with central nervous system effects;	IG1: Sibutramine IG2: Orlistat	% Hypertension: NR
	Self-Selected: NR	cathartics, thyroids supplements, or diuretics	IG3: Metformin	% Diabetes: 10 (calc)
				% Dyslipidemia: NR
				Other health problems: NR

Study Reference	Medication Dose/Duration	Behavioral Components	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Blood Pressure and Heart Range Changes)
Orlistat Trials			
Acharya, 2006 <sup>133</sup>	Intervention setting: Primary care	Diet prescription: NR	NR
Perrio, 2007 <sup>134</sup>	Medication: Orlistat	Exercise prescription: NR	
	mg QD; 22.7% were started at 500 dose below 360 mg QD: 0.4% were	Behavioral intervention description: NR	
	started on a dose of more than 360 mg QD	Number of visits: NR	
	<b>Duration:</b> Median duration of treatment was 150 days		
	Prescriber: GP		
	Incentives: NR		
Bakris, 2002 <sup>126</sup>	Intervention setting: NR	Diet prescription: Nutritionally balanced	Mean (SD), Mean change from BL (SD)
	Medication: Orlistat	hypocaloric diet (estimated energy requirements minus 600 kcal/day) with no	BL 52 wks Diastolic Blood Pressure, mmHg
	Dose: 120 mg TID	dietician periodically to review dietary instructions and food records	<b>CG</b> 98.3 (3.5) -9.2 (8.4) p 0.002
	Duration: 52 weeks	<b>Exercise prescription:</b> Encouraged to participate in moderate physical activity as	Systolic Blood Pressure, mmHg IG 154.2 (13.4) -13.3 (15.2)
	Prescriber: NR	deemed appropriate by their physician	<b>CG</b> 150.8 (12.7) -11.0 (15.0)
	Incentives: NR	Behavioral intervention description: NR	ρ 113
		Number of visits: After screening visit, patients came for BL visit and 11 follow up visits spread over the 52 week duration of the study (13 visits*) *calc	
Broom, 2002 <sup>132</sup>	Intervention setting: "the clinic"	Diet prescription: Hypocaloric diet	Mean (SD)
	unclear if intervention in outpatient clinics or just recruited from there	containing 30% of calories as fat & a max of 300 mg/day cholesterol. Total energy	BL 24 wks Diastolic Blood Pressure, mmHg
	Medication: Orlistat	ws subtracted. Achieved by a mild reduction in food intake from each of the 5 major food	CG 84.0 (9.1) 83.2 (NR) Systolic Blood Pressure mmHa
	Dose: 120 mg TID	groups, with dietary advice provided by a dietician	IG 136.9 (14.8) 135.8 (NR) CG 140.0 (16.4) 138.3 (NR)
	Duration: 24 weeks double blind		
	phase, 28 week open-label phase	<b>Exercise prescription:</b> Patients received advice on physical activity	*Reported as 86.2 in text. 82.6 likely most accurate.
	Prescriber: NR		
	Incentives: NR	Benavioral intervention description: NR	
		Number of visits: Screening visit, followed by BL assessment, and every four weeks up to week 24. During open-label phase clinic visits were at weeks 30, 36, 44, and 52 (12 visits total*) *calc	

Study Reference	Medication Dose/Duration	Behavioral Components	Other Intermediate Outcomes
			(Blood Pressure and Heart Range Changes)
Kelley, 2002 <sup>127</sup>	Intervention setting: 43 centers in US	Diet prescription: Nutritionally balanced, energy deficient diet designed to induce wt loss of 0.25-0.5 kg per week. Contained	Mean (SE) BL 52 wks Change Diastolic Blood Pressure. mmHa
	Medication: Orlistat	$\sim$ 30% of calories as fat, 50% as carbs, and 20% as protein with a max of 300 mg/day of	<b>IG</b> 79.5 (0.5) 77.2 (0.6) -2.3 (0.7) <b>CG</b> 80.9 (0.6) 78.0 (0.5) -1.0 (0.5)
	Dose: 120 mg TID	cholesterol. At BL patients received diet	p 0.075 Systelic Blood Pressure mmHa
	Duration: 52 weeks	Additional dietary instruction was provided at predetermined intervals during the study	<b>IG</b> 135.1 (0.9) 134.0 (1.0) $-1.2$ (1.0) <b>CG</b> 134.9(0.9) 134.0 (1.0) $-0.9$ (1.0)
	Prescriber: NR	period. Dietary compliance monitored by use of dietary intake records. At wk 24 the	<b>p</b> 0.948
	Incentives: NR	prescribed dietary intake was further reduced by 200 kcal/day (min of 1200 kcal/day). Patients were instructed to take a multivitamin at least 2 h before or after evening dose of study drug	IG n analyzed: 266 CG n analyzed: 276
		Exercise prescription: Patients were encouraged to participate in moderate physical activity	
		<b>Behavioral intervention description:</b> Lifestyle and behavioral modification literature were available to all patients throughout the study; dietary intake records were used to evaluate compliance	
		Number of visits: Subjects were seen every 2-4 weeks for study assessment	
Muls, 2001 <sup>130</sup>	Intervention setting: 19 centers in Belgium Medication: Orlistat Dose: 120 mg TID Duration: 24 weeks double blind phase, 24 week open-label extension	Diet prescription: Patients instructed on a nutritionally balanced low-energy diet containing 30% of energy as fat at start of run-in. Energy content calc from estimated total daily energy expenditure minus 600 kcal/day. Lowest energy intake allowed was 1200 kcal/day. Encouraged to take 3 main meals per day. Dietician assessed dietary compliance weeks 4, 12, and 24. Diet maintained through open-label extension	Mean (SD)         BL         48 wks           Diastolic Blood Pressure, mmHg         IG         83.1 (7.4)            CG         82.2 (8.3)          Systolic Blood Pressure, mmHg           IG         133.6 (13.3)          CG           CG         130.6 (12.1)
	Incontivos: NP	Robavioral intervention description: NP	
		Number of visits: At the start and end of run-in phase, monthly during double blind phase (6 mo), and at weeks 28, 36, and 48 during open-label extension (11 visits*) *calc	

Study Reference	Medication Dose/Duration	Behavioral Components	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Blood Pressure and Heart Range Changes)
Van Gaal, 1998 <sup>129</sup>	Intervention setting: 14 European	Diet prescription: Nutritionally balanced,	No clinically relevant abnormalities related to treatment
	centers	mildly hypocaloric diet designed to result in	were observed during treatment period in laboratory
	Mediantian: orlistat	estimated wt loss of 0.25-0.5 kg/week during	values; no changes in relation to hepatocellular
	Medication: Onistat	from fat 50% as carbohydrates 20% as	increased cholelithiasis
	<b>Dose:</b> 30, 60, 120 or 240 mg TID	protein, and max of 300 mg/day of	
		cholesterol. Number of calories equaled the	
	Duration: 24 weeks	estimated daily energy expenditure minus	
	Prescriber: NR	600 Kcal per day, with a min of 1200 kcal per	
	rieschber. Nit	a fall of BMI to 22 kg/m <sup>2</sup> or below on 2	
	Incentives: NR	consecutive visits. Received dietary advice	
		from a qualified dietician	
		Exercise prescription: NR	
		Behavioral intervention description:	
		Required to keep diet diary for 4 days during	
		wks 1 & 2 of lead in period, and during wks	
		3,5,7,9,13,17, and 21 during treatment period	
		Number of visits: Measurements (wt, vital	
		signs, AE's) assessed twice during	
		screening, at day 14 of lead in, and at every	
		& 29 and then every 4 wks) (10 visits*)	
		*calc	
Metformin Trials			
Trolle, 2007 <sup>131</sup>	Intervention setting: Dept of	Diet prescription: NR	Change from BL, median (5-95% percentile)
	Gynaecology & Obstetrics, Hostebro	Exercise prescription: NP	f naiysis
	Tiospital	Exercise prescription. NR	Systolic Blood Pressure_mmHa
	Medication: metformin	Behavioral intervention description: NR	p value
	Dose: 850 mg BID	Number of visits: Participants seen prior to	<b>IG</b> -5.4 (-10.8, -0.1) 0.047 <b>CG</b> 1 (-3.5) 0.529
		inclusion and every 2nd month during	Mean differences between changes: -5 0(-11 2 1 3)
	Duration: 6 months (6 mo on med	treatment periods (6 visits during 12 mo*)	p=0.116
	or placebo, followed by 3 mo	*calc	
	washout before being switched to		
	alternate treatment for another 6 mo)		
	Prescriber: NR		
	Incentives: NR		

Study Reference	Medication Dose/Duration	Behavioral Components	Other Intermediate Outcomes (Blood Pressure and Heart Range Changes)
Combination Trials			
Gokcel, 2002 <sup>136</sup>	Intervention setting: Outpatient	Diet prescription: Recommended to follow	IG2: orlistat IG3: metformin
	clinic	weight reducing daily diet of 25 kcal/kg of	Mean (SEM)
		ideal body weight; 50% calories from carbs,	BL 6 mo p value
	Medication: Metformin, Orlistat	30% from lipids and 20% from proteins; given	Diastolic Blood Pressure, mmHg
		a list of foods that were permitted and not	<b>IG2</b> 79.77 (1.18) 75.98 (0.84) p < 0.008
	Dose:	permitted, as well as guidelines on	<b>IG3</b> 83.41 (1.30) 77.61 (0.74) p < 0.0001
	Orlistat: 120 mg TID	recommended portions and possible	Systolic Blood Pressure, mmHg
	Metformin: 850 mg BID	combinations	<b>IG2</b> 127.21 (1.80) 121.74(1.54) p < 0.0001
			<b>IG3</b> 129.55 (1.98) 123.64 (1.45) p < 0.0001
	Duration: 6 months	Exercise prescription: NR	Heart rate, beats/minute
			<b>IG2</b> 80.25 (1.25) 78.77 (0.93) p < 0.03
	Prescriber: NR	Behavioral intervention description: NR	<b>IG3</b> 81.63 (1.37) 79.95 (1.10) p < 0.006
			<u>% change from BL</u>
	Incentives: NR	Number of visits: Before the start of	Diastolic Blood Pressure, mmHg
		medication and then monthly up to 6 months	<b>IG2</b> 4.75
		of treatment (7 visits*)	IG3 6.95
		*calc	Systolic Blood Pressure, mmHg
			<b>IG2</b> 4.30
			IG3 4.56
			Heart rate, beats/minute
			<b>IG2</b> 2.12
			IG3 1.84

Study Reference	Adverse Effects	Adverse Effects
(continued)		Metabolic & Endocrine
Acharya, 2006 <sup>133</sup>		Hypothyroidism 2
		Female reproductive
Perrio, 2007 <sup>134</sup>		Metrorrhagia 1
		Haemopoietic
		Haematoma spontaneous 1
		Incidence Densities, incidence/1000 patient months exposure
		Diarrhoea 9.29
		Abdominal pain 2.51
		Intolerance 1.47
		Flatulence 1.44
		Headache 1.97
		Nausea,vomiting 1.57
		Rectal discharge 0.91
		Depression 2.76
		Flatulence 1.44
		Headache 1.97
		Nausea, vomiting 1.57
		Rectal discharge 0.91
		Depression 2.76
		Deaths, n(%)
		33 (0.2)*
		*no instances where GP attributed cause of death to the drug
		Pregnancy data is available (3 babies born with congenital anomalies), but
		no associations between exposure and risks are reported by authors
Bakris, 2002 <sup>126</sup>	52 weeks	52 weeks
	Total adverse events	Most commonly reported: fatty/oily stool, soft stool, liquid stool, oily fecal
	IG	spotting, flatus with discharge, and fecal urgency (data not reported)
	CG	Deaths
	Participants reporting adverse events (%)	<b>IG</b> 0
	IG 89*	<b>CG</b> 0
	CG 71	Gastrointestinal events (%)*
	*p <0.001	IG 72.5
	Possibly associated with study drug	<b>CG</b> 43.6
	<b>IG</b> 0	p< 0.001
	<b>CG</b> 0	*occurred early during therapy, frequency tended to decreased with
	Serious adverse events*	continued treatment
	IG 14	Cardiovascular events
	CG 15 (calc)	IG
	*IG: myocardial infarction, chest pain, atrial fibrillation, CG:	CG
	accelerated hypertension, MI, worsening of atherosclerotic	Other body systems (%)
	coronary artery disease, chest pain, and ductal carcinoma in situ.	Infectious
	None were attributed to study medication	IG 46.1
		CG 37.7 Likely NS as NR
	Withdrew due to adverse events	Musculoskeletal
	IG 18* (1 due to serious AE)	IG 22.8
	CG 20 (4 due to serious AE)	CG 15.5
	*GI associated: IG: 15; CG: 6	p < 0.05
		All other systems
		IG 61.4
		CG 50.6
		p < 0.05

Study Reference	Adverse Effects	Adverse Effects
Broom, 2002 <sup>132</sup>	24 weeks	24 weeks
	Total adverse events	Gastrointestinal events, %
	IG	IG 86.6
	CG	CG 42.3
	Reported ≥ 1 adverse event, %	Most transient and mild to moderate
	IG 95.5*	
	CG 85.9	Most commonly reported ( $\geq$ 5%)
	with exception of GI events, not considered to be drug related,	$\frac{ G }{ G } CG$
	most mild or self-limiting	Liquid stools 32.8 9.9
		Increased derecation 23.9 11.3
	(1-4)	Fally/011y Stool 22.4 4.2
	*IC: elective cytoscopy and hydrodistension, stroke, sleep disorder	5013001 22.4 9.9
	benian fluid-filled breast cyst. CG: radiculitis in right elbow	Abdominal pain 13.4 5.6
	cellulitis limb pain hiatus hernia gastric ulcer esonhageal reflux	Flatulence 75 85
	anaemia pregnancy and cholecystectomy	Oily spotting 60 00
	Serious adverse events reported during open label phase	Flatus with discharge 6.0 2.8
	IG 6	*open label phase data available
	Former CG 1	
	*IG: neuropathic toe ulcer, cellulitis, Bell's palsy, dermal bleeding &	
	upper limb injury caused by traffic accident, suicide attempt. CG:	
	abdominal pain	
	Withdrew due to adverse events	
	IG 11	
	<b>CG</b> 5	
	7 and 3 respectively for GI events	
	GI events reported by 54.8% of patients who remained on drug &	
14 11 0000127	75.9% of those who switched to drug during open label phase	50
Kelley, 2002	<u>52 Weeks</u>	52 Weeks
	Serious adverse events	Vitamin levels
	IG	IG
	CG	CG
		Vitamin supplementation
	Withdrew due to adverse events, n(%)	IG
	IG 35 (13)	CG
	<b>CG</b> 22 (8)	Gastrointestinal events, (%)
		IG 80*
	IG n analyzed: 274	<b>CG</b> 62
	CG n analyzed: 276	*p <0.05 (Most with single episode and mild to moderate intensity)
		Cardiovascular events
		UU Huppglucemia (%)
		1 iypogiyocinia, (70)
		CG 97
		p <0.05
		4 patients (1 in CG. 3 in IG) required medical intervention for hypoglycemia
		Incidence of AEs related to other organ systems was similar in both groups

#### Study Reference Adverse Effects Adverse Effects Muls, 2001<sup>130</sup> 48 weeks 48 weeks Most frequently reported adverse events, (%) Total adverse events IG --Liquid stool CG --IG 23 CG 8 Serious adverse events IG --Increased defecation CG --IG 22 % of group reporting adverse events **IG** 80 CG 5 Loose stools CG 67\* IG 16 CG 3 \*p=0.016 Decreased defecation Incidence of GI events, (%) **IG** 64 IG 3 CG 12 CG 38 Withdrew due to adverse events Bronchitis **IG** 12 IG 11 **CG** 4 CG 6 During open-label extension, AEs were more frequently reported in former CG (81%) than former IG (59%)

Study Reference	Adverse Effects	Adverse Effects
Van Gaal, 1998 <sup>129</sup>	IG1: 30 mg, IG2: 60mg, IG3: 120 mg, IG4: 240 mg	Deaths
	<u>6 mo</u>	IG1
	Total adverse events	IG2
	IG1	IG3
	IG2	IG4
	IG3	CG
	IG4	BL 24 weeks
	CG	Vitamin A, mean (µmol ·1-1)
	% of patients with adverse events*	IG1 2.46 2.42
	<b>IG1</b> 79	<b>IG2</b> 2.50 2.50
	IG2 83	<b>IG3</b> 2.40 2.50
	IG3 84	<b>IG4</b> 2.46 2.57
	IG4 87	<b>CG</b> 2.46 2.49
	<b>CG</b> 69	Vitamin D, mean (µmol ·1-1)
	*similar in all treatment groups in all body systems, except for	IG1 60.07 56.65
	gastrointestinal system	<b>IG2</b> 71.19 60.24
	Serious adverse events	IG3 61.26 56.10
	IG 12*	<b>IG4</b> 65.26 54.24*
	<b>CG</b> 2	CG 68.28 67.01
	*4 were considered remotely, possibly or probably related to med	Vitamin E, mean (µmol ·1-1)
	(fecal incontinence, diverticulitis, and abdominal pain)	IG1 26.84 27.36*
	Withdrew due to adverse events, n(%)*	<b>IG2</b> 27.42 26.51*
	IG1 7 (6)	IG3 26.36 26.66*
	<b>IG2</b> 6 (5)	<b>IG4</b> 27.34 25.74*
	IG3 2 (2)	CG 27.47 29.70
	<b>IG4</b> 3 (3)	Beta-carotene, mean (μmol ·1-1)
	<b>CG</b> 3 (2)	IG1 0.41 0.32*
	*11 due to gastrointestinal events (10 in IGs).	<b>IG2</b> 0.40 0.30*
		IG3 0.43 0.30*
	Main AE withdrawals considered to be related to treatment:	<b>IG4</b> 0.47 0.28*
	CG: abnormal GTT, Urticaria	<b>CG</b> 0.42 0.45
	IGs: fecal incontinence, flatulence, liquid stools, abdominal pain,	Patients with 2 or more low vitamin levels(%)
	polymyalgia rheumatica, depression, gastritis	IG1 4.2
		<b>IG2</b> 6.7
		IG3 4.2
		IG4 12.8
		<b>CG</b> 3.3
		Received vitamin supplementation, n
		Atients with at least 1 GLevent (%) (mild to moderate usually when first
		<b>IG1</b> 00.7
		IG3 70.8
		IG4 82.9
		CG 464

Study Reference	Adverse Effects	Adverse Effects
(continued)		Severe Gastrointestinal events, n*
Van Gaal, 1998 <sup>129</sup>		IG1 9
		IG2 8
		<b>IG4</b> 10
		CG 1
		"SUDJECTIVELY CLASSIFIED
		$p \leq 0.001$ compared to placebo
		GLevent incidence of 5% or at least, twice that of CG
		<b>IG1</b> 20.5
		<b>IG2</b> 31.7
		IG3 37.5
		IG4 36.8
		CG 2.4
		Increased defecation
		<b>IG1</b> 18.9
		<b>IG2</b> 18.7
		<b>IG3</b> 19.2
		<b>IG4</b> 17.9
		CG 5.6
		Soft stools
		IG4 20.5
		CG 81
		Qily spotting
		IG1 8.2
		<b>IG2</b> 14.6
		IG3 12.5
		IG4 22.2
		<b>CG</b> 0.0
		Oily evacuation
		IG1 6.6
		<b>IG2</b> 5.7
		Elatus with discharge
		IG1 25
		IG2 6.5
		IG3 7.5
		<b>IG4</b> 6.0
		<b>CG</b> 0.0
		Fecal incontinence
		<b>IG1</b> 1.6
		IG2 3.3
		IG3 5.0
	1	

Study Reference	Adverse Effects	Adverse Effects
Metformin Trials		
Trolle, 2007 <sup>131</sup>	<u>6 mo</u>	Serious adverse events
	Total adverse events	<b>IG</b> 0
	IG	<b>CG</b> 0
	CG	
	Participants reporting adverse event, n	Withdrew due to adverse events
	IG 29*	IG 2
	CG 2	<b>CG</b> 0
	*mostly gastrointestinal	
Combination Trials		
Gokcel, 2002 <sup>136</sup>	<u>6 mo</u>	<u>6 mo (n)</u>
	Withdrew due to adverse events	Abdominal Discomfort
	IG2 2	IG2 22
	<b>IG3</b> 0	IG3 14

Abbreviations: ACE=angiotensin-converting enzyme; ADA=American Diabetes Association; adj=adjusted; AE=adverse event; BDI=Beck Depression Inventory; BL=baseline; BMI=body mass index; BP=blood pressure; bpm=beats per minute; bts=beats; C=cholesterol; CAD=coronary artery disease; calc=calculated; CG=control group; CGIQ=Caregiver Intelligence Quotient; CHF=congestive heart failure; CIC=Clinical Investigation Center; d=day; DBP=diastolic blood pressure; diff=differ/difference; ECG=electrocardiography; est=estimated; FPG=fasting plasma glucose; FSG=fasting serum glucose; GI=gastrointestinal; GP=general practitioner; HDL=high-density lipoprotein; HR=heart rate; HTN=hypertension; ID=incidence density; IG=intervention group; ITT=intention to treat; LCD=low-calorie diet; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; LOCF=last observation carried forward; LV=left ventricle; LVEF=left ventricle ejection fraction; LVH=left ventricle hypertrophy; LVM=left ventricle mass; LVMI=left ventricle mass/height; maint=maintenance; med=medication; n=number; NA=not applicable; NHS=National Health Service; NR=not reported; NS=not significant; obs=observed; PCOS=polycystic ovary syndrome; PCP=primary care physician; pt=patient; QTc=QT interval; RCT=randomized controlled trial; RMR=resting metabolic rate; Rx=prescription; SBP=systolic blood pressure; SD=standard deviation; SE=standard error; SEM=standard error of the mean; SES=socioeconomic status; TG=triglycerides; UK=United Kingdom; US=United States; WHO=World Health Organization; wt=weight; x=times.

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Ashley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, Perumean-Chaney SE, Gilbertson MC, McCall NL, et al. Weight control in the physician's office. <i>Arch Intern Med</i> . 2001;161(13):1599-604.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Bemelmans WJ, Broer J, de Vries JH, Hulshof KF, May JF, Meyboom-De Jong B. Impact of Mediterranean diet education versus posted leaflet on dietary habits and serum cholesterol in a high risk population for cardiovascular disease. <i>Public</i> <i>Health Nutr.</i> 2000;3(3):273-83.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
de Wit LT, Mathus-Vliegen L, Hey C, Rademaker B, Gouma DJ, Obertop H. Open versus laparoscopic adjustable silicone gastric banding: a prospective randomized trial for treatment of morbid obesity. <i>Ann Surg.</i> 1999;230(6):800-5.	Not one of the specified interventions
Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, Hill JO, Sullivan DK, Johnson SL. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2003;78(5):950-6.	High or differential attrition
Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. <i>Am Heart J.</i> 2001;142(3):489-97.	Less than 12 months followup
Muir J, Mant D, Jones L, Yudkin P. Effectiveness of health checks conducted by nurses in primary care: results of the OXCHECK study after one year. <i>BMJ.</i> 1994;308(6924):308-12.	Not one of the specified interventions
Eiben G, Lissner L. Health Hunters—an intervention to prevent overweight and obesity in young high-risk women. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2006;30(4):691-6.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Nenonen A, Pasanen M. Effects of walking training on weight maintenance after a very-low-energy diet in premenopausal obese women: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2000;160(14):2177-84.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, Minei A, Ceriello A, De RN, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. <i>Eur J Clin Pharmacol</i> 1993;44(2):107-12.	Less than 12 months followup
Gokcel A, Karakose H, Ertorer EM, Tanaci N, Tutuncu NB, Guvener N. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2001;24(11):1957-60.	Not on list of countries with HDI >0.90
Hiratsuka VY, Loo R, Will JC, Oberrecht R, Poindexter P. Cardiovascular disease risk factor screening among Alaska Native women: the Traditions of the Heart Project. <i>Int J Circumpolar Health.</i> 2007;66(Suppl 1):39-44.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Imperial Cancer Research Fund OXCHECK Study Group. Effectiveness of health checks conducted by nurses in primary care: final results of the OXCHECK study. <i>BMJ</i> . 1995;310(6987):1099-104.	Not one of the specified interventions
Jakicic JM, Winters C, Lang W, Wing RR. Effects of intermittent exercise and use of home exercise equipment on adherence, weight loss, and fitness in overweight women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 1999;282(16):1554-60.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Jeffery RW, French SA. Preventing weight gain in adults: design, methods and one year results from the Pound of Prevention study. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.</i> 1997;21(6):457-64.	Study of overweight/obesity prevention
Leermakers EA, Perri MG, Shigaki CL, Fuller PR. Effects of exercise-focused versus weight-focused maintenance programs on the management of obesity. <i>Addict Behav.</i> 1999;24(2):219-27.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Rothacker DQ, Staniszewski BA, Ellis PK. Liquid meal replacement vs traditional food: a potential model for women who cannot maintain eating habit change. <i>J Am Diet Assoc.</i> 2001;101(3):345-7.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Sbrocco T, Nedegaard RC, Stone JM, Lewis EL. Behavioral choice treatment promotes continuing weight loss: preliminary results of a cognitive-behavioral decision-based treatment for obesity. <i>J Consult Clin Psychol.</i> 1999;67(2):260-6	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Schriefer SP, Landis SE, Turbow DJ, Patch SC. Effect of a computerized body mass index prompt on diagnosis and treatment of adult obesity. <i>Fam Med.</i> 2009;41(7):502-7.	No weight outcomes
Van Gaal LF, Broom JI, Enzi G, Toplak H. Efficacy and tolerability of orlistat in the treatment of obesity: a 6-month dose-ranging study. <i>Eur J Clin Pharmacol.</i>	Less than 12 months followup

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
1998;54(2):125-32.	
Wadden TA, Berkowitz RI, Sarwer DB, Prus-Wisniewski R, Steinberg C. Benefits	Does not meet design
of lifestyle modification in the pharmacologic treatment of obesity: a randomized	requirements in inclusion criteria
trial. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161(2):218-27.	
Weiner R, Bockhorn H, Rosenthal R, Wagner D. A prospective randomized trial of	Not one of the specified
different laparoscopic gastric banding techniques for morbid obesity. Surg	interventions
<i>Endosc.</i> 2001;15(1):63-8.	
Wing RR, Anglin K. Effectiveness of a behavioral weight control program for	Does not meet design
blacks and whites with NIDDM. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 1996;19(5):409-13.	requirements in inclusion criteria

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Aadahl M, von Huth Smith L, Pisinger C, et al. Five-year change in physical activity	Does not meet design
is associated with changes in cardiovascular disease risk factors. Prev Med.	requirements in inclusion criteria
2009;48(4):326-31.	
Acharya NV, Wilton LV, Shakir SA. Safety profile of orlistat: results of a	Does not meet design
prescription-event monitoring study. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1645-52.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Agurs-Collins TD, Kumanyika SK, Ten Have TR, Adams-Campbell LL. A	Less than 12 months followup
randomized controlled trial of weight reduction and exercise for diabetes	
management in older African-American subjects. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 1997;20:1503-11.	
Akinson RL. Conjugated linoleic acid for altering body composition and treating	Does not meet design
obesity. In: Yurawecz MP, Mossoba MM, Kramer JK, et al, eds. Advances in	requirements in inclusion criteria
Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research. Vol 1. Champaign, IL: AOCS Press; 1999:348-	
Alnassan S, Kim S, Bersamin A, et al. Dietary adherence and weight loss success	Comparative effectiveness
among overweight women: results from the A TO Z weight loss study. Int J Obes.	
2008;32:985-91.	
Allen P, Thompson JL, Herman CJ, et al. Impact of periodic follow-up testing	Not one of the specified
among urban American Indian women with impaired fasting glucose. Prev Chronic	Interventions
Dis. 2006,5(5).Arts.	Comparativa offectiveness
Anuelsen RE, Wauden TA, Bantell SJ, et al. Effects of mestyle activity vs	Comparative enectiveness
Anderson IW Grant L Cottbelf L Stifler LT Waight loss and long term follow up of	Does not meet design
severely obese individuals treated with an intense behavioral program. Int I Obes	requirements in inclusion criteria
2007-31-488-93	requirements in inclusion chiena
Anderssen SA Carroll S. Lirdal P. Holme I. Combined diet and evercise	No weight or barms outcomes
intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from	No weight of harms butcomes
the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2007:17:687-95	
Anderssen SA Holme I. Urdal P. Hiermann I. Associations between central obesity	Does not include specified
and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism; results of a 1-year	weight outcomes
intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.	
1998;8:109-15.	
Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention	Not focused on behavioral or
program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.	pharmacological interventions
	designed to promote weight loss
Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight	No weight or harms outcomes
loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> . 2010;79(3):320-6.	
Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining	Comparative effectiveness
differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program	
after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.	
Aptelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss	Sibutramine intervention
after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and	
tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.	
Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle	Not one of the specified
modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial.	Interventions
JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.	
Appei LJ, Espeiand MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on	No weight or narms outcomes
Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Areh Intern Med. 2001;161:695.02	
Arterburn D. Del aet D. Sebauer D. Obesity in adults. <i>Clin Evid (Opline)</i> 2008	Doos not moot dosign
Arterburn D, Delaer D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Chin Evid (Online). 2006.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Ash S. Reeves M. Bauer, Let al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle	Comparative effectiveness
arouns individual counselling and written information in the management of weight	
and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006:30:1557-64.	
Ashley JM. St Jeor ST. Schrage JP. et al. Weight control in the physician's office	Comparative effectiveness
Arch Intern Med. 2001:161:1599-604.	
Ashutosh K. Methrotra K. Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and	Comparative effectiveness
exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness.	
1997;37:252-7.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Avenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight	Does not meet design
reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum	requirements in inclusion criteria
Nutr Diet. 2004;17:317-35.	
Babamoto KS, Sey KA, Camilleri AJ, et al. Improving diabetes care and health	Less than 12 months followup
measures among Hispanics using community health workers: results from a	
Tandomized controlled that. Health Educ Benav. 2009;30:113-20.	Sibutramina intervention
obese patients treated with sibutramine. <i>Obes Res.</i> 1999;7:363-9.	
Bacon L, Keim NL, Van Loan MD, et al. Evaluating a "non-diet" wellness	Comparative effectiveness
intervention for improvement of metabolic fitness, psychological well-being and	
eating and activity behaviors. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2002;26:854-65.	
Bakris G, Calnoun D, Egan B, et al. Orlistat improves blood pressure control in	High or differential attrition
2002.20.2257.67	
Balducci S. Zanuso S. Nicolucci A. et al. Anti-inflammatory effect of exercise	Not focused on behavioral or
training in subjects with type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome is dependent	pharmacological interventions
on exercise modalities and independent of weight loss. <i>Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.</i>	designed to promote weight loss
2010;20:608-17.	g
Barr SI, McCarron DA, Heaney RP, et al. Effects of increased consumption of fluid	Not one of the specified
milk on energy and nutrient intake, body weight, and cardiovascular risk factors in	interventions
healthy older adults. J Am Diet Assoc. 2000;100:810-7.	
Bauer C, Fischer A, Keller U. Effect of sibutramine and of cognitive-behavioural	Less than 12 months followup
weight loss therapy in obesity and subclinical binge eating disorder. <i>Diabetes Obes</i>	
Metab. 2006;8:289-95.	
Beck-da-Silva L, Higginson L, Fraser M, et al. Effect of orlistat in obese patients	Less than 12 months followup
With heart failure: a pilot study. Congest Heart Fail. 2005;11:118-23.	Not focused on behavioral or
beineinans WJ, bloer J, de Viles JH, et al. impact of Mediterratiean diet education	not locused on benavioral of
nonulation for cardiovascular disease. Public Health Nutr. 2000:3:273-83	designed to promote weight loss
Bergstrom L Lombardo C. Brinck J. Physical training decreases waist	Focus on patients in subgroups
circumference in postmenopausal borderline overweight women. Acta Obstet	other than specified conditions
Gynecol Scand. 2009;88:308-13.	
Bhargava A, Guthrie JF. Unhealthy eating habits, physical exercise and	Not one of the specified
macronutrient intakes are predictors of anthropometric indicators in the Women's	interventions
Health Trial Feasibility Study In Minority Populations. <i>Br J Nutr.</i> 2002;88:719-28.	
Blumenthal JA, Sherwood A, Gullette EC, et al. Exercise and weight loss reduce	Less than 12 months followup
blood pressure in men and women with mild hypertension: effects on	
cardiovascular, metabolic, and nemodynamic functioning. Arch Intern Med.	
2000, 100, 1947-30. Bo S. Ciccone G. Baldi C. et al. Effectiveness of a lifestyle intervention on	Not one of the specified
metabolic syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. <i>J Gen Intern Med</i>	interventions
2007:22:1695-703.	interventions
Bo S. Ciccone G. Guidi S. et al. Diet or exercise: what is more effective in	Not one of the specified
preventing or reducing metabolic alterations? <i>Eur J Endocrinol.</i> 2008;159:685-91.	interventions
Borg P, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Fogelholm M, Pasanen M. Effects of walking or	Comparative effectiveness
resistance training on weight loss maintenance in obese, middle-aged men: a	
randomized trial. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2002;26:676-83.	
Botomino A, Bruppacher R, Krahenbuhl S, Hersberger KE. Change of body weight	Does not meet design
and lifestyle of persons at risk for diabetes after screening and counselling in	requirements in inclusion criteria
pharmacles. Pharm World Sci. 2008;30:222-6.	
Bowen D, Clifford CK, Coates R, et al. The Women's Health Trial Feasibility Study	Not one of the specified
Rowen I Noakes M Clifton PM A high dainy protein high-calcium diet minimizes	Less than 12 months followup
bone turnover in overweight adults during weight loss. J Nutr. 2004:134:568-73.	
Bowerman S, Bellman M, Saltsman P, et al. Implementation of a primary care	Less than 12 months followup
physician network obesity management program. Obes Res. 2001;9(Suppl	
4):S321-5.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Bravata DM, Smith-Spangler C, Sundaram V, et al. Using pedometers to increase	Does not meet design
physical activity and improve health: a systematic review. JAMA. 2007;298:2296-	requirements in inclusion criteria
304.	
Brinkworth GD, Noakes M, Keogh JB, et al. Long-term effects of a high-protein,	Comparative effectiveness
low-carbohydrate diet on weight control and cardiovascular risk markers in obese	
nyperinsulinemic subjects. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2004;28:661-70.	O and a set in a set
Brinkworth GD, Noakes M, Parker B, et al. Long-term effects of advice to consume	Comparative effectiveness
a high-protein, low-rat diet, rather than a conventional weight-loss diet, in obese adults with type 2 diabetes: one-year follow-up of a randomised trial. Diabetologia	
Broom I. Hughes F. Dodson P. Reckless J. The role of orlistat in the treatment of	Less than 12 months followup
obese patients with mild to moderate hypercholesterolaemia: consequences for	
coronary risk. Br J Cardiol. 2002;9:460-8.	
Brownell KD. The LEARN Program for Weight Management. New Haven, CT:	Comparative effectiveness
American Health Publishing Company; 2000.	-
Bryan J, Tiggemann M. The effect of weight-loss dieting on cognitive performance	Less than 12 months followup
and psychological well-being in overweight women. Appetite. 2001;36:147-56.	
Buchwald H, Avidor Y, Braunwald E, et al. Bariatric surgery: a systematic review	Does not meet design
and meta-analysis. <i>JAMA</i> . 2004;292:1724-37.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Burke V, Beilin LJ, Cutt HE, et al. A litestyle program for treated hypertensives	No weight or harms outcomes
Improved health-related behaviors and cardiovascular risk factors, a randomized	
Controlled that. J Cilli Epidemiol. 2007,00.155-41.	No weight or harma outcomes
bulke V, Malisoul J, Bellin LJ, Molt TA. Long-term follow-up of participants in a health promotion program for treated hypertensives (ADAPT). Nutr Metab	No weight of harms outcomes
Cardiovasc Dis 2008:18:198-206	
Burke V Mori TA Giangiulio N et al. An innovative program for changing health	High or differential attrition
behaviours. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2002:11(Suppl 3):S586-97.	right of differential authorit
Caan B. Neuhouser M. Aragaki A. et al. Calcium plus vitamin D supplementation	Not one of the specified
and the risk of postmenopausal weight gain. Arch Intern Med. 2007;167:893-902.	interventions
Calle-Pascual AL, Rodriguez C, Camacho F, et al. Behaviour modification in obese	Does not meet design
subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 1992;15:157-62.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Campbell PT, Campbell KL, Wener MH, et al. A yearlong exercise intervention	Not focused on behavioral or
decreases CRP among obese postmenopausal women. Med Sci Sports Exerc.	pharmacological interventions
2009;41:1533-9.	designed to promote weight loss
Carr DB, Utzschneider KM, Boyko EJ, et al. A reduced-fat diet and aerobic exercise	Comparative effectiveness
In Japanese Americans with impaired glucose tolerance decreases intra-abdominar	
Carr LL Bartee RT Dorozvoski CM et al. Fight-month follow-up of physical activity	Comparative effectiveness
and central adiposity: results from an Internet-delivered randomized control trial	comparative encouveriess
intervention. J Phys Act Health. 2009:6:444-55.	
Castaneda C, Layne JE, Munoz-Orians L, et al. A randomized controlled trial of	Less than 12 months followup
resistance exercise training to improve glycemic control in older adults with type 2	•
diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2002;25:2335-41.	
Chang MW, Nitzke S, Brown R. Design and outcomes of a Mothers In Motion	Less than 12 months followup
behavioral intervention pilot study. <i>J Nutr Educ Behav.</i> 2010;42(Suppl 3):S11-21.	
Charles MA, Morange P, Eschwege E, et al. Effect of weight change and metformin	No weight or harms outcomes
on fibrinolysis and the von Willebrand factor in obese nondiabetic subjects: the	
BIGPRO1 study. Diabetes Care. 1998;21:1967-72.	
Cheyette C. Weight No More: a randomised controlled trial for people with type 2	High or differential attrition
Chipagon II. Lau DC. Laitar LA. et al. Elugyating has potential in above NIDDM	Not one of the appointed
multicenter Canadian trial Diabates 1980-38(Suppl 2): $\Delta 154$	interventions
Clark M Hampson SE Avery L Simpson R Effects of a tailored lifestyle self-	Not focused on behavioral or
management intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes. Br J Health Psychol	pharmacological interventions
2004;9:365-79.	designed to promote weight loss
Clarke KK, Freeland-Graves J, Klohe-Lehman DM, et al. Promotion of physical	Less than 12 months followup
activity in low-income mothers using pedometers. J Am Diet Assoc. 2007;107:962-	
7.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Clifford PA, Tan SY, Gorsuch RL. Efficacy of a self-directed behavioral health change program: weight, body composition, cardiovascular fitness, blood pressure, health rick, and psychoscopial modiating variables. <i>J. Behav. Med.</i> 1001:11:202-22	Comparative effectiveness
Cocco G. Pandolfi S. Rousson V. Sufficient weight reduction decreases	Less than 12 months followup
cardiovascular complications in diabetic patients with the metabolic syndrome: a randomized study of orlistat as an adjunct to lifestyle changes (diet and exercise).	
Heart Drug. 2005;5:68-74.	Less then 10 menths following
caloric restriction on hepatic and peripheral insulin resistance in obesity. <i>J Clin</i> <i>Endocrinol Metabol.</i> 2009;94:4258-66.	Less than 12 months followup
Corpeleijn E, Feskens EJ, Jansen EH, et al. Improvements in glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity after lifestyle intervention are related to changes in serum fatty acid profile and desaturase activities: the SLIM study. <i>Diabetologia</i> . 2006;49:2392-401.	Not one of the specified interventions
Counterweight Project Team. Evaluation of the Counterweight Programme for obesity management in primary care: a starting point for continuous improvement. <i>Br J Gen Pract.</i> 2008;58:548-54.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Counterweight Project Team. Influence of body mass index on prescribing costs and potential cost savings of a weight management programme in primary care. <i>J Health Serv Res Policy</i> . 2008;13:158-66.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Cousins JH, Rubovits DS, Dunn JK, et al. Family versus individually oriented intervention for weight loss in Mexican American women. <i>Public Health Rep.</i> 1992;107:549-55.	Comparative effectiveness
Cuellar GE, Ruiz AM, Monsalve MC, Berber A. Six-month treatment of obesity with sibutramine 15 mg; a double-blind, placebo-controlled monocenter clinical trial in a Hispanic population. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2000;8:71-82.	Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90
Culturally appropriate lifestyle interventions promote weight loss in rural dwelling people with type 2 diabetes. <i>Evid Based Healthc Pub Health.</i> 2005;9:231-2.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Dale KS, Mann JI, McAuley KA, et al. Sustainability of lifestyle changes following an intensive lifestyle intervention in insulin resistant adults: follow-up at 2-years. <i>Asia Pac J Clin Nutri.</i> 2009;18:114-20.	Comparative effectiveness
Dale KS, McAuley KA, Taylor RW, et al. Determining optimal approaches for weight maintenance: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Can Med Assoc J.</i> 2009;180:E39-46.	Comparative effectiveness
Davies MJ, Heller S, Skinner TC, et al. Effectiveness of the Diabetes Education and Self Management for Ongoing and Newly Diagnosed (DESMOND) programme for people with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: cluster randomised controlled trial. <i>BMJ</i> . 2008;336:491-5.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Davis BR, Blaufox MD, Oberman A, et al. Reduction in long-term antihypertensive medication requirements: effects of weight reduction by dietary intervention in overweight persons with mild hypertension. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 1993;153:1773-82.	No weight or harms outcomes
de Wit LT, Mathus-Vliegen L, Hey C, et al. Open versus laparoscopic adjustable silicone gastric banding: a prospective randomized trial for treatment of morbid obesity. <i>Ann Surg.</i> 1999;230:800-5.	Not one of the specified interventions
Delahanty LM, Nathan DM. Implications of the Diabetes Prevention Program and Look AHEAD clinical trials for lifestyle interventions. <i>J Am Diet Assoc.</i> 2008;108(Suppl 1):S66-72.	Comparative effectiveness
Delecluse C, Colman V, Roelants M, et al. Exercise programs for older men: mode and intensity to induce the highest possible health-related benefits. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2004;39:823-33.	Less than 12 months followup
Dennis KE, Tomoyasu N, McCrone SH, et al. Self-efficacy targeted treatments for weight loss in postmenopausal women. <i>Sch Inq Nurs Pract.</i> 2001;15:259-76.	Comparative effectiveness
Derosa G, Cicero AF, Murdolo G, et al. Efficacy and safety comparative evaluation of orlistat and sibutramine treatment in hypertensive obese patients. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2005;7:47-55.	Comparative effectiveness
Devine A, Prince RL, Bell R. Nutritional effect of calcium supplementation by skim milk powder or calcium tablets on total nutrient intake in postmenopausal women. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 1996;64:731-7.	Comparative effectiveness

Di Francesco V, Sacco T, Zamboni M, et al. Weight loss and quality of life improvement in obese subjects treated with sibutramine: a double-blind randomized multicenter study. Ann Nutr Metab. 2007;51:75-81.       Sibutramine intervention         Di Loreto C, Fanelli C, Lucidi P, et al. Validation of a counseling strategy to promote the adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects. Diabetes Care. 2003;26:404-8.       Comparative effectiveness         Ditschuneit HH, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight- loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999;69:198-204.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mon of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, e
improvement in obese subjects treated with sibutramine: a double-blind         randomized multicenter study. Ann Nutr Metab. 2007;51:75-81.         Di Loreto C, Fanelli C, Lucidi P, et al. Validation of a counseling strategy to promote the adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2003;26:404-8.       Comparative effectiveness         Ditschuneit HH, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight-loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 1999;69:198-204.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2003;163:1343-50.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.</i> 2000;24:566-72.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aeroic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2003;78:950-6.       High or differential attrition         Dune AL, Tarsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight and comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Less than 12 months followup         Duijovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Ef
randomized multicenter study. Ann Nutr Metab. 2007;51:75-81.       Comparative effectiveness         Di Loreto C, Fanelli C, Lucidi P, et al. Validation of a counseling strategy to promote the adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects. Diabetes Care. 2003;26:404-8.       Comparative effectiveness         Ditschuneit HH, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight-loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999;69:198-204.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Ouroled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibultramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, ra
Di Loreto C, Fanelli C, Lucidi P, et al. Validation of a counseling strategy to promote the adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects. Diabetes Care. 2003;26:404-8.       Comparative effectiveness         Ditschuneit HH, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight- loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999;69:198-204.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 moof verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       High or differential attrition         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Sibutramine intervention         Duipovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutram
the adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects.       Diabetes Care. 2003;26:404-8.         Ditschuneit HH, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weightloss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999;69:198-204.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       High or differential attrition         Dunelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489-97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical
Diabetes Care. 2003;26:404-8.       Comparative effectiveness         Dischuneit HH, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight- loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr.       Comparative effectiveness         1999;69:198-204.       Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;78:1232-41.       Less than 12 months followup         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomiz
Dissetters of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr.       1999;69:198-204.         Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       High or differential attrition         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489-97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical
Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction         Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction         Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction         Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction         Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction         Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction         Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction         Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction       Instruction
Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.High or differential attritionDonnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.Comparative effectivenessDonnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.High or differential attritionDonnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.Less than 12 months followupDue A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.Sibutramine interventionDujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.Sibutramine interventionDunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.Comparative effectivenessDunote DW. Dolv BM. Duo N. ot al. Homo hysela activity and cardiorespiratore training in
controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Sibutramine intervention         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489-97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunotared trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.
and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.Comparative effectivenessDonnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.High or differential attritionDonnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.Less than 12 months followupDue A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.Sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.Sibutramine interventionDunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.Comparative effectivenessDuno DW, DM, DW, PM, Owen N, et al. Home based registrance training in partComparative effectiveness
Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.Comparative effectivenessDonnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.High or differential attritionDonnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.Less than 12 months followupDue A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.Less than 12 months followupDujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.Sibutramine interventionDunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.Comparative effectivenessDunoted DW, Dalv RM, Owen N, et al. Home hered registence training in potComparative effectivenese
intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.High or differential attritionDonnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.High or differential attritionDonnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.Less than 12 months followupDue A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.Less than 12 months followupDujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.Sibutramine interventionDunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.Comparative effectivenessDuration DW, Dalv RM, Owen N, et al. Homo based registance training in pertors.Comparative effectiveness
composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.High or differential attritionDonnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.High or differential attritionDonnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.Less than 12 months followupDue A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.Less than 12 months followupDujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.Sibutramine interventionDunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.Comparative effectivenessDunstan DW, Dalv PM, Owen N, et al. Home based resistance training is net.Comparative effectiveness
Temales. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:506-72.Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.High or differential attritionDonnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.Less than 12 months followupDue A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.Less than 12 months followupDujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.Sibutramine interventionDunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.Comparative effectivenessDupston DW, Daly BM, Owen, N, et al. Hamo based resistence training in potComparative effectiveness
Dorinelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of romo of vermed, supervised       High of differential attrition         aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the       Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.         Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Less than 12 months followup         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489-97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunsta DW/, Daly PM, Owon N, et al. Home based resistence training is not.       Comparative effectiveness
Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.Less than 12 months followupDonnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.Less than 12 months followupDue A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.Less than 12 months followupDujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.Sibutramine interventionDunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.Comparative effectivenessDunstan DW, Dalv BM, Owon N, et al. Home based resistence training in pot.Comparative effectiveness
Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Less than 12 months followup         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489-97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunstan DW, Daly BM. Owon N, et al. Home based resistence training is pet.       Comparative effectiveness
achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes (London). 2007;31:1270-6.Less than 12 months followupDue A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.Less than 12 months followupDujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.Sibutramine interventionDunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.Comparative effectivenessDunstan DW, Daly BM, Owen N, et al. Home based resistence training in pet.Comparative effectiveness
Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Less than 12 months followup         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunstan DW, Daly BM, Owon N, et al. Home based resistence training is pet.       Comparative effectiveness
maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Sibutramine intervention         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunstan DW, Daly BM, Owen N, et al. Home based resistence training is pet.       Comparative effectiveness
controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body         weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in         322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489-         97.         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured         interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a         randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.         Dupstan DW, Daly BM, Owon N, et al. Homo based resistence training is pet
Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body       Sibutramine intervention         weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in       Sibutramine intervention         322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness
weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in         322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.         Dunstan DW, Daly BM, Owon N, et al. Home based resistence training is not.
97. Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 1999;281:327-34. Dunstan DW, Daly BM, Owon N, et al. Homo based resistence training in pet
Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 1999;281:327-34.
interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.
randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.
Dunstan DW, Daly PM, Owon N, et al. Home based resistance training is not
Dunstan Dvv, Daty Rivi, Owen IV, et al. Home-based resistance training is not Comparative effectiveness
sufficient to maintain improved glycemic control following supervised training in
older individuals with type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2005;28:3-9.
Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low- No weight or harms outcomes
Dymok MP, Lo Grango D, Novon K, Alvordy L, Quality of life after gastric hypass. Not focused on hobavioral or
surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42
designed to promote weight loss
Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial Not focused on behavioral or
adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg. pharmacological interventions
2001;11:32-9. designed to promote weight loss
Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, Not one of the specified
II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with interventions
Increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. <i>Metabolism.</i> 1997;46:50-5.
Digital Displayed areater improvements in putrition and physical activity at a tiny pharmacological interventions
increase in cost . I Clin Enidemiol. 2004:57:610-19
Early JL. Apovian CM. Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement Sibutramine intervention
therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity (Silver
Spring). 2007;15:1464-72.
Eddy DM, Schlessinger L, Kahn R. Clinical outcomes and cost-effectiveness of Not focused on behavioral or
strategies for managing people at high risk for diabetes. Ann Intern Med. pharmacological interventions
2005;143:251-64. designed to promote weight loss
Elbern G, Lissner L. Health Hunters—an intervention to prevent overweight and Not focused on behavioral or
designed to promote weight loss

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Elhayany A, Lustman A, Abel R, et al. A low carbohydrate Mediterranean diet	Comparative effectiveness
Improves cardiovascular risk factors and diabetes control among overweight	
study. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2010;12:204-9.	
Elmer PJ, Obarzanek E, Vollmer WM, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle	Comparative effectiveness
modification on diet, weight, physical fitness, and blood pressure control: 18-month	
results of a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2006;144:485-95.	
Ely AC, Banitt A, Befort C, et al. Kansas primary care weighs in: a pilot randomized	Less than 12 months followup
practices. J Rural Health. 2008:24:125-32.	
Eriksson KM, Westborg CJ, Eliasson MC. A randomized trial of lifestyle intervention	Not one of the specified
in primary healthcare for the modification of cardiovascular risk factors. Scand J	interventions
Pub Health. 2006;34:453-61.	
Esposito K, Giugliano F, Di Palo C, et al. Effect of lifestyle changes on erectile	Comparative effectiveness
dystunction in obese men: a randomized controlled that. JAMA. 2004;291:2978-84.	Not focused on behavioral or
endothelial dysfunction and markers of vascular inflammation in the metabolic	pharmacological interventions
syndrome: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2004;292:1440-6.	designed to promote weight loss
Esposito K, Pontillo A, Di Palo C, et al. Effect of weight loss and lifestyle changes	Comparative effectiveness
on vascular inflammatory markers in obese women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> .	
2003;289:1799-804.	Comparative offectiveness
loss success: results from a randomized controlled trial. Behav Res Ther	Comparative ellectiveness
2009;47:685-91.	
Fanghanel G, Cortinas L, Sanchez-Reyes L, Berber A. A clinical trial of the use of	Less than 12 months followup
sibutramine for the treatment of patients suffering essential obesity. Int J Obes	
Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:144-50.	
Fanghanel G, Cortinas L, Sanchez-Reyes L, et al. Safety and efficacy of sibutraming in evenweight Hispanic patients with hypothesion. Adv Ther	Less than 12 months followup
Faria AN, Ribeiro Filho FF, Kohlmann NE, et al. Effects of sibutramine on	Less than 12 months followup
abdominal fat mass, insulin resistance and blood pressure in obese hypertensive	
patients. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2005;7:246-53.	
Faria AN, Ribeiro Filho FF, Lerario DD, et al. Effects of sibutramine on the	Less than 12 months followup
2002 <sup>·</sup> 78·172-80	
Faulconbridge LF, Wadden TA, Berkowitz RI, et al. Changes in symptoms of	No placebo in medication trial
depression with weight loss: results of a randomized trial. Obesity (Silver Spring).	•
2009;17:1009-16.	
Ferre R, Plana N, Merino J, et al. Effects of therapeutic lifestyle changes on	Not focused on behavioral or
Cardiovasc Dis 2010 Aug 11 [Epub abead of print]	designed to promote weight loss
Figueroa A. Going SB. Milliken I A. et al. Effects of exercise training and hormone	Not focused on behavioral or
replacement therapy on lean and fat mass in postmenopausal women. J Gerontol A	pharmacological interventions
Biol Sci Med Sci. 2003;58:266-70.	designed to promote weight loss
Finer N, Bloom SR, Frost GS, et al. Sibutramine is effective for weight loss and	Sibutramine intervention
diabetic control in obesity with type 2 diabetes: a randomised, double-blind,	
Finkelstein FA Linnan LA Tate DE Leese PL A longitudinal study on the	Conducted primarily in a pop-
relationship between weight loss, medical expenditures, and absenteeism among	relevant setting
overweight employees in the WAY to Health study. J Occup Environ Med.	
2009;51:1367-73.	
Finley CE, Barlow CE, Greenway FL, et al. Retention rates and weight loss in a	Does not meet design
commercial weight loss program. Int J Obes (Lond). 2007;31:292-8.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Trial (ORBIT): 18-month results. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2010:18:2317-25	No weight of harms outcomes
Flechtner-Mors M, Ditschuneit HH, Johnson TD, et al. Metabolic and weight loss	Comparative effectiveness
effects of long-term dietary intervention in obese patients: four-year results. Obes	
Res. 2000;8:399-402.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Fleming RM. The effect of high-, moderate-, and low-fat diets on weight loss and cardiovascular disease risk factors. <i>Prev Cardiol.</i> 2002;5:110-8.	Comparative effectiveness
Flood A, Mitchell N, Jaeb M, et al. Energy density and weight change in a long-term weight-loss trial. <i>Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.</i> 2009;6:57.	Study of overweight/obesity prevention
Focht BC, Rejeski WJ, Ambrosius WT, et al. Exercise, self-efficacy, and mobility performance in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis. <i>Arthritis Rheum.</i> 2005;53:659-65.	Comparative effectiveness
Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Nenonen A, Pasanen M. Effects of walking training on weight maintenance after a very-low-energy diet in premenopausal obese women: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2000;160:2177-84.	Comparative effectiveness
Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Oja P. Eating control and physical activity as determinants of short-term weight maintenance after a very-low-calorie diet among obese women. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.</i> 1999;23:203-10.	Comparative effectiveness
Fontana L, Villareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects on coronary heart disease risk factors: a randomized, controlled trial. <i>Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2007;293:E197-202.	Comparative effectiveness
Fontbonne A, Diouf I, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with metformin on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diabetic upper-body obese subjects with mild glucose anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. <i>Diabetes Metab.</i> 2009;35:385-91.	No weight or harms outcomes
Fossati M, Amati F, Painot D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous nutritional and physical activity education in obese patients with binge eating disorder. <i>Eat Weight Disord</i> . 2004;9:134-8.	Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions
Foster GD, Borradaile KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes: the Sleep AHEAD study. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2009;169:1619-26.	Comparative effectiveness
Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2003;348:2082-90.	Comparative effectiveness
Foster-Schubert KE, McTiernan A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2005;90:820-5.	No weight or harms outcomes
Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. <i>Diabetes</i> . 2007;56:1680-5.	No weight or harms outcomes
Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. <i>Clin Endocrinol.</i> 2004;60:241-9.	No weight or harms outcomes
Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1 y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2004;79:1118-25.	Not one of the specified interventions
Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. <i>J Nutr.</i> 2005;135:778-84.	Not one of the specified interventions
Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. <i>Ann Phys Rehab Med</i> . 2009;52:394-413.	Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90
Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. <i>Eur J Clin Pharmacol.</i> 1993;44:107-12.	Less than 12 months followup
Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> , 1997:32:175-84	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. <i>J Med Internet Res.</i> 2007;9:e11.	No weight or harms outcomes
Godoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2005;90:1460-5.	Focus on children or adolescents
Gokcel A, Gumurdulu Y, Karakose H, et al. Evaluation of the safety and efficacy of sibutramine, orlistat and metformin in the treatment of obesity. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2002;4:49-55.	Comparative effectiveness

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Gokcel A, Karakose H, Ertorer EM, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female	Not on list of countries with HDI
subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care.	>0.90
2001;24:1957-60.	
Gold BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study	Comparative effectiveness
comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obesity	
(Silver Spring). 2007;15:155-64.	
Gotfredsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover	No weight or harms outcomes
and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.	
Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for	Less than 12 months followup
modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2008;58:535-	
40.	
Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life	Not focused on behavioral or
measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild	pharmacological interventions
Hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.	designed to promote weight loss
Guisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal	Not focused on benavioral or
Tunctioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing banatric	pharmacological interventions
Surgery. Obes Surg. 2002, 12:035-40.	Ctudy of every sight/sheeity
Summer CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Daily products do not lead to	Study of overweight/obesity
Clip Nutr 2005:81:751.6	prevention
Currente M. M. Wolf AM. Consular M. et al. Lifestule intervention in above nationte	Comparative offectiveness
with type 2 diabetes; impact of the national solucational background. Obesity	Comparative enectiveness
(Silver Spring) 2006:14:1085.02	
Custafean A Khaviou O Stearns SC at al Cast affectiveness of a behavioral	Loss than 12 months follow/up
weight loss intervention for low income women: the Weight Wise Program. Prev	
Guy-Grand B. Drouin P. Eschwege F. et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related	Less than 12 months followup
diseases—a six-month randomized trial Diabetes Obes Metab 2004;6:375-83	
Hainer V Kunesova M Bellisle E et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors	Less than 12 months followup
of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond).	
2005;29:208-16.	
Hakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve	Does not meet design
respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive	requirements in inclusion criteria
sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.	
Hall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood	Less than 12 months followup
pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.	
Hansen D, Astrup A, Toubro S, et al. Predictors of weight loss and maintenance	High or differential attrition
during 2 years of treatment by sibutramine in obesity: results from the European	
multi-centre STORM trial. Int J Obes Rel Metab Dis. 2001;25:496-501.	
Hansen DL, Toubro S, Stock MJ, et al. The effect of sibutramine on energy	Sibutramine intervention
expenditure and appetite during chronic treatment without dietary restriction. Int J	
Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999;23:1016-24.	
Harvey BJ, Gold BC, Lauber R, Starinski A. The impact of calcium and dairy	Comparative effectiveness
product consumption on weight loss. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2005;13:1720-6.	
Harvey-Berino J, Pintauro S, Buzzell P, et al. Does using the Internet facilitate the	Comparative effectiveness
maintenance of weight loss? Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2002;26:1254-60.	<b>A</b>
Harvey-Berino J, Pintauro S, Buzzell P, Gold EC. Effect of internet support on the	Comparative effectiveness
long-term maintenance of weight loss. Obes Res. 2004;12:320-9.	
Harvey-Berino J, Pintauro SJ, Gold EC. The feasibility of using internet support for	Less than 12 months followup
the maintenance of weight loss. Benav Modif. 2002;20:103-10.	Not one of the energified
Haskell WL, Alderman EL, Fair JW, et al. Effects of intensive multiple risk factor	interventione
women with coronary atteny disease: the Stanford Coronary Pick Intervention	merventions
Project (SCRIP) Circulation 1994/80/075-00	
Hauh MD, Simons TR, Cook CM, et al. Calcium-fortified heverage supplementation	Not one of the specified
on body composition in postmenopausal women Nutr. J 2005:4:21	interventions
Hauner H. Meier M. Wendland G. et al. Weight reduction by sibutramine in obese	Sibutramine intervention
subjects in primary care medicine: the SAT Study Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes	
2004;112:201-7.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Hawley G, Horwath C, Gray A, et al. Sustainability of health and lifestyle improvements following a non-dieting randomised trial in overweight women. <i>Prev Med</i> , 2008;47:593-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Hays NP, Starling RD, Sullivan DH, et al. Effects of an ad libitum, high carbohydrate diet and aerobic exercise training on insulin action and muscle metabolism in older men and women. <i>J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.</i> 2006;61:299-304.	Comparative effectiveness
Hazenberg BP. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study of sibutramine in obese hypertensive patients. <i>Cardiology</i> . 2000;94:152-8.	Sibutramine intervention
Hensrud DD. Dietary treatment and long-term weight loss and maintenance in type 2 diabetes. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2001;9(Suppl 4):S348-53.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Herman WH, Hoerger TJ, Brandle M, et al. The cost-effectiveness of lifestyle modification or metformin in preventing type 2 diabetes in adults with impaired glucose tolerance. <i>Ann Intern Med.</i> 2005;142:323-32.	No weight or harms outcomes
Hermann LS, Kalen J, Katzman P, et al. Long-term glycaemic improvement after addition of metformin to insulin in insulin-treated obese type 2 diabetes patients. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2001;3:428-34.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Heshka S, Anderson JW, Atkinson RL, et al. Weight loss with self-help compared with a structured commercial program: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2003;289:1792-8.	Comparative effectiveness
Heymsfield SB, Segal KR, Hauptman J, et al. Effects of weight loss with orlistat on glucose tolerance and progression to type 2 diabetes in obese adults. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2000;160:1321-6.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Hivert MF, Langlois MF, Berard P, et al. Prevention of weight gain in young adults through a seminar-based intervention program. <i>Int J Obes (London).</i> 2007;31:1262-9.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Hoeger KM, Kochman L, Wixom N, et al. A randomized, 48-week, placebo- controlled trial of intensive lifestyle modification and/or metformin therapy in overweight women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a pilot study. <i>Fertil Steril.</i> 2004;82:421-9.	High or differential attrition
Hooper L. Primary prevention of CVD: diet and weight loss. <i>Clin Evid.</i> 2007.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Hope AA, Kumanyika SK, Shults J, Holmes WC. Changes in health-related quality of life among African-Americans in a lifestyle weight loss program. <i>Qual Life Res.</i> 2010;19:1025-33.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Howard BV, Manson JE, Stefanick ML, et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and weight change over 7 years: the Women's Health Initiative Dietary Modification Trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2006;295:39-49.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Hsieh CJ, Wang PW, Liu RT, et al. Orlistat for obesity: benefits beyond weight loss. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract.</i> 2005;67:78-83.	Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90
Hunter GR, Brock DW, Byrne NM, et al. Exercise training prevents regain of visceral fat for 1 year following weight loss. <i>Obesity</i> . 2010;18:690-5.	Comparative effectiveness
Jacobs DR, Sluik D, Rokling-Andersen MH, et al. Association of 1-y changes in diet pattern with cardiovascular disease risk factors and adipokines: results from the 1-y randomized Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2009;89:509-17.	No weight or harms outcomes
Jakicic JM, Jaramillo SA, Balasubramanyam A, et al. Effect of a lifestyle intervention on change in cardiorespiratory fitness in adults with type 2 diabetes: results from the Look AHEAD Study. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2009;33:305-16.	Comparative effectiveness
Jakicic JM, Marcus BH, Gallagher KI, et al. Effect of exercise duration and intensity on weight loss in overweight, sedentary women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2003;290:1323-30.	Comparative effectiveness
Jakicic JM, Otto AD, Lang W, et al. The effect of physical activity on 18-month weight change in overweight adults. <i>Obesity (Silver Spring)</i> . 2011;19:100-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Jakicic JM, Winters C, Lang W, Wing RR. Effects of intermittent exercise and use of home exercise equipment on adherence, weight loss, and fitness in overweight women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 1999;282:1554-60.	Comparative effectiveness
Jalkanen L. The effect of a weight reduction program on cardiovascular risk factors among overweight hypertensives in primary health care. <i>Scand J Soc Med.</i> 1991;19:66-71.	Other quality issues
James WP, Astrup A, Finer N, et al. Effect of sibutramine on weight maintenance after weight loss: a randomised trial. <i>Lancet.</i> 2000;356:2119-25.	Sibutramine intervention

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Janssen I, Fortier A, Hudson R, Ross R. Effects of an energy-restrictive diet with or without exercise on abdominal fat, intermuscular fat, and metabolic risk factors in obese women. <i>Diabetes Care</i> , 2002;25:431-8	Comparative effectiveness
Jariou LM. Prentice A. Sawo Y. et al. Randomized. placebo-controlled. calcium	Not focused on behavioral or
supplementation study in pregnant Gambian women: effects on breast-milk calcium concentrations and infant birth weight, growth, and bone mineral accretion in the first year of life. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2006;83:657-66.	pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Jarrett RJ, Keen H, Murrells T. Changes in blood pressure and body weight over ten years in men selected for glucose intolerance. <i>J Epidemiol Community Health</i> . 1987;41:145-51.	Comparative effectiveness
Jeffery RW, French SA. Preventing weight gain in adults: design, methods and one year results from the Pound of Prevention study. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.</i> 1997;21:457-64.	Study of overweight/obesity prevention
Jeffery RW, Wing RR, Sherwood NE, Tate DF. Physical activity and weight loss: does prescribing higher physical activity goals improve outcome? <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2003;78:684-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Jehn ML, Patt MR, Appel LJ, Miller ER III. One year follow-up of overweight and obese hypertensive adults following intensive lifestyle therapy. <i>J Hum Nutr Diet.</i> 2006;19:349-54.	Comparative effectiveness
Jensen LB, Kollerup G, Quaade F, Sorensen OH. Bone minerals changes in obese women during a moderate weight loss with and without calcium supplementation. <i>J Bone Miner Res.</i> 2001;16:141-7.	Less than 12 months followup
Jirik-Babb P, Geliebter A. Comparison of psychological characteristics of binging and nonbinging obese, adult, female outpatients. <i>Eat Weight Disord.</i> 2003;8:173-7.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Johansson K, Neovius M, Lagerros YT, et al. Effect of a very low energy diet on	Less than 12 months followup
moderate and severe obstructive sleep apnoea in obese men: a randomised controlled trial. <i>BMJ</i> . 2009;339:b4609.	
Jordan J, Scholze J, Matiba B, et al. Influence of sibutramine on blood pressure: evidence from placebo-controlled trials. <i>Int J Obes</i> . 2005;29:509-16.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Kajaste S, Brander PE, Telakivi T, et al. A cognitive-behavioral weight reduction program in the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome with or without initial nasal CPAP: a randomized study. <i>Sleep Med.</i> 2004;5:125-31.	Not one of the specified interventions
Kalter-Leibovici O, Younis-Zeidan N, Atamna A, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese Arab women: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2010;170:970-6.	Comparative effectiveness
Kamioka H, Nakamura Y, Okada S, et al. Effectiveness of comprehensive health education combining lifestyle education and hot spa bathing for male white-collar employees: a randomized controlled trial with 1-year follow-up. <i>J Epidemiol.</i> 2009;19:219-30.	Conducted primarily in a non- relevant setting
Kansanen M, Vanninen E, Tuunainen A, et al. The effect of a very low-calorie diet- induced weight loss on the severity of obstructive sleep apnoea and autonomic nervous function in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. <i>Clin</i> <i>Physiol.</i> 1998;18:377-85.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Karhunen L, Franssila-Kallunki A, Rissanen P, et al. Effect of orlistat treatment on body composition and resting energy expenditure during a two-year weight-reduction programme in obese Finns. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.</i> 2000;24:1567-72.	No weight or harms outcomes
Katzer L, Bradshaw AJ, Horwath CC, et al. Evaluation of a "nondieting" stress reduction program for overweight women: a randomized trial. <i>Am J Health Promot.</i> 2008;22:264-74.	Comparative effectiveness
Kaukua JK, Pekkarinen TA, Rissanen AM. Health-related quality of life in a randomised placebo-controlled trial of sibutramine in obese patients with type II diabetes. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2004;28:600-5.	Sibutramine intervention
Kawano M, Shono N, Yoshimura T, et al. Improved cardio-respiratory fitness	Not focused on behavioral or
correlates with changes in the number and size of small dense LDL: randomized controlled trial with exercise training and dietary instruction. <i>Intern Med.</i> 2009;48:25-32.	pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Keating GM, Jarvis B. Orlistat: in the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. <i>Drugs</i> . 2120;61:2107-19.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Kelley DE, Bray GA, Pi-Sunyer FX, et al. Clinical efficacy of orlistat therapy in overweight and obese patients with insulin-treated type 2 diabetes: a 1-year	High or differential attrition
randomized controlled trial. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2002;25:1033-41.	Comparative offectiveness
and cardiovascular risk factors are not different following weight loss on carbohydrate-restricted diets high in either monounsaturated fat or protein in obese hyperinsulinaemic men and women. <i>Br J Nutr.</i> 2007;97:405-10.	Comparative enectiveness
Keranen AM, Savolainen MJ, Reponen AH, et al. The effect of eating behavior on weight loss and maintenance during a lifestyle intervention. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2009;49:32-8.	Comparative effectiveness
Kerr J, Patrick K, Norman G, et al. Randomized control trial of a behavioral intervention for overweight women: impact on depressive symptoms. <i>Depress Anxiety.</i> 2008;25:555-8.	No weight or harms outcomes
Ketola E, Makela M, Klockars M. Individualised multifactorial lifestyle intervention trial for high-risk cardiovascular patients in primary care. <i>Br J Gen Pract.</i> 2001;51:291-4.	Not one of the specified interventions
Kim SH, Lee YM, Jee SH, Nam CM. Effect of sibutramine on weight loss and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of controlled trials. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2003;11:1116-23.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Kim SI, Kim HS. Effectiveness of mobile and Internet intervention in patients with obese type 2 diabetes. <i>Int J Med Inf.</i> 2008;77:399-404.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Kim Y, Pike J, Adams H, et al. Telephone intervention promoting weight-related health behaviors. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2010;50:112-7.	Comparative effectiveness
Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, Gibson C, et al. Time course for changes in aerobic capacity and body composition in overweight men and women in response to long-term exercise: the Midwest Exercise Trial (MET). <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2003;27:912-9.	High or differential attrition
Kirk SF, Harvey EL, McConnon A, et al. A randomised trial of an Internet weight control resource: the UK Weight Control Trial. <i>BMC Health Serv Res.</i> 2003;3:19.	No weight or harms outcomes
Knowler WC, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, et al. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. <i>Lancet.</i> 2009;274:1677-86.	No weight or harms outcomes
Kolotkin RL, Norquist JM, Crosby RD, et al. One-year health-related quality of life outcomes in weight loss trial participants: comparison of three measures. <i>Health Qual Life Outcomes</i> . 2009;7:53.	Not one of the specified interventions
Kostis JB, Wilson AC, Hooper WC, et al. Association of angiotensin-converting enzyme DD genotype with blood pressure sensitivity to weight loss. <i>Am Heart J.</i> 2002;144:625-9.	No weight or harms outcomes
Kostis JB, Wilson AC, Shindler DM, et al. Persistence of normotension after discontinuation of lifestyle intervention in the trial of TONE. <i>Am J Hypertens.</i> 2002;15:732-4.	No weight or harms outcomes
Krakoff J, Clark JM, Crandall JP, et al. Effects of metformin and weight loss on serum alanine aminotransferase activity in the Diabetes Prevention Program. <i>Obesity (Silver Spring)</i> . 2010;18:1762-7.	No weight or harms outcomes
Kraus WE, Houmard JA, Duscha BD, et al. Effects of the amount and intensity of exercise on plasma lipoproteins. <i>N Engl J Med</i> . 2002;347:1483-92.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Kukkonen-Harjula KT, Borg PT, Nenonen AM, Fogelholm MG. Effects of a weight maintenance program with or without exercise on the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial in obese men. <i>Prev Med</i> , 2005;41:784-90.	Comparative effectiveness
Kuller LH, Kinzel LS, Pettee KK, et al. Lifestyle intervention and coronary heart disease risk factor changes over 18 months in postmenopausal women: the Women On the Move Through Activity and Nutrition (WOMAN study) clinical trial. <i>J Womens Health (Larchmt)</i> . 2006;15:962-74.	Comparative effectiveness
Kuller LH, Kriska AM, Kinzel LS, et al. The clinical trial of Women On the Move Through Activity and Nutrition (WOMAN) study. <i>Contemp Clin Trials.</i> 2006;28:370- 81.	Comparative effectiveness
Kumanyika SK, Cook NR, Cutler JA, et al. Sodium reduction for hypertension	Not focused on behavioral or
Prevention in overweight adults: further results from the Trials of Hypertension Prevention phase II. <i>J Hum Hypertens.</i> 2005;19:33-45.	pnarmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Kumanyika SK, Shults J, Fassbender J, et al. Outpatient weight management in African-Americans: the Healthy Eating and Lifestyle Program (HELP) study. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2005;41:488-502.	Comparative effectiveness
Kumanyika SK, Wadden TA, Shults J, et al. Trial of family and friend support for weight loss in African American adults. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2009;169:1795-804.	Comparative effectiveness
Laaksonen DE, Laitinen T, Schonberg J, et al. Weight loss and weight maintenance, ambulatory blood pressure and cardiac autonomic tone in obese persons with the metabolic syndrome. <i>J Hypertens.</i> 2003;21:371-8.	No weight or harms outcomes
Laaksonen DE, Lindstrom J, Lakka TA, et al. Physical activity in the prevention of type 2 diabetes: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. <i>Diabetes</i> . 2005;54:158-65.	No weight or harms outcomes
Lally P, Chipperfield A, Wardle J. Healthy habits: efficacy of simple advice on weight control based on a habit-formation model. <i>Int J Obes (Lond).</i> 2008;32:700-7.	Less than 12 months followup
Larsen TM, Dalskov S, van Baak M, et al. The Diet, Obesity and Genes (Diogenes) dietary study in eight European countries—a comprehensive design for long-term intervention. <i>Obes Rev.</i> 2009;76-91.	Comparative effectiveness
Lasser VI, Raczynski JM, Stevens VJ, et al. Trials of Hypertension Prevention, phase II: structure and content of the weight loss and dietary sodium reduction interventions. <i>Ann Epidemiol.</i> 1995;5:156-64.	No weight or harms outcomes
Laws R; Counterweight Project Team. A new evidence-based model for weight management in primary care: the Counterweight Programme. <i>J Hum Nutr Diet</i> . 2004;17:191-208.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Layman DK, Evans EM, Erickson D, et al. A moderate-protein diet produces sustained weight loss and long-term changes in body composition and blood lipids in obese adults. <i>J Nutr.</i> 2009;139:514-21.	Comparative effectiveness
Leermakers EA, Perri MG, Shigaki CL, Fuller PR. Effects of exercise-focused versus weight-focused maintenance programs on the management of obesity. <i>Addict Behav.</i> 1999;24:219-27.	Comparative effectiveness
Lehtovirta M, Forsen B, Gullstrom M, et al. Metabolic effects of metformin in patients with impaired glucose tolerance. <i>Diabet Med.</i> 2001;18:578-83.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Leibbrand R, Fichter MM. Maintenance of weight loss after obesity treatment: is continuous support necessary? <i>Behav Res Ther.</i> 2002;40:1275-89.	Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions
Leinum CJ, Dopp JM, Morgan BJ. Sleep-disordered breathing and obesity: pathophysiology, complications, and treatment. <i>Nutr Clin Pract.</i> 2009;24:675-87.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Lejeune MP, Kovacs EM, Westerterp-Plantenga MS. Additional protein intake limits weight regain after weight loss in humans. <i>Br J Nutr.</i> 2005;93:281-9.	Less than 12 months followup
Liao D, Asberry PJ, Shofer JB, et al. Improvement of BMI, body composition, and body fat distribution with lifestyle modification in Japanese Americans with impaired glucose tolerance. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2002;25:1504-10.	Comparative effectiveness
Lien LF, Brown AJ, Ard JD, et al. Effects of PREMIER lifestyle modifications on participants with and without the metabolic syndrome. <i>Hypertension</i> . 2007;50:609-16	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Ligibel JA, Giobbie-Hurder A, Olenczuk D, et al. Impact of a mixed strength and endurance exercise intervention on levels of adiponectin, high molecular weight adiponectin and leptin in breast cancer survivors. <i>Cancer Causes Control.</i> 2009;20:1523-8.	Less than 12 months followup
Linde JA, Jeffery RW, Finch EA, et al. Are unrealistic weight loss goals associated with outcomes for overweight women? <i>Obes Res.</i> 2004;12:569-76.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Lindegarde F. Orlistat with diet was effective and safe for weight loss and coronary risk reduction in obesity. <i>Evid Based Med.</i> 2001;6:54.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Lindholm A, Bixo M, Bjorn I, et al. Effect of sibutramine on weight reduction in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. <i>Fertil Steril.</i> 2008;89:1221-8.	Less than 12 months followup
Lindholm LH, Ekbom T, Dash C, et al. The impact of health care advice given in primary care on cardiovascular risk. <i>BMJ.</i> 1995;310:1105-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, et al. Prevention of diabetes mellitus in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance in the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study: results from a randomized clinical trial. <i>J Am Soc Nephrol.</i> 2003;14:S108-13.	No weight or harms outcomes

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Lindström J, Ilanne PP, Peltonen M et al. Sustained reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle intervention: follow-up of the Finnish Diabetes	No weight or harms outcomes
Lindstrom J, Peltonen M, Eriksson JG et al. High-fibre, low-fat diet predicts long- term weight loss and decreased type 2 diabetes risk: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. <i>Diabetologia</i> , 2006;49:912-920.	No weight or harms outcomes
Littman AJ, Vitiello MV, Foster-Schubert K et al. Sleep, ghrelin, leptin and changes in body weight during a 1-year moderate-intensity physical activity intervention. <i>Int J Obes</i> . 2007;31:466-475.	No weight or harms outcomes
Logue E, Sutton K, Jarjoura D, Smucker W, Baughman K, Capers C. Transtheoretical model-chronic disease care for obesity in primary care: a randomized trial. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2005;13:917-927.	Comparative effectiveness
Logue EE, Jarjoura DG, Sutton KS, Smucker WD, Baughman KR, Capers CF. Longitudinal relationship between elapsed time in the action stages of change and weight loss. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2004;12:1499-1508.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Lojander J, Mustajoki P, Ronka S, Mecklin P, Maasilta P. A nurse-managed weight reduction programme for obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. <i>J Intern Med.</i> 1998;244:251-255.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Lombard CB, Deeks AA, Ball K, Jolley D, Teede HJ. Weight, physical activity and dietary behavior change in young mothers: short term results of the HeLP-her cluster randomized controlled trial. <i>Nutrition Journal.</i> 2009;8:17.	Less than 12 months followup
Look AHEAD Research Group, Bray G, Gregg E et al. Baseline characteristics of the randomised cohort from the Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) study. <i>Diabetes &amp; Vascular Disease Research</i> . 2006;3:202-215.	Comparative effectiveness
Look AHEAD Research Group, Wadden TA, West DS et al. The Look AHEAD study: a description of the lifestyle intervention and the evidence supporting it. <i>Obesity.</i> 2006;14:737-752.	Comparative effectiveness
Lucas CP, Boldrin MN, Reaven GM. Effect of orlistat added to diet (30% of calories from fat) on plasma lipids, glucose, and insulin in obese patients with hypercholesterolemia. <i>Am J Cardiol.</i> 2003;91:961-964.	Comparative effectiveness
Lucas KH, Kaplan-Machlis B. Orlistata novel weight loss therapy. <i>Ann Pharmacother</i> . 2001;35:314-328.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Major GC, Alarie F, Dore J, Phouttama S, Tremblay A. Supplementation with calcium + vitamin D enhances the beneficial effect of weight loss on plasma lipid and lipoprotein concentrations. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2007;85:54	Not one of the specified interventions
Malone DC, Raebel MA, Porter JA et al. Cost-effectiveness of sibutramine in the LOSE Weight Study: evaluating the role of pharmacologic weight-loss therapy within a weight management program. <i>Journal of Managed Care Pharmacy</i> . 2005;11:458-468.	Comparative effectiveness
Malone M, Alger-Mayer S. Binge status and quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a one-year study. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2004;12:473-481.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Manini TM, Newman AB, Fielding R, et al. Effects of exercise on mobility in obese and nonobese older adults. <i>Obesity (Silver Spring)</i> . 2010;18:1168-75.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Manning RM, Jung RT, Leese GP, Newton RW. The comparison of four weight reduction strategies aimed at overweight patients with diabetes mellitus: four-year follow-up. <i>Diabet Med.</i> 1998;15:497-502.	Comparative effectiveness
Marinilli PA, Gorin AA, Raynor HA, Tate DF, Fava JL, Wing RR. Successful weight- loss maintenance in relation to method of weight loss. <i>Obesity</i> . 2008;16:2456-2461.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Marshall NS, Grunstein RR. Losing weight in moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea. <i>BMJ</i> . 2009;339:b4363.	Conducted primarily in a non- relevant setting
Maruthur NM, Wang NY, Appel LJ. Lifestyle interventions reduce coronary heart disease risk: results from the PREMIER Trial. <i>Circulation</i> . 2009;119:2026	Not one of the specified interventions
Mata J, Silva MN, Vieira PN et al. Motivational "spill-over" during weight control: increased self-determination and exercise intrinsic motivation predict eating self-regulation. <i>Health Psychol.</i> 2009;28:709-716.	No weight or harms outcomes

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Mathus-Vliegen EM; Balance Study Group. Long-term maintenance of weight loss with sibutramine in a GP setting following a specialist guided very low-calorie diet:	Sibutramine intervention
a double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group study. <i>Eur J Clin Nutr.</i> 2005:59(Suppl 1):S31-8	
Matvienko OA Hoehns ID A lifestyle intervention study in patients with diabetes or	Does not meet design
impaired glucose tolerance: translation of a research intervention into practice. <i>J</i> <i>Am Board Fam Med.</i> 2009;22:535-43.	requirements in inclusion criteria
McConnon A, Kirk SF, Cockroft JE, et al. The Internet for weight control in an obese sample: results of a randomised controlled trial. <i>BMC Health Serv Res.</i> 2007;7:206.	High or differential attrition
McConnon A, Kirk SF, Ransley JK. Process evaluation of an Internet-based resource for weight control: use and views of an obese sample. <i>J Nutr Educ Beha</i> . 2009;41:261-7.	No weight or harms outcomes
McLaughlin T, Carter S, Lamendola C, et al. Clinical efficacy of two hypocaloric diets that vary in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes: comparison of moderate fat versus carbohydrate reductions. <i>Diabetes Care</i> , 2007:30:1877-9.	Comparative effectiveness
McMahon FG, Fujioka K, Singh BN, et al. Efficacy and safety of sibutramine in obese white and African American patients with hypertension: a 1-year, double- blind. placebo-controlled, multicenter trial. <i>Arch Intern Med</i> , 2000;160:2185-91.	Sibutramine intervention
McMahon FG, Weinstein SP, Rowe E, et al. Sibutramine is safe and effective for weight loss in obese patients whose hypertension is well controlled with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. <i>J Hum Hypertens.</i> 2002;16:5-11.	Sibutramine intervention
McManus K, Antinoro L, Sacks F. A randomized controlled trial of a moderate-fat, low-energy diet compared with a low fat, low-energy diet for weight loss in overweight adults. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> , 2001;25;1503-11.	Comparative effectiveness
McNulty SJ, Ur E, Williams G. A randomized trial of sibutramine in the management of obese type 2 diabetic patients treated with metformin. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2003:26:125-31.	Sibutramine intervention
McTiernan A, Sorensen B, Irwin ML et al. Exercise effect on weight and body fat in	Not focused on behavioral or
men and women. Obesity. 2007;15:1496-512.	pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Meenan RT, Vogt TM, Williams AE, et al. Economic evaluation of a worksite obesity prevention and intervention trial among hotel workers in Hawaii. <i>J Occup Environ Med.</i> 2010;52(Suppl 1):S8-13.	Conducted primarily in a non- relevant setting
Mengham LH, Morris BF, Palmer CR, White AJ. Is intensive dietetic intervention effective for overweight patients with diabetes mellitus? A randomised controlled trial in a general practice. <i>Pract Diab Int.</i> 1999;16:8.	Comparative effectiveness
Menon T, Quaddus S, Cohen L. Revision of failed vertical banded gastroplasty to non-resectional Scopinaro biliopancreatic diversion: early experience. <i>Obes Surg.</i> 2006;16:1420-4.	Comparative effectiveness
Messerli-Burgy N, Znoj H, Laederach K. Eating behavior, emotional regulation, and	Does not meet design
program. Verhaltenstherapie. 2007;17:56.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Messier SP, Loeser RF, Miller GD, et al. Exercise and dietary weight loss in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteo arthritis: the Arthritis, Diet, and	Comparative effectiveness
Activity Promotion Trial. Arthritis Rheum. 2004;50:1501-10.	
Micic D, Ivkovic-Lazar T, Dragojevic R, et al. Orlistat, a gastrointestinal lipase inhibitor, in therapy of obesity with concomitant hyperlipidemia. <i>Med Pregl.</i> 1999;52:323-33.	Less than 12 months followup
Molenaar EA, van Ameijden EJ, Vergouwe Y, et al. Effect of nutritional counselling and nutritional plus exercise counselling in overweight adults: a randomized trial in multidisciplinary primary care practice. <i>Fam Pract.</i> 2010;27:143-50.	High or differential attrition
Molitch ME, Fujimoto W, Hamman RF, et al. The Diabetes Prevention Program and its global implications. <i>J Am Soc Nephrol.</i> 2003;14(Suppl 2):S103-7.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Morgan PJ, Lubans DR, Collins CE, et al. 12-Month outcomes and process	Conducted primarily in a non-
evaluation of the SHED-IT RCT: an Internet-based weight loss program targeting men. <i>Obesity (Silver Spring).</i> 2011;19:142-51.	relevant setting
Reference	Reason for Exclusion
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Muls E, Kolanowski J, Scheen A, Van Gaal L. The effects of orlistat on weight and on serum lipids in obese patients with hypercholesterolemia: a randomized, double- blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre study. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2001;25:1713-21.	Less than 12 months followup
Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group. Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial: risk factor changes and mortality results. <i>JAMA</i> . 1982;248:1465- 77	Not one of the specified interventions
Munsch S, Biedert E, Keller U. Evaluation of a lifestyle change programme for the treatment of obesity in general practice. <i>Swiss Med Wkly</i> . 2003;133:148-54.	High or differential attrition
Murawski ME. Problem solving and the management of obesity in women from underserved rural settings. <i>Dissert Abstr Int B Sci Eng</i> . 2008;69:690.	Comparative effectiveness
Nahmias J, Kirschner M, Karetzky MS. Weight loss and OSA and pulmonary function in obesity. <i>N J Med.</i> 1993;90:48-53.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Nakata Y, Ohkawara K, Lee DJ, et al. Effects of additional resistance training during diet-induced weight loss on bone mineral density in overweight premenopausal women. <i>J Bone Min Metab</i> . 2008;26:172-7.	Less than 12 months followup
Nanchahal K, Townsend J, Letley L, et al. Weight-management interventions in primary care: a pilot randomised controlled trial. <i>Br J Gen Pract.</i> 2009;59:e157-66.	Less than 12 months followup
Nauta H, Hospers H, Jansen A. One-year follow-up effects of two obesity treatments on psychological well-being and weight. <i>Br J Health Psychol.</i> 2001;6:271-84.	Comparative effectiveness
Neaton JD, Grimm RH Jr, Cutler JA. Recruitment of participants for the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial (MRFIT). <i>Control Clin Trials.</i> 1987;8(Suppl 4):S41-53.	Not one of the specified interventions
Nelson MS, Robbins AS, Thornton JA. An intervention to reduce excess body weight in adults with or at risk for type 2 diabetes. <i>Mil Med</i> . 2006;171:409-14.	Less than 12 months followup
Nicklas BJ, Ambrosius W, Messier SP, et al. Diet-induced weight loss, exercise, and chronic inflammation in older, obese adults: a randomized controlled clinical trial. <i>Am J Clin Nutr</i> . 2004;79:544-51.	Comparative effectiveness
Nowson CA, Worsley A, Margerison C, et al. Blood pressure change with weight loss is affected by diet type in men. <i>Am J Clin Nutr</i> . 2005;81:983-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Ockene IS, Hebert JR, Ockene JK, et al. Effect of physician-delivered nutrition counseling training and an office-support program on saturated fat intake, weight, and serum lipid measurements in a hyperlipidemic population: Worcester Area Trial for Counseling in Hyperlipidemia (WATCH). <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 1999;159:725-31.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Olson TP, Dengel DR, Leon AS, Schmitz KH. Changes in inflammatory biomarkers following one-year of moderate resistance training in overweight women. <i>Int J Obes (Lond)</i> . 2007;31:996-1003.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Olson TP, Dengel DR, Leon AS, Schmitz KH. Moderate resistance training and vascular health in overweight women. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc.</i> 2006;38:1558-64.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Osei-Assibey G, Kyrou I, Adi Y, et al. Dietary and lifestyle interventions for weight management in adults from minority ethnic/non-white groups: a systematic review. <i>Obes Rev.</i> 2010;11:769-76.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Ostbye T, Krause KM, Lovelady CA, et al. Active Mothers Postpartum: a randomized controlled weight-loss intervention trial. <i>Am J Prev Med.</i> 2009;37:173-80.	Less than 12 months followup
O'Toole ML, Sawicki MA, Artal R. Structured diet and physical activity prevent postpartum weight retention. <i>J Womens Health</i> . 2003;12:991-8.	Comparative effectiveness
Page RC, Harnden KE, Cook JT, Turner RC. Can life-styles of subjects with impaired glucose tolerance be changed? A feasibility study. <i>Diabet Med.</i> 1992;9:562-6.	Not one of the specified interventions
Pan XR, Li GW, Hu YH, et al. Effects of diet and exercise in preventing NIDDM in people with impaired glucose tolerance. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 1997;20:537-44.	Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90
Papalazarou A, Yannakoulia M, Kavouras SA, et al. Lifestyle intervention favorably affects weight loss and maintenance following obesity surgery. <i>Obesity (Silver Spring).</i> 2010;18:1348-53.	Comparative effectiveness
Park SK, Park JH, Kwon YC, et al. The effect of combined aerobic and resistance exercise training on abdominal fat in obese middle-aged women. <i>J Physiol Anthropol Appl Human Sci.</i> 2003;22:129-35.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Pasquali R, Colella P, Cirignotta F, et al. Treatment of obese patients with	Does not meet design
obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS): effect of weight loss and interference of	requirements in inclusion criteria
otorhinolaryngoiatric pathology. Int J Obes. 1990;14:207-17.	
Paul-Ebhohimhen V, Avenell A. A systematic review of the effectiveness of group	Does not meet design
versus individual treatments for adult obesity. Obesity Facts. 2009;2:17-24.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Perreault L, Kahn SE, Christophi CA, et al. Regression from pre-diabetes to normal	No weight or harms outcomes
glucose regulation in the diabetes prevention program. Diabetes Care.	
2009;32:1583-8.	
Perreault L, Ma Y, Dagogo-Jack S, et al. Sex differences in diabetes risk and the	No weight or harms outcomes
effect of intensive lifestyle modification in the Diabetes Prevention Program.	
Diabetes Care. 2008;31:1416-21.	
Perri MG, Limacher MC, Durning PE, et al. Extended-care programs for weight	Comparative effectiveness
management in rural communities: the Treatment of Obesity in Underserved Rural Softings (TOURS) randomized trial. Arch Intern Med. 2008;168:2347-54	
Derrie MI, Wilton IV, Shakir SA, The asfety profiles of arlistet and aibutramine:	Doos not most dosign
results of prescription event monitoring studies in England. Obesity 2007:15:2712	requirements in inclusion criteria
	requirements in inclusion chiena
Petrofsky   Batt   Berk   et al. The effect of an aerobic dance and diet program	Less than 12 months followup
on cardiovascular fitness, body composition, and weight loss in women. J Appl	
Res. 2008:8:179-88.	
Phelan S. Wadden TA. Berkowitz RI. et al. Impact of weight loss on the metabolic	Does not meet design
syndrome. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1442-8.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Philippou E, Neary NM, Chaudhri O, et al. The effect of dietary glycemic index on	Comparative effectiveness
weight maintenance in overweight subjects: a pilot study. Obesity. 2009;17:396-	·
401.	
Pinkston MM, Poston WS, Reeves RS, et al. Does metabolic syndrome mitigate	No placebo in medication trial
weight loss in overweight Mexican American women treated for 1-year with orlistat	
and lifestyle modification? Eat Weight Disord. 2006;11:e35-41.	
Pi-Sunyer X, Blackburn G, Brancati FL, et al. Reduction in weight and	Comparative effectiveness
cardiovascular disease risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes: one-year	
results of the Look AHEAD trial. Diabetes Care. 2007;30:1374-83.	
Porter JA, Raebel MA, Conner DA, et al. The Long-term Outcomes of Sibutramine	No placebo in medication trial
within a weight management program in a group model health maintenance	
organization Am / Manag Care 2004:10:360.76	
Poston WS 2nd Haddock CK Olyera NE et al. Evaluation of a culturally	Not one of the specified
appropriate intervention to increase physical activity Am J Health Behav	interventions
2001:25:396-406	interventions
Poston WS 2nd, Haddock CK, Pinkston MM, et al. Evaluation of a primary care-	Does not meet design
oriented brief counselling intervention for obesity with and without orlistat. J Intern	requirements in inclusion criteria
Med. 2006;260:388-98.	
Poston WS, Reeves RS, Haddock CK, et al. Weight loss in obese Mexican	No placebo in medication trial
Americans treated for 1-year with orlistat and lifestyle modification. Int J Obes Relat	•
Metab Disord. 2003;27:1486-93.	
Potteiger JA, Jacobsen DJ, Donnelly JE, Hill JO. Glucose and insulin responses	High or differential attrition
following 16 months of exercise training in overweight adults: the Midwest Exercise	
Trial. <i>Metabolism</i> . 2003;52:1175-81.	
Potteiger JA, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, Donnelly JE. Changes in resting metabolic	High or differential attrition
rate and substrate oxidation after 16 months of exercise training in overweight	
adults. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab. 2008;18:79-95.	
Pritchard JE, Nowson CA, Wark JD. A worksite program for overweight middle-	Conducted primarily in a non-
aged men achieves lesser weight loss with exercise than with dietary change. J Am	relevant setting
Diel ASSUC. 1997;97:37-42.	Forum on potients in sub-survey
FIGHTIAL K, KIEINER DE, NIEMEIER HIVI, ET AL. KANDOMIZED CONTROLLED THAT testing the	rocus on patients in subgroups
Proper KI, Hildebrandt VH, Van der Beek AL et a. Effect of individual equipaeling	Not focused on behavioral or
on physical activity fitness and health: a randomized controlled trial in a workplace	nharmacological interventions
setting. Am J Prev Med. 2003:24:218-26.	designed to promote weight loss

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Provencher V, Begin C, Tremblay A, et al. Health-at-every-size and eating	Not one of the specified
behaviors: 1-year follow-up results of a size acceptance intervention. J Am Diet	interventions
Assoc. 2009;109:1854-61.	
Racette SB, Deusinger SS, Inman CL, et al. Worksite Opportunities for Wellness	Conducted primarily in a non-
(WOW): effects on cardiovascular disease risk factors after 1 year. Prev Med.	relevant setting
2009;49:108-14.	5
Racette SB, Weiss EP, Obert KA, et al. Modest lifestyle intervention and glucose	Comparative effectiveness
tolerance in obese African Americans. Obes Res. 2001;9:348-55.	
Racette SB, Weiss EP, Villareal DT, et al. One year of caloric restriction in humans:	Comparative effectiveness
feasibility and effects on body composition and abdominal adipose tissue. J	
Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2006;61:943-50.	
Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Mary S, et al. The Indian Diabetes Prevention	Not on list of countries with HDI
Programme shows that lifestyle modification and metformin prevent type 2 diabetes	> 0.90
in Asian Indian subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IDPP-1). Diabetologia.	
2006;49:289-97.	
Ramirez EM, Rosen JC. A comparison of weight control and weight control plus	Comparative effectiveness
body image therapy for obese men and women. J Consult Clin Psychol.	
2001;69:440-6.	
Randomised trial of jejunoileal bypass versus medical treatment in morbid obesity.	Not one of the specified
Lancet. 1979;2:1255-8.	interventions
Rapoport L, Clark M, Wardle J. Evaluation of a modified cognitive-behavioural	Comparative effectiveness
programme for weight management. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:1726-	
Ratner RE; Diabetes Prevention Program. An update on the Diabetes Prevention	Not focused on behavioral or
Program. Endocr Pract. 2006;12(Suppl 1):20-4.	pharmacological interventions
	designed to promote weight loss
Razquin C, Martinez JA, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, et al. A 3 years follow-up of a	Not focused on behavioral or
Mediterranean diet rich in virgin olive oli is associated with high plasma antioxidant	pnarmacological interventions
capacity and reduced body weight gain. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2009;63:1387-93.	designed to promote weight loss
Reaven G, Segai K, Hauptman J, et al. Effect of orlistat-assisted weight loss in	Other quality issues
decreasing coronary near disease risk in patients with syndrome X. Am J Cardiol.	
2001,07.027-31. Dedmen ID. Derteni A.C. Connelly S. et al. Effect of the Leek AUEAD study.	Comparativa offactivances
Reumon JB, benom AG, conneny S, et al. Effect of the Look AREAD study	Comparative enectiveness
factors in individuals with two 2 diabates. Diabates Care, 2010;23:1153,8	
Poid ID. Home A. Mason P. et al. Effects of calcium supplementation on body	Not one of the specified
weight and blood pressure in normal older women: a randomized controlled trial	interventions
Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005:90:3824-9	Interventions
Rejeski W L Focht BC. Messier SP. et al. Obese. older adults with knee	Comparative effectiveness
osteoarthritis: weight loss exercise and quality of life Health Psychol	comparative encouveriess
2002·21·419-26	
Renzaho AM Mellor D. Boulton K. Swinburn B. Effectiveness of prevention	Does not meet design
programmes for obesity and chronic diseases among immigrants to developed	requirements in inclusion criteria
countries—a systematic review. Pub Health Nutr. 2010:13:438-50.	
Ricci TA. Chowdhury HA. Heymsfield SB. et al. Calcium supplementation	Not focused on behavioral or
suppresses bone turnover during weight reduction in postmenopausal women. J	pharmacological interventions
Bone Miner Res. 1998;13:1045-50.	designed to promote weight loss
Rimmer JH, Rauworth A, Wang E, et al. A randomized controlled trial to increase	Less than 12 months followup
physical activity and reduce obesity in a predominantly African American group of	·
women with mobility disabilities and severe obesity. Prev Med. 2009;48:473-9.	
Rissanen P, Vahtera E, Krusius T, et al. Weight change and blood coagulability and	No weight or harms outcomes
fibrinolysis in healthy obese women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:212-8.	C C
Rock CL, Flatt SW, Sherwood NE, et al. Effect of a free prepared meal and	Comparative effectiveness
incentivized weight loss program on weight loss and weight loss maintenance in	
obese and overweight women: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA.	
2010;304:1803-10.	
Rock CL, Pakiz B, Flatt SW, Quintana EL. Randomized trial of a multifaceted	Comparative effectiveness
commercial weight loss program. Obesity. 2007;15:939-49.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Rosenfalck AM, Hendel H, Rasmussen MH, et al. Minor long-term changes in weight have beneficial effects on insulin sensitivity and beta-cell function in obese subjects. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2002;4:19-28.	No weight or harms outcomes
Ross R, Blair SN, Godwin M, et al. Prevention and Reduction of Obesity Through Active Living (PROACTIVE): rationale, design and methods. <i>Br J Sports Med.</i> 2009;43:57-63.	No weight or harms outcomes
Ross R, Janssen I, Dawson J, et al. Exercise-induced reduction in obesity and insulin resistance in women: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2004;12:789-98.	Comparative effectiveness
Rothacker DQ, Staniszewski BA, Ellis PK. Liquid meal replacement vs traditional food: a potential model for women who cannot maintain eating habit change. <i>J Am Diet Assoc.</i> 2001;101:345-7.	Comparative effectiveness
Rothert K, Strecher VJ, Doyle LA, et al. Web-based weight management programs in an integrated health care setting: a randomized, controlled trial. <i>Obesity</i> . 2006;14:266-72.	Less than 12 months followup
Ryan DH, Johnson WD, Myers VH, et al. Nonsurgical weight loss for extreme obesity in primary care settings: results of the Louisiana Obese Subjects Study. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2010;170:146-54.	No placebo in medication trial
Sabbioni ME, Dickson MH, Eychmuller S, et al. Intermediate results of health related quality of life after vertical banded gastroplasty. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2002;26:277-80.	Not one of the specified interventions
Saccone A, Israel A. Effects of experimenter versus significant other-controlled reinforcement and choice of target behavior on weight loss. <i>Behav Ther</i> . 1978;9:271-8.	Precedes search period
Salas SJ, Fernández BJ, Ros E, et al. Effect of a Mediterranean diet supplemented with nuts on metabolic syndrome status: one-year results of the PREDIMED randomized trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2008;168:2449-58.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Samaras K, Ashwell S, Mackintosh AM, et al. Will older sedentary people with non- insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus start exercising? A health promotion model. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract.</i> 1997;37:121-8.	Not one of the specified interventions
Sampol G, Munoz X, Sagales MT, et al. Long-term efficacy of dietary weight loss in sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome. <i>Eur Respir J.</i> 1998;12:1156-9.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Samsa GP, Kolotkin RL, Williams GR, et al. Effect of moderate weight loss on health-related quality of life: an analysis of combined data from 4 randomized trials of sibutramine vs placebo. <i>Am J Manag Care</i> . 2001;7:875-83.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Sanchez-Reyes L, Fanghanel G, Yamamoto J, et al. Use of sibutramine in overweight adult Hispanic patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 12-month, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. <i>Clin Ther.</i> 2004;26:1427-35.	Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90
Sarac S, Sarac F. Cardiac valve evaluation and adipokine levels in obese women treated with sibutramine. <i>Anadolu Kardiyoloji Dergisi</i> . 2010;10:226-32.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Sarwer DB, von Sydow GA, Vetter ML, Wadden TA. Behavior therapy for obesity: where are we now? Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes. 2009;16:347-52.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Sbrocco T, Nedegaard RC, Stone JM, Lewis EL. Behavioral choice treatment promotes continuing weight loss: preliminary results of a cognitive-behavioral decision-based treatment for obesity. <i>J Consult Clin Psychol.</i> 1999;67:260-6.	Comparative effectiveness
Schmitz KH, Hannan PJ, Stovitz SD, et al. Strength training and adiposity in premenopausal women: Strong, Healthy, and Empowered study. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2007;86:566-72.	No weight or harms outcomes
Schuler G, Hambrecht R, Schlierf G, et al. Regular physical exercise and low-fat diet: effects on progression of coronary artery disease. <i>Circulation.</i> 1992;86:1-11.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Schuster RJ, Tasosa J, Terwoord NA. Translational research—implementation of NHLBI Obesity Guidelines in a primary care community setting: the Physician Obesity Awareness Project. <i>J Nutr Health Aging.</i> 2008;12:S764-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Serrano-Rios M, Melchionda N, Moreno-Carretero E. Role of sibutramine in the treatment of obese type 2 diabetic patients receiving sulphonylurea therapy. <i>Diabet Med.</i> 2002;19:119-24.	Sibutramine intervention
Shapses SA, Heshka S, Heymsfield SB. Effect of calcium supplementation on weight and fat loss in women. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2004;89:632-7.	Not one of the specified interventions

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Shea MK, Houston DK, Nicklas BJ, et al. The effect of randomization to weight loss on total mortality in older overweight and obese adults: the ADAPT Study. <i>J</i> <i>Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci</i> , 2010;65:519-25	Comparative effectiveness
Sherwood NE, Jeffery RW, Pronk NP, et al. Mail and phone interventions for weight loss in a managed-care setting: Weigh-To-Be 2-year outcomes. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2006;30:1565-73	High or differential attrition
Short KR, Vittone JL, Bigelow ML, et al. Impact of aerobic exercise training on age- related changes in insulin sensitivity and muscle oxidative capacity. <i>Diabetes</i> . 2003;52:1888-96	Less than 12 months followup
Siegel JM, Prelip ML, Erausquin JT, Kim SA. A worksite obesity intervention: results from a group-randomized trial. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 2010;100:327-33.	Conducted primarily in a non- relevant setting
Silva MN, Markland D, Carraca EV, et al. Exercise autonomous motivation predicts three-year weight loss in women. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc.</i> 2011;43:728-37.	Study of overweight/obesity prevention
Simmons D, Rush E, Crook N; Te Wai o Rona Diabetes Prevention Strategy Team. Development and piloting of a community health worker-based intervention for the prevention of diabetes among New Zealand Maori in Te Wai o Rona: Diabetes Prevention Strategy. <i>Public Health Nutr.</i> 2008;11:1318-25.	Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions
Sircar AR, Kumar A, Lal M. Clinical evaluation of sibutramine in obese type 2 diabetic patients refractory to dietary management. <i>J Assoc Physicians India.</i> 2001;49:885-8.	Less than 12 months followup
Sjostrom L. Analysis of the XENDOS study (Xenical in the Prevention of Diabetes in Obese Subjects). <i>Endocr Pract.</i> 2006;12(Suppl 1):31-3.	No weight or harms outcomes
Skender ML, Goodrick GK, Del Junco DJ, et al. Comparison of 2-year weight loss trends in behavioral treatments of obesity: diet, exercise, and combination interventions. <i>J Am Diet Assoc.</i> 1996;96:342-6.	Comparative effectiveness
Skinner TC, Carey ME, Cradock S, et al. Diabetes Education and Self-	Not focused on behavioral or
of pilot study. Patient Educ Couns. 2006;64:369-77	designed to promote weight loss
Slentz CA, Duscha BD, Johnson JL, et al. Effects of the amount of exercise on body weight, body composition, and measures of central obesity: STRRIDE—a randomized controlled study. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2004;164:31-9.	Less than 12 months followup
Smith IG, Goulder MA. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of long-term treatment with sibutramine in mild to moderate obesity. <i>J Fam Pract.</i> 2001;50:505-12.	Sibutramine intervention
Smith PL, Gold AR, Meyers DA, et al. Weight loss in mildly to moderately obese patients with obstructive sleep apnea. <i>Ann Intern Med.</i> 1985;103:850-5.	Less than 12 months followup
Southard BH, Southard DR, Nuckolls J. Clinical trial of an Internet-based case management system for secondary prevention of heart disease. <i>J Cardiopulm Rehabil.</i> 2003;23:341-8.	Less than 12 months followup
Sramek JJ, Leibowitz MT, Weinstein SP, et al. Efficacy and safety of sibutramine for weight loss in obese patients with hypertension well controlled by beta- adrenergic blocking agents: a placebo-controlled, double-blind, randomised trial. <i>J Hum Hypertens</i> , 2002;16:13-9	Less than 12 months followup
Stahre L, Hallstrom T. A short-term cognitive group treatment program gives substantial weight reduction up to 18 months from the end of treatment: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Eat Weight Disord</i> . 2005;10:51-8.	High or differential attrition
Stefanick ML, Mackey S, Sheehan M, et al. Effects of diet and exercise in men and postmenopausal women with low levels of HDL cholesterol and high levels of LDL cholesterol. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 1998;339:12-20.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Stenius-Aarniala B, Poussa T, Kvarnstrom J, et al. Immediate and long term effects of weight reduction in obese people with asthma: randomised controlled study. <i>BMJ</i> . 2000;320:827-32.	Comparative effectiveness
Stensel DJ, Brooke-Wavell K, Hardman AE, et al. The influence of a 1-year programme of brisk walking on endurance fitness and body composition in previously sedentary men aged 42-59 years. <i>Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol</i> . 1994;68:531-7	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Stern L, Iqbal N, Seshadri P, et al. The effects of low-carbohydrate versus conventional weight loss diets in severely obese adults: one-year follow-up of a randomized trial. <i>Ann Intern Med.</i> 2004;140:778-85.	Comparative effectiveness
Stuart RB. A three-dimensional program for the treatment of obesity. <i>Behav Res Ther.</i> 1971;9:177-86.	Precedes search period

Sun Q, Townsend MK, Okereke OI, et al. Adiposity and weight change in mid-life in Does not meet design
L relation to nealing survival after age 70 in women; prospective cohort study, <i>BMJ</i> . I requirements in inclusion criteria
2009:339:b3796
Suratt PM_McTier_RF_Findley L.L et al. Effect of very-low-calorie diets with weight Does not meet design
loss on obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Clin Nutr. 1992:56:S182-4
Svendsen M, Helgeland M, Tonstad S, The long-term influence of orlistat on dietary. No weight or barries outcomes
intake in obese subjects with components of metabolic syndrome. J Hum Nutr Diet
2009:22:55-63.
Svetkey I P. Pollak KI, Yancy WS, Jr. et al. Hypertension Improvement Project: Not one of the specified
randomized trial of quality improvement for physicians and lifestyle modification for interventions
patients. Hypertension, 2009:54:1226-33.
Swinburn BA. Metcalf PA. Lev SJ. Long-term (5-year) effects of a reduced-fat diet Conducted primarily in a non-
intervention in individuals with glucose intolerance. Diabetes Care. 2001;24:619-24. relevant setting
Swinburn BA, Woollard GA, Chang EC, Wilson MR, Effects of reduced-fat diets Conducted primarily in a non-
consumed ad libitum on intake of nutrients, particularly antioxidant vitamins. J Am relevant setting
Diet Assoc. 1999;99:1400-5.
Tanco S, Linden W, Earle T. Well-being and morbid obesity in women: a controlled Less than 12 months followup
therapy evaluation. Int J Eat Disord. 1998;23:325-39.
Tanumihardjo SA, Valentine AR, Zhang Z, et al. Strategies to increase vegetable or Comparative effectiveness
reduce energy and fat intake induce weight loss in adults. <i>Exp Biol Med.</i>
2009;234:542-52.
Tate DF, Jackvony EH, Wing RR. A randomized trial comparing human e-mail       Less than 12 months followup
counseling, computer-automated tailored counseling, and no counseling in an
Internet weight loss program. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166:1620-5.
Tate DF, Jackvony EH, Wing RR. Effects of Internet behavioral counseling on       Comparative effectiveness
weight loss in adults at risk for type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. JAMA.
2003;289:1833-6.
Tate DF, Jeffery RW, Sherwood NE, Wing RR. Long-term weight losses associated Comparative effectiveness
with prescription of higher physical activity goals: are higher levels of physical
activity protective against weight regain? Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;85:954-9.
Tate DF, Wing RR, Winett RA. Using Internet technology to deliver a behavioral Less than 12 months followup
Weight loss program. JAMA. 2001;285:1172-7.
retixelia PJ, Goling SB, Houtkooper LB, et al. Resistance training in
2003:35:555.62
ODES Investigators. The Oslo Diet and Evercise Study (ODES): design and No weight or harms outcomes
objectives. Control Clin Trials, 1993;14:229-43
Thomas TR Warner SO Dellsnerger KC et al. Exercise and the metabolic Comparative effectiveness
syndrome with weight regain. J Appl Physiol. 2010;109:3-10.
Thompson WG, Rostad HN, Janzow DJ, et al. Effect of energy-reduced diets high Comparative effectiveness
in dairy products and fiber on weight loss in obese adults. Obes Res.
2005;13:1344-53.
Tijkkainen M, Bergholm R, Rissanen A, et al. Effects of equal weight loss with Less than 12 months followup
orlistat and placebo on body fat and serum fatty acid composition and insulin
resistance in obese women. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:22-30.
Tinker LF, Bonds DE, Margolis KL, et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and risk of treated Not focused on behavioral or
diabetes mellitus in postmenopausal women: the Women's Health Initiative pharmacological interventions
randomized controlled dietary modification trial. Arch Intern Med. 2008;168:1500- designed to promote weight loss
11.
Toft U, Kristoffersen L, Ladelund S, et al. The effect of adding group-based No weight or harms outcomes
counselling to individual lifestyle counselling on changes in dietary intake: the
Inter99 study—a randomized controlled trial. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2008;5:59.
I oobert DJ, Glasgow RE, Radcliffe JL. Physiologic and related behavioral Focus on patients in subgroups
outcomes from the Women's Lifestyle Heart Trial. Ann Behav Med. 2000;22:1-9. other than specified conditions
vvnat is 1045 (Take Off Pounds Sensibly). Milwaukee, vvl: 1045 Club, Inc; 2011. Not focused on behavioral or
nup.//www.tops.org/TOPSInformation/AboutTOPS.aspx pnarmacological interventions
uesigneu lo promote weight loss
type 2 diabetes; a 2-year follow-up. Diabetes Care, 2001;24:995-1000.

Trolle B, Flyvbjerg A, Kesmodel U, Lauszus FF. Efficacy of metformin in obese and non-obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized, double-binded, placebo-controlled cross-over trial. Hum Reprod. 2007;22:2967-73.       Less than 12 months followup         Tsai AG, Wadden TA, Rogers MA, et al. A primary care intervention for weight loss: results of a randomized controlled pilot study. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2010;18:1614-8.       Comparative effectiveness         Tsai AG, Wadden TA. Treatment of obesity in primary care practice in the United States: a systematic review. J Gen Intem Med. 2009;24:1073-9.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Tseng MC, Lee MB, Chen SY, et al. Response of Taiwanese obese binge eaters to a hospital-based weight reduction program. J Psychosom Res. 2004;57:279-85.       Tocus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions         Tuomilehto H, Pettonen M, Partinen M, et al. Sleep duration, lifestyle intervention, and incidence of type 2 diabetes Care. 2009;32:1965-71.       No weight or harms outcomes         Turuin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139-47.       Comparative effectiveness         Tuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9:917-9       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria of intervention does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286-93.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria of interven
non-obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled cross-over trial. <i>Hum Reprod.</i> 2007;22:2967-73.       Comparative effectiveness         Tsai AG, Wadden TA, Rogers MA, et al. A primary care intervention for weight loss: results of a randomized controlled pilot study. <i>Obesity (Silver Spring).</i> Comparative effectiveness         2010;18:1614-8.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Tsai AG, Wadden TA. Treatment of obesity in primary care practice in the United States: a systematic review. <i>J Gen Intern Med.</i> 2009;24:1073-9.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Tseng MC, Lee MB, Chen SY, et al. Response of Taiwanese obese binge eaters to a hospital-based weight reduction program. <i>J Psychosom Res.</i> 2004;57:279-85.       Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions         Tuomilehto H, Pettonen M, Partinen M, et al. Sleep duration, lifestyle intervention study. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2009;32:1965-71.       No weight or harms outcomes         Tuomilehto HP, Seppa JM, Partinen MM, et al. Lifestyle intervention with weight reduction: first-line treatment in mild obstructive sleep apnea. <i>Am J Resp Crit Care Med.</i> 2009;179:320-7.       Comparative effectiveness         Turnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. <i>Diabetes Metab.</i> 2001;27:139- 47.       Comparative effectiveness         Uusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. <i>Bone.</i>
placebo-controlled cross-over trial. Hum Reprod. 2007;22:2967-73.       Comparative effectiveness         Tsai AG, Wadden TA, Rogers MA, et al. A primary care intervention for weight loss:       Comparative effectiveness         results of a randomized controlled pilot study. Obesity (Silver Spring).       Does not meet design         2010;18:1614-8.       Does not meet design         Tseng MC, Lee MB, Chen SY, et al. Response of Taiwanese obese binge eaters to a hospital-based weight reduction program. J Psychosom Res. 2004;57:279-85.       Does not meet design         Tuomilehto H, Peltonen M, Partinen M, et al. Sleep duration, lifestyle intervention, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in impaired glucose tolerance: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:1965-71.       No weight or harms outcomes         Turnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139-47.       Comparative effectiveness         Turtil I A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Debs Metab. 2007;9:917-9       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men.       Comparative effectiveness         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced ob
Trank C, Wadden TA, Rogers WA, et al. A primary care intervention of weight ross.Comparative effectivenessresults of a randomized controlled pilot study. Obesity (Silver Spring).Does not meet design2010;18:1614-8.Treatment of obesity in primary care practice in the UnitedDoes not meet designStates: a systematic review. J Gen Intern Med. 2009;24:1073-9.Does not meet designTseng MC, Lee MB, Chen SY, et al. Response of Taiwanese obese binge eaters to a hospital-based weight reduction program. J Psychosom Res. 2004;57:279-85.No weight or harms outcomesTuomilehto H, Peltonen M, Partinen M, et al. Sleep duration, lifestyle intervention, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in impaired glucose tolerance: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:1965-71.No weight or harms outcomesTuomilehto HP, Seppa JM, Partinen MM, et al. Lifestyle intervention with weight reduction: first-line treatment in mild obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Resp Crit Care Med. 2009;179:320-7.Less than 12 months followupTurnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139- 47.Comparative effectiveness47.Tustili A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9:917-9Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria 93.43.Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low- intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.Comparative
Testing of difference of the processing for study: objectly former opining.2010;18:1614-8.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaTsai AG, Wadden TA. Treatment of obesity in primary care practice in the United States: a systematic review. J Gen Intern Med. 2009;24:1073-9.Does not meet design requirements in subgroups other than specified conditionsTseing MC, Lee MB, Chen SY, et al. Response of Taiwanese obese binge eaters to a hospital-based weight reduction program. J Psychosom Res. 2004;57:279-85.Does not meet design requirements in subgroups other than specified conditionsTuomilehto H, Peltonen M, Partinen M, et al. Sleep duration, lifestyle intervention, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in impaired glucose tolerance: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:1965-71.No weight or harms outcomesTuomilehto HP, Seppa JM, Partinen MM, et al. Lifestyle intervention with weight reduction: first-line treatment in mild obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Resp Crit Care Med. 2009;179:320-7.Less than 12 months followupTurnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139- 47.Comparative effectivenessUusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286- 93.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight loss with or without low-intens
Tsai AG, Wadden TA. Treatment of obesity in primary care practice in the United States: a systematic review. J Gen Intern Med. 2009;24:1073-9.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaTsein GK, Lee MB, Chen SY, et al. Response of Taiwanese obese binge eaters to a hospital-based weight reduction program. J Psychosom Res. 2004;57:279-85.Does not meet design requirements in subgroups other than specified conditionsTuomileht DH, Peltonen M, Partinen M, et al. Sleep duration, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in impaired glucose tolerance: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:1965-71.No weight or harms outcomesTuomileht HP, Seppa JM, Partinen MM, et al. Lifestyle intervention with weight reduction: first-line treatment in mild obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Resp Crit Care Med. 2009;179:320-7.Less than 12 months followupTurnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139- 47.Comparative effectivenessTuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9:917-9Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in meight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism inComparative effectiveness<
States: a systematic review. J Gen Intern Med. 2009;24:1073-9.requirements in inclusion criteriaTseng MC, Lee MB, Chen SY, et al. Response of Taiwanese obese binge eaters to a hospital-based weight reduction program. J Psychosom Res. 2004;57:279-85.Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditionsTuomilehto H, Peltonen M, Partinen M, et al. Sleep duration, lifestyle intervention, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in impaired glucose tolerance: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:1965-71.No weight or harms outcomesTuomilehto HP, Seppa JM, Partinen MM, et al. Lifestyle intervention with weight reduction: first-line treatment in mild obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Resp Crit Care Med. 2009;179:320-7.Less than 12 months followupTurnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139- 47.Comparative effectivenessTuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9:917-9Less than 12 months followupUusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286- 93.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria 93.Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low- intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.Comparative effectivenessVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or wi
Tseng MC, Lee MB, Chen SY, et al. Response of Taiwanese obese binge eaters to a hospital-based weight reduction program. J Psychosom Res. 2004;57:279-85.Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditionsTuomilehto H, Peltonen M, Partinen M, et al. Sleep duration, lifestyle intervention, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in impaired glucose tolerance: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:1965-71.No weight or harms outcomesTuomilehto HP, Seppa JM, Partinen MM, et al. Lifestyle intervention with weight reduction: first-line treatment in mild obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Resp Crit Care Med. 2009;179:320-7.Less than 12 months followupTurnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139- 47.Comparative effectivenessTuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9:917-9Less than 12 months followupUusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286- 93.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria 93.Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.Comparative effectiveness
a hospital-based weight reduction program. J Psychosom Res. 2004;57:279-85.other than specified conditionsTuomilehto H, Peltonen M, Partinen M, et al. Sleep duration, lifestyle intervention, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in impaired glucose tolerance: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:1965-71.No weight or harms outcomesTuomilehto HP, Seppa JM, Partinen MM, et al. Lifestyle intervention with weight reduction: first-line treatment in mild obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Resp Crit Care Med. 2009;179:320-7.Less than 12 months followupTurnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139- 47.Comparative effectiveness47.Tuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9:917-9Less than 12 months followupUusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286- 93.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low- intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.Comparative effectivenessVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism inComparative effectiveness
Tuomilehto H, Peltonen M, Partinen M, et al. Sleep duration, lifestyle intervention, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in impaired glucose tolerance: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:1965-71.No weight or harms outcomesTuomilehto HP, Seppa JM, Partinen MM, et al. Lifestyle intervention with weight reduction: first-line treatment in mild obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Resp Crit Care Med. 2009;179:320-7.Less than 12 months followupTurnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139- 47.Comparative effectivenessTuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9:917-9Less than 12 months followupUusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286- 93.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low- intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.Comparative effectivenessVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism inComparative effectiveness
and incidence of type 2 diabetes in impaired glucose tolerance: the Finnish         Diabetes Prevention Study. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:1965-71.         Tuomilehto HP, Seppa JM, Partinen MM, et al. Lifestyle intervention with weight reduction: first-line treatment in mild obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Resp Crit Care       Less than 12 months followup         Med. 2009;179:320-7.       Turnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139-47.       Comparative effectiveness         Tuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9:917-9       Less than 12 months followup         Uusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286-93.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.       Comparative effectiveness         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.       Comparative effectiveness
Diabetes Trevention Study. Diabetes Care. 2003;32: 1903-11.         Tuomilehto HP, Seppa JM, Partinen MM, et al. Lifestyle intervention with weight reduction: first-line treatment in mild obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Resp Crit Care Med. 2009;179:320-7.       Less than 12 months followup         Turnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139-47.       Comparative effectiveness         Tuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9:917-9       Less than 12 months followup         Uusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286-93.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria 93.         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.       Comparative effectiveness         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men.       Comparative effectiveness
Technicities for the performance of the content of the treatment in mild obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Resp Crit Care       Technicities treatment in mild obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Resp Crit Care         Med. 2009;179:320-7.       Turnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139-47.       Comparative effectiveness         Tuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9:917-9       Less than 12 months followup         Uusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286-93.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria of strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286-93.         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.       Comparative effectiveness         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men.       Comparative effectiveness
Med. 2009;179:320-7.       Comparative effectiveness         Turnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139-47.       Comparative effectiveness         Tuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9:917-9       Less than 12 months followup         Uusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286-93.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria patients with obesity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men.         Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.       Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men.       Comparative effectiveness         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men.       Comparative effectiveness         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in       Comparative effectiveness
Turnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. <i>Diabetes Metab.</i> 2001;27:139- 47.Comparative effectiveness47.Tuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2007;9:917-9Less than 12 months followupUusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. <i>Bone.</i> 2010;46:1286- 93.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low- intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. <i>Metabolism.</i> 2002;51:1003-10.Comparative effectivenessVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men.Comparative effectiveness
of a nutritional education software in obese patients. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27:139- 47.Less than 12 months followupTuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9:917-9Less than 12 months followupUusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286- 93.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low- intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.Comparative effectivenessVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism inComparative effectiveness
47.       Tuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2007;9:917-9       Less than 12 months followup         Uusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. <i>Bone.</i> 2010;46:1286-93.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men.       Comparative effectiveness         Watabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.       Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in       Comparative effectiveness
Iuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2007;9:917-9Less than 12 months followupUusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. <i>Bone.</i> 2010;46:1286- 93.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low- intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. <i>Metabolism.</i> 2002;51:1003-10.Comparative effectivenessVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism inComparative effectiveness
Intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular fisk score in patients with         obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9:917-9         Uusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not         compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286-         93.         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low-         intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men.         Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of         weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in
Uusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286- 93.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men.       Comparative effectiveness         Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.       Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in       Comparative effectiveness
compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286-       poces not meet design         93.       Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low-       Comparative effectiveness         Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.       Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of       Comparative effectiveness         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of       Comparative effectiveness
93.       93.         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low- intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men.       Comparative effectiveness         Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.       Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in       Comparative effectiveness
Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low- intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.Comparative effectivenessVan Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism inComparative effectiveness
intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men.         Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in
Metabolism. 2002;51:1003-10.       Comparative effectiveness         Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in       Comparative effectiveness
van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of Comparative effectiveness weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in
weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on lat metabolism in
obese men Am J Clin Nutr 2001;73:523-31
Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Wagenmakers AJ, et al. The effect of low-
intensity exercise training on fat metabolism of obese women. Obes Res.
2001;9:86-96.
Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Wagenmakers AJ, et al. Effect of exercise Comparative effectiveness
training at different intensities on fat metabolism of obese men. J Appl Physiol.
Van Gaal LE Broom II. Enzi G. Toplak H. Efficacy and tolerability of orlistat in the Less than 12 months followup
treatment of obesity: a 6-month dose-ranging study. Eur J Clin Pharmacol.
1998;54:125-32.
van Sluijs EM, van Poppel MN, Twisk JW, et al. Effect of a tailored physical activity Not focused on behavioral or
intervention delivered in general practice settings: results of a randomized pharmacological interventions
controlled trial. Am J Public Health. 2005;95:1825-31. designed to promote weight loss
van wier MF, Ariens GA, Dekkers JC, et al. ALIFE@work: a randomised controlled Less than 12 months followup
overweight working population. BMC Public Health. 2006;6:140.
van Wier MF, Ariens GA, Dekkers JC, et al. Phone and e-mail counselling are Less than 12 months followup
effective for weight management in an overweight working population: a
randomized controlled trial. BMC Public Health. 2009;9:6.
VanWormer JJ, Martinez AM, Benson GA, et al. Telephone counseling and home Comparative effectiveness
telemonitoring: the Weigh By Day Trial. Am J Health Benav. 2009;33:445-54.
body composition but not weight in postmenonausal women. Menonause
2009:16:777-84.
Venditti EM, Bray GA, Carrion-Petersen ML, et al. First versus repeat treatment No weight or harms outcomes
with a lifestyle intervention program: attendance and weight loss outcomes. Int J
Obes. 2008;32:1537-44.
Veverka DV, Anderson J, Auld GW, et al. Use of the stages of change model in Less than 12 months followup

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Vidgren HM, Agren JJ, Valve RS, et al. The effect of orlistat on the fatty acid composition of serum lipid fractions in obese subjects. <i>Clin Pharmacol Ther</i> . 1999;66:315-22.	No weight or harms outcomes
Villareal DT, Banks MR, Patterson BW, et al. Weight loss therapy improves pancreatic endocrine function in obese older adults. <i>Obesity</i> . 2008;16:1349-54.	No weight or harms outcomes
Villareal DT, Fontana L, Weiss EP, et al. Bone mineral density response to caloric restriction-induced weight loss or exercise-induced weight loss: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2006;166:2502-10.	Comparative effectiveness
Vissers D, Verrijken A, Mertens I, et al. Effect of long-term whole body vibration training on visceral adipose tissue: a preliminary report. <i>Obesity Facts</i> . 2010;3:93-100.	Other quality issues
Volpe SL, Kobusingye H, Bailur S, Stanek E. Effect of diet and exercise on body composition, energy intake and leptin levels in overweight women and men. <i>J Am Coll Nutr.</i> 2008;27:195-208.	Comparative effectiveness
von Huth SL, Ladelund S, Borch-Johnsen K, Jorgensen T. A randomized multifactorial intervention study for prevention of ischaemic heart disease (Inter99): the long-term effect on physical activity. <i>Scand J Public Health.</i> 2008;36:380-8.	No weight or harms outcomes
Wadden TA, Berkowitz RI, Sarwer DB, et al. Benefits of lifestyle modification in the pharmacologic treatment of obesity: a randomized trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2001;161:218-27.	Comparative effectiveness
Wadden TA, Berkowitz RI, Womble LG, et al. Randomized trial of lifestyle modification and pharmacotherapy for obesity. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2005;353:2111-20.	No placebo in medication trial
Wadden TA, West DS, Neiberg RH, et al. One-year weight losses in the Look AHEAD study: factors associated with success. <i>Obesity</i> . 2009;17:713-22.	Comparative effectiveness
Wardle J, Rogers P, Judd P, et al. Randomized trial of the effects of cholesterol- lowering dietary treatment on psychological function. <i>Am J Med.</i> 2000;108:547-53.	Less than 12 months followup
Waring ME, Roberts MB, Parker DR, Eaton CB. Documentation and management of overweight and obesity in primary care. <i>J Am Board Fam Med.</i> 2009;22:544-52.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Warren M, Schmitz KH. Safety of strength training in premenopausal women: musculoskeletal injuries from a two-year randomized trial. <i>Am J Health Promot.</i> 2009;23:309-14.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Warziski MT, Sereika SM, Styn MA, et al. Changes in self-efficacy and dietary adherence: the impact on weight loss in the PREFER study. <i>J Behav Med.</i> 2008;31:81-92.	Comparative effectiveness
Wassertheil-Smoller S, Oberman A, Blaufox MD, et al. The Trial of Antihypertensive Interventions and Management (TAIM) study: final results with regard to blood pressure, cardiovascular risk, and quality of life. <i>Am J Hypertens</i> . 1992;5:37-44.	No weight or harms outcomes
Wee CC, Davis RB, Phillips RS. Stage of readiness to control weight and adopt weight control behaviors in primary care. <i>J Gen Intern Med.</i> 2005;20:410-5.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Weiner R, Bockhorn H, Rosenthal R, Wagner D. A prospective randomized trial of different laparoscopic gastric banding techniques for morbid obesity. <i>Surg Endosc</i> . 2001;15:63-8.	Not one of the specified interventions
Weiss EP, Racette SB, Villareal DT, et al. Improvements in glucose tolerance and insulin action induced by increasing energy expenditure or decreasing energy intake: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2006;84:1033-42.	Comparative effectiveness
West DS, DiLillo V, Bursac Z, et al. Motivational interviewing improves weight loss in women with type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2007;30:1081-7.	Comparative effectiveness
Whittemore R, Melkus G, Wagner J, et al. Translating the Diabetes Prevention Program to primary care: a pilot study. <i>Nurs Res.</i> 2009;58:2-12.	Less than 12 months followup
Williamson DA, Martin CK, Anton SD, et al. Is caloric restriction associated with development of eating-disorder symptoms? Results from the CALERIE trial. <i>Health Psychol.</i> 2008;27(Suppl 1)S32:-42.	Comparative effectiveness
Williamson DA, Rejeski J, Lang W, et al. Impact of a weight management program on health-related quality of life in overweight adults with type 2 diabetes. <i>Arch</i> <i>Intern Med.</i> 2009;169:163-71.	Comparative effectiveness
Williamson DF. Re: randomized trial of weight loss and total mortality. <i>J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.</i> 2010;65:904.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Wing RR, Anglin K. Effectiveness of a behavioral weight control program for blacks and whites with NIDDM. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 1996;19:409-13.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR, Creasman JM, West DS, et al. Improving urinary incontinence in overweight and obese women through modest weight loss. <i>Obstetrics Gynecol.</i> 2010;116:284-92.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR, Epstein LH, Paternostro-Bayles M, et al. Exercise in a behavioural weight control programme for obese patients with type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes. <i>Diabetologia</i> . 1988;31:902-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR, Tate DF, Gorin AA, et al. STOP regain: are there negative effects of daily weighing? <i>J Consult Clin Psychol</i> . 2007;75:652-6.	No weight or harms outcomes
Wing RR, Tate DF, Gorin AA, et al. A self-regulation program for maintenance of weight loss. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2006;355:1563-71.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR, West DS, Grady D, et al. Effect of weight loss on urinary incontinence in overweight and obese women: results at 12 and 18 months. <i>J Urol.</i> 2010;184:1005-10.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR. Behavioral approaches to the treatment of obesity. In: Bray G, Bouchard C, James WP, eds. <i>Handbook of Obesity</i> . New York: Marcel Dekker; 1998:855-73.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Wing RR. Behavioral weight control. In: Wadden TA, Stunkard AJ, eds. <i>Handbook</i> of Obesity Treatment. New York: Guilford Press; 2002:301-16.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Wirth A, Krause J. Long-term weight loss with sibutramine: a randomized controlled trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2001;286:1331-9.	Sibutramine intervention
Wister A, Loewen N, Kennedy-Symonds H, et al. One-year follow-up of a therapeutic lifestyle intervention targeting cardiovascular disease risk. <i>Can Med Assoc J.</i> 2007;177:859-65.	Not one of the specified interventions
Wolf AM, Conaway MR, Crowther JQ, et al. Translating lifestyle intervention to practice in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: Improving Control with Activity and Nutrition (ICAN) study. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2004;27:1570-6.	Comparative effectiveness
Wolf AM, Siadaty MS, Crowther JQ, et al. Impact of lifestyle intervention on lost productivity and disability: Improving Control with Activity and Nutrition. <i>J Occup Environ Med</i> . 2009;51:139-45.	Comparative effectiveness
Womble LG, Wadden TA, McGuckin BG, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a commercial internet weight loss program. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2004;12:1011-8.	Comparative effectiveness
Wong SY, Lau EM, Lau WW, Lynn HS. Is dietary counselling effective in increasing dietary calcium, protein and energy intake in patients with osteoporotic fractures? A randomized controlled clinical trial. <i>J Hum Nutr Diet.</i> 2004;17:359-64.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Woo J, Sea MM, Tong P, et al. Effectiveness of a lifestyle modification programme in weight maintenance in obese subjects after cessation of treatment with orlistat. <i>J Eval Clin Pract.</i> 2007;13:853-9.	Less than 12 months followup
Wosje KS, Kalkwarf HJ. Lactation, weaning, and calcium supplementation: effects on body composition in postpartum women. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2004;80:423-9.	Not one of the specified interventions
Wright AD, Cull CA, MacLeod KM, et al. Hypoglycemia in type 2 diabetic patients randomized to and maintained on monotherapy with diet, sulfonylurea, metformin, or insulin for 6 years from diagnosis: UKPDS73. <i>J Diabetes Complications</i> . 2006;20:395-401.	Comparative effectiveness
Wylie-Rosett J, Swencionis C, Ginsberg M, et al. Computerized weight loss intervention optimizes staff time: the clinical and cost results of a controlled clinical trial conducted in a managed care setting. <i>J Am Diet Assoc</i> . 2001;101:1155-62.	Comparative effectiveness
Yancey AK, McCarthy WJ, Harrison GG, et al. Challenges in improving fitness: results of a community-based, randomized, controlled lifestyle change intervention. <i>J Womens Health.</i> 2006;15:412-29.	Not one of the specified interventions
Yassine HN, Marchetti CM, Krishnan RK, et al. Effects of exercise and caloric restriction on insulin resistance and cardiometabolic risk factors in older obese adults—a randomized clinical trial. <i>J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.</i> 2009;64:90-5.	Comparative effectiveness
Yates T, Davies M, Gorely T, et al. Effectiveness of a pragmatic education program designed to promote walking activity in individuals with impaired glucose tolerance: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2009;32:1404-10.	Not one of the specified interventions
Yeh MC, Rodriguez E, Nawaz H, et al. Technical skills for weight loss: 2-y follow-up results of a randomized trial. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2003;27:1500-6.	Comparative effectiveness

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Zannad F, Gille B, Grentzinger A, et al. Effects of sibutramine on ventricular	Sibutramine intervention
dimensions and heart valves in obese patients during weight reduction. Am Heart J.	
2002;144:508-15.	
Zavoral JH. Treatment with orlistat reduces cardiovascular risk in obese patients. J	Other quality issues
Hypertens. 1998;16:2013-7.	
Zemei MB, Richards J, Mathis S, et al. Dairy augmentation of total and central fat	Not one of the specified
Zemel MB, Richards, I, Miletead A, Campbell P, Effects of calcium and dainy on	Less than 12 months followup
body composition and weight loss in African-American adults. Obes Res	
2005;13:1218-25.	
Zemel MB, Thompson W, Milstead A, et al. Calcium and dairy acceleration of	Comparative effectiveness
weight and fat loss during energy restriction in obese adults. Obes Res.	-
2004;12:582-90.	
The Hypertension Prevention Trial: three-year effects of dietary changes on blood	No weight outcomes
pressure. Arch Intern Med. 1990;150:153-62.	
Anderssen S, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Diet and exercise intervention have	No weight outcomes
Exercise Study (ODES) Blood Press 1995;4:343-9	
Berne C: Orlistat Study Team A randomized study of orlistat in combination with a	No weight outcomes
weight management programme in obese patients with type 2 diabetes treated with	
metformin. <i>Diabet Med.</i> 2005;22:612-8.	
Burke V, Beilin LJ, Cutt HE, et al. Effects of a lifestyle programme on ambulatory	No weight outcomes
blood pressure and drug dosage in treated hypertensive patients: a randomized	
controlled trial. J Hypertens. 2005;23:1241-9.	
Christian JG, Bessesen DH, Byers TE, et al. Clinic-based support to help	No weight outcomes
overweight patients with type 2 diabetes increase physical activity and lose weight.	
Arch Intern Med. 2000, 100. 141-0.	No weight outcomes
natients. Fam Med. 1991:23:25-8	No weight outcomes
Cussler EC. Teixeira PJ. Going SB. et al. Maintenance of weight loss in overweight	No weight outcomes
middle-aged women through the Internet. <i>Obesity</i> . 2008;16:1052-60.	
Davidson MH, Hauptman J, DiGirolamo M, et al. Weight control and risk factor	No weight outcomes
reduction in obese subjects treated for 2 years with orlistat: a randomized	
controlled trial. JAMA. 1999;281:235-42.	
Davis BR, Oberman A, Blaufox MD, et al. Effect of antihypertensive therapy on	No weight outcomes
Weight loss. Hypertension. 1992, 19:393-9.	No weight outcomes
and Management: design methods and selected baseline results. Control Clin	No weight outcomes
Trials. 1989:10:11-30.	
Derosa G, Maffioli P, Salvadeo SA, et al. Comparison of orlistat treatment and	No weight outcomes
placebo in obese type 2 diabetic patients. Exp Opin Pharmacother. 2010;11:1971-	°
82.	
Derosa G, Mugellini A, Ciccarelli L, Fogari R. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-	No weight outcomes
controlled comparison of the action of orlistat, fluvastatin, or both on anthropometric	
measurements, blood pressure, and lipid profile in obese patients with	
Finer N. James WP. Konelman PG, et al. One-year treatment of obesity: a	No weight outcomes
randomized double-blind placebo-controlled multicentre study of orlistat a	No weight butcomes
gastrointestinal lipase inhibitor. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:306-13.	
Frank LL, Sorensen BE, Yasui Y, et al. Effects of exercise on metabolic risk	No weight outcomes
variables in overweight postmenopausal women: a randomized clinical trial. Obes	
Res. 2005;13:615-25.	
Gambineri A, Patton L, Vaccina A, et al. Treatment with flutamide, metformin, and	No weight outcomes
their combination added to a hypocaloric diet in overweight-obese women with	
polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized, 12-month, placebo-controlled study. J	
Haanala L Barango NC Biggs S et al. Weight loss by mobile phone: a 1 year	No weight outcomes
effectiveness study. Public Health Nutr. 2009;12:2382-91.	No weight outcomes

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Hanefeld M. Sachse G. The effects of orlistat on body weight and glycaemic control	No weight outcomes
in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial.	5
Diabetes Obes Metab. 2002;4:415-23.	
Hansson L, Zanchetti A. The Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) study—	No weight outcomes
patient characteristics: randomization, risk profiles, and early blood pressure	
results. Blood Press. 1994;3:322-7.	
Hill JU, Hauptman J, Anderson JW, et al. Orlistat, a lipase inhibitor, for weight maintenance after econycentional distingues a 1 victudy. Am J Clin Nutr. 1000:60:1108	No weight outcomes
16	
Hollander PA Elbein SC Hirsch IB et al. Role of orlistat in the treatment of obese	No weight outcomes
patients with type 2 diabetes: a 1-year randomized double-blind study. <i>Diabetes</i>	
Care. 1998;21:1288-94.	
Hollis JF, Satterfield S, Smith F, et al. Recruitment for phase II of the Trials of	No weight outcomes
Hypertension Prevention: effective strategies and predictors of randomization. Ann	
<i>Epidemiol.</i> 1995;5:140-8.	
Irwin ML, Yasui Y, Ulrich CM, et al. Effect of exercise on total and intra-abdominal	No weight outcomes
body fat in postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA.	
2003;269:323-30.	No weight outcomes
of orlistat in the management of obesity. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord	No weight butcomes
1997:21(Suppl 3):S24-30.	
Jeffery RW. Wing RR. Long-term effects of interventions for weight loss using food	No weight outcomes
provision and monetary incentives. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1995;63:793-6.	0
Jeffery RW, Wing RR, Thorson C, et al. Strengthening behavioral interventions for	No weight outcomes
weight loss: a randomized trial of food provision and monetary incentives. J Consult	
<i>Clin Psychol.</i> 1993;61:1038-45.	NI
Jones DW, Miller ME, Wottord MR, et al. The effect of weight loss intervention on	No weight outcomes
$(HOT)$ study $\Delta m \ I Hypertens$ 1999·12·1175-80	
Kastarinen MJ, Puska PM, Korhonen MH, et al. Non-pharmacological treatment of	No weight outcomes
hypertension in primary health care: a 2-year open randomized controlled trial of	
lifestyle intervention against hypertension in eastern Finland. J Hypertens.	
2002;20:2505-12.	
Krempf M, Louvet JP, Allanic H, et al. Weight reduction and long-term maintenance	No weight outcomes
after 18 months treatment with orlistat for obesity. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.	
2003;27:591-7. Kuller I.H. Simkin Silverman I.D. Wing DD. et al. Wemen's Healthy Lifestyle	No weight outcomes
Project_a randomized clinical trial: results at 54 months. <i>Circulation</i> 2001:103:32-	No weight outcomes
Langford HG, Blaufox MD, Oberman A, et al. Dietary therapy slows the return of	No weight outcomes
hypertension after stopping prolonged medication. JAMA. 1985;253:657-64.	Ũ
Langford HG, Davis BR, Blaufox D, et al. Effect of drug and diet treatment of mild	No weight outcomes
hypertension on diastolic blood pressure. <i>Hypertension</i> . 1991;17:210-7.	
Lindgarde F. The effect of orlistat on body weight and coronary heart disease risk	No weight outcomes
profile in obese patients: the Swedish Multimorbidity Study. J Intern Med.	
2000,240.245-54. Martin DR, Rhode PC, Dutton GR, et al. A primary care weight management	No weight outcomes
intervention for low-income African-American women Obesity 2006:14:1412-20	No weight outcomes
Martin PD. Dutton GR. Rhode PC. et al. Weight loss maintenance following a	No weight outcomes
primary care intervention for low-income minority women. Obesity. 2008;16:2462-7.	
Mayer-Davis EJ, D'Antonio AM, Smith SM, et al. Pounds Off With Empowerment	No weight outcomes
(POWER): a clinical trial of weight management strategies for black and white	
adults with diabetes who live in medically underserved rural communities. Am J	
Public mealth. 2004;94:1730-42.	No weight outcomes
INTERNITY IN, BIAR EE, CORPERIN E, ET AL LITESTYLE INTERVENTION ACCORDING TO GENERAL recommendations improves allocose tolerance. Obes Res. 2003;11:1588-96	No weight outcomes
Mensink M. Corpeleijn F. Feskens F.J. et al. Study on Lifestyle-intervention and	No weight outcomes
Impaired Glucose Tolerance Maastricht (SLIM): design and screening results.	
Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2003;61:49-58.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Miles JM, Leiter L, Hollander P, et al. Effect of orlistat in overweight and obese	No weight outcomes
patients with type 2 diabetes treated with metformin. Diabetes Care. 2002;25:1123-	
	<b>N N N N</b>
Mitsui I, Shimaoka K, Isuzuku S, et al. Gentle exercise of 40 minutes with dietary	No weight outcomes
Mohanka M. Irwin M. Heckbert SR. et al. Serum lipoproteins in overweight/obese	No weight outcomes
postmenopausal women: a one-year exercise trial. Med Sci Sports Exerc.	
2006;38:231-9.	
Moore H, Summerbell CD, Greenwood DC, et al. Improving management of obesity	No weight outcomes
in primary care: cluster randomised trial. <i>BMJ</i> . 2003;327:1085.	
Narayan KM, Hoskin M, Kozak D, et al. Randomized clinical trial of lifestyle	No weight outcomes
Park HA Loo IS Kuller H. Caulov IA Effects of weight control during the	No weight outcomes
menonausal transition on hone mineral density <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab</i>	No weight outcomes
2007:92:3809-15.	
Perri MG, McAllister DA, Gange JJ, et al. Effects of four maintenance programs on	No weight outcomes
the long-term management of obesity. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1988;56:529-34.	-
Pritchard DA, Hyndman J, Taba F. Nutritional counselling in general practice: a	No weight outcomes
cost effective analysis. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1999;53:311-6.	
Silva MN, Markland D, Minderico CS, et al. A randomized controlled trial to	No weight outcomes
evaluate self-determination theory for exercise adherence and weight control:	
Silva MN Vieira PN Coutinho SR et al Using self-determination theory to promote	No weight outcomes
physical activity and weight control: a randomized controlled trial in women. J	No weight butcomes
Behav Med. 2010;33:110-22.	
Simkin-Silverman LR, Wing RR, Boraz MA, Kuller LH. Lifestyle intervention can	No weight outcomes
prevent weight gain during menopause: results from a 5-year randomized clinical	
trial. Ann Behav Med. 2003;26:212-20.	
Simkin-Silverman LR, Wing RR, Boraz MA, et al. Maintenance of cardiovascular	No weight outcomes
risk factor changes among middle-aged women in a lifestyle intervention trial.	
Sigstrom L Rissanen A Andersen T et al Randomised placebo-controlled trial of	No weight outcomes
orlistat for weight loss and prevention of weight regain in obese patients. Lancet.	No weight outcomes
1998;352:167-72.	
Stevens VJ, Obarzanek E, Cook NR, et al. Long-term weight loss and changes in	No weight outcomes
blood pressure: results of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention, phase II. Ann	
Intern Med. 2001;134:1-11.	
Stevens VJ, Corrigan SA, Obarzanek E, et al. Weight loss intervention in phase 1 of the Trials of Hypertension Provention. Arch Intern Med, 1003:153:840, 58	No weight outcomes
Teivaira PT Silva MN Coutinho SR et al Mediators of weight loss and weight loss	No weight outcomes
maintenance in middle-aged women. <i>Obesity (Silver Spring)</i> . 2010:18:725-35.	No weight outcomes
ter Bogt NC, Bemelmans WJ, Beltman FW, et al. Preventing weight gain: one-year	No weight outcomes
results of a randomized lifestyle intervention. Am J Prev Med. 2009;37:270-7.	-
HOT Study Group. The Hypertension Optimal Treatment study (the HOT study).	No weight outcomes
Blood Press. 1993;2:62-8.	
Trials of Hypertention Prevention Collaborative Research Group. Effects of weight	No weight outcomes
loss and sodium reduction intervention on blood pressure and hypertension	
1997.157.657-67	
Trials of Hypertension Prevention Collaborative Research Group. The effects of	No weight outcomes
nonpharmacologic interventions on blood pressure of persons with high normal	5
levels: results of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention, phase I. JAMA.	
1992;267:1213-20.	
Villareal D1, Miller BV III, Banks M, et al. Effect of lifestyle intervention on metabolic	No weight outcomes
coronary neart disease risk factors in odese older adults. Am J Clin Nutr.	
Villareal DT Banks M Sinacore DR et al. Effect of weight loss and evercise on	No weight outcomes
frailty in obese older adults. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166:860-6.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Villareal DT, Shah K, Banks MR, et al. Effect of weight loss and exercise therapy	No weight outcomes
on bone metabolism and mass in obese older adults: a one-year randomized	-
controlled trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2008;93:2181-7.	
Wassertheil-Smoller S, Langford HG, Blaufox MD, et al. Effective dietary	No weight outcomes
intervention in hypertensives: sodium restriction and weight reduction. J Am Diet	
Assoc. 1985;85:423-30.	
Werkman A, Hulshof PJ, Stafleu A, et al. Effect of an individually tailored one-year	No weight outcomes
energy balance programme on body weight, body composition and lifestyle in	
recent retirees: a cluster randomised controlled trial. BMC Public Health.	
Whelton PK, Hebert PR, Cutler J, et al. Baseline characteristics of participants in	No weight outcomes
phase 1 of the Thals of Hypertension Prevention. Ann Epidemiol. 1992;2:295-310.	
Wood PD, Stetanick ML, Dreon DM, et al. Changes in plasma lipids and	No weight outcomes
ipoproteins in overweight men during weight loss through dieting as compared with	
Weallard L Burke V Pailin L at al. Effects of a general practice based	No woight outcomos
intervention on diet, hedy mass index and blood lipids in nationts at cardiovascular	No weight outcomes
risk / Cardiovasc Risk 2003:10:31-40	
Lakerveld I Bot SD Chinanaw MI et al Primary prevention of diabetes mellitus	No weight or harms outcomes
type 2 and cardiovascular diseases using a cognitive behavior program aimed at	No weight of harms outcomes
lifestyle changes in people at risk: design of a randomized controlled trial. BMC	
Endocr Disord. 2008;8:6.	
Davey SG, Bracha Y, Svendsen KH, et al. Incidence of type 2 diabetes in the	Not one of the specified
randomized Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. Ann Intern Med. 2005;142:313-	interventions
22	
Kosaka K, Noda M, Kuzuya T. Prevention of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle	Comparative effectiveness
intervention: a Japanese trial in IGT males. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract.</i> 2005;67:152-	
62.	
Jakicic JM, Marcus BH, Lang W, Janney C. Effect of exercise on 24-month weight	Comparative effectiveness
loss maintenance in overweight women. Arch Intern Med. 1559;168:1550-9.	
Jacob S, Rabbia M, Meier MK, Hauptman J. Orlistat 120 mg improves glycaemic	Less than 12 months followup
control in type 2 diabetic patients with or without concurrent weight loss. <i>Diabetes</i>	
Obes Metab. 2009;11:361-71.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Aadahl M, von Huth SL, Pisinger C, et al. Five-year change in physical activity is associated with changes in cardiovascular disease risk factors. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2009;48(4):326-31.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Acharya NV, Wilton LV, Shakir SA. Safety profile of orlistat: results of a prescription-event monitoring study. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2006;30:1645-52. Agurs-Collins TD, Kumanyika SK, Ten Have TR, Adams-Campbell LL. A randomized controlled trial of weight reduction and exercise for diabetes	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria Less than 12 months followup
management in older African-American subjects. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 1997;20:1503-11. Akinson RL. Conjugated linoleic acid for altering body composition and treating obesity. In: Yurawecz MP, Mossoba MM, Kramer JK, et al, eds. <i>Advances in Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research</i> . Vol 1. Champaign, IL: AOCS Press; 1999:348-53.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Alhassan S, Kim S, Bersamin A, et al. Dietary adherence and weight loss success among overweight women: results from the A TO Z weight loss study. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2008;32:985-91.	Comparative effectiveness
Allen P, Thompson JL, Herman CJ,, et al. Impact of periodic follow-up testing among urban American Indian women with impaired fasting glucose. <i>Preventing Chronic Disease</i> . 2008;5:A76.	Not one of the specified interventions
Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 1999;281:335-40.	Comparative effectiveness
Anderson JW, Grant L, Gotthelf L, Stifler LT. Weight loss and long-term follow-up of severely obese individuals treated with an intense behavioral program. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2007;31:488-93.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Anderssen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. <i>Scand J Med Sci Sports.</i> 2007;17:687-95.	No weight outcomes
Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. <i>Scand J Med Sci Sports.</i> 1998;8:109-15.	Does not include specified weight outcomes
Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. <i>Food Nutr Res.</i> 2008;52.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> . 2010;79(3):320-6.	No weight outcomes
Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. <i>Eat Behav.</i> 2009;10:176-83.	Comparative effectiveness
Lakerveld J, Bot SD, Chinapaw MJ, et al. Primary prevention of diabetes mellitus type 2 and cardiovascular diseases using a cognitive behavior program aimed at lifestyle changes in people at risk: design of a randomized controlled trial. <i>BMC Endocr Disord</i> . 2008;8:6.	No weight outcomes
Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. <i>Am J Med.</i> 1999;106:179-84.	Sibutramine intervention
Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2003;289:2083-93.	Not one of the specified interventions
Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2001;161:685-93.	No weight outcomes
Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. <i>Clin Evid (Online)</i> . 2008.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Ash S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2006;30:1557-64.	Comparative effectiveness
Ashley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2001;161:1599-604.	Comparative effectiveness

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Ashutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. <i>J Sports Med Phys Fitness</i> .	Comparative effectiveness
1997;37:252-7.	
Avenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight	Does not meet design
reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. <i>J Hum Nutr Diet</i> . 2004;17:317-35.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Babamoto KS, Sey KA, Camilleri AJ, et al. Improving diabetes care and health	Less than 12 months followup
measures among Hispanics using community health workers: results from a randomized controlled trial. <i>Health Educ Behav.</i> 2009;36:113-26.	
Bach DS, Rissanen AM, Mendel CM, et al. Absence of cardiac valve dysfunction in obese patients treated with sibutramine. <i>Obes Res.</i> 1999;7:363-9.	Sibutramine intervention
Bacon L, Keim NL, Van L, et al. Evaluating a "non-diet" wellness intervention for improvement of metabolic fitness, psychological well-being and eating and activity behaviors. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2002;26:854-65.	Comparative effectiveness
Bakris G, Calhoun D, Egan B, et al. Orlistat improves blood pressure control in obese subjects with treated but inadequately controlled hypertension. <i>J Hypertens</i> . 2002;20:2257-67.	High or differential attrition
Balducci S, Zanuso S, Nicolucci A, et al. Anti-inflammatory effect of exercise	Not focused on behavioral or
training in subjects with type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome is dependent	pharmacological interventions
on exercise modalities and independent of weight loss. <i>Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.</i> 2010;20:608-17.	designed to promote weight loss
Barr SI, McCarron DA, Heaney RP, et al. Effects of increased consumption of fluid milk on energy and nutrient intake, body weight, and cardiovascular risk factors in healthy older adults. <i>J Am Diet Assoc.</i> 2000;100:810-7.	Not one of the specified interventions
Bauer C, Fischer A, Keller U. Effect of sibutramine and of cognitive-behavioural weight loss therapy in obesity and subclinical binge eating disorder. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2006;8:289-95.	Less than 12 months followup
Beck-da-Silva L, Higginson L, Fraser M, et al. Effect of orlistat in obese patients with heart failure: a pilot study. <i>Congest Heart Fail.</i> 2005;11:118-23.	Less than 12 months followup
Bemelmans WJ, Broer J, de Vries JH, et al. Impact of Mediterranean diet education	Not focused on behavioral or
versus posted leaflet on dietary habits and serum cholesterol in a high risk	pharmacological interventions
population for cardiovascular disease. <i>Public Health Nutr.</i> 2000;3:273-83.	designed to promote weight loss
Bergstrom I, Lombardo C, Brinck J. Physical training decreases waist circumference in postmenopausal borderline overweight women. <i>Acta Obstet</i> <i>Gynecol Scand</i> , 2009;88:308-13	Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions
Bhargaya A. Guthrie JF. Unhealthy eating habits, physical exercise and	Not one of the specified
macronutrient intakes are predictors of anthropometric indicators in the Women's Health Trial Feasibility Study in Minority Populations. <i>Br J Nutr.</i> 2002;88:719-28.	interventions
Blumenthal JA, Sherwood A, Gullette EC, et al. Exercise and weight loss reduce	Less than 12 months followup
blood pressure in men and women with mild hypertension: effects on	
cardiovascular, metabolic, and hemodynamic functioning. Arch Intern Med.	
2000;160:1947-58.	
metabolic syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. <i>J Gen Intern Med.</i> 2007;22:1695-703.	interventions
Bo S, Ciccone G, Guidi S, et al. Diet or exercise: what is more effective in	Not one of the specified
preventing or reducing metabolic alterations? <i>Eur J Endocrinol.</i> 2008;159:685-91.	interventions
Borg P, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Fogelholm M, Pasanen M. Effects of walking or resistance training on weight loss maintenance in obese, middle-aged men: a randomized trial. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2002;26:676-83.	Comparative effectiveness
Botomino A, Bruppacher R, Krahenbuhl S, Hersberger KE. Change of body weight	Does not meet design
and lifestyle of persons at risk for diabetes after screening and counselling in pharmacies. <i>Pharm World Sci.</i> 2008;30:222-6.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Bowen D, Clifford CK, Coates R, et al. The Women's Health Trial Feasibility Study in Minority Populations: design and baseline descriptions. <i>Ann Epidemiol.</i> 1996;6:507-19.	Not one of the specified interventions
Bowen J, Noakes M, Clifton PM. A high dairy protein, high-calcium diet minimizes bone turnover in overweight adults during weight loss. J Nutr. 2004;134:568-73	Less than 12 months followup

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Bowerman S, Bellman M, Saltsman P, et al. Implementation of a primary care physician network obesity management program. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2001;9(Suppl 4):S321-5.	Less than 12 months followup
Bravata DM, Smith-Spangler C, Sundaram V, et al. Using pedometers to increase physical activity and improve health: a systematic review. <i>JAMA</i> . 2007;298:2296-304.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Brinkworth GD, Noakes M, Keogh JB, et al. Long-term effects of a high-protein, low-carbohydrate diet on weight control and cardiovascular risk markers in obese hyperinsulinemic subjects. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.</i> 2004;28:661-70.	Comparative effectiveness
Brinkworth GD, Noakes M, Parker B, et al. Long-term effects of advice to consume a high-protein, low-fat diet, rather than a conventional weight-loss diet, in obese adults with type 2 diabetes: one-year follow-up of a randomised trial. <i>Diabetologia</i> . 2004;47:1677-86.	Comparative effectiveness
Broom I, Hughes E, Dodson P, Reckless J. The role of orlistat in the treatment of obese patients with mild to moderate hypercholesterolaemia: consequences for coronary risk. <i>Br J Cardiol.</i> 2002;9:460-8.	Less than 12 months followup
Brownell KD. The LEARN Program for Weight Management. New Haven, CT: American Health Publishing Company; 2000.	Comparative effectiveness
Bryan J, Tiggemann M. The effect of weight-loss dieting on cognitive performance and psychological well-being in overweight women. <i>Appetite</i> . 2001;36:147-56.	Less than 12 months followup
Buchwald H, Avidor Y, Braunwald E, et al. Bariatric surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>JAMA</i> . 2004;292:1724-37.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Burke V, Beilin LJ, Cutt HE, et al. A lifestyle program for treated hypertensives improved health-related behaviors and cardiovascular risk factors, a randomized controlled trial. <i>J Clin Epidemiol.</i> 2007;60:133-41.	No weight outcomes
Burke V, Mansour J, Beilin LJ, Mori TA. Long-term follow-up of participants in a health promotion program for treated hypertensives (ADAPT). <i>Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.</i> 2008;18:198-206.	No weight outcomes
Burke V, Mori TA, Giangiulio N, et al. An innovative program for changing health behaviours. <i>Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.</i> 2002;11(Suppl 3):S586-97.	High or differential attrition
Caan B, Neuhouser M, Aragaki A, et al. Calcium plus vitamin D supplementation and the risk of postmenopausal weight gain. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2007;167:893-902.	Not one of the specified interventions
Calle-Pascual AL, Rodriguez C, Camacho F, et al. Behaviour modification in obese subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract.</i> 1992;15:157-62.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Campbell PT, Campbell KL, Wener MH, et al. A yearlong exercise intervention decreases CRP among obese postmenopausal women. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc.</i> 2009;41:1533-9.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Carr DB, Utzschneider KM, Boyko EJ, et al. A reduced-fat diet and aerobic exercise in Japanese Americans with impaired glucose tolerance decreases intra-abdominal fat and improves insulin sensitivity but not beta-cell function. <i>Diabetes</i> . 2005;54:340-7.	Comparative effectiveness
Carr LJ, Bartee RT, Dorozynski CM, et al. Eight-month follow-up of physical activity and central adiposity: results from an Internet-delivered randomized control trial intervention. <i>J Phys Act Health.</i> 2009;6:444-55.	Comparative effectiveness
Castaneda C, Layne JE, Munoz-Orians L, et al. A randomized controlled trial of resistance exercise training to improve glycemic control in older adults with type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2002;25:2335-41.	Less than 12 months followup
Chang MW, Nitzke S, Brown R. Design and outcomes of a Mothers In Motion behavioral intervention pilot study. <i>J Nutr Educ Behav.</i> 2010;42(Suppl 3):S11-21.	Less than 12 months followup
Charles MA, Morange P, Eschwege E, et al. Effect of weight change and metformin on fibrinolysis and the von Willebrand factor in obese nondiabetic subjects: the BIGPRO1 study. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 1998;21:1967-72.	No weight outcomes
Cheyette C. Weight No More: a randomised controlled trial for people with type 2 diabetes on insulin therapy. <i>Pract Diabetes Int.</i> 2007;24:450-6.	High or differential attrition
Chiasson JL, Lau DC, Leiter LA, et al. Fluoxetine has potential in obese NIDDM— multicenter Canadian trial. <i>Diabetes</i> . 1989;38(Suppl 2):A154.	Not one of the specified interventions
Clark M, Hampson SE, Avery L, Simpson R. Effects of a tailored lifestyle self- management intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes. <i>Br J Health Psychol.</i> 2004:9:365-79.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Clarke KK Freeland-Graves J Klobe-Lehman DM et al Promotion of physical	Less than 12 months followup
activity in low-income mothers using pedometers <i>J Am Diet Assoc</i> 2007 <sup>-107</sup> 962-	
7	
Clifford PA Tan SY Gorsuch RL Efficacy of a self-directed behavioral health	Comparative effectiveness
change program; weight body composition, cardiovascular fitness, blood pressure	Comparative encetwerless
health risk, and nevenesocial mediating variables. <i>J Behav Med</i> , 1001:14:303-23	
Cocco G. Pandolfi S. Pousson V. Sufficient weight reduction decreases	Less than 12 months followup
cordiovascular complications in diabatic patients with the motabolic evodromo: a	
cardiovascular complications in diabetic patients with the metabolic syndrome, a	
Heart Drug 2005:5:68.74	
Coker DH Williams DH Voc SE at al. The impact of everying training compared to	Loss than 12 months follow/up
CORELECTSE training compared to SE, et al. The impact of exercise training compared to	Less than 12 months followup
Endocrinol Metab. 2000:04:4258.66	
Corroloiin E. Ecokona E.L. Janson E.L. of al. Improvements in glucosa telerance	Not one of the appointed
colpeterin E, reskens EJ, Jansen EH, et al. Improvements in glucose tolerance	interventions
and insulin sensitivity and departurage activities: the SLIM study. Dishetelegie	Interventions
2000,49.2392-401.	Deee net meet deeign
Counterweight Project Team. Evaluation of the Counterweight Programme for	Does not meet design
obesity management in primary care: a starting point for continuous improvement.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Br J Gen Pract. 2008;58:548-54.	<u> </u>
Counterweight Project Team. Influence of body mass index on prescribing costs	Does not meet design
and potential cost savings of a weight management programme in primary care. J	requirements in inclusion criteria
Health Serv Res Policy. 2008;13:158-66.	
Cousins JH, Rubovits DS, Dunn JK, et al. Family versus individually oriented	Comparative effectiveness
intervention for weight loss in Mexican American women. Public Health Rep.	
1992;107:549-55.	
Cuellar GE, Ruiz AM, Monsalve MC, Berber A. Six-month treatment of obesity with	Not on list of countries with HDI
sibutramine 15 mg; a double-blind, placebo-controlled monocenter clinical trial in a	> 0.90
Hispanic population. Obes Res. 2000;8:71-82.	
Culturally appropriate lifestyle interventions promote weight loss in rural dwelling	Not focused on behavioral or
people with type 2 diabetes. Evid Based Healthc Public Health. 2005;9:231-2.	pharmacological interventions
	designed to promote weight loss
Dale KS, Mann JI, McAuley KA, et al. Sustainability of lifestyle changes following	Comparative effectiveness
an intensive lifestyle intervention in insulin resistant adults: follow-up at 2-years.	
Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2009;18:114-20.	
Dale KS, McAuley KA, Taylor RW, et al. Determining optimal approaches for weight	Comparative effectiveness
maintenance: a randomized controlled trial. Can Med Assoc J. 2009;180:E39-46.	
Davey SG, Bracha Y, Svendsen KH, et al. Incidence of type 2 diabetes in the	Not one of the specified
randomized Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. Ann Intern Med. 2005;142:313-	interventions
22.	
Davies MJ, Heller S, Skinner TC, et al. Effectiveness of the Diabetes Education and	Not focused on behavioral or
Self Management for Ongoing and Newly Diagnosed (DESMOND) Programme for	pharmacological interventions
people with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: cluster randomised controlled trial.	designed to promote weight loss
<i>BMJ.</i> 2008;336:491-5.	
Davis BR, Blaufox MD, Oberman A, et al. Reduction in long-term antihypertensive	No weight outcomes
medication requirements: effects of weight reduction by dietary intervention in	•
overweight persons with mild hypertension. Arch Intern Med. 1993;153:1773-82.	
de Waard F, Ramlau R, Mulders Y, et al. A feasibility study on weight reduction in	Focus on patients in subgroups
obese postmenopausal breast cancer patients. Eur J Cancer Prev. 1993;2:233-8.	other than specified conditions
de Wit LT, Mathus-Vliegen L, Hey C, et al. Open versus laparoscopic adjustable	Not one of the specified
silicone gastric banding: a prospective randomized trial for treatment of morbid	interventions
obesity. Ann Surg. 1999;230:800-5.	
Delahanty LM. Nathan DM. Implications of the Diabetes Prevention Program and	Comparative effectiveness
Look AHEAD clinical trials for lifestyle interventions. J Am Diet Assoc.	
2008;108(Suppl 1):S66-72.	
Delecluse C, Colman V, Roelants M, et al. Exercise programs for older men: mode	Less than 12 months followup
and intensity to induce the highest possible health-related benefits. Prev Med	
2004:39:823-33.	
Dennis KE, Tomovasu N, McCrone SH, et al. Self-efficacy targeted treatments for	Comparative effectiveness
weight loss in postmenopausal women. Sch Ing Nurs Pract. 2001;15:259-76.	

Derosa G, Cicero AF, Murdolo G, et al. Efficacy and safety comparative evaluation of critistat and siturtamine treatment in hyperfensive obese patients. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2005;7:47-55.         Comparative effectiveness of comparative effectiveness           Dvin A, Prince RL, Dell R, Nutrilional effect of calcium supplementation by skim milk powder or calcium tablets on total nutrient intake in postmenopausal women. <i>Am J Clin Nutr</i> 1996;64:731-7.         Comparative effectiveness           Di Francesco V, Sacco T, Zamboni M, et al. Weight loss and quality of life improvement in obese subjects treated with subtramine: a double-blind randomized multicenter study. <i>Ann Nutr Metab.</i> 2007;51:75-81.         Sibutramine intervention           Di Francesco V, Sacco T, Zamboni M, et al. Weight loss and quality of tile improvement in obese subjects. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2003;26:40-48.         Comparative effectiveness           Dischuneit HH, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight- loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 1996;9:198-204.         Comparative effectiveness           Diror ZD, Llacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled excrease triad nobale weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2003;163:1343-50.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Fitt PJ, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mon verified, supervised intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition , yoaderstely boese females. <i>Int J Obes Relit Metab Disord</i> , 2000;24:5667-2.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Fintt PJ, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Comparison of a phone vs	Reference	Reason for Exclusion
of orlistal and sibutramine treatment in hyperfensive obese patients. <i>Diabetes Obes</i> Metab. 2005; 747-55. Devine A, Prince RL, Bell R, Nutritional effect of calcium supplementation by skim milk powder or calcium tablets on total nutrient intake in postmenopausal women. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 1996;64/731-7. Di Lordt C, Fanelli C, Lucidi P, et al. Weight loss and quality of life improvement in obese subjects treated with sibutramine: a double-blind randomized multicenter study. <i>Ann Nutr Metab.</i> 2007;51:75-81. Di Lordt C, Fanelli C, Lucidi P, et al. Validation of a counseling strategy to promote the adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2003;26:404-8. Di Lordt C, Fanelli C, Lucidi P, et al. Validation of a counseling strategy to promote thes adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2003;26:404-8. Di Bitschuret HL, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight- loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 1999;69:198-204. Dijuric Z, DiLaura NM, Jankins I, et al. Combining weight-loss counseling with the physical activity by the and composition in young, overweight men and woment: the Midesel Exercise Trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2003;163:163-1644-36. Donnelly LE, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 18 month randomized temales. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> , 2009;45:667-72. Donnelly LE, Kink EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to acrobite trial. <i>Arch J Obes.</i> 2007;45:165-164. Donnelly JE, Kink EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to acrobites Trial. <i>An J Obes.</i> 2007;45:67-67. Due A, Larsen TM, Mut J, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to acrobites trial. <i>Arch J Obes.</i> 2007;45:264-72. Due A, Larsen TM, Mut J, et al. Comparison of 3 ad iblutim diets for weight-loss tiftcent to maintain improve E. Mendel CML. Effects of sibutramine on body weight tand scrue Nee E. Mendel CML. Effects of sibu	Derosa G, Cicero AF, Murdolo G, et al. Efficacy and safety comparative evaluation	Comparative effectiveness
Metab. 2005;747-55.         Comparative effectiveness           Devine A, Prince RL, Bell R. Nutritional effect of calcium supplementation by skim milk powder or calcium tablets on total nutrient intake in postmenopausal women.         Coll Nutri 1996;64;731-7.         Comparative effectiveness           D IF rancesco V, Sacco T, Zamboni M, et al. Weight loss and quality of life improvement in obese subjects treated with subtrainine a double-blind randomized multicenter study. Ann Nutr Metab. 2007;51:75-81.         Sibutramine intervention           D IF rancesco V, Sacco T, Zamboni M, et al. Weight loss and quality of life improvement in obese subjects. Transition of a counseling strategy to promote the adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects. Diabetes Care. 2003;26:404-8.         Comparative effectiveness           Dischuret HH, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight- loss affects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial and threm Med. 2003;163:1343-360.         High or differential attrition           Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Helan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity. body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Reid Metab Joord. 2000;43:65:72.         Comparative effectiveness           Midwest Exercise Trial Arch JC Mutr. 2003;78:950-6.         Donnelly JE, Simt BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achise on marconitient intake in overweight men and wom	of orlistat and sibutramine treatment in hypertensive obese patients. Diabetes Obes	-
Devine A, Prince RL, Bell R. Nutritional effect of calcium supplementation by skim         Comparative effectiveness           Am J Clin Nutr. 1996;64:731-7.         Sibutramine : a double-blind           Di Francesco, V. Sacco, T. zamboni M, et al. Weight loss and quality of life         Sibutramine intervention           improvement in obese subjects treated with sibutramine : a double-blind         Sibutramine : a double-blind           randomized multicenter study. Ann Nutr Metab. 2007;51:75-81.         Comparative effectiveness           Di Loreto C, Fanelli C, Lucidi P, et al. Validation of a counseling strategy to promote         Comparative effectiveness           Distechurei HL, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight- loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr.         Comparative effectiveness           Digric 2, DiLaura MM, Jenkins I, et al. Combining weight-loss counseling with the moless breast cancer survivors. Obes Res. 2002;10:657- 65.         Focus on patients in subgroups           Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 fnon th randomized controlled exercise trial. Am J Inter Minter Med 2003;163:1343-60.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Kirk EP. Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mon of verified, supervised aradobic exercise rinal. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:1326-61.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Kirk EP. Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mon of verified, supervised aradobic exercise rinal. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:1326-61.         Less than 12 months followup achieve 10% weight loss. Int JObes. 2	Metab. 2005;7:47-55.	
Initk powder or calcium tablets on total nutrinent intake in postmenopausal women.         Am J Clin Autr. 1996;64:731-7.           Di Francesco V, Sacco T, Zamboni M, et al. Weight loss and quality of life improvement in obses subjects treated with sibutramine: a double-blind randomized multicenter study. Ann Nutr Metab. 2007;51:75-81.         Sibutramine intervention           Di Loreto C, Famelli C, Lucid P, et al. Validation of a counseling strategy to promote the adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects.         Comparative effectiveness           Diabetes Care. 2003;26:404-8.         Comparative offectiveness         Comparative effectiveness           Dirici Z, DiLaura MM, Jenkins I, et al. Combining weight-loss counseling with the Weight Watchers plan for obese breast cancer survivors. Obes Res. 2002;10:657-65.         Focus on patients in subgroups of ther than specified conditions of the Midwest Exercise Trait. Am Intern Med, 2003;163:143-60.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 18 month randomized mail women: the Midwest Exercise Trait. Am Intern Med, 2003;163:143-60.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Sinth BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to larger the babols of thress in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Meta D Disort. 2002;4:566-72.         Less than 12 months followup achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.         Less than 12 months followup achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.           Due A, Larsen TM, WH, H et al. Comparison of J alphore vs clinic approach to largers unplicita. adviduble-bind, rand	Devine A, Prince RL, Bell R. Nutritional effect of calcium supplementation by skim	Comparative effectiveness
Am J Clin Nutr. 1995;68:731-7.       Sibutramine intervention         Di Francesco, V. Sacco, T. Zamboni M, et al. Weight loss and quality of life improvement in obese subjects treated with sibutramine: a double-blind randomized multicenter study. Ann Nutr Metal. 2007;51:75-81.       Sibutramine intervention         Di Loreto C, Fanell C, Lucidi P, et al. Validation of a counseling strategy to promote comparative effectiveness.       Comparative effectiveness.         Dischuneit HH, Flechtner-Kors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight-loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr.       Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions         Diydric Z, DiLaura NM, Jenkins I, et al. Combining weight-loss counseling with the Weight Watchers plan for obese breast cancer survivors. Obes Res. 2002;10:657-       Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions         Opnreity JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized       High or differential attrition         Comparative effectiveness       Comparative effectiveness         Intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am C Inin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnely JE, Smith BK, Durn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to acrite yobese females. Int J Obes 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup achieve 10% weight and composition of a diabetes: a 6-mo randomized.         Dune AL, Harsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of a diabetes: a 6-mo randomized.       Sibutrami	milk powder or calcium tablets on total nutrient intake in postmenopausal women.	
b) Francesco V, Sacco I, Zamboni M, et al. Weight loss and quality of tite improvement in obses subjects treated with sibutramine: a double-bind randomized multicenter study. <i>Ann Nutr Metab.</i> 2007;51:75-81.       Sibutramine intervention         Di Loreto C, Faelli C, Lucidi P, et al. Validation of a counselling strategy to promote the adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects.       Comparative effectiveness         Diabetis Care. 2003;28:404-8.       Comparative effectiveness       Comparative effectiveness         Divisc, Diuzar AM, Jenkins I, et al. Combining weight-loss counseling with the Weight Watchers plan for obses breast cancer survivors. <i>Obse Res.</i> 2002;10:657- 65.       Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions         Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-morth randomized controlled exercise trial an oby weight and composition in young, overwight men and women: the Midwest Exercise trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2003;163:1343-50.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised females. <i>Int J Obes Reial Med Disord.</i> 2000;24:566-72.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised acrobic exercise trian. <i>Arch J Clin Nutr.</i> 2003;78:590-6.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a dibitum diets for weight-loss controlled etra. <i>J. Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2003;78:1520-4.       Less than 12 months followup achieve 10% weight noces. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2007;31:1270-6.         Duen A, Larsen TM, WL H, et al. Comparison of a dibitum	Am J Clin Nutr. 1996;64:731-7.	<u> </u>
Improvement in obese subjects treated with sibutramine: a double-bind randomized multicenter study. <i>Ann Nutr Metal.</i> 2007;517:5-81. Di Loreto C, Fanelli C, Lucidi P, et al. Validation of a counseling strategy to promote the adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2003;26:404-8. Dischunelt HH, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight- loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 1999;89:198-204. Point 2, DiLaura NM, Jenkins I, et al. Combining weight-loss counseling with the and women: the Midwest Exercise trial and body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2003;163:1343-50. Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a formonth randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2003;163:143-50. Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Teeffects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.</i> 2000;24:566-72. Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to aerobic exercise Trial. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2003;78:950-6. Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of a a biblum dieb for weight-loss untimetamore, lisk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, pontrolled trial. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2008;88:1232-41. Duycome CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibultramine on body weight and scuble-bind, radomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. <i>Am Heart J.</i> 2001;1142:489- 97. Durna AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured Intervention R, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity or low. Income minority	Di Francesco V, Sacco T, Zamboni M, et al. Weight loss and quality of life	Sibutramine intervention
Tandomized multicity         Ann Nutr Methods           Di Loreto C, Fanelli C, Lucioli P, et al. Validation of a counseling strategy to promote the adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects.         Comparative effectiveness           Ditachets Care. 2003;26:404-8.         Comparative effectiveness         Comparative effectiveness           Disseties Care. 2003;26:404-8.         Comparative effectiveness         Comparative effectiveness           Disseties Care. 2003;26:404-8.         Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2009;24:566-72.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised and vomen: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:30-67.         High or differential attrition           Derote VS, weight loss. Thi J Obes Nealt Metab Disord 2009;24:566-72.         Less than 12 months followup           Dueket Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;8:1232-41.         Less than 12 months followup           Duiven CA, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovacular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo ranomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;8:1232-41.         Comparative effectiveness           Du	improvement in obese subjects treated with sibutramine: a double-blind	
Diction C, Parlein C, Local PP, et al. Valuation of a double-self cospects.       Comparative effectiveness         Dischureit HH, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight- loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr.       Comparative effectiveness         Diguro Z, DiLaura NM, Jenkins I, et al. Combining weight-loss courseling with the and women: the Midwest Exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Inter Med. 2003;163:1343-50.       Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic filness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Klik EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 month randomized composition, and metabolic filness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup echieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to andomized truit. JAMA J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Comparative effectiveness         322 overweight and ouble-blind, randomized, noorme individuals with hype 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured in	Tandomized multicenter study. Ann Nutr Metab. 2007;51:75-81.	Comparativa offectivances
The adoption and metanatic matrix and or physical activity by type 2 valuable subjects.       Comparative effectiveness         Dilschuneit HH, Flechher-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight.       Comparative effectiveness         Loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr.       Focus on patients in subgroups         Dijuric Z, Dilzura NM, Jenkins I, et al. Combining weight-loss counseling with the Weight Watchers plan for obese breast cancer survivors. Obes Res. 2002;10:657-65.       Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions of the the than than the than the than the than the than than the than	Di Loreto C, Parlelli C, Luciul P, et al. Valuation of a counselling strategy to promote	Comparative enectiveness
Display         Display <thdisplay< th=""> <thdisplay< th=""> <thd< td=""><td>Life adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects.</td><td></td></thd<></thdisplay<></thdisplay<>	Life adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects.	
Diss effects in a log-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr.         Comparative effectiveness           1999,68:198-204.         Djuric Z, DiLaura NM, Jenkins I, et al. Combining weight-loss counseling with the         Focus on patients in subgroups           0juric Z, DiLaura NM, Jenkins I, et al. Combining weight-loss counseling with the         Focus on patients in subgroups           0juric Z, DiLaura NM, Jenkins I, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized         High or differential attrition           controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight and         Comparative effectiveness           intermitten Vs. continuous exercise on aracrobic capacity, body weight and         Comparative effectiveness           aerobic exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:960-6.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. In J Does. 2007;31:1270-6.         Less than 12 months followup achieve 10% weight loss.           Duarienter University and actionacient of a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:960-6.         Comparative effectiveness           Duno CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in subtrasmine intervention         Sibutramine intervention           Weight and Serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in subtrasmine intervention sufficient to maintain improved glycemic control following supervised training in ots suf	Ditschuneit HH Elechtner-Mors M. Johnson TD Adler G. Metabolic and weight-	Comparative effectiveness
1999;69:198-204.       Puint 2, DiLaire 2, DiLaire 3, MJ, Jenkins I, et al. Combining weight-loss counseling with the Weight Watchers plan for obese breast cancer survivors. Obes Res. 2002;10:657-657-657-657-657-657-657-657-657-657-	loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr	comparative encouveriess
Djuric Z, DiLaura NM, Jenkins I, et al. Combining weight-loss counseling with the Weight Watchers plan for obese breast cancer survivors. Obes Res. 2002;10:657- 65.         Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions other than specified conditions other than specified conditions of the weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.         High or differential attrition           Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic filness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Inial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.         High or differential attrition           Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.         Less than 12 months followup           Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disebets: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.         Comparative effectiveness           Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;1132-42.         Comparative effectiveness           Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based	1999:69:198-204.	
Weight Watchers plan for obese breast cancer Survivors. Obes Res. 2002;10:657- 65.         other than specified conditions           Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Inter Med. 2003;183:1343-50.         High or differential attrition           Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic filness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise rinal. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.         High or differential attrition           Dun AL, PS, Wirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.         Less than 12 months followup           Duon AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of a dibitum diets for weight-loss unterventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;81:232-41.         Sibutramine intervention           97.         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMAI. 1992;41:327-34.         Comparative effectiveness           Durint AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a ra	Djuric Z, DiLaura NM, Jenkins I, et al. Combining weight-loss counseling with the	Focus on patients in subgroups
65.         Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.         High or differential attrition           Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dun L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise. Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.         Less than 12 months followup achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.           Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of a Ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.         Less than 12 months followup situation of lifestyle and structured intervention so increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.         Comparative effectiveness           Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Care. 2005;28:3-9.         Comparative effectiveness           Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low-income minority women in primary care. Am J Health Behavior. 2007;31:622-31.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss.	Weight Watchers plan for obese breast cancer survivors. Obes Res. 2002;10:657-	other than specified conditions
Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in yourg, overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.         High or differential attrition           Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise rinal. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.         High or differential attrition           Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.         Less than 12 months followup           Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;88:1232-41.         Less than 12 months followup           Duipone CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.         Sibutramine intervention           Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.         Comparative effectiveness           Dunto GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low. Increme mionity women in primary care	65.	
controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men         and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.         Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.       Comparitive effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised acroice exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arm J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup achieves (Jacobosen JL).         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Less than 12 months followup         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489-97.       Comparative effectiveness         Ourn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMAA. 1999;28:1327:34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low- incrome innoirty women in primary care. Am J H	Donnelly JE, Hill JO, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of a 16-month randomized	High or differential attrition
and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Discoff. 2000;24:2566-72.       Comparative effectiveness         Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise rina. I. Am J Clin Mutr. 2003;78:950-6.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Mutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Less than 12 months followup         Sibutramine intervention       Sibutramine intervention       Sibutramine intervention         97.       Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss.         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjust	controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men	
Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2000;24:566-72.         Comparative effectiveness           Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2003;78:950-6.         High or differential attrition           Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2007;31:1270-6.         Less than 12 months followup           Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of a blone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2008;88:1232-41.         Less than 12 months followup           Duijovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. <i>Am Heart J.</i> 2001;142:489- 97.         Comparative effectiveness           Duinstan DW, Day RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2005;28:3-9.         Comparative effectiveness           Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low- income minority women in primary care. <i>Am J Health Behavior.</i> 2007;13:1622-31.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss           Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, A	and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1343-50.	
Intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to Achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Sibutramine intervention         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunnstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg. 2001;11:32	Donnelly JE, Jacobsen DJ, Heelan KS, et al. The effects of 18 months of	Comparative effectiveness
composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese       females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2000;24:566-72.         Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       High or differential attrition         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of a bione vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of a di libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;82:132-41.       Less than 12 months followup         Duijovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489-97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss.         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg. 2001;11:32-9.       No	intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and	
Termales. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;44:566-72.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrient intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       High or differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Less than 12 months followup maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, lacebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489-97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training is not sufficient to maintain improved glycemic control following supervised training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Carez. 2002;28:3-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss for the specified interventions of the attra gastric bypass surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss for subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.       Not focused on behavioral or pharm	composition, and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary, moderately obese	
Donnelly JE, KIK EP, Jaccosen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised aerobic exercise on macronutrien intake in overweight men and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       Fign of differential attrition         Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Less than 12 months followup         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dun AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.       No weight outcomes         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass: surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Sur	temales. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.	
Indexercise Trial: Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Indexest Zeercise Trial: Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:950-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Less than 12 months followup         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489-97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dun AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunnstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training is not sufficient to maintain improved glycemic control following supervised training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss	Donnelly JE, KIRK EP, Jacobsen DJ, et al. Effects of 16 mo of verified, supervised	High or differential attrition
Initial Calculation         Construction         Construction         Construction           Donnelly LS, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.         Less than 12 months followup           Dupone CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.         Sibutramine intervention           Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.         Comparative effectiveness           Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low- income minority wome in primary care. Am J Health Behavior. 2007;31:622-31.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss           Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss           Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized ontolled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increase but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;746:50-5.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss           Dyson PA, Hammersley	Midwest Exercise Trial Am I Clin Nutr 2003/78:950.6	
Dumely US, weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1270-6.       Less than 12 months followup         Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1322-41.       Less than 12 months followup         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489-97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.       Not weight outcomes         Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low-income minority women in primary care. Am J Health Behavior. 2007;31:622-31.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg. 2001;11:32-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study. II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting pl	Donnolly JE Smith PK Dunn L et al. Comparison of a phone ve clinic approach to	Loss than 12 months follow/up
Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Less than 12 months followup         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunts DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.       Comparative effectiveness         Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low- income minority women in primary care. Am J Health Behavior. 2007;31:622-31.       No to focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg. 2001;11:32-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed	achieve 10% weight loss Int 1 Obes 2007:31:1270-6	
Dury Cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.       Dury Cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.         Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.       Sibutramine intervention         Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training is not sufficient to maintain improved glycemic control following supervised training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.       Comparative effectiveness         Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low- income minority women in primary care. Am J Health Behavior. 2007;31:622-31.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg. 2001;11:32-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote wei	Due A Larsen TM Mu H et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss	Less than 12 months followup
controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.Sibutramine interventionDunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.Comparative effectivenessDunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.Comparative effectivenessDutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low- income minority women in primary care. Am J Health Behavior. 2007;31:622-31.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg. 2001;11:32-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, lit: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDyson PA, Hammersley MS, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventionsDyson PA, Hendrie D, Bu	maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes; a 6-mo randomized.	
Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.Sibutramine interventionDunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.Comparative effectivenessDunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training is older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.Comparative effectivenessDutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low- income minority women in primary care. Am J Health Behavior. 2007;31:622-31.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg. 2001;11:32-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDyson PA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interventions science at improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossSibutramine intervent	controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.	
weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.Comparative effectivenessDunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.Comparative effectivenessDunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.Comparative effectivenessDutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low- income minority women in primary care. Am J Health Behavior. 2007;31:622-31.No weight outcomesDymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg. Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increase dut not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss<	Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body	Sibutramine intervention
322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489- 97.       Second	weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in	
97.       Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training is not sufficient to maintain improved glycemic control following supervised training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.       Comparative effectiveness         Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low-income minority women in primary care. Am J Health Behavior. 2007;31:622-31.       No weight outcomes         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg. 2001;11:32-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.       Not focused on behavioral or sparmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Arandomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.       Not focused on	322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489-	
Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 1999;281:327-34.       Comparative effectiveness         Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2005;28:3-9.       Comparative effectiveness         Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low-income minority women in primary care. <i>Am J Health Behavior</i> . 2007;31:622-31.       No weight outcomes         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a cross-sectional study. <i>Obes Res</i> . 2002;10:1135-42.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. <i>Obes Surg</i> . 2001;11:32-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. <i>Metabolism</i> . 1997;46:50-5.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> . 2004;57:610-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss<	97.	
interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a         randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.         Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training is not sufficient to maintain improved glycemic control following supervised training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2005;28:3-9.       Comparative effectiveness         Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low- income minority women in primary care. <i>Am J Health Behavior</i> . 2007;31:622-31.       Not weight outcomes         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a cross-sectional study. <i>Obes Res</i> . 2002;10:1135-42.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. <i>Obes Surg</i> . 2001;11:32-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. <i>Metabolism</i> . 1997;46:50-5.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss </td <td>Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured</td> <td>Comparative effectiveness</td>	Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured	Comparative effectiveness
Tandomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training is not sufficient to maintain improved glycemic control following supervised training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:3-9.Comparative effectivenessDutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low- income minority women in primary care. Am J Health Behavior. 2007;31:622-31.No weight outcomesDymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg. 2001;11:32-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossEarly JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement thrapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.Sibutramine intervention<	interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a	
Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training is not sufficient to maintain improved glycemic control following supervised training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2005;28:3-9.       Comparative effectiveness         Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low-income minority women in primary care. <i>Am J Health Behavior</i> . 2007;31:622-31.       No weight outcomes         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a cross-sectional study. <i>Obes Res</i> . 2002;10:1135-42.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. <i>Obes Surg</i> .       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. <i>Metabolism</i> . 1997;46:50-5.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> . 2004;57:610-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Early JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. <i>Obesity</i> .       Sib	randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.	
Sunderin to maintain improved glycernic control following supervised training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2005;28:3-9.No weight outcomesDutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low- income minority women in primary care. <i>Am J Health Behavior</i> . 2007;31:622-31.No weight outcomesDymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a cross-sectional study. <i>Obes Res</i> . 2002;10:1135-42.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. <i>Obes Surg.</i> 2001;11:32-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. <i>Metabolism</i> . 1997;46:50-5.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> . 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossEarly JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. <i>Obesity</i> .Sibutramine intervention	Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training is not	Comparative effectiveness
Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low- income minority women in primary care. Am J Health Behavior. 2007;31:622-31.       No weight outcomes         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg. 2001;11:32-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Dzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Early JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.       Sibutramine intervention	sumclent to maintain improved grycentic control following supervised training in older individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care, 2005;28:3.9	
Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg. 2001;11:32-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossEarly JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.Sibutramine intervention	Dutton GR. Davis MP. Welsch MA. Brantley P.I. Promoting physical activity for low-	No weight outcomes
Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossEarly JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.Sibutramine intervention	income minority women in primary care. Am J Health Behavior. 2007:31:622-31	No weight bateomes
Surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42.Interventions designed to promote weight lossDymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossDyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.Not one of the specified interventionsDzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossEarly JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.Sibutramine intervention	Dymek MP. Le Grange D. Neven K. Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass	Not focused on behavioral or
designed to promote weight lossDymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss2001;11:32-9.Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.Not one of the specified interventionsDzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossEarly JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.Sibutramine intervention	surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42.	pharmacological interventions
Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss2001;11:32-9.Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.Not one of the specified interventionsDzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossEarly JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.Sibutramine intervention		designed to promote weight loss
adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg.pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss2001;11:32-9.Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.Not one of the specified interventionsDzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossEarly JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.Sibutramine intervention	Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial	Not focused on behavioral or
2001;11:32-9.designed to promote weight lossDyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.Not one of the specified interventionsDzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossEarly JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.Sibutramine intervention	adjustment in patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a brief report. Obes Surg.	pharmacological interventions
Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study, II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.Not one of the specified interventionsDzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossEarly JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.Sibutramine intervention	2001;11:32-9.	designed to promote weight loss
II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. <i>Metabolism</i> . 1997;46:50-5.       interventions         Dzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> . 2004;57:610-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Early JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. <i>Obesity</i> .       Sibutramine intervention	Dyson PA, Hammersley MS, Morris RJ, et al. The Fasting Hyperglycaemia Study,	Not one of the specified
Increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. Metabolism. 1997;46:50-5.Dzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossEarly JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.Sibutramine intervention	II: randomized controlled trial of reinforced healthy-living advice in subjects with	interventions
Dzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Early JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.       Sibutramine intervention	Increased but not diabetic fasting plasma glucose. <i>Metabolism</i> . 1997;46:50-5.	
sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny increase in cost. J Clin Epidemiol. 2004;57:610-9.       pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Early JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.       Sibutramine intervention         2007:15:1464-72       Sibutramine plus meal replacement       Sibutramine intervention	Dzator JA, Hendrie D, Burke V, et al. A randomized trial of interactive group	Not focused on behavioral or
Early JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. <i>Obesity</i> .	sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny	priarmacological Interventions
therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. <i>Obesity</i> .	Farly II. Apovian CM. Aronno I. L. et al. Sibutramine plue meet replacement	Sibutraming intervention
2007:15:1464.72	therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese nationts. Obesity	
	2007;15:1464-72.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Eddy DM, Schlessinger L, Kahn R. Clinical outcomes and cost-effectiveness of strategies for managing people at high risk for diabetes. <i>Ann Intern Med.</i> 2005;143:251-64.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Eiben G, Lissner L. Health Hunters—an intervention to prevent overweight and obesity in young high-risk women. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2006;30:691-6.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Elhayany A, Lustman A, Abel R, et al. A low carbohydrate Mediterranean diet improves cardiovascular risk factors and diabetes control among overweight patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 1-year prospective randomized intervention study. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2010;12:204-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Elmer PJ, Obarzanek E, Vollmer WM, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on diet, weight, physical fitness, and blood pressure control: 18-month results of a randomized trial. <i>Ann Intern Med.</i> 2006;144:485-95.	Comparative effectiveness
Ely AC, Banitt A, Befort C, et al. Kansas primary care weighs in: a pilot randomized trial of a chronic care model program for obesity in 3 rural Kansas primary care practices. <i>J Rural Health</i> . 2008;24:125-32.	Less than 12 months followup
Eriksson KM, Westborg CJ, Eliasson MC. A randomized trial of lifestyle intervention in primary healthcare for the modification of cardiovascular risk factors. <i>Scand J Public Health</i> . 2006;34:453-61.	Not one of the specified interventions
Esposito K, Giugliano F, Di Palo C, et al. Effect of lifestyle changes on erectile dysfunction in obese men: a randomized controlled trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2004;291:2978-84.	Comparative effectiveness
Esposito K, Marfella R, Ciotola M, et al. Effect of a Mediterranean-style diet on endothelial dysfunction and markers of vascular inflammation in the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2004;292:1440-6.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Esposito K, Pontillo A, Di Palo C, et al. Effect of weight loss and lifestyle changes on vascular inflammatory markers in obese women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2003;289:1799-804.	Comparative effectiveness
Fabricatore AN, Wadden TA, Moore RH, et al. Predictors of attrition and weight loss success: results from a randomized controlled trial. <i>Behav Res Ther.</i> 2009;47:685-91.	Comparative effectiveness
Fanghanel G, Cortinas L, Sanchez-Reyes L, Berber A. A clinical trial of the use of sibutramine for the treatment of patients suffering essential obesity. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.</i> 2000;24:144-50.	Less than 12 months followup
Fanghanel G, Cortinas L, Sanchez-Reyes L, et al. Safety and efficacy of sibutramine in overweight Hispanic patients with hypertension. <i>Adv Ther.</i> 2003;20:101-13.	Less than 12 months followup
Faria AN, Ribeiro Filho FF, Kohlmann NE, et al. Effects of sibutramine on abdominal fat mass, insulin resistance and blood pressure in obese hypertensive patients. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2005;7:246-53.	Less than 12 months followup
Faria AN, Ribeiro Filho FF, Lerario DD, et al. Effects of sibutramine on the treatment of obesity in patients with arterial hypertension. <i>Arq Bras Cardiol.</i> 2002;78:172-80.	Less than 12 months followup
Faulconbridge LF, Wadden TA, Berkowitz RI, et al. Changes in symptoms of depression with weight loss: results of a randomized trial. <i>Obesity</i> . 2009;17:1009-16.	No placebo in medication trial
Ferre R, Plana N, Merino J, et al. Effects of therapeutic lifestyle changes on peripheral artery tonometry in patients with abdominal obesity. <i>Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.</i> 2010 Aug 11. [Epub ahead of print]	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Figueroa A, Going SB, Milliken LA, et al. Effects of exercise training and hormone replacement therapy on lean and fat mass in postmenopausal women. <i>J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.</i> 2003;58:266-70.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Finer N, Bloom SR, Frost GS, et al. Sibutramine is effective for weight loss and diabetic control in obesity with type 2 diabetes: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2000;2:105-12.	Sibutramine intervention
Finkelstein EA, Linnan LA, Tate DF, Leese PJ. A longitudinal study on the relationship between weight loss, medical expenditures, and absenteeism among overweight employees in the WAY to Health study. <i>J Occup Environ Med.</i> 2009;51:1367-73.	Conducted primarily in a non- relevant setting
Finley CE, Barlow CE, Greenway FL, et al. Retention rates and weight loss in a commercial weight loss program. <i>Int J Obes (Lond)</i> . 2007;31:292-8.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria

Flechtner-Mors M, Ditschurnet HH, Johnson TD, et al. Metabolic and weight loss         Comparative effectiveness           effects of long-term dietary intervention in obese patients: four-year results. Obes         Comparative effectiveness           Enring RM. The effect of high-, moderate-, and low-fat diets on weight loss and         Comparative effectiveness           Flood A, Mitchell N, Jaeb Ar. et al. Energy density and weight change in a long-term         Study of overweight/obesity prevention           Food AL Stepski WJ. Anthrous WT, et al. Exergics estif-efficacy, and mobility         Comparative effectiveness           Prowing MM. Kikkonen-Harjula K. Nenonen A, Pasanen M. Effects of walking         Comparative effectiveness           Training on weight maintenance after a very-low-calorie diet among         Obese women. If andomized controlled trail. Arch Intern Med. 2000;160:2177-84.           Fogelholm M, Kikkonen-Harjula K. Nenonen A, Pasanen M. Effects of Noking         Comparative effectiveness           obese women. If J Obes Retal Metab Disord 1998;23:23:01.0         Comparative effectiveness           Fordman L, Villareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects on cornary heat Metab Disord: and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diabelic upper-body obes subjects with mild gluces aronalise: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1           Forstan L, Willareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects on comparative effectiveness           Forstan M, Amart F, Panto D, et al. Capitrike-behavioral therapy with simultaneous           Forstan M, Tay O	Reference	Reason for Exclusion
effects of long-term dietary intervention in obese patients: four-year results. Obes         Comparative effectiveness           Fleming RM. The effect of high-, moderate, and low-fat diets on weight loss and clease arisk factors. <i>Prev Cardiol.</i> 2002;51:10-8.         Comparative effectiveness           Flood A, Mitchell N, Jaeb M, et al. Energy density and weight change in a long-term Study of overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis. <i>Arthritis Pateum</i> . 2005;53:659-65.         Comparative effectiveness           Footh RC, Rejeski WJ, Ambrosus WT, et al. Exercises, self-efficacy, and mobility pervention         Comparative effectiveness           Popelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Nenone A, Pasanen M Efficas of walking the weight naintenance after a very-low-energy dei in premeropausal         Comparative effectiveness           Obese women: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Arch Intern Med</i> . 2000;160:2177-84.         Comparative effectiveness           Footana L, Vilareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise. effects on cornary heart ind desea ensite afters a noch-loss of hort-beady obes subjects and and trial. <i>Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab</i> . 2007;293:E197-202.         Comparative effectiveness           Forstan L, Vilareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise if at and trial. <i>Physiol Endocrinol Metab</i> . 2007;33:385-91.         No weight outcomes           Fossati M, Amat F, Paino D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous nutritional and physical activity deue and usis of the BICPRO1 trial. <i>Physiol Weight Disor.</i> 2004;9:13:45-31.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Borradalie KE, Sanders MH, et al	Flechtner-Mors M, Ditschuneit HH, Johnson TD, et al. Metabolic and weight loss	Comparative effectiveness
Res. 2000;8:399-402.         Comparative effectiveness           Fleming RM. The effect of high-, moderate-, and low-fat diets on weight loss and cardiovascular disease risk factors. <i>Prev Cardiol.</i> 2002;5:110-8.         Comparative effectiveness           Flood A, Mitchell N, Jaeb M, et al. Energy density and weight change in a long-term weight-loss trial. <i>Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.</i> 2009;657.         Comparative effectiveness           Forcht EC, Regelski WJ, Ambrosus WT, et al. Exercise, self-efficacy, and mobility performance in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoardtritis. <i>Arthritis Rhmites</i> Comparative effectiveness           Fogelpholm M, Kukkonen-Hargula K, Nenonen A, Pasanen M. Effects of walking training on weight maintenance after a very-low-calori diet among obese women. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab</i> Dizord. 1999;23:203-10.         Comparative effectiveness           Fogelpholm M, Kukkonen-Hargula K, Oja P. Eating control and physical activity as determinants of short-term weight maintenance after a very-low-calorie diet among obese women. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab</i> Dizord. 1999;23:203-10.         Comparative effectiveness           Fontana L, Villareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects on observative stactors: a modinized, controlled trial. <i>Arn J Physiol Endocrinol Metab</i> . 2007;293:285-91.         Comparative effectiveness           Forstan L, Willareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects on observative stactors: a modinized, randomized study on the effect of comparative effectiveness         Fourter observative stactors: a modinized, controlled trial. <i>Arn J Physiol Endocrinol Metab</i> . 2007;53:385-91.         Fourter observative stacords and obser	effects of long-term dietary intervention in obese patients: four-year results. Obes	
Fleming RM. The effect of high-, moderate-, and low-fat diets on weight loss and cardiovascular disease risk factors. <i>Prev Cardiol</i> 2002;5:110-8.         Comparative effectiveness           Flood A, Mitchell N, Jaeb M, et al. Energy density and weight change in a long-term Study of overweight Nut <i>Phys</i> Act. 2009;6:57.         Study of overweight change in a long-term Study of overweight change in a long-term Study of overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthmits. <i>Arthritis Rheum</i> . 2005;53:659-65.         Comparative effectiveness           Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Nenonen A, Pasanen M. Effects of walking training on weight maintenance after a very-low-calcie diet among obese women a randomized controlled trial. <i>Arch Intern Med</i> . 2000;160:277:84.         Comparative effectiveness           Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Ola P. Eating control and physical activity as therminants of short-term weight maintenance after a very-low-calcie cifects on coronary heart disease risk factors: a randomized, controlled trial. <i>Am J Physiol</i> Comparative effectiveness           Proteone A, Diorl I, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with metformin on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diable upper-hody obse subjects with midi glucose anonalles: a post-hoc analysis of the BIOPRO1 trial. <i>Joabetes Metab.</i> 2009;53:658-91.         No weight outcomes           Fossati M, Amat F, Panot D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous nutritional and physical activity education in obsee patients with binge eating disorder. <i>Edi Weight Disord</i> , 2004; 9:134-4.         Comparative effectiveness           Fossati M, Amat F, Panot D, et al. Candomized tial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obseity. <i>N Engl J Med</i> . 2003;34:2082-90. <td>Res. 2000;8:399-402.</td> <td></td>	Res. 2000;8:399-402.	
cardiovascular disease risk factors. Prev Cardiol. 2002;5:110-8.         Study of overweight/obesity prevention           Flood A, Mitchell N, Jaeb M, et al. Energy density and weight change in a long-term Weight-loss trial. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2009;6:57.         Study of overweight/obesity prevention           Foort BC, Regeki WJ, Ambrosus WT, et al. Exercise. self-efficacy, and mobility performance in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2005;53:659-66.         Comparative effectiveness           Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Nenonen A, Pasanen M. Effects of walking training on weight maintenance after a very-low-calored det among obese women. an andomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med. 2000;160:2177-84.         Comparative effectiveness           Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Oig P. Eating control and physical activity as determinants of short-term weight maintenance after a very-low-calored det among obese women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999;23:200-10.         Comparative effectiveness           Fontana L, Wilared DT, Weiss EP, et al. Cloine restriction or exercise: effects on coronary heart disease risk factors: a randomized, controlled trial. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2007;29:3149-202.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Bordalle KE, Standers MH, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with self abd. 2007;29:338-591.         No weight outcomes           Foster GD, Bordalle KE, Standers MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with bype 2 diabetes: the Sleep AH-Lan Study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;69:348-2029.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Bo	Fleming RM. The effect of high-, moderate-, and low-fat diets on weight loss and	Comparative effectiveness
Flood A, Mitchell N, Jaeb M, et al. Energy density and weight change in a long-term         Study of overweight Nutr Phys Act. 2009;657.           Foch BC, Rejeski WJ, Ambrosius WT, et al. Exercise. self-efficacy, and mobility         Comparative effectiveness           Progeholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Nennen A, Pasanen M. Effects of walking         Comparative effectiveness           Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Olen P. Zaing control and physical activity as determinants of short-term weight maintenance after a very-low-calorie diet among obses women. Int J Obse Statel Metab Dioxo 1999;23:203-10.         Comparative effectiveness           Fortana L, Villareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects.         Comparative effectiveness           Endocrinol Metab. 2007;293:E197-202.         Comparative effectiveness           Fontanna L, Villareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects.         No weight outcomes           Poster Math F, Painol D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous for observice with mid glouese anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. <i>Jabetes Metab.</i> 2009;35:38-91.         Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions disorder. <i>Edt Weight Dioord</i> . 2009;89:161-926.           Foster GD, Borradaile KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight outcomes         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Watt HR, Hill JD, et al. A randomized study on the effect of the second study and the second study of a low-carbohydrate diet.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Watt HR, Hill JD, et al. A rand	cardiovascular disease risk factors. Prev Cardiol. 2002;5:110-8.	
weight-loss trial. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2009;6:57.         prevention           Focht BC, Rejski WJ, Ambrosus WT, et al. Exercise, self-efficacy, and mobility         Comparative effectiveness           Freqenoim M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Nenonen A, Pasanen M. Effects of waiking         Comparative effectiveness           Training on weight maintenance after a very-low-calorie diet among         Comparative effectiveness           Obese women: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med. 2000;160:2177-84.         Comparative effectiveness           Gogeholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Ope P. Eating control and physical activity as         Comparative effectiveness           Gorana L, Villaread DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects on coronary heart disease risk factors: a randomized, controlled trial. Am J Physiol         Comparative effectiveness           Fontborne A, Diouri, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with metabolic and carliovascular risk factors in non-diabetic upper-body obese subjects with mild glucose anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. Diabete Metab. 2007;393:385-91.         No weight outcomes           Foster OD, Borraalie KE, Standers MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with binge eating or the standers.         No weight outcomes           Foster CD, Droraalie KE, Standers MH, et al. Fandorized study on the effect of weight loss.         No regit Mutzones           Foster CD, Broadalie KE, Standers MH, et al. Candonized study on the effect of weight outcomes         No weight outcomes	Flood A, Mitchell N, Jaeb M, et al. Energy density and weight change in a long-term	Study of overweight/obesity
Focht BC, Rejeski WJ, Ambrosius WT, et al. Exercise, self-efficacy, and mobility <i>Rheum</i> , 2005;53:659-65.         Comparative effectiveness inverweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis. <i>Arthritis Rheum</i> , 2005;53:659-65.         Comparative effectiveness comparative effectiveness fosters Metals 2009;35:385-91.         No weight outcomes Foster AD Namili F, Painot D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous foster AD, Weight Diacot 2009;35:385-91.         Foster AD, Weight Diacot comparative effectiveness for bespecified conditions disorder. <i>Ed. Weight Diacot</i> 2009;35:382-90.         Foster AD, Weight Diacot comparative effectiveness tor bespecified trials and and physical activity education in obese patients with type 2 diabetes:	weight-loss trial. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2009;6:57.	prevention
performance in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis         Rehum. 2005;53:659-65           Frogeholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Nenonen A, Pasanen M. Effects of walking         Comparative effectiveness           raining on weight maintenance after a very-low-energy diet in premenopausal obese women: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med. 2000;160:2177-84.         Comparative effectiveness           Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Oja P. Eating control and physical activity as determinants of short-term weight maintenance after a very-low-calorie diet among obese women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. Controlled trial. Am J Physiol         Comparative effectiveness           Fontan L, Villareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects on coronary heart disease risk factors: a randomized, controlled trial. Am J Physiol         Comparative effectiveness           Fontonne A, Diouf I, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with metformin on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diabetic upper-body obese subjects with mild glucose anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. Diabetes Metab. 2007;39:385-91.         No weight outcomes           Foster GD, Borradalle KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of the than specified conditions disorder. Ed. Weight Disord. 2004;9:134-8.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Dyvalt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:2082-90.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Dyvalt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for the specified interventions in dela	Focht BC, Rejeski WJ, Ambrosius WT, et al. Exercise, self-efficacy, and mobility	Comparative effectiveness
Rheum. 2005;53:659-65.         Comparative effectiveness           Fogeholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Nenonen A, Pasanen M. Effects of walking training on weight maintenance after a very-low-energy diet in premenopausal obese women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999;23:203-10.         Comparative effectiveness           Fogeholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Oja P. Eating control and physical activity as determinants of short-term weight maintenance after a very-low-calorie diet among obese women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999;23:203-10.         Comparative effectiveness           Fontonne A, Diouf I, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with metformin on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diabetic upper-body obese subjects with mid glucose anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. <i>Diabetes Metab.</i> 2009;35:385-91.         No weight outcomes           Fostare CD, Borradalie KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes: the Sleep AHEAD study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:1619-26.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Borradalie KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of or obesity. N. 2003;348:2028-90.         No weight outcomes           Foster GD, Borradalie KE, Bort Intern Med. 2001;69:1619-26.         Comparative effectiveness           Comparative effectiveness         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Watt IHR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabtets: the Sleep AHEAD study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:1619-26.         Comparative effectiv	performance in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis	
Fogeholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Nenonen A, Pasanen M. Effects of walking         Comparative effectiveness           obese women: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med. 2000;160:2177-84.         Comparative effectiveness           Fogeholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Oja P. Eating control and physical activity as determinants of short-term weight maintenance after a very-low-calorie diet among obese women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Diord. 1999:23:203-10.         Comparative effectiveness           Fontana L, Villareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects on coronary heart disease risk factors: a randomized, controlled trial. Arn J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2007;293:E197-202.         No weight outcomes           Fontonne A, Diouf I, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with metformin on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diabelic upper-body obese subjects with mild glucose anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. Diabetes Metab. 2009;33:85-01.         No weight outcomes           Foster GD, Doradalle KE, Scanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of Comparative effectiveness         Comparative effectiveness           Weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes: Increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:820-5.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obstry. N Engl J Med. 2003;948:2082-90.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster Schuert KE, MCTieman A, Frazy ORS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. <td>Rheum. 2005;53:659-65.</td> <td></td>	Rheum. 2005;53:659-65.	
training on weight maintenance after a very-low-energy diet in premenopsusal obese women: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med. 2000;160:2177-84.       Comparative effectiveness         Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Oja P. Eating control and physical activity as determinants of short-term weight maintenance after a very-low-caloric diet among obese women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999;23:203-10.       Comparative effectiveness         Fontana L, Villareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Caloric restriction or exercise: effects on coronary heart disease risk factors: a randomized, controlled trial. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2007;293:E197-202.       No weight outcomes         Fontborne A, Diouf I, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with metformin on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diabetic upper-body obese subjects with mid glucose anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. Diabetes Metab. 2009;35:385-91.       No weight outcomes         Fossati M, Amati F, Painot D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous fusorder. Eat Weight Disord. 2004;91:34-8.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster GD, Borradaile KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes: the Sleep AHEAD study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:1619-26.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster GD, Wyatt IHR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet or obesity. M Eng J Med. 2003;49:2082-90.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster Schubert KE, McTierman A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.       No wei	Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Nenonen A, Pasanen M. Effects of walking	Comparative effectiveness
Codese women: a randomized controlled trial. ArCn Intern Med. 2000;150:21/17-84.         Comparative effectiveness           Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Qia P. Eating control and physical activity as determinants of short-term weight maintenance after a very-low-calorie diet among obese women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999;23:203-10.         Comparative effectiveness           Fontana L, Villareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects on coronary heart disease risk factors: a randomized, controlled trial. Am J Physiol         Comparative effectiveness           Fontborne A, Diouf J, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with metformi on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diabetic upper-body obese subjects with mild glucose anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. Diabetes Metab. 2003;53:538-91.         No weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with binge eating other than specified conditions           Foster GD, Borradalle KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:2082-90.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:2082-90.         Comparative effectiveness           Foylinoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. J Cale Endocrinol Metab.         No weight loutcomes           Callier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation fro 1         Not one of the specified interventions           Gambiner A, Pelusi C, Genphini S, et al. Su	training on weight maintenance after a very-low-energy diet in premenopausal	
Progenom M, Rukkohen-Harjuis K, Oja P. Esting control and physical activity as determinants of short-term weight maintenance after a very-low-caloric diet among obese women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999;23:203-10.         Comparative effectiveness           Fontana L, Villareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Caloric restriction or exercise: effects on coronary heart disease risk factors: a randomized, controlled trial. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2007;293:E197-202.         Comparative effectiveness           Fontborne A, Diouf I, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with metformin on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diabetic upper-body obese subjects with mild jucces anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. Diabetes Metab. 2009;35:385-91.         No weight outcomes           Fossati M, Amati F, Painot D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous nutritional and physical activity education in obese patients with binge eating disorder. Eat Weight Disord. 2004;9134-8.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Borradalie KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes: the Sleep AHEAD study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:1619-26.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster Schubert KE, McTierman A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase duning a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:820-5.         No weight outcomes           Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. J Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.         No weight outcomes           Gauiller JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al.	obese women: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med. 2000;160:2177-84.	
Determinants of the term weight thantenance and moves         Comparative effectiveness           Obese women. Int J Obese Relat Metab Disord. 1999;23:203-10.         Comparative effectiveness           Fontana L, Villareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects on coronary heart disease risk factors: a randomized, controlled trial. <i>Am J Physiol</i> Comparative effectiveness           Fontonne A, Diouf I, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with mit glucose anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. Diabetes Metab. 2009;35:385-91.         No weight outcomes           Fosters Metab. 2009;35:385-91.         Fosters GD, Borradalle KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loso on obstructive sleep apnee among obese patients with binge eating disorder. Eat Weight Disord. 2004;9:134-8.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Wartt IR, Hill O, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. <i>N Engl J Med</i> . 2003;348:2082-90.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Ny at HIR, Hill O, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. <i>N Engl J Med</i> . 2003;348:2082-90.         No weight outcomes           Foster GD, Ny at HIR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. <i>N Engl J Med</i> . 2003;348:2082-90.         No weight outcomes           Foster GD, Ny at HIR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. <i>N Engl J Med</i> . 2003;349:2082-90.         No weight outcomes           Gambieri A, Pelusi C, Cenphini S, et al. Effect of fituramide and metformi administered alone or in combinat	Fogeinoim M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Oja P. Eating control and physical activity as	Comparative effectiveness
Constant L, Viliareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects on coronary heart disease risk factors: a randomized, controlled trial. <i>Am J Physiol</i> <i>Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2007;393:E197-202.         Comparative effectiveness           Fontbonne A, Diouf I, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with metformin on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diabelic upper-body obese subjects with mild glucose anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. <i>Diabetes Metab.</i> 2009;38:385-91.         No weight outcomes           Fonstant I, Panto D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous foster CD, Borradaile KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes: the Sleep AHEAD study. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2009;169:1619-26.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. <i>NEngl J Med.</i> 2003;48:2082-90.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. <i>NEngl J Med.</i> 2003;48:2082-90.         No weight outcomes           Foster Schubert KE, McTierman A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2005;90:820-5.         No weight outcomes           Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycysite ovary syndrome. <i>Clin Endocrinol.</i> 2004;60:214-9.         No to ne of the specified interventions           Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjuga	obeso women. Int I Obes Polat Metab Disord, 1000-23:203, 10	
Fortena E, Vinana DT, Yestal. Canadomized, controlled trial. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2007;293:E197-202.       Outparative effectiveness         Fontborne A, Diouf I, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with metformin on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diabetic upper-body obese subjects with mild glucose anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. Diabetes Metab. 2009;35:385-91.       No weight outcomes         Fostset GD, Borradalle KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with binge eating disorder. Eat Weight Disord. 2004;9:134-8.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster GD, Norradalle KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of robesity. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:2082-90.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill O, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:2082-90.       Comparative effectiveness         Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.       No weight outcomes         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for its of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.       No to no of the specified interventions         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for its of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.       No to no of the specified interventions         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation fo	Entana I. Villargal DT. Waiss ED, et al. Calorie restriction or evercise: effects on	Comparative effectiveness
Endocrinol Metab. 2007;293:E197-202.         No weight outcomes           Fontbonne A, Diouf I, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with metformin on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diabetic upper-body obese subjects with mild glucose anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. <i>Diabetes Metab.</i> 2009;35:385-91.         No weight outcomes           Fossati M, Amai F, Painot D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous nutritional and physical activity education in obese patients with binge eating disorder. <i>Eat Weight Dison</i> . 2004;91:34-8.         Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions disorder. <i>Eat Weight Dison</i> . 2004;91:34-8.           Foster GD, Borradalie KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes: the Sleep AHEAD study. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2009;169:161-926.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet of or obesity. <i>N Eng J Med.</i> 2003;348:208-90.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster-Schubert KE, MCTiernan A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2005;90:820-5.         No weight outcomes           Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. <i>Clin Endocrinol.</i> 2004;60:241-9.         Not one of the specified interventions           Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid supplementation of the specified interventions         Not one of the specifi	coronary heart disease risk factors: a randomized controlled trial Am I Physiol	Comparative enectiveness
Environme A, Diouf I, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with metformin on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diabetic upper-body obese subjects with mild glucose anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1 trial. <i>Diabetes Metab.</i> 2009;35:385-91.         No weight outcomes           Fossati M, Amati F, Painot D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous disorder. <i>Eat Weight Disord.</i> 2004;9:134-8.         Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions           Foster GD, Borradaile KE, Sanders MI, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes: the Sieep AHEAD study. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2009;169:1619-26.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized study on the effect of for obesity. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2003;348:2082-90.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2003;348:2082-90.         No weight outcomes           Foster SChubert KE, MCTiernan A, Fray GR, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> No weight outcomes           2005;90:820-5.         Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metromin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. <i>Clin Endocrinol.</i> 2004;60:241-9.         No weight outcomes           Gauillier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid pr 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. <i>J Nutr.</i> 2005;135:77	Endocrinol Metab. 2007:293:E197-202	
Function of the construction of the	Entbonne A Diouf I Baccara-Dinet M et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with	No weight outcomes
obese subjects with mild glucose anomalies: a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1           trial. <i>Diabetes Metab.</i> 2009;35:386-91.           Fossati M. Amati F., Painot D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous disorder. <i>Eat Weight Disord.</i> 2004;9:134-8.           Foster GD, Borradaile KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes:         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2003;348:2082-90.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster SD, Nothert KE, McTiernan A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2005;90:820-5.         No weight outcomes           Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. <i>Diabetes.</i> 2007;56:1680-5.         No weight outcomes           Gambineri A, Petusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. <i>Clin Endocrinol.</i> 2004;60:241-9.         No to ne of the specified interventions           Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. <i>J Nutr.</i> 2005;378:474.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a companison of two protocols. <i>A</i>	metformin on metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors in non-diabetic upper-body	No weight outcomed
trial. Diabetes Metab. 2009;35:385-91.       Forsume the product of the	obese subjects with mild glucose anomalies; a post-hoc analysis of the BIGPRO1	
Fossati M, Amati F, Painot D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous nutritional and physical activity education in obese patients with binge eating disorder. <i>Eat Weight Disord</i> . 2004;91:34-8.       Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions other than specified conditions         Foster GD, Borradaile KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes: the Sleep AHEAD study. <i>Arch Intern Med</i> . 2009;169:1619-26.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. <i>N Engl J Med</i> . 2003;348:2082-90.       No weight outcomes         Foster-Schubert KE, McTiernan A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2005;90:820-5.       No weight outcomes         Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. <i>Diabetes</i> . 2007;56:1680-5.       No weight outcomes         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1 y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2004;79:1118-25.       Not one of the specified interventions         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. <i>J Nutr.</i> 2005;135:778-84.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90       > 0.90       > 0.90       > 0.90       > 0.90       > 0.90       > 0.9	trial. Diabetes Metab. 2009:35:385-91.	
nutritional and physical activity education in obese patients with binge eating disorder. Eat Weight Disord. 2004;9:134-8.         other than specified conditions           Foster GD, Borradaile KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes: the Sleep AHEAD study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:1619-26.         Comparative effectiveness           Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:2082-90.         No weight outcomes           Foster Schubert KE, McTiernan A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:820-5.         No weight outcomes           Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.         No weight outcomes           Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Clin Endocrinol. 2004;60:241-9.         Not one of the specified interventions           Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.         Not one of the specified interventions           Giugiano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.         Souga Charmacological interventions des	Fossati M, Amati F, Painot D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous	Focus on patients in subgroups
disorder. Eat Weight Disord. 2004;9:134-8.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster GD, Borradalie KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep panee among obese patients with type 2 diabetes: the Sleep AHEAD study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:1619-26.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. N Engl JMed. 2003;348:2082-90.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster-Schubert KE, McTiernan A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:820-5.       No weight outcomes         Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.       No weight outcomes         Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Clin Endocrinol. 2004;60:241-9.       Not one of the specified interventions         2004;79:1118-25.       Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Glauglier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Hysical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;32:417-12.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Glauglian D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin f	nutritional and physical activity education in obese patients with binge eating	other than specified conditions
Foster GD, Borradaile KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes: the Sleep AHEAD study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:1619-26.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:2082-90.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster-Schubert KE, McTiernan A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:820-5.       No weight outcomes         Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.       No weight outcomes         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1 y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. Arm J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:118-25.       Not one of the specified interventions         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid tor 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;153:778-84.       Not one of the specified interventions         Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;24:107-12.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss No weight outcomes         Glaugow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.	disorder. Eat Weight Disord. 2004;9:134-8.	·
weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes:       Comparative effectiveness         the Sleep AHEAD study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:1619-26.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet       Comparative effectiveness         for obesity. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:2082-90.       No weight outcomes         Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet       No weight outcomes         increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.       No weight outcomes         2005;90:820-5.       Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the       No weight outcomes         risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.       No weight outcomes       No weight outcomes         Gaulier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1       Not one of the specified interventions       Not one of the specified interventions         Gaulier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.       Not one of the specified interventions         Glugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diator of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss	Foster GD, Borradaile KE, Sanders MH, et al. A randomized study on the effect of	Comparative effectiveness
the Sleep AHEAD study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:1619-26.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:2082-90.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster-Schubert KE, McTiernan A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:820-5.       No weight outcomes         Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.       No weight outcomes         Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Clin Endocrinol. 2004;60:241-9.       No to weight outcomes         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1 y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:1118-25.       Not one of the specified interventions         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.       Not one of the specified interventions         Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. <i>Ly J Clin Pharmacol.</i> 1993;44:107-12.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss designed to promote weight loss         Glaggow RE, La Chanc	weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes:	-
Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:2082-90.       Comparative effectiveness         Foster-Schubert KE, MCTierman A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:820-5.       No weight outcomes         Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.       No weight outcomes         Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Clin Endocrinol. 2004;60:241-9.       No weight outcomes         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.       Not one of the specified interventions         Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Giaggiano D, Quatraro A, Consoil G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.	the Sleep AHEAD study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:1619-26.	
for obesity. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:2082-90.       No weight outcomes         Foster-Schubert KE, McTiernan A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:820-5.       No weight outcomes         Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.       No weight outcomes         Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Clin Endocrinol. 2004;60:241-9.       No weight outcomes         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1 y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:1118-25.       Not one of the specified interventions         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.       Not on list of countries with HDI seezified interventions         Gingliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.       Less than 12 months followup         Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Cours. 1997;32:175-84.       No weight outcomes         Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al.	Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet	Comparative effectiveness
Foster-Schubert KE, McTiernan A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:820-5.       No weight outcomes         Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.       No weight outcomes         Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Clin Endocrinol. 2004;60:241-9.       No weight outcomes         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1 y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:1118-25.       Not one of the specified interventions         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.       Not one of the specified interventions         Giugiano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.       Less than 12 months followup         Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Cours. 1997;32:175-84.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight	for obesity. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:2082-90.	
increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.         2005;90:820-5.         Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.       No weight outcomes         Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Clin Endocrinol. 2004;60:241-9.       No weight outcomes         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1 y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:1118-25.       Not one of the specified interventions         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.       Not one of the specified interventions         Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Gialgiano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ	Foster-Schubert KE, McTiernan A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels	No weight outcomes
2005;90:820-5.       Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. <i>Diabetes</i> . 2007;56:1680-5.       No weight outcomes         Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. <i>Clin Endocrinol</i> . 2004;60:241-9.       No weight outcomes         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1 Y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2004;79:1118-25.       Not one of the specified interventions         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. <i>J Nutr.</i> 2005;135:778-84.       Not one of the specified interventions         Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. <i>Ann Phys Rehab Med.</i> 2009;52:394-413.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Gilagiano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. <i>Eur J Clin Pharmacol.</i> 1993;44:107-12.       Less than 12 months followup         Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief medical office. <i>Patient Educ Couns.</i> 1997;32:175-84.       Not weight outcomes         Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled tria	increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.	
Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.No weight outcomesGambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Clin Endocrinol. 2004;60:241-9.No weight outcomesGaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1 y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:1118-25.Not one of the specified interventionsGaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.Not one of the specified interventionsGhroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss Med internet Res. 2007;9:e11.Godoy-Matos A, Cararo L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.Focus on children or adolescents	2005;90:820-5.	
Insk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2004;50: 1680-5.       No weight outcomes         Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. <i>Clin Endocrinol.</i> 2004;60:241-9.       No weight outcomes         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1 y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> Not one of the specified interventions         2004;79:1118-25.       State of the specified interventions       Not one of the specified interventions         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. <i>J Nutr.</i> 2005;135:778-84.       Not one of the specified interventions         Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. <i>Ann Phys Rehab Med.</i> 2009;52:394-413.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Giagiguiano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. <i>Eur J Clin Pharmacol.</i> 1993;44:107-12.       Less than 12 months followup         Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. <i>Patient Educ Couns.</i> 1997;32:175-84.       No weight outcomes         Gadoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treat	Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the	No weight outcomes
Gambiner A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. <i>Clin Endocrinol.</i> 2004;60:241-9.No weight outcomesGaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1 y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2004;79:1118-25.Not one of the specified interventionsGaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. <i>J Nutr.</i> 2005;135:778-84.Not one of the specified interventionsGhroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. <i>Ann</i> <i>Phys Rehab Med.</i> 2009;52:394-413.Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90Giaugiano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. <i>Eur J Clin Pharmacol.</i> 1993;44:107-12.Less than 12 months followupGlasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. <i>Patient Educ Couns.</i> 1997;32:175-84.Not weight outcomesGlasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. <i>J</i> Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.No weight outcomesGodoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> Focus on ch	risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes. 2007;56:1680-5.	<u> </u>
administered alore of in combination in detring obese women with polycystic ovary         syndrome. Clin Endocrinol. 2004;60:241-9.         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1         y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. Am J Clin Nutr.         2004;79:1118-25.         Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid         for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight         humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.         Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary         measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann         Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.         Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated         diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk         factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.         Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief         behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the         medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.         Gasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in         an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J         Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.         Godoy-Matos A, Cararao L, Vieira A	Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin	No weight outcomes
Syntochne. Cum Endocrinol. 2004;00:241-3.Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1 y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:1118-25.Not one of the specified interventionsGaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.Not one of the specified interventionsGhroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.Less than 12 months followupGlasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss No weight outcomesGlasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.Focus on children or adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.	auministered alone of in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary	
Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid y reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. Am J Clin Nutr.Not one of the specified interventionsGaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.Not one of the specified interventionsGhroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.Less than 12 months followupGlasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGlasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.No weight outcomesGodoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.Focus on children or adolescents	Syndrome. Cill Endocrinol. 2004,00.241-9.	Not one of the appointed
groutes body fait mass in healthy overweight humans. Am 5 clim rula.       Interventions         2004;79:1118-25.       Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.       Not one of the specified interventions         Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.       Less than 12 months followup         Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.       No weight outcomes         Godoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.       Focus on children or adolescents	Vireduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. Am I Clin Nutr	interventions
Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid       Not one of the specified         for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight       Interventions         humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.       Not on list of countries with HDI         Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary       Not on list of countries with HDI <i>phys Rehab Med.</i> 2009;52:394-413.       Not on list of countries with HDI         Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated       Less than 12 months followup         diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk       Less than 12 months followup         Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief       Not focused on behavioral or         pharmacological interventions       pharmacological interventions         designed to promote weight loss       now weight loss         Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in       No weight outcomes         an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J       No weight outcomes         Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.       Focus on children or         Godoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with       solescents         subtramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.		Interventions
for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.       interventions         Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.       Less than 12 months followup         Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.       No weight outcomes         Godoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.       Focus on children or adolescents	Gaullier. IM Halse I. Hove K et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid	Not one of the specified
InterventionInterventionInterventionInterventionhumans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.Less than 12 months followupGlasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGlasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.No weight outcomesGodoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.Focus on children or adolescents	for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight	interventions
Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.Less than 12 months followupGlasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGlasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.No weight outcomesGodoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.Focus on children or adolescents	humans. <i>J Nutr.</i> 2005:135:778-84.	
measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.> 0.90Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.Less than 12 months followupGlasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGlasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.No weight an ulti-site randomized controlled trial. JGodoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.Focus on children or adolescents	Ghroubi S. Elleuch H. Chikh T. et al. Physical training combined with dietary	Not on list of countries with HDI
Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.Less than 12 months followupGiugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.Less than 12 months followupGlasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGlasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.No weight and retention of bese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.Focus on children or adolescents	measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann	> 0.90
Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.Less than 12 months followupGlasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGlasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.No weight and the focus on children or adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.Focus on children or adolescents	Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.	
diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGlasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGlasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.No weight outcomesGodoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.Focus on children or adolescents	Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated	Less than 12 months followup
factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGlasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.No weight outcomesGodoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.Focus on children or adolescents	diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk	
Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGlasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.No weight outcomesGodoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.Focus on children or adolescents	factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.	
behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGlasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.No weight outcomesGodoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.Focus on children or adolescents	Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief	Not focused on behavioral or
medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.       designed to promote weight loss         Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J       No weight outcomes         Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.       Godoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.       Focus on children or adolescents	behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the	pharmacological interventions
Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J       No weight outcomes         Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.       Godoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.       Focus on children or adolescents	medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.	designed to promote weight loss
an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J         Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.         Godoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with         sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.         Page 1100 F	Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in	No weight outcomes
Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.         Godoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.         Res. 2007;9:e11.	an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J	
sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> Hocus on children or adolescents	Nea Internet Res. 2007;9:011.	Factor and the second
sibutariane, a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. adolescents	Godoy-iviatos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with	Focus on children or
2005'90'1460-5		audiescents

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Gokcel A, Gumurdulu Y, Karakose H, et al. Evaluation of the safety and efficacy of sibutramine, orlistat and metformin in the treatment of obesity. <i>Diabetes Obes</i>	Comparative effectiveness
Metab. 2002;4:49-55.	
Gokcel A, Karakose H, Ertorer EM, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female	Not on list of countries with HDI
subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2001;24:1957-60.	> 0.90
Gold BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study	Comparative effectiveness
comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. <i>Obesity</i> . 2007;15:155-64.	
Gotfredsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2001;25:1154-60.	No weight outcomes
Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. <i>Br J Gen Pract.</i> 2008;58:535-40.	Less than 12 months followup
Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life	Not focused on behavioral or
measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild	pharmacological interventions
Hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.	designed to promote weight loss
Guisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal	Not focused on behavioral or
functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric	pharmacological interventions
Gunther CW Legowski PA Lyle RM et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations	Study of overweight/obesity
in body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2005;81:751-6.	prevention
Gurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients	Comparative effectiveness
with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. <i>Obesity.</i> 2006;14:1085-92.	
Gustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral	Less than 12 months followup
weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. <i>Prev</i>	
Guy-Grand B. Drouin P. Eschwege F. et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related	Less than 12 months followup
diseases—a six-month randomized trial. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2004;6:375-83.	
Hainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors	Less than 12 months followup
of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. <i>Int J Obes (Lond)</i> . 2005;29:208-16.	
Hakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve	Does not meet design
respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. <i>Clin Physiol.</i> 2000;20:50-5.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Hall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. <i>Ethn Dis.</i> 2003;13:337-43.	Less than 12 months followup
Hansen D, Astrup A, Toubro S, et al. Predictors of weight loss and maintenance	High or differential attrition
during 2 years of treatment by sibutramine in obesity: results from the European	
Multi-centre STORM trial. Int J Obes Rel Metab Disord. 2001;25:496-501.	Cibutramina intervention
expenditure and appetite during chronic treatment without dietary restriction. Int.	Sibularine intervention
Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999;23:1016-24.	
Harvey BJ, Gold BC, Lauber R, Starinski A. The impact of calcium and dairy	Comparative effectiveness
product consumption on weight loss. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2005;13:1720-6.	0
Harvey-Berino J, Pintauro S, Buzzell P, et al. Does using the Internet facilitate the maintenance of weight loss? Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2002;26:1254-60.	Comparative effectiveness
Harvey-Berino J, Pintauro S, Buzzell P, Gold EC. Effect of Internet support on the long-term maintenance of weight loss. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2004;12:320-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Harvey-Berino J, Pintauro SJ, Gold EC. The feasibility of using Internet support for the maintenance of weight loss. <i>Behav Modif.</i> 2002;26:103-16.	Less than 12 months followup
Haskell WL, Alderman EL, Fair JM, et al. Effects of intensive multiple risk factor	Not one of the specified
reduction on coronary atherosclerosis and clinical cardiac events in men and women with coronary artery disease. <i>Circulation</i> . 1994;89:975-90.	interventions
Haub MD, Simons TR, Cook CM, et al. Calcium-fortified beverage supplementation	Not one of the specified
on body composition in postmenopausal women. Nutr J. 2005;4:21.	interventions

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Hauner H, Meier M, Wendland G, et al. Weight reduction by sibutramine in obese subjects in primary care medicine: the SAT Study. <i>Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes</i> . 2004;112:201.7	Sibutramine intervention
Hawley G, Horwath C, Gray A, et al. Sustainability of health and lifestyle	Comparative effectiveness
improvements following a non-dieting randomised trial in overweight women. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2008;47:593-9.	
Hays NP, Starling RD, Sullivan DH, et al. Effects of an ad libitum, high	Comparative effectiveness
metabolism in older men and women. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2006;61:299-	
304.	
Hazenberg BP. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study of sibutramine in obese hypertensive patients. <i>Cardiology</i> . 2000;94:152-8.	Sibutramine intervention
Hensrud DD. Dietary treatment and long-term weight loss and maintenance in type 2 diabetes. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2001;9(Suppl 4):S348-53.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Herman WH, Hoerger TJ, Brandle M, et al. The cost-effectiveness of lifestyle	No weight outcomes
modification or metformin in preventing type 2 diabetes in adults with impaired glucose tolerance. <i>Ann Intern Med.</i> 2005;142:323-32.	
Hermann LS, Kalen J, Katzman P, et al. Long-term glycaemic improvement after	Not focused on behavioral or
addition of metformin to insulin in insulin-treated obese type 2 diabetes patients.	pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Heshka S, Anderson JW, Atkinson RL, et al. Weight loss with self-help compared	Comparative effectiveness
with a structured commercial program: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2003;289:1792-8.	
ducose tolerance and progression to type 2 diabetes in obese adults. Arch Intern	pharmacological interventions
<i>Med.</i> 2000;160:1321-6.	designed to promote weight loss
Hivert MF, Langlois MF, Berard P, et al. Prevention of weight gain in young adults	Not focused on behavioral or
through a seminal-based intervention program. <i>Int 5 Obes.</i> 2007,31.1262-9.	designed to promote weight loss
Hoeger KM, Kochman L, Wixom N, et al. A randomized, 48-week, placebo-	High or differential attrition
controlled trial of intensive lifestyle modification and/or metformin therapy in overweight women with polycystic overv syndrome; a pilot study. <i>Fertil Steri</i>	
2004;82:421-9.	
Hooper L. Primary prevention of CVD: diet and weight loss. <i>Clin Evid (Online)</i> .	Does not meet design
Hope AA, Kumanyika SK, Shults J, Holmes WC. Changes in health-related quality	Does not meet design
of life among African-Americans in a lifestyle weight loss program. Qual Life Res.	requirements in inclusion criteria
2010;19:1025-33. Howard BV Manson JF. Stefanick ML et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and weight	Not focused on behavioral or
change over 7 years: the Women's Health Initiative Dietary Modification Trial.	pharmacological interventions
JAMA. 2006;295:39-49.	designed to promote weight loss
Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2005;67:78-83.	> 0.90
Hunter GR, Brock DW, Byrne NM, et al. Exercise training prevents regain of visceral fat for 1 year following weight loss. <i>Obesity</i> . 2010;18:690-5.	Comparative effectiveness
Jacob S, Rabbia M, Meier MK, Hauptman J. Orlistat 120 mg improves glycaemic	Less than 12 months followup
Obes Metab. 2009;11:361-71.	
Jacobs DR, Sluik D, Rokling-Andersen MH, et al. Association of 1-y changes in diet	No weight outcomes
randomized Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009;89:509-17.	
Jakicic JM, Jaramillo SA, Balasubramanyam A, et al. Effect of a lifestyle	Comparative effectiveness
results from the Look AHEAD Study. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2009;33:305-16.	
Jakicic JM, Marcus BH, Gallagher KI, et al. Effect of exercise duration and intensity	Comparative effectiveness
2003;290:1323-30.	
Jakicic JM, Marcus BH, Lang W, Janney C. Effect of exercise on 24-month weight	Comparative effectiveness
Jakicic JM, Otto AD, Lang W, et al. The effect of physical activity on 18-month	Comparative effectiveness
weight change in overweight adults. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2011;19:100-9.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Jakicic JM, Winters C, Lang W, Wing RR. Effects of intermittent exercise and use	Comparative effectiveness
of home exercise equipment on adherence, weight loss, and fitness in overweight	·
women: a randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;282:1554-60.	
Jalkanen L. The effect of a weight reduction program on cardiovascular risk factors	Other quality issues
among overweight hypertensives in primary health care. Scand J Soc Med.	
1991;19:66-71.	
James WP, Astrup A, Finer N, et al. Effect of sibutramine on weight maintenance	Sibutramine intervention
after weight loss: a randomised trial. Lancet. 2000;356:2119-25.	
Janssen I, Fortier A, Hudson R, Ross R. Effects of an energy-restrictive diet with or	Comparative effectiveness
without exercise on abdominal fat, intermuscular fat, and metabolic risk factors in	
obese women. Diabetes Care. 2002;25:431-8.	
Jarjou LM, Prentice A, Sawo Y, et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled, calcium	Not focused on behavioral or
supplementation study in pregnant Gambian women: effects on breast-milk calcium	pharmacological interventions
concentrations and infant birth weight, growth, and bone mineral accretion in the	designed to promote weight loss
TIRST year of life. Am J Clin Nutr. 2006;83:657-66.	
Jarrett RJ, Keen H, Murrells T. Changes in blood pressure and body weight over	Comparative effectiveness
ten years in men selected for glucose intolerance. J Epidemiol Community Health.	
1987,41,145-51.	Ctudy of avery sight/aboaity
Jenery RW, French SA. Preventing weight gain in adults: design, methods and one	Study of overweight/obesity
	prevention
1997,21.457-04.	Comparativo offectivopeco
doos proscribing higher physical activity goals improve outcome? Am I Clin Nutr	Comparative enectiveness
John ML Datt MD Appel L L Miller ED III. One year follow up of overweight and	Comparative effectiveness
obese hypertensive adults following intensive lifestyle therapy I Hum Nutr Diet	Comparative enectiveness
Jensen I B. Kollerun G. Ouaade F. Sorensen OH. Bone minerals changes in obese	Less than 12 months followup
women during a moderate weight loss with and without calcium supplementation.	
Bone Miner Res. 2001:16:141-7.	
Jirik-Babb P. Geliebter A. Comparison of psychological characteristics of binging	Not focused on behavioral or
and nonbinging obese, adult, female outpatients. Eat Weight Disord. 2003;8:173-7.	pharmacological interventions
	designed to promote weight loss
Jordan J, Scholze J, Matiba B, et al. Influence of sibutramine on blood pressure:	Does not meet design
evidence from placebo-controlled trials. Int J Obes. 2005;29:509-16.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Kajaste S, Brander PE, Telakivi T, et al. A cognitive-behavioral weight reduction	Not one of the specified
program in the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome with or without initial	interventions
nasal CPAP: a randomized study. <i>Sleep Med.</i> 2004;5:125-31.	
Kalter-Leibovici O, Younis-Zeidan N, Atamna A, et al. Lifestyle intervention in	Comparative effectiveness
obese Arab women: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med. 2010;170:970-	
6.	
Kamioka H, Nakamura Y, Okada S, et al. Effectiveness of comprehensive health	Conducted primarily in a non-
education combining lifestyle education and hot spa bathing for male white-collar	relevant setting
employees: a randomized controlled trial with 1-year follow-up. <i>J Epidemiol</i> .	
2009;19:219-30.	
Kansanen M, Vanninen E, Tuunainen A, et al. The effect of a very low-calorie diet-	Does not meet design
induced weight loss on the severity of obstructive sleep aphoea and autonomic	requirements in inclusion criteria
nervous function in obese patients with obstructive sleep aphoea syndrome. Clin	
Priysion. 1990, 10.377-03.	No weight outcomes
Kamunen L, Franssila-Kallunki A, Rissanen P, et al. Effect of onistal treatment on hedy composition and reating energy expenditure during a two year weight	No weight outcomes
reduction programme in obese Finns, Int. I Obes Polat Metab Disord	
2000.24.1567.72	
Katzer I. Bradshaw A I. Horwath CC. et al. Evaluation of a "nondicting" stress	Comparative effectiveness
reduction program for overweight women: a randomized trial <i>Am</i> / Health Promot	
2008:22:264-74	
Kaukua JK. Pekkarinen TA. Rissanen AM. Health-related quality of life in a	Sibutramine intervention
randomised placebo-controlled trial of sibutramine in obese patients with type II	
diabetes. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2004;28:600-5.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Kawano M, Shono N, Yoshimura T, et al. Improved cardio-respiratory fitness correlates with changes in the number and size of small dense LDL: randomized	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions
controlled trial with exercise training and dietary instruction. <i>Intern Med.</i> 2009;48:25-32.	designed to promote weight loss
Keating GM, Jarvis B. Orlistat: in the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. <i>Drugs.</i> 2120;61:2107-19.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Kelley DE, Bray GA, Pi-Sunyer FX, et al. Clinical efficacy of orlistat therapy in overweight and obese patients with insulin-treated type 2 diabetes: a 1-year randomized controlled trial. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2002;25:1033-41.	High or differential attrition
Keogh JB, Luscombe-Marsh ND, Noakes M, et al. Long-term weight maintenance and cardiovascular risk factors are not different following weight loss on carbohydrate-restricted diets high in either monounsaturated fat or protein in obese hyperinsulinaemic men and women. <i>Br J Nutr.</i> 2007;97:405-10.	Comparative effectiveness
Keranen AM, Savolainen MJ, Reponen AH, et al. The effect of eating behavior on weight loss and maintenance during a lifestyle intervention. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2009;49:32-8.	Comparative effectiveness
Kerr J, Patrick K, Norman G, et al. Randomized control trial of a behavioral intervention for overweight women: impact on depressive symptoms. <i>Depress Anxiety.</i> 2008;25:555-8.	No weight outcomes
Ketola E, Makela M, Klockars M. Individualised multifactorial lifestyle intervention trial for high-risk cardiovascular patients in primary care. <i>Br J Gen Pract.</i> 2001;51:291-4.	Not one of the specified interventions
Kim SH, Lee YM, Jee SH, Nam CM. Effect of sibutramine on weight loss and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of controlled trials. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2003;11:1116-23.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Kim SI, Kim HS. Effectiveness of mobile and Internet intervention in patients with obese type 2 diabetes. <i>Int J Med Inf.</i> 2008;77:399-404.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Kim Y, Pike J, Adams H, et al. Telephone intervention promoting weight-related health behaviors. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2010;50:112-7.	Comparative effectiveness
Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, Gibson C, et al. Time course for changes in aerobic capacity and body composition in overweight men and women in response to long-term exercise: the Midwest Exercise Trial (MET). <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2003;27:912-9.	High or differential attrition
Kirk SF, Harvey EL, McConnon A, et al. A randomised trial of an Internet weight control resource: the UK Weight Control Trial. <i>BMC Health Serv Res.</i> 2003;3:19.	No weight outcomes
Knowler WC, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, et al. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. <i>Lancet.</i> 2009;274:1677-86.	No weight outcomes
Kolotkin RL, Norquist JM, Crosby RD, et al. One-year health-related quality of life outcomes in weight loss trial participants: comparison of three measures. <i>Health Qual Life Outcomes</i> . 2009;7:53.	Not one of the specified interventions
Kosaka K, Noda M, Kuzuya T. Prevention of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle intervention: a Japanese trial in IGT males. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract.</i> 2005;67:152-62.	Comparative effectiveness
Kostis JB, Wilson AC, Hooper WC, et al. Association of angiotensin-converting enzyme DD genotype with blood pressure sensitivity to weight loss. <i>Am Heart J.</i> 2002;144:625-9.	No weight outcomes
Kostis JB, Wilson AC, Shindler DM, et al. Persistence of normotension after discontinuation of lifestyle intervention in the trial of TONE. <i>Am J Hypertens</i> . 2002;15:732-4.	No weight outcomes
Krakoff J, Clark JM, Crandall JP, et al. Effects of metformin and weight loss on serum alanine aminotransferase activity in the Diabetes Prevention Program. <i>Obesity (Silver Spring)</i> . 2010;18:1762-7.	No weight outcomes
Kraus WE, Houmard JA, Duscha BD, et al. Effects of the amount and intensity of exercise on plasma lipoproteins. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2002;347:1483-92.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Kukkonen-Harjula KT, Borg PT, Nenonen AM, Fogelholm MG. Effects of a weight maintenance program with or without exercise on the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial in obese men. <i>Prev Med</i> . 2005;41:784-90.	Comparative effectiveness

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Kuller LH, Kinzel LS, Pettee KK, et al. Lifestyle intervention and coronary heart	Comparative effectiveness
disease risk factor changes over 18 months in postmenopausal women: the	
Women On the Move through Activity and Nutrition (WOMAN study) clinical trial. J	
Women's Health. 2000; 15:302-74.	Comparativo offoctivonoss
through Activity and Nutrition (WOMAN) study. Contemp Clin Trials 2006:28:370-	Comparative enectiveness
Kumanvika SK. Cook NR. Cutler JA. et al. Sodium reduction for hypertension	Not focused on behavioral or
prevention in overweight adults: further results from the Trials of Hypertension	pharmacological interventions
Prevention phase II. J Hum Hypertens. 2005;19:33-45.	designed to promote weight loss
Kumanyika SK, Shults J, Fassbender J, et al. Outpatient weight management in	Comparative effectiveness
African-Americans: the Healthy Eating and Lifestyle Program (HELP) study. Prev	
Med. 2005;41:488-502.	O and a stration of the strategy of the strate
Kumanyika SK, Wadden TA, Shults J, et al. Trial of family and friend support for weight loss in African American adults. Arch Intern Med. 2000:160:1705-804	Comparative effectiveness
Lasksonen DE Laitinen T. Schonberg L et al. Weight loss and weight	No weight outcomes
maintenance, ambulatory blood pressure and cardiac autonomic tone in obese	No weight outcomes
persons with the metabolic syndrome. <i>J Hypertens</i> . 2003;21:371-8.	
Laaksonen DE, Lindstrom J, Lakka TA, et al. Physical activity in the prevention of	No weight outcomes
type 2 diabetes: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Diabetes. 2005;54:158-65.	ç
Lally P, Chipperfield A, Wardle J. Healthy habits: efficacy of simple advice on	Less than 12 months followup
weight control based on a habit-formation model. Int J Obes (Lond). 2008;32:700-7.	
Larsen TM, Dalskov S, van Baak M, et al. The Diet, Obesity and Genes (Diogenes)	Comparative effectiveness
dietary study in eight European countries—a comprehensive design for long-term	
Intervention. Obes Rev. 2009, 70-91.	No weight outcomes
phase II: structure and content of the weight loss and dietary sodium reduction	No weight outcomes
interventions. Ann Epidemiol. 1995:5:156-64.	
Laws R; Counterweight Project Team. A new evidence-based model for weight	Does not meet design
management in primary care: the Counterweight Programme. J Hum Nutr Diet.	requirements in inclusion criteria
2004;17:191-208.	
Layman DK, Evans EM, Erickson D, et al. A moderate-protein diet produces	Comparative effectiveness
sustained weight loss and long-term changes in body composition and blood lipids	
Leermakers FA Perri MG Shigaki CL Fuller PR Effects of evercise-focused	Comparative effectiveness
versus weight-focused maintenance programs on the management of obesity	comparative encenveness
Addict Behav. 1999;24:219-27.	
Lehtovirta M, Forsen B, Gullstrom M, et al. Metabolic effects of metformin in	Not focused on behavioral or
patients with impaired glucose tolerance. Diabet Med. 2001;18:578-83.	pharmacological interventions
	designed to promote weight loss
Leibbrand R, Fichter MM. Maintenance of weight loss after obesity treatment: is	Focus on patients in subgroups
continuous support necessary? Benav Res Ther. 2002;40:1275-89.	other than specified conditions
nathophysiology, complications, and treatment, Nutr Clin Pract, 2009;24:675-87	requirements in inclusion criteria
Leieune MP Kovacs FM Westertern-Plantenga MS Additional protein intake limits	Less than 12 months followup
weight regain after weight loss in humans. <i>Br J Nutr.</i> 2005;93:281-9.	
Liao D, Asberry PJ, Shofer JB, et al. Improvement of BMI, body composition, and	Comparative effectiveness
body fat distribution with lifestyle modification in Japanese Americans with impaired	
glucose tolerance. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2002;25:1504-10.	
Lien LF, Brown AJ, Ard JD, et al. Effects of PREMIER lifestyle modifications on	Not focused on behavioral or
participants with and without the metabolic syndrome. <i>Hypertension</i> . 2007;50:609-	pharmacological interventions
To. Ligibel IA Giobbie-Hurder A Olenczuk D et al Impact of a mixed strength and	Less than 12 months followup
endurance exercise intervention on levels of adiponectin, high molecular weight	
adiponectin and leptin in breast cancer survivors. Cancer Causes Control.	
2009;20:1523-8.	
Lindahl B, Nilsson TK, Jansson JH, et al. Improved fibrinolysis by intense lifestyle	Not primary care feasible or
Intervention: a randomized trial in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. J Intern	referable
Mea. 1999;246:105-12.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Linde JA, Jeffery RW, Finch EA, et al. Are unrealistic weight loss goals associated with outcomes for overweight women? <i>Obes Res.</i> 2004;12:569-76.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Lindegarde F. Orlistat with diet was effective and safe for weight loss and coronary risk reduction in obesity. <i>Evid Based Med.</i> 2001;6:54.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Lindholm A, Bixo M, Bjorn I, et al. Effect of sibutramine on weight reduction in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. <i>Fertil Steril.</i> 2008;89:1221-8.	Less than 12 months followup
Lindholm LH, Ekbom T, Dash C, et al. The impact of health care advice given in primary care on cardiovascular risk. <i>BMJ.</i> 1995;310:1105-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, et al. Prevention of diabetes mellitus in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance in the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study: results from a randomized clinical trial. <i>J Am Soc Nephrol.</i> 2003;14:S108-13.	No weight outcomes
Lindström J, Ilanne PP, Peltonen M, et al. Sustained reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle intervention: follow-up of the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. <i>Lancet.</i> 2006;368:1673-19.	No weight outcomes
Lindstrom J, Peltonen M, Eriksson JG, et al. High-fibre, low-fat diet predicts long- term weight loss and decreased type 2 diabetes risk: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. <i>Diabetologia.</i> 2006;49:912-20.	No weight outcomes
Littman AJ, Vitiello MV, Foster-Schubert K, et al. Sleep, ghrelin, leptin and changes in body weight during a 1-year moderate-intensity physical activity intervention. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2007;31:466-75.	No weight outcomes
Logue E, Sutton K, Jarjoura D, et al. Transtheoretical model-chronic disease care for obesity in primary care: a randomized trial. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2005;13:917-27.	Comparative effectiveness
Logue EE, Jarjoura DG, Sutton KS, et al. Longitudinal relationship between elapsed time in the action stages of change and weight loss. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2004;12:1499-508.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Lojander J, Mustajoki P, Ronka S, et al. A nurse-managed weight reduction programme for obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. <i>J Intern Med.</i> 1998;244:251-5.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Lombard CB, Deeks AA, Ball K, et al. Weight, physical activity and dietary behavior change in young mothers: short term results of the HELP-HER cluster randomized controlled trial. <i>Nutr J.</i> 2009;8:17.	Less than 12 months followup
Bray G, Gregg E, et al; Look AHEAD Research Group. Baseline characteristics of the randomised cohort from the Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) study. <i>Diabetes Vasc Dis Res.</i> 2006;3:202-15.	Comparative effectiveness
Wadden TA, West DS, et al; Look AHEAD Research Group. The Look AHEAD study: a description of the lifestyle intervention and the evidence supporting it. <i>Obesity</i> . 2006;14:737-52.	Comparative effectiveness
Lucas CP, Boldrin MN, Reaven GM. Effect of orlistat added to diet (30% of calories from fat) on plasma lipids, glucose, and insulin in obese patients with hypercholesterolemia. <i>Am J Cardiol.</i> 2003;91:961-4.	Comparative effectiveness
Lucas KH, Kaplan-Machlis B. Orlistat—a novel weight loss therapy. <i>Ann Pharmacother</i> . 2001;35:314-28.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Major GC, Alarie F, Dore J, et al. Supplementation with calcium + vitamin D enhances the beneficial effect of weight loss on plasma lipid and lipoprotein concentrations. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2007;85:54-9.	Not one of the specified interventions
Malone DC, Raebel MA, Porter JA, et al. Cost-effectiveness of sibutramine in the LOSE Weight Study: evaluating the role of pharmacologic weight-loss therapy within a weight management program. <i>J Manag Care Pharm.</i> 2005;11:458-68.	Comparative effectiveness
Malone M, Alger-Mayer S. Binge status and quality of life after gastric bypass surgery: a one-year study. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2004;12:473-81.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Manini TM, Newman AB, Fielding R, et al. Effects of exercise on mobility in obese and nonobese older adults. <i>Obesity (Silver Spring)</i> . 2010;18:1168-75.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Manning RM, Jung RT, Leese GP, Newton RW. The comparison of four weight reduction strategies aimed at overweight patients with diabetes mellitus: four-year follow-up. <i>Diabet Med.</i> 1998;15:497-502.	Comparative effectiveness

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Marinilli PA, Gorin AA, Raynor HA, et al. Successful weight-loss maintenance in	Not focused on behavioral or
relation to method of weight loss. Obesity. 2008;16:2456-61.	pharmacological interventions
	designed to promote weight loss
Marshall NS, Grunstein RR. Losing weight in moderate to severe obstructive sleep	Conducted primarily in a non-
apnoea. <i>BMJ.</i> 2009;339:b4363.	relevant setting
Maruthur NM, Wang NY, Appel LJ. Lifestyle interventions reduce coronary heart	Not one of the specified
disease risk: results from the PREMIER trial. <i>Circulation</i> . 2009;119:2026-31.	Interventions
Mata J, Silva MN, Vieira PN, et al. Motivational spill-over during weight control:	No weight outcomes
regulation Health Psychol 2000:28:700-16	
Mathus-Vliegen FM: Balance Study Group Long-term maintenance of weight loss	Sibutramine intervention
with sibutramine in a GP setting following a specialist guided very-low-calorie diet	
a double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group study. Eur J Clin Nutr.	
2005;59(Suppl 1):S31-8.	
Matvienko OA, Hoehns JD. A lifestyle intervention study in patients with diabetes or	Does not meet design
impaired glucose tolerance: translation of a research intervention into practice. J	requirements in inclusion criteria
Am Board Fam Med. 2009;22:535-43.	
McConnon A, Kirk SF, Cockroft JE, et al. The Internet for weight control in an	High or differential attrition
obese sample: results of a randomised controlled trial. BMC Health Serv Res.	
2007;7:206.	
McConnon A, Kirk SF, Ransley JK. Process evaluation of an Internet-based	No weight outcomes
resource for weight control: use and views of an obese sample. J Nutr Educ Benav.	
2009,41.201-7.	Comparativa offectivances
MicLaughlin 1, Carler S, Lamendola C, et al. Clinical efficacy of two hypocaloric diets that your in evenyoight nation with type 2 diabetes: comparison of moderate	Comparative enectiveness
fat versus carbohydrate reductions. Diabetes Care, 2007;30:1877-9	
McMahon EG, Eulioka K, Singh BN, et al. Efficacy and safety of sibutramine in	Sibutramine intervention
obese white and African American patients with hypertension: a 1-year double-	
blind. placebo-controlled. multicenter trial. Arch Intern Med. 2000:160:2185-91.	
McMahon FG, Weinstein SP, Rowe E, et al. Sibutramine is safe and effective for	Sibutramine intervention
weight loss in obese patients whose hypertension is well controlled with	
angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. J Hum Hypertens. 2002;16:5-11.	
McManus K, Antinoro L, Sacks F. A randomized controlled trial of a moderate-fat,	Comparative effectiveness
low-energy diet compared with a low fat, low-energy diet for weight loss in	
overweight adults. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1503-11.	
McNulty SJ, Ur E, Williams G. A randomized trial of sibutramine in the management	Sibutramine intervention
of obese type 2 diabetic patients treated with metformin. <i>Diabetes Care.</i>	
Z003,20,123-31. McTioman A. Soronson B. Invin ML, at al. Exercise offect on weight and body fat in	Not focused on behavioral or
men and women. Obesity 2007:15:1496-512	not locused on benavioral of
	designed to promote weight loss
Meenan RT, Voot TM, Williams AF, et al. Economic evaluation of a worksite	Conducted primarily in a non-
obesity prevention and intervention trial among hotel workers in Hawaii. J Occup	relevant setting
Environ Med. 2010;52(Suppl 1):S8-13.	5
Mengham LH, Morris BF, Palmer CR, White AJ. Is intensive dietetic intervention	Comparative effectiveness
effective for overweight patients with diabetes mellitus? A randomised controlled	
trial in a general practice. Pract Diab Int. 1999;16:8.	
Menon T, Quaddus S, Cohen L. Revision of failed vertical banded gastroplasty to	Comparative effectiveness
non-resectional Scopinaro biliopancreatic diversion: early experience. Obes Surg.	
2006;16:1420-4.	
Messerli-Burgy N, Znoj H, Laederach K. Lating behavior, emotional regulation, and	Does not meet design
coping strategies in obese patients following a comprehensive weight reduction program. Verhaltenstheranie, 2007:17:56	requirements in inclusion criteria
Measier SD Lesser DE Miller CD, et al. Eversiae and distance weight less in	Comparativo offectivonese
overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis: the Arthritis Diet and	
Activity Promotion Trial. Arthritis Rheum. 2004:50:1501-10	
Micic D. Ivkovic-Lazar T. Dragojevic R. et al. Orlistat. a gastrointestinal lipase	Less than 12 months followup
inhibitor, in therapy of obesity with concomitant hyperlipidemia. <i>Med Preal.</i>	
1999;52:323-33.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Molenaar EA, van Ameijden EJ, Vergouwe Y, et al. Effect of nutritional counselling	High or differential attrition
and nutritional plus exercise counselling in overweight adults: a randomized trial in multidisciplinary primary care practice. Fam Pract 2010;27:143-50	
Molitch ME, Eujimoto W, Hamman RE, et al. The Diabetes Prevention Program and	Not focused on behavioral or
its global implications. <i>J Am Soc Nephrol.</i> 2003;14(Suppl 2):S103-7.	pharmacological interventions
	designed to promote weight loss
Morgan PJ, Lubans DR, Collins CE, et al. 12-Month outcomes and process	Conducted primarily in a non-
evaluation of the SHED-IT RCT: an Internet-based weight loss program targeting	relevant setting
Muls E Kolanowski J Scheen A Van Gaal J. The effects of orlistation weight and	Less than 12 months followup
on serum lipids in obese patients with hypercholesterolemia: a randomized, double-	
blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre study. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.	
2001;25:1713-21.	
Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group. Multiple Risk Factor	Not one of the specified
77	Interventions
Munsch S. Biedert E. Keller U. Evaluation of a lifestyle change programme for the	High or differential attrition
treatment of obesity in general practice. Swiss Med Wkly. 2003;133:148-54.	5
Murawski ME. Problem solving and the management of obesity in women from	Comparative effectiveness
Underserved rural settings. Dissert Abstr Int B Sci Eng. 2008;69:690.	Doos not most design
function in obesity. N J Med. 1993:90:48-53.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Nakata Y, Ohkawara K, Lee DJ, et al. Effects of additional resistance training	Less than 12 months followup
during diet-induced weight loss on bone mineral density in overweight	
premenopausal women. <i>J Bone Miner Metab.</i> 2008;26:172-7.	
Nanchahal K, Townsend J, Letley L, et al. Weight-management interventions in primary care: a pilot randomised controlled trial. <i>Br. J Gen Pract</i> 2009;59:e157-66	Less than 12 months followup
Nauta H. Hospers H. Jansen A. One-vear follow-up effects of two obesity	Comparative effectiveness
treatments on psychological well-being and weight. Br J Health Psychol.	
2001;6:271-84.	
Neaton JD, Grimm RH Jr, Cutler JA. Recruitment of participants for the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial (MREIT). Control Clin Trials, 1987;8:S41-53	Not one of the specified
Nelson MS. Robbins AS. Thornton JA. An intervention to reduce excess body	Less than 12 months followup
weight in adults with or at risk for type 2 diabetes. <i>Mil Med.</i> 2006;171:409-14.	
Nicklas BJ, Ambrosius W, Messier SP, et al. Diet-induced weight loss, exercise,	Comparative effectiveness
and chronic inflammation in older, obese adults: a randomized controlled clinical	
Indi. An J Clin Nutr. 2004, 79.544-51. Nowson CA. Worsley A. Margerison C. et al. Blood pressure change with weight	Comparative effectiveness
loss is affected by diet type in men. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2005;81:983-9.	
Ockene IS, Hebert JR, Ockene JK, et al. Effect of physician-delivered nutrition	Not focused on behavioral or
counseling training and an office-support program on saturated fat intake, weight,	pharmacological interventions
and serum lipid measurements in a hyperlipidemic population: Worcester Area Trial	designed to promote weight loss
Olson TP, Dengel DR, Leon AS, Schmitz KH, Changes in inflammatory biomarkers	Not focused on behavioral or
following one-year of moderate resistance training in overweight women. Int J Obes	pharmacological interventions
(Lond). 2007;31:996-1003.	designed to promote weight loss
Olson TP, Dengel DR, Leon AS, Schmitz KH. Moderate resistance training and	Not focused on behavioral or
vascular nealth in overweight women. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc.</i> 2006,36,1556-64.	designed to promote weight loss
Osei-Assibey G, Kyrou I, Adi Y, et al. Dietary and lifestyle interventions for weight	Does not meet design
management in adults from minority ethnic/non-white groups: a systematic review.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Ost LG, Gotestam KG. Behavioral and pharmacological treatments for obesity: an	Precedes search period
experimental comparison. Addict Behav. 1976;1:331-8.	·
Ostbye T, Krause KM, Lovelady CA, et al. Active Mothers Postpartum: a	Less than 12 months followup
randomized controlled weight-loss intervention trial. Am J Prev Med. 2009;37:173-	
O'Toole ML. Sawicki MA. Artal R. Structured diet and physical activity prevent	Comparative effectiveness
postpartum weight retention. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2003;12:991-8.	

309

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Page RC, Harnden KE, Cook JT, Turner RC. Can life-styles of subjects with	Not one of the specified
impaired glucose tolerance be changed? A feasibility study. Diabet Med.	interventions
1992;9:562-6.	
Pan XR, Li GW, Hu YH, et al. Effects of diet and exercise in preventing NIDDM in	Not on list of countries with HDI
people with impaired glucose tolerance: the Da Qing IGT and Diabetes Study.	> 0.90
Diabetes Care. 1997;20:537-44.	
Papalazarou A, Yannakoulia M, Kavouras SA, et al. Lifestyle intervention favorably	Comparative effectiveness
affects weight loss and maintenance following obesity surgery. Obesity (Silver	
Spring). 2010;18:1348-53.	
Park SK, Park JH, Kwon YC, et al. The effect of combined aerobic and resistance	Does not meet design
exercise training on abdominal fat in obese middle-aged women. J Physiol	requirements in inclusion criteria
Anthropol Appl Human Sci. 2003;22:129-35.	
Pasquali R, Colella P, Cirignotta F, et al. Treatment of obese patients with	Does not meet design
obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS): effect of weight loss and interference of	requirements in inclusion criteria
otorhinolaryngoiatric pathology. Int J Obes. 1990;14:207-17.	
Paul-Ebhohimhen V, Avenell A. A systematic review of the effectiveness of group	Does not meet design
versus individual treatments for adult obesity. Obesity Facts. 2009;2:17-24.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Perreault L, Kahn SE, Christophi CA, et al. Regression from pre-diabetes to normal	No weight outcomes
glucose regulation in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes Care.	
2009;32:1583-8.	
Perreault L, Ma Y, Dagogo-Jack S, et al. Sex differences in diabetes risk and the	No weight outcomes
effect of intensive lifestyle modification in the Diabetes Prevention Program.	
Diabetes Care. 2008;31:1416-21.	
Perri MG, Limacher MC, Durning PE, et al. Extended-care programs for weight	Comparative effectiveness
management in rural communities: the Treatment of Obesity in Underserved Rural	
Settings (TOURS) randomized trial. Arch Intern Med. 2008;168:2347-54.	
Perrio MJ, Wilton LV, Shakir SA. The safety profiles of orlistat and sibutramine:	Does not meet design
results of prescription-event monitoring studies in England. Obesity. 2007;15:2712-	requirements in inclusion criteria
22.	
Petrofsky J, Batt J, Berk L, et al. The effect of an aerobic dance and diet program	Less than 12 months followup
on cardiovascular fitness, body composition, and weight loss in women. J Appl	
Res. 2008;8:179-88.	
Phelan S, Wadden TA, Berkowitz RI, et al. Impact of weight loss on the metabolic	Does not meet design
syndrome. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1442-8.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Philippou E, Neary NM, Chaudhri O, et al. The effect of dietary glycemic index on	Comparative effectiveness
weight maintenance in overweight subjects: a pilot study. Obesity. 2009;17:396-	
401.	
Pinkston MM, Poston WS, Reeves RS, et al. Does metabolic syndrome mitigate	No placebo in medication trial
weight loss in overweight Mexican American women treated for 1-year with orlistat	
and lifestyle modification? Eat Weight Disord. 2006;11:e35-41.	<b>A U U U</b>
PI-Sunyer X, Blackburn G, Brancati FL, et al. Reduction in weight and	Comparative effectiveness
cardiovascular disease risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes: one-year	
results of the Look AHEAD trial. Diabetes Care. 2007;30:1374-83.	
Porter JA, Raebel MA, Conner DA, et al. The Long-term Outcomes of Sibutramine	No placebo in medication trial
Effectiveness on Weight (LOSE Weight) study: evaluating the role of drug therapy	
within a weight management program in a group-model health maintenance	
organization. Am J Manag Care. 2004;10:369-76.	
Poston WS, Haddock CK, Olvera NE, et al. Evaluation of a culturally appropriate	Not one of the specified
Intervention to increase physical activity. Am J Health Benav. 2001;25:396-406.	
Poston WS, Haddock CK, Pinkston WW, et al. Evaluation of a primary care-oriented	Does not meet design
	requirements in inclusion criteria
2000;200:388-98.	No placeba in predication trial
Musicini vvo, Reeves Ko, Hauduock UK, et al. Weight loss in obese Mexican	no placebo in medication trial
Americans treated for 1-year with onistal and messyle modification. Int J Obes Relat Matab Disord 2002:27:1486.02	
Nicial Disuid. 2003,27.1400-93.	Ligh or differential attrition
Following 16 months of everying training in everywight adults the Midwest Everying	
Trial Metab 2003:52:1175.81	
111al. Ivietav. 2003,32.1173-01.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Potteiger JA, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, Donnelly JE. Changes in resting metabolic rate and substrate oxidation after 16 months of exercise training in overweight	High or differential attrition
adults. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab. 2008;18:79-95.	
Pritchard JE, Nowson CA, Wark JD. A worksite program for overweight middle-	Conducted primarily in a non-
aged men achieves lesser weight loss with exercise than with dietary change. J Am Diet Assoc. 1997:97:37-42.	relevant setting
Promrat K, Kleiner DE, Niemeier HM, et al. Randomized controlled trial testing the	Focus on patients in subgroups
effects of weight loss on nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. <i>Hepatology</i> . 2010;51:121-9.	other than specified conditions
Proper KI, Hildebrandt VH, Van der Beek AJ, et al. Effect of individual counseling	Not focused on behavioral or
on physical activity fitness and health: a randomized controlled trial in a workplace	pharmacological interventions
Provencher V Begin C. Tremblay A et al. Health-at-every-size and eating	Not one of the specified
behaviors: 1-year follow-up results of a size acceptance intervention. J Am Diet	interventions
Assoc. 2009;109:1854-61.	
Racette SB, Deusinger SS, Inman CL, et al. Worksite Opportunities for Wellness (WOW): effects on cardiovascular disease risk factors after 1 year. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2000;40:100,144	Conducted primarily in a non- relevant setting
2009;49:108-14. Recette SR Weiss EP, Obert KA, et al. Modest lifestyle intervention and ducose	Comparative effectiveness
tolerance in obese African Americans. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2001;9:348-55.	Comparative encouveness
Racette SB, Weiss EP, Villareal DT, et al. One year of caloric restriction in humans:	Comparative effectiveness
feasibility and effects on body composition and abdominal adipose tissue. <i>J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.</i> 2006;61:943-50.	
Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Mary S, et al. The Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme shows that lifestyle modification and metformin prevent type 2 diabetes	Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90
in Asian Indian subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IDPP-1). <i>Diabetologia</i> .	
Ramirez FM Rosen JC A comparison of weight control and weight control plus	Comparative effectiveness
body image therapy for obese men and women. J Consult Clin Psychol.	
2001;69:440-6.	
Randomised trial of jejunoileal bypass versus medical treatment in morbid obesity. Lancet, 1979:2:1255-8	Not one of the specified interventions
Rapoport L, Clark M, Wardle J. Evaluation of a modified cognitive-behavioural	Comparative effectiveness
programme for weight management. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:1726-	
Rather RE; Diabetes Prevention Program. An update on the Diabetes Prevention Program Endocr Pract 2006; 12(Suppl 1):20-4	Not focused on benavioral or
	designed to promote weight loss
Razquin C, Martinez JA, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, et al. A 3 years follow-up of a	Not focused on behavioral or
Mediterranean diet rich in virgin olive oil is associated with high plasma antioxidant	pharmacological interventions
capacity and reduced body weight gain. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2009;63:1387-93.	designed to promote weight loss
Reaven G, Segal K, Hauptman J, et al. Effect of orlistat-assisted weight loss in decreasing coronary heart disease risk in patients with syndrome X. Am J Cardiol.	Other quality issues
2001;87:827-31.	
Redmon JB, Bertoni AG, Connelly S, et al. Effect of the Look AHEAD study	Comparative effectiveness
intervention on medication use and related cost to treat cardiovascular disease risk	
factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2010;33:1153-8.	Not one of the encoified
weight loss therapies for subjects with type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial Diabetes	interventions
Care. 2003;26:2505-11.	
Redmon JB, Reck KP, Raatz SK, et al. Two-year outcome of a combination of	Comparative effectiveness
weight loss therapies for type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2005;28:1311-5.	
Keig IK, Horne A, Mason B, et al. Effects of calcium supplementation on body	Not one of the specified
Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:3824-9.	
Rejeski WJ, Focht BC, Messier SP, et al. Obese, older adults with knee	Comparative effectiveness
osteoarthritis: weight loss, exercise, and quality of life. Health Psychol.	-
2002;21:419-26.	Deep not most desire
Renzario Aivi, Mellor D, Boulton K, Swindurn B. Effectiveness of prevention	Dues not meet design
countries—a systematic review. <i>Public Health Nutr.</i> 2010;13:438-50.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Ricci TA, Chowdhury HA, Heymsfield SB, et al. Calcium supplementation	Not focused on behavioral or
suppresses bone turnover during weight reduction in postmenopausal women. J	pharmacological interventions
Bone Miner Res. 1998;13:1045-50.	designed to promote weight loss
Rimmer JH, Rauworth A, Wang E, et al. A randomized controlled trial to increase	Less than 12 months followup
physical activity and reduce obesity in a predominantly African American group of women with mobility disabilities and severe obesity. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2009;48:473-9.	
Rissanen P, Vahtera E, Krusius T, et al. Weight change and blood coagulability and fibrinolysis in healthy obese women. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2001;25:212-8.	No weight outcomes
Rock CL, Flatt SW, Sherwood NE, et al. Effect of a free prepared meal and	Comparative effectiveness
incentivized weight loss program on weight loss and weight loss maintenance in obese and overweight women: a randomized controlled trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2010;304:1803-10.	
Rock CL, Pakiz B, Flatt SW, Quintana EL. Randomized trial of a multifaceted commercial weight loss program. <i>Obesity</i> . 2007;15:939-49.	Comparative effectiveness
Rosenfalck AM, Hendel H, Rasmussen MH, et al. Minor long-term changes in weight have beneficial effects on insulin sensitivity and beta-cell function in obese subjects. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2002;4:19-28.	No weight outcomes
Ross R, Blair SN, Godwin M, et al. Prevention and Reduction of Obesity through Active Living (PROACTIVE): rationale, design and methods. <i>Br J Sports Med.</i> 2009;43:57-63.	No weight outcomes
Ross R, Janssen I, Dawson J, et al. Exercise-induced reduction in obesity and insulin resistance in women: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2004;12:789-98.	Comparative effectiveness
Rothacker DQ, Staniszewski BA, Ellis PK. Liquid meal replacement vs traditional food: a potential model for women who cannot maintain eating habit change. <i>J Am Diet Assoc.</i> 2001;101:345-7.	Comparative effectiveness
Rothert K, Strecher VJ, Doyle LA, et al. Web-based weight management programs in an integrated health care setting: a randomized, controlled trial. <i>Obesity</i> .	Less than 12 months followup
2006;14:266-72.	No please in mediaction trial
obesity in primary care settings: results of the Louisiana Obese Subjects Study. Arch Intern Med. 2010:170:146-54.	No placebo in medication that
Sabbioni ME. Dickson MH. Evchmuller S. et al. Intermediate results of health	Not one of the specified
related quality of life after vertical banded gastroplasty. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2002;26:277-80.	interventions
Saccone A, Israel A. Effects of experimenter versus significant other-controlled reinforcement and choice of target behavior on weight loss. <i>Behav Ther</i> . 1978;9:271-8.	Precedes search period
Salas SJ, Fernández BJ, Ros E, et al. Effect of a Mediterranean diet supplemented	Not focused on behavioral or
with nuts on metabolic syndrome status: one-year results of the PREDIMED	pharmacological interventions
randomized trial. Arch Intern Med. 2008;168:2449-58.	designed to promote weight loss
Samaras K, Ashwell S, Mackintosh AM, et al. Will older sedentary people with non- insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus start exercising? A health promotion model. Diabetes Res Clin Pract, 1997;37:121-8	Not one of the specified interventions
Sampol G. Munoz X. Sagales MT. et al. Long-term efficacy of dietary weight loss in	Does not meet design
sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome. Eur Respir J. 1998;12:1156-9.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Samsa GP, Kolotkin RL, Williams GR, et al. Effect of moderate weight loss on	Does not meet design
health-related quality of life: an analysis of combined data from 4 randomized trials of sibutramine vs placebo. <i>Am J Manag Care.</i> 2001;7:875-83.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Sanchez-Reyes L, Fanghanel G, Yamamoto J, et al. Use of sibutramine in	Not on list of countries with HDI
overweight adult Hispanic patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 12-month, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. <i>Clin Ther.</i> 2004;26:1427-35.	> 0.90
Sarac S, Sarac F. Cardiac valve evaluation and adipokine levels in obese women	Does not meet design
treated with sibutramine. Anadolu Kardiyoloji Dergisi. 2010;10:226-32.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Sarwer DB, von Sydow GA, Vetter ML, Wadden TA. Behavior therapy for obesity: where are we now? <i>Curr Opin Endocr Diabetes Obes</i> . 2009;16:347-52.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Sbrocco T, Nedegaard RC, Stone JM, Lewis EL. Behavioral choice treatment	Comparative effectiveness
promotes continuing weight loss: preliminary results of a cognitive-behavioral decision-based treatment for obesity. <i>J Consult Clin Psychol</i> . 1999;67:260-6.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Schmitz KH, Hannan PJ, Stovitz SD, et al. Strength training and adiposity in premenopausal women: Strong, Healthy, and Empowered study. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2007;86:566, 72	No weight outcomes
Schuler G. Hambrecht R. Schlierf G. et al. Regular physical exercise and low-fat	Not focused on behavioral or
diet: effects on progression of coronary artery disease. <i>Circulation</i> 1992:86:1-11	nharmacological interventions
	designed to promote weight loss
Schuster RJ, Tasosa J, Terwoord NA. Translational research—implementation of	Comparative effectiveness
NHLBI Obesity Guidelines in a primary care community setting: the Physician	
Obesity Awareness Project. J Nutr Health Aging. 2008;12:S764-9.	
Serrano-Rios M, Melchionda N, Moreno-Carretero E. Role of sibutramine in the	Sibutramine intervention
treatment of obese type 2 diabetic patients receiving sulphonylurea therapy.	
Diductes Med. 2002, 19.113-24. Shanses SA Heshka S Heymsfield SB Effect of calcium supplementation on	Not one of the specified
weight and fat loss in women . I Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004;89:632-7	interventions
Shea MK, Houston DK, Nicklas BJ, et al. The effect of randomization to weight loss	Comparative effectiveness
on total mortality in older overweight and obese adults: the ADAPT study. J	
Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2010;65:519-25.	
Sherwood NE, Jeffery RW, Pronk NP, et al. Mail and phone interventions for weight	High or differential attrition
loss in a managed-care setting: Weigh-To-Be 2-year outcomes. Int J Obes.	
2006;30:1565-73.	
Short KR, Vittone JL, Bigelow ML, et al. Impact of aerobic exercise training on age-	Less than 12 months followup
related changes in insulin sensitivity and muscle oxidative capacity. <i>Diabetes</i> .	
2003;52:1666-90.	Conducted primarily in a pop
sleger JM, Freilp ML, Erausquin JT, Kin SA. A worksite obesity intervention.	relevant setting
Silva MN, Markland D, Carraca EV, et al. Exercise autonomous motivation predicts	Study of overweight/obesity
three-vear weight loss in women. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc.</i> 2011;43:728-37.	prevention
Simmons D, Rush E, Crook N; Te Wai o Rona Diabetes Prevention Strategy Team.	Focus on patients in subgroups
Development and piloting of a community health worker-based intervention for the	other than specified conditions
prevention of diabetes among New Zealand Maori in Te Wai o Rona: Diabetes	
Prevention Strategy. Public Health Nutr. 2008;11:1318-25.	
Sircar AR, Kumar A, Lal M. Clinical evaluation of sibutramine in obese type 2	Less than 12 months followup
Sigstrom L Analysis of the XENDOS study (Xenical in the Prevention of Diabetes	No weight outcomes
in Obese Subjects). Endocr Pract. 2006;12(Suppl 1):31-3.	
Skender ML, Goodrick GK, Del Junco DJ, et al. Comparison of 2-year weight loss	Comparative effectiveness
trends in behavioral treatments of obesity: diet, exercise, and combination	
interventions. J Am Diet Assoc. 1996;96:342-6.	
Skinner TC, Carey ME, Cradock S, et al. Diabetes Education and Self-	Not focused on behavioral or
Management for Ongoing and Newly Diagnosed (DESMOND): process modelling	pharmacological interventions
Sentz CA Duscha BD, Johnson II, et al. Effects of the amount of exercise on	Less than 12 months followup
body weight body composition and measures of central obesity. STRRIDE—a	
randomized controlled study. Arch Intern Med. 2004:164:31-9.	
Smith IG, Goulder MA. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of long-term treatment	Sibutramine intervention
with sibutramine in mild to moderate obesity. J Fam Pract. 2001;50:505-12.	
Smith PL, Gold AR, Meyers DA, et al. Weight loss in mildly to moderately obese	Less than 12 months followup
patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Ann Intern Med. 1985;103:850-5.	
Southard BH, Southard DR, Nuckolls J. Clinical trial of an Internet-based case	Less than 12 months followup
management system for secondary prevention of neart disease. J Cardiopulm Rehabil, 2003:23:341-8	
Sramek .I.I. Leibowitz MT. Weinstein SP. et al. Efficacy and safety of sibutramine	Less than 12 months followup
for weight loss in obese patients with hypertension well controlled by beta-	
adrenergic blocking agents: a placebo-controlled, double-blind, randomised trial. J	
Hum Hypertens. 2002;16:13-9.	
Stahre L, Hallstrom T. A short-term cognitive group treatment program gives	High or differential attrition
substantial weight reduction up to 18 months from the end of treatment: a	
Tanuomizeu controlleu mai. Eal Welght Disorg. 2003;10:31-8.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Stefanick ML, Mackey S, Sheehan M, et al. Effects of diet and exercise in men and	Not focused on behavioral or
postmenopausal women with low levels of HDL cholesterol and high levels of LDL	pharmacological interventions
cholesterol. N Engl J Med. 1998;339:12-20.	designed to promote weight loss
Stenius-Aarniala B, Poussa T, Kvarnstrom J, et al. Immediate and long term effects	Comparative effectiveness
of weight reduction in obese people with asthma: randomised controlled study.	
BMJ. 2000;320:827-32.	
Stensel DJ, Brooke-Wavell K, Hardman AE, et al. The influence of a 1-year	Not focused on benavioral or
programme of blisk walking on endurance nuless and body composition in	designed to promote weight loss
1994-68-531-7	designed to promote weight loss
Stern L Jobal N Seshadri P et al. The effects of low-carbohydrate versus	Comparative effectiveness
conventional weight loss diets in severely obese adults: one-year follow-up of a	
randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2004;140:778-85.	
Stuart RB. A three-dimensional program for the treatment of obesity. Behav Res	Precedes search period
Ther. 1971;9:177-86.	-
Sun Q, Townsend MK, Okereke OI, et al. Adiposity and weight change in mid-life in	Does not meet design
relation to healthy survival after age 70 in women: prospective cohort study. BMJ.	requirements in inclusion criteria
2009;339:b3796.	
Suratt PM, McTier RF, Findley LJ, et al. Effect of very-low-calorie diets with weight	Does not meet design
loss on obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Clin Nutr. 1992;56:S182-4.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Svendsen M, Heigeland M, Tonstad S. The long-term influence of orlistat on dietary	No weight outcomes
Svetkey I P. Pollak KI. Yancy WS. Ir. et al. Hypertension Improvement Project:	Not one of the specified
randomized trial of quality improvement for physicians and lifestyle modification for	interventions
patients. <i>Hypertension</i> , 2009:54:1226-33.	
Swinburn BA, Metcalf PA, Ley SJ. Long-term (5-year) effects of a reduced-fat diet	Conducted primarily in a non-
intervention in individuals with glucose intolerance. Diabetes Care. 2001;24:619-24.	relevant setting
Swinburn BA, Woollard GA, Chang EC, Wilson MR. Effects of reduced-fat diets	Conducted primarily in a non-
consumed ad libitum on intake of nutrients, particularly antioxidant vitamins. J Am	relevant setting
<i>Diet Assoc.</i> 1999;99:1400-5.	
Tanco S, Linden W, Earle T. Well-being and morbid obesity in women: a controlled	Less than 12 months followup
therapy evaluation. Int J Eat Disord. 1998;23:325-39.	<u> </u>
I anuminardjo SA, Valentine AR, Zhang Zet al. Strategies to increase vegetable or	Comparative effectiveness
2000:224:542.52	
Z009,204.042-02.	Less than 12 months follow/up
counseling computer-automated tailored counseling and no counseling in an	
Internet weight loss program. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166:1620-5.	
Tate DF, Jackvony EH, Wing RR. Effects of Internet behavioral counseling on	Comparative effectiveness
weight loss in adults at risk for type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. JAMA.	
2003;289:1833-6.	
Tate DF, Jeffery RW, Sherwood NE, Wing RR. Long-term weight losses associated	Comparative effectiveness
with prescription of higher physical activity goals: are higher levels of physical	
activity protective against weight regain? Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;85:954-9.	
Tate DF, Wing RR, Winett RA. Using Internet technology to deliver a behavioral	Less than 12 months followup
Weight loss program. JAMA. 2001;285:1172-7.	
Teixeira PJ, Going SB, Houtkooper LB, et al. Resistance training in	Not focused on benavioral or
2003-35-555 62	designed to promote weight loss
ODES Investigators. The Oslo Diet and Exercise Study (ODES): design and	No weight outcomes
objectives. Control Clin Trials. 1993:14:229-43.	No weight outcomes
Thomas TR, Warner SO, Dellsperger KC, et al. Exercise and the metabolic	Comparative effectiveness
syndrome with weight regain. J Appl Physiol. 2010;109:3-10.	
Thompson WG, Rostad HN, Janzow DJ, et al. Effect of energy-reduced diets high	Comparative effectiveness
in dairy products and fiber on weight loss in obese adults. Obes Res.	-
2005;13:1344-53.	
Tiikkainen M, Bergholm R, Rissanen A, et al. Effects of equal weight loss with	Less than 12 months followup
orlistat and placebo on body fat and serum fatty acid composition and insulin	
resistance in obese women. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:22-30.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Tinker LF, Bonds DE, Margolis KL, et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and risk of treated	Not focused on behavioral or
diabetes mellitus in postmenopausal women: the Women's Health Initiative	pharmacological interventions
randomized controlled dietary modification trial. Arch Intern Med. 2008;168:1500- 11.	designed to promote weight loss
Toft U, Kristoffersen L, Ladelund S, et al. The effect of adding group-based	No weight outcomes
counselling to individual lifestyle counselling on changes in dietary intake: the	5
Inter99 Study—a randomized controlled trial. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2008;5:59.	
Toobert DJ, Glasgow RE, Radcliffe JL. Physiologic and related behavioral	Focus on patients in subgroups
outcomes from the Women's Lifestyle Heart Trial. Ann Behav Med. 2000;22:1-9.	other than specified conditions
I oplak H, Ziegler O, Keller U, et al. X-PER1: weight reduction with orlistat in obese	Comparative effectiveness
subjects receiving a mildly of moderately reduced-energy diet—early response to	
What is TOPS (Take Off Pounds Sensibly) Milwaukee WI: TOPS Club Inc. 2011	Not focused on behavioral or
http://www.tops.org/TOPSInformation/AboutTOPS.aspx	pharmacological interventions
	designed to promote weight loss
Trento M, Passera P, Tomalino M, et al. Group visits improve metabolic control in	Comparative effectiveness
type 2 diabetes: a 2-year follow-up. Diabetes Care. 2001;24:995-1000.	
Trolle B, Flyvbjerg A, Kesmodel U, Lauszus FF. Efficacy of metformin in obese and	Less than 12 months followup
non-obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized, double-blinded,	
placebo-controlled cross-over trial. Hum Reprod. 2007;22:2967-73.	Comparativa offectivances
I sal AG, wadden TA, Rogers MA, et al. A primary care intervention for weight loss:	Comparative effectiveness
Tsai AG. Wadden TA. Treatment of obesity in primary care practice in the United	Does not meet design
States: a systematic review. <i>J Gen Intern Med.</i> 2009:24:1073-9.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Tseng MC, Lee MB, Chen SY, et al. Response of Taiwanese obese binge eaters to	Focus on patients in subgroups
a hospital-based weight reduction program. J Psychosom Res. 2004;57:279-85.	other than specified conditions
Turnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation	Comparative effectiveness
of a nutritional education software in obese patients. <i>Diabetes Metab.</i> 2001;27:139-	
4/.	Less then 10 menths following
lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with	Less than 12 months followup
obesity and type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2007;9:917-9.	
Uusi-Rasi K, Rauhio A, Kannus P, et al. Three-month weight reduction does not	Does not meet design
compromise bone strength in obese premenopausal women. Bone. 2010;46:1286-	requirements in inclusion criteria
93.	
Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low-	Comparative effectiveness
Intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. Metab.	
2002,51,1005-10.	Comparative effectiveness
weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in	comparative encenveness
obese men. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2001;73:523-31.	
Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Wagenmakers AJ, et al. The effect of low-	Comparative effectiveness
intensity exercise training on fat metabolism of obese women. Obes Res.	
2001;9:86-96.	
Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Wagenmakers AJ, et al. Effect of exercise	Comparative effectiveness
training at different intensities on lat metabolism of obese men. J Appl Physiol.	
Van Gaal J.F. Broom JI. Enzi G. Toplak H. Efficacy and tolerability of orlistat in the	Less than 12 months followup
treatment of obesity: a 6-month dose-ranging study. Eur J Clin Pharmacol.	
1998;54:125-32.	
van Sluijs EM, van Poppel MN, Twisk JW, et al. Effect of a tailored physical activity	Not focused on behavioral or
intervention delivered in general practice settings: results of a randomized	pharmacological interventions
controlled trial. Am J Public Health. 2005;95:1825-31.	designed to promote weight loss
van wier with, Ariens GA, Dekkers JC, et al. ALIHE@Work: a randomised controlled	Less than 12 months followup
overweight working population BMC Public Health 2006;6:140	
van Wier MF. Ariens GA. Dekkers .IC. et al. Phone and e-mail counselling are	Less than 12 months followup
effective for weight management in an overweight working population: a	
randomized controlled trial. BMC Public Health. 2009;9:6.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
VanWormer JJ, Martinez AM, Benson GA, et al. Telephone counseling and home telemonitoring: the Weigh By Day Trial. <i>Am J Health Behavior.</i> 2009;33:445-54.	Comparative effectiveness
Velthuis MJ, Schuit AJ, Peeters PH, Monninkhof EM. Exercise program affects body composition but not weight in postmenopausal women. <i>Menopause.</i> 2009;16:777-84.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Venditti EM, Bray GA, Carrion-Petersen ML, et al. First versus repeat treatment with a lifestyle intervention program: attendance and weight loss outcomes. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2008;32:1537-44.	No weight outcomes
Veverka DV, Anderson J, Auld GW, et al. Use of the stages of change model in improving nutrition and exercise habits in enlisted Air Force men. <i>Mil Med.</i> 2003;168:373-9.	Less than 12 months followup
Vidgren HM, Agren JJ, Valve RS, et al. The effect of orlistat on the fatty acid composition of serum lipid fractions in obese subjects. <i>Clin Pharmacol Ther</i> . 1999;66:315-22.	No weight outcomes
Villareal DT, Banks MR, Patterson BW, et al. Weight loss therapy improves pancreatic endocrine function in obese older adults. <i>Obesity</i> . 2008;16:1349-54.	No weight outcomes
Villareal DT, Fontana L, Weiss EP, et al. Bone mineral density response to caloric restriction-induced weight loss or exercise-induced weight loss: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2006;166:2502-10.	Comparative effectiveness
Vissers D, Verrijken A, Mertens I, et al. Effect of long-term whole body vibration training on visceral adipose tissue: a preliminary report. <i>Obesity Facts</i> . 2010;3:93-100.	Other quality issues
Volpe SL, Kobusingye H, Bailur S, Stanek E. Effect of diet and exercise on body composition, energy intake and leptin levels in overweight women and men. <i>J Am Coll Nutr.</i> 2008;27:195-208.	Comparative effectiveness
von Huth SL, Ladelund S, Borch-Johnsen K, Jorgensen T. A randomized multifactorial intervention study for prevention of ischaemic heart disease (Inter99): the long-term effect on physical activity. <i>Scand J Public Health.</i> 2008;36:380-8.	No weight outcomes
Wadden TA, Berkowitz RI, Sarwer DB, et al. Benefits of lifestyle modification in the pharmacologic treatment of obesity: a randomized trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2001;161:218-27.	Comparative effectiveness
Wadden TA, Berkowitz RI, Womble LG, et al. Randomized trial of lifestyle modification and pharmacotherapy for obesity. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2005;353:2111-20.	No placebo in medication trial
Wadden TA, West DS, Neiberg RH, et al. One-year weight losses in the Look AHEAD Study: factors associated with success. <i>Obesity</i> . 2009;17:713-22.	Comparative effectiveness
Wardle J, Rogers P, Judd P, et al. Randomized trial of the effects of cholesterol- lowering dietary treatment on psychological function. <i>Am J Med.</i> 2000;108:547-53.	Less than 12 months followup
Waring ME, Roberts MB, Parker DR, Eaton CB. Documentation and management of overweight and obesity in primary care. <i>J Am Board Fam Med.</i> 2009;22:544-52.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Warren M, Schmitz KH. Safety of strength training in premenopausal women: musculoskeletal injuries from a two-year randomized trial. <i>Am J Health Promot.</i> 2009;23:309-14.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Warziski MT, Sereika SM, Styn MA, et al. Changes in self-efficacy and dietary adherence: the impact on weight loss in the PREFER study. <i>J Behav Med.</i> 2008;31:81-92.	Comparative effectiveness
Wassertheil-Smoller S, Oberman A, Blaufox MD, et al. The Trial of Antihypertensive Interventions and Management (TAIM) study: final results with regard to blood pressure, cardiovascular risk, and quality of life. <i>Am J Hypertens.</i> 1992;5:37-44.	No weight outcomes
Wee CC, Davis RB, Phillips RS. Stage of readiness to control weight and adopt weight control behaviors in primary care. <i>J Gen Intern Med.</i> 2005;20:410-5.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Weiner R, Bockhorn H, Rosenthal R, Wagner D. A prospective randomized trial of different laparoscopic gastric banding techniques for morbid obesity. <i>Surg Endosc.</i> 2001;15:63-8.	Not one of the specified interventions
Weiss EP, Racette SB, Villareal DT, et al. Improvements in glucose tolerance and insulin action induced by increasing energy expenditure or decreasing energy intake: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2006;84:1033-42.	Comparative effectiveness

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
West DS, DiLillo V, Bursac Z, et al. Motivational interviewing improves weight loss in women with type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2007;30:1081-7.	Comparative effectiveness
Whittemore R, Melkus G, Wagner J, et al. Translating the Diabetes Prevention Program to primary care: a pilot study. <i>Nurs Res.</i> 2009;58:2-12.	Less than 12 months followup
Williamson DA, Martin CK, Anton SD, et al. Is caloric restriction associated with development of eating-disorder symptoms? Results from the CALERIE trial. <i>Health Psychol.</i> 2008;27(Suppl 1):S32-42.	Comparative effectiveness
Williamson DA, Rejeski J, Lang W, et al. Impact of a weight management program on health-related quality of life in overweight adults with type 2 diabetes. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2009;169:163-71.	Comparative effectiveness
Williamson DF. Re: randomized trial of weight loss and total mortality. <i>J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.</i> 2010;65:904.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Wing RR, Anglin K. Effectiveness of a behavioral weight control program for blacks and whites with NIDDM. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 1996;19:409-13.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR, Creasman JM, West DS, et al. Improving urinary incontinence in overweight and obese women through modest weight loss. <i>Obstetrics Gynecol</i> . 2010;116:284-92.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR, Epstein LH, Paternostro-Bayles M, et al. Exercise in a behavioural weight control programme for obese patients with type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes. <i>Diabetologia</i> . 1988;31:902-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR, Tate DF, Gorin AA, et al. STOP regain: are there negative effects of daily weighing? <i>J Consult Clin Psychol</i> . 2007;75:652-6.	No weight outcomes
Wing RR, Tate DF, Gorin AA, et al. A self-regulation program for maintenance of weight loss. <i>New Engl J Med.</i> 2006;355:1563-71.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR, Venditti E, Jakicic JM, et al. Lifestyle intervention in overweight individuals with a family history of diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 1998;21:350-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR, West DS, Grady D, et al. Effect of weight loss on urinary incontinence in overweight and obese women: results at 12 and 18 months. <i>J Urol.</i> 2010;184:1005-10.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR. Behavioral approaches to the treatment of obesity. In: Bray G, Bouchard	Does not meet design
Wing RR. Behavioral weight control. In: Wadden TA. Stunkard AJ. eds. Handbook	Does not meet design
of Obesity Treatment. New York: Guilford Press; 2002:301-16.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Wirth A, Krause J. Long-term weight loss with sibutramine: a randomized controlled trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2001;286:1331-9.	Sibutramine intervention
Wister A, Loewen N, Kennedy-Symonds H, et al. One-year follow-up of a therapeutic lifestyle intervention targeting cardiovascular disease risk. <i>Can Med Assoc J</i> . 2007;177:859-65.	Not one of the specified interventions
Wolf AM, Conaway MR, Crowther JQ, et al. Translating lifestyle intervention to practice in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: Improving Control with Activity and Nutrition (ICAN) study. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2004;27:1570-6.	Comparative effectiveness
Wolf AM, Siadaty MS, Crowther JQ, et al. Impact of lifestyle intervention on lost productivity and disability: improving control with activity and nutrition. <i>J Occup Environ Med</i> . 2009;51:139-45.	Comparative effectiveness
Womble LG, Wadden TA, McGuckin BG. A randomized controlled trial of a commercial Internet weight loss program. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2004;12:1011-8.	Comparative effectiveness
Wong SY, Lau EM, Lau WW, Lynn HS. Is dietary counselling effective in increasing dietary calcium protein and energy intake in patients with esteoporatic fractures?	Not focused on behavioral or
randomized controlled clinical trial. <i>J Hum Nutr Diet.</i> 2004;17:359-64.	designed to promote weight loss
Woo J, Sea MM, Tong P, et al. Effectiveness of a lifestyle modification programme in weight maintenance in obese subjects after cessation of treatment with orlistat. <i>J Eval Clin Pract.</i> 2007;13:853-9.	Less than 12 months followup
Wosje KS, Kalkwarf HJ. Lactation, weaning, and calcium supplementation: effects on body composition in postpartum women. <i>Am J Clin Nutr</i> 2004;80:423-9	Not one of the specified
Wright AD, Cull CA, MacLeod KM, et al. Hypoglycemia in type 2 diabetic patients	Comparative effectiveness
randomized to and maintained on monotherapy with diet, sulfonylurea, metformin, or insulin for 6 years from diagnosis: UKPDS73. <i>J Diabetes Complications.</i> 2006;20:395-401	
Reference	Reason for Exclusion
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------
Wylie-Rosett J, Swencionis C, Ginsberg M, et al. Computerized weight loss	Comparative effectiveness
Intervention optimizes staff time: the clinical and cost results of a controlled clinical	
trial conducted in a managed care setting. J Am Diet Assoc. 2001;101:1155-62.	
Yancey AK, McCarthy WJ, Harrison GG, et al. Challenges in improving fitness:	Not one of the specified
<i>J Womens Health.</i> 2006;15:412-29.	Interventions
Yassine HN, Marchetti CM, Krishnan RK, et al. Effects of exercise and caloric	Comparative effectiveness
restriction on insulin resistance and cardiometabolic risk factors in older obese	
adults—a randomized clinical trial. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2009;64:90-5.	
Yates T, Davies M, Gorely T, et al. Effectiveness of a pragmatic education program	Not one of the specified
designed to promote walking activity in individuals with impaired glucose tolerance:	interventions
a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:1404-10.	
Yeh MC, Rodriguez E, Nawaz H, et al. Technical skills for weight loss: 2-y follow-up	Comparative effectiveness
results of a randomized trial. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2003;27:1500-6.	
Zannad F, Gille B, Grentzinger A, et al. Effects of sibutramine on ventricular	Sibutramine intervention
dimensions and heart valves in obese patients during weight reduction. Am Heart J.	
2002;144:508-15.	
Zavoral JH. Treatment with orlistat reduces cardiovascular risk in obese patients. J	Other quality issues
Hypertens. 1998;16:2013-7.	
Zemel MB, Richards J, Mathis S, et al. Dairy augmentation of total and central fat	Not one of the specified
loss in obese subjects. Int J Obes. 2005;29:391-7.	interventions
Zemel MB, Richards J, Milstead A, Campbell P. Effects of calcium and dairy on	Less than 12 months followup
body composition and weight loss in African-American adults. Obes Res.	
2005;13:1218-25.	
Zemel MB, Thompson W, Milstead Aet al. Calcium and dairy acceleration of weight	Comparative effectiveness
and fat loss during energy restriction in obese adults. Obes Res. 2004;12:582-90.	

Addah M. von Hufh SL. Plainger C, et al. Five-year change in physical activity is associated with changes in cardiovascular disease risk factors: the Inter99 study. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2009;48(9):326-31.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria arguinements in inclusion criteria and prevents. J. Rumanyika SK, Ten Have TR. Adams-Campbell LL. A No harms outcomes         Adurscollins DL, Kumanyika SK, Ten Have TR. Adams-Campbell LL. A Annaragement in older African-American subjects. <i>Dabetes Care.</i> 1997;20:1503-11.       No harms outcomes         Akinson RL. Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research. vol 1. Champaign, IL: AOCS Press; 1999;348- 53.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria conjugated Linoleic Acid Research. vol 1. Champaign, IL: AOCS Press; 1999;348- 53.         Almassan S, Kim S, Bersamin A, et al. Dietary adherence and weight loss sucuess among overweight women: results from the A TO 2 weight loss study. <i>Int J Obes</i> .       Comparative effectiveness         Almersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> .       Comparative effectiveness         2007;31:486-93.       Anderson JW, Grant L, Gottheft L, Stiffer LT. Weight loss and long-term follow-up of No harms outcomes       No harms outcomes         Andersson JA, Holme I, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.       No harms outcomes         Andersson K, Karlstom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention stervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.	Reference	Reason for Exclusion
associated with changes in cardiovascular disease risk factors: the Inter99 study.         requirements in inclusion criteria           Agurs Acollins TD, Kumanyka SK, Ten Have TR, Adams-Campbell LL A         No harms outcomes           anderson RL: Conjugated Unotic acid for altering body composition and versites for diabetes         No harms outcomes           Akinson RL: Conjugated Unotic acid for altering body composition and versites for diabetes         No harms outcomes           Conjugated Linotic Acid Research: vol 1. Champaign, LL: ACCS Press; 1993:48-53.         Comparative effectiveness           Alinasan S, Kim S, Bersamin A, et al. Dietary atherence and weight loss study. Int J Obes.         Comparative effectiveness           2008:32:985-91.         Not one of the specified interventions         Not one of the specified interventions           32:085:47.61.         Not one of the specified interventions         Comparative effectiveness           2008:32:985-91.         Not one of the specified interventions         Comparative effectiveness           2008:42:985-91.         Anderson JW, Grant L, Gottheff L, Stiffer LT. Weight loss and long-term follow-up of severely obese individuals treated with an intense behavioral program. Int J Obes.         No harms outcomes           2007:31:486-93.         Anderson SK, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holmen I. Cambined diret and exercise intervention the Osi Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.         No harms outcomes           1998:81:09-16.         Anderson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. At xo-y	Aadahl M, yon Huth SL, Pisinger C, et al. Five-year change in physical activity is	Does not meet design
Prev Med. 2009;48(4):328-31.         No           Agurs-Collins TD, Kumanyika SK, Ten Have TR, Adams-Campbell LL A         No           randomenta TD, Kumanyika SK, Ten Have TR, Adams-Campbell LL A         No           randagement ID, Kumanyika SK, Ten Have TR, Adams-Campbell LL A         No           randagement ID, Kumanyika SK, Ten Have TR, Adams-Campbell LL A         No           Amagement ID, Kumanyika SK, Ten Have TR, Adams-Campbell LL A         No           Aminoson RL. Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research. vol 1. Champaign, IL: AOCS Press, 1999;345- 53.         Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria           Alhassan S, Kim S, Bensamin A, et al. Dietary adherence and weight loss study. Int J Obes.         Comparative effectiveness           2008;32:985-91.         Anderson RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structuret serobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA.         No harms outcomes           409:28:1351.         Anderson JW, Grant L, Gotthelf L, Stiffer LT. Weight loss and long-term follow-up of structuret serobic exercise structuret activity sy structuret serobic exercise and bigit metabolics 2007; 17:687-95.         No harms outcomes           Anderson SA, Caroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Calo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.         No harms outcomes           1998;8:109-15.         Anderson SA, Folme I, Urdal P, Holme I. Resetted V Med Sci Sports.         No harms outcomes	associated with changes in cardiovascular disease risk factors: the Inter99 study.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Agurs -Collins TD, Kumanyka SK, Ten Have TR, Adams-Campbell LL A         No harms outcomes           randomized controlled trial of weight reduction and exercise for diabetes         No harms outcomes           Atkinson RL, Conjugate! Innoise acid for attering body composition and treating body composi	Prev Med. 2009:48(4):326-31.	
randomized controlled trial of weight reduction and exercise for diabetes management in older Arican-American subjects. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 1997;20:1503-11. Akinson RL. Conjugated linoleic acid for altering body composition and treating obesity. In: Yurawecz MP. Mossoba MM, Kramer JK, et al. eds. <i>Advances in</i> <i>Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research</i> . vol 1. Champalgin, IL: AOCS Press; 1999;348- 53. Allensan S, Kim S, Bersamin A, et al. Dietary adherence and weight loss success among overweight women: results from the A TO 2 weight loss subject. <i>Prv Chron</i> <i>Dis</i> . 2008;52:965-91. Allen P, Thompson JL, Herman CJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs Structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 1999;281:335-40. Anderson RK, Carroll S, Urdal P. Holmen L. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Qsto Diet and Exercises Eudy. <i>Scand J Med Sci</i> . 2007;17:879-55. Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P. Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Qsto Diet and Exercises Eudy. <i>Scand J Med Sci</i> . 2007;17:879-55. Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P. Holme I. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention reversito hole and Exercises Eudy. <i>Scand J Med Sci</i> . 2007;17:879-55. Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P. Holme I. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention reversitor from the Osio Diet and Exercises Eudy. <i>Scand J Med Sci</i> . 2007;17:879-55. Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P. Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention from the Osio Diet and Exercises Eudy. <i>Scand J Med Sci</i> . 2007;17:879-55. Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P. Holme I, Compiter Material Obestyl. No harms outcomes intervention from the Osio Diet and Exercises Eudy. <i>Scand J Med Sci</i> . 2007;17:879-55. Anderssen K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention after one-year follow-up. <i>Eat Behav.</i> 2009;17:16-53. Apfelbaum M, Vague P. Zieglepl O, et al. Long-term ma	Agurs-Collins TD, Kumanvika SK, Ten Have TR, Adams-Campbell LL, A	No harms outcomes
management in older African-American subjects. Diabetes Care. 1997;20:1503-11.         Dees not meet design           Akinson RL. Conjugated Innole: Acid Research. vol 1. Champaign, IL: ACCS Press, 1999:348-53.         requirements in inclusion criteria           Alhassan S, Kim S, Bersamin A, et al. Dietary adherence and weight loss success.         Comparative effectiveness           Alhassan S, Kim S, Bersamin A, et al. Dietary adherence and weight loss success.         Comparative effectiveness           Allen P, Thompson JL, Herman CJ, et al. Impact of periodic follow-up testing among overweight women: results from the AT D2 weight loss succes. Prev Chron Dis 2006;5:A76.         Not one of the specified interventions           Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA.         Comparative effectiveness           2007;31:488-93.         No harms outcomes         No harms outcomes           2007;31:488-93.         Andersen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise in obseiver on the set obset words and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.         No harms outcomes           Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Higrmann I. Associations between central obesity.         No harms outcomes         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological intervention designed to promote weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.           Andrasson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S,	randomized controlled trial of weight reduction and exercise for diabetes	
Akinson RL. Conjugated linoleic acid for altering body composition and treating Doesny. In: Yurawecz MP. Nossoba MM. Kramer JK, et al. eds. Advances in Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research. vol 1. Champaign, IL: AOCS Press; 1999;348- 53.         Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research. vol 1. Champaign, IL: AOCS Press; 1999;348- 50.         Comparative effectiveness           2003;23:295:291.         Herman CJ, et al. Dietary adherence and weight loss success among uban American Indian women with impaired fasting glucose. <i>Prev Chron</i> <i>Dis</i> . 2003;5:A76.         Not one of the specified interventions           Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA.         Comparative effectiveness           2007;31:488-93.         Anderson AG, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Qsto Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.         No harms outcomes           Anderson SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Holme I. Astroites SLUY. Scand J Med Sci Sports.         No thrms outcomes           and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Osio Diet and Exercise SLUY. Scand J Med Sci Sports.         No harms outcomes           Andrade AM, Coutliho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss in modative by eating self-regulation. Patent Educ Couns. 2010;7(3):320-6.         No tarms outcomes           Andrade AM, Coutliho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of thysical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regu	management in older African-American subjects. <i>Diabetes Care</i> , 1997:20:1503-11.	
obesity. In: Yuraweez MP, Mossoba MM, Kramer JK, et al., eds. Advances in         requirements in inclusion criteria           Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research. vol 1. Champaign, IL: AOCS Press; 1999:348- 53.         Person State St	Akinson RL. Conjugated linoleic acid for altering body composition and treating	Does not meet design
Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research. vol 1. Champaign, IL: AOCS Press; 1999:348- 53.         Comparative effectiveness           Allassan S, Kim S, Bersamin A, et al. Dietary adherence and weight loss success among overweight women: results from the A TO 2 weight loss study. <i>Int J Obes</i> .         Comparative effectiveness           2008.32:965-91.         Not one of the specified interventions American Indian women with impaired fasting glucose. <i>Prev Chron</i> <i>Dis</i> . 2008;5:A76.         Not one of the specified interventions           Anderson RK, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> .         Somparative effectiveness           999:281:335-40.         Comparative effectiveness         No harms outcomes           Anderssen SA, Caroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. <i>Scand J Med Sci Sports</i> . 2007;17:687-95.         No harms outcomes           Anderssen SA, Karatstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention fuervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. <i>Scand J Med Sci</i> .         No trams outcomes           Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is medialed by eating self-regulation. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> . 2010;79(3):220-8.         No trams outcomes           Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effects of comprehensive lifestyle intervention on blood pressure control: main results of the PERMIER clinical trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2003;283:208-33.         No tarms outcomes	obesity. In: Yurawecz MP, Mossoba MM, Kramer JK, et al, eds. Advances in	requirements in inclusion criteria
53.         Comparative effectiveness           Alhassan S, Kim S, Bersamin A, et al. Dietary adherence and weight loss success         Comparative effectiveness           2008;32:985-91.         Not one of the specified interventions           Allen P, Thompson JL, Herman CJ, et al. Impact of periodic follow-up testing among overweight women: results from the A TO Z weight loss study. <i>Int J Obes</i> .         Not one of the specified interventions           Dis; 2008;5:A76.         Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartiett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs         Comparative effectiveness           Structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> .         1999;281:335-40.         No harms outcomes           Andersen RA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. <i>Scand J Med Sci Sports</i> .         No harms outcomes           Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity and indexes of hemostatic, carbotydrate and lipid metabolism: results form the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. <i>Scand J Med Sci Sports</i> .         No thorms outcomes           Andrason K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention rom weight loss indeated by eating eff-regulation.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss           Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss         No harms outcomes           Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The of	Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research, vol 1, Champaign, IL: AOCS Press: 1999:348-	·
Alhassan S, Kim S, Bersamin A, et al. Dietary adherence and weight loss success among overweight women: results from the A TO Z weight loss study. <i>Int J Obes.</i> Comparative effectiveness           2008;32:985-91.         Not one of the specified interventions         Not one of the specified interventions           Allen P, Thompson JL, Herman CJ, et al. Impact of periodic follow-up testing among urban American Indian women with impaired fasting glucose. <i>Prev Chron Dis</i> . 2008;6:A76.         Not one of the specified interventions           Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> .         Comparative effectiveness           2007;31:488-93.         Anderssen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. <i>Scand J Med Sci Sports</i> . 2007;17:687-95.         No harms outcomes           Anderssen KA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjerman I. Associations between central obesity.         No harms outcomes         Not focused on behavioral or program for weight loss in obesity. <i>Food Nutr Res</i> . 2008;52.         No harms outcomes           Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Sliva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. <i>Patent Educ Cours</i> . 2010;79(3):320-6.         No harms outcomes           Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Sliva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. <i>Patent Educ Cours</i> . 2010;79(3):320-6.         No harms outcomes           Aprelatum M, Vague P, Zieg	53.	
among overweight women: results from the Å TO Z weight loss study. Int J Obes.         Not one of the specified interventions           Allen P, Thompson JL, Herman CJ, et al. Impact of periodic follow-up testing among urban American Indian women with impaired fasting glucose. Prev Chron Dis. 2008;5:A76.         Not one of the specified interventions           Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured acrobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA.         Comparative effectiveness           1999;281:335-40.         Andersen NG, Grant L, Gottheff L, Stiffer LT. Weight loss and long-term follow-up of severely obese individuals treated with an intense behavioral program. Int J Obes.         No harms outcomes           2007;31:488-93.         Anderssen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic synctome and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;71:687-96.         No harms outcomes           Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;71:687-96.         No harms outcomes           Andresson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention designed to promote weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss and and exercise in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:178-83.         Not one of the specified i	Alhassan S, Kim S, Bersamin A, et al. Dietary adherence and weight loss success	Comparative effectiveness
2008;32:985-91         Not one of the specified among urban American Indian women with impaired fasting glucose. <i>Prev Chron Dis</i> . 2008;5:A76.         Not one of the specified interventions           Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured aerobic exercise in obses women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> .         Comparative effectiveness           1999:281:335-40.         Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured aerobic exercise in obses women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> .         Comparative effectiveness           1999:281:335-40.         Andersen RS, Carroll S, Urdal P, Hoime I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. <i>Scand J Med Sci Sports</i> . 2007;17:687-95.         No harms outcomes           Andersson KS, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjemma I. Associations between central obesity intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. <i>Scand J Med Sci Sports</i> .         No harms outcomes           Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. <i>Food Nutr Res</i> . 2008;52.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss           Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> . 2010;79(3):320-6.         Not one of the specified interventions           Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance program after one-year follow-up. <i>Eat Behav</i> . 2009;10:176-83.         Subutramine. Interventions     <	among overweight women: results from the A TO Z weight loss study. Int J Obes.	·
Allen P., Thompson JL, Herman CJ, et al. Impact of periodic follow-up testing among urban American Indian women with impaired fasting glucose. Prev Chron Dis. 2008;5:A76.         Not neof the specified interventions           Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured acrobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA.         Comparative effectiveness           1999;281:335-40.         Comparative effectiveness         No harms outcomes           Anderson JW, Grant L, Gottheff L, Stiffer LT. Weight Ioss and long-term follow-up of severely obese individuals treated with an intense behavioral program. Int J Obes.         No harms outcomes           2007;31:488-93.         Anderssen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;17:687-95.         No harms outcomes           Andersson K, Kartstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.         No tharms outcomes           Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Couns. 2010;79(3):320-6.         No harms outcomes           Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of ophysical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Couns. 2010;79(3):320-6.         No harms outcomes           Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of ophysical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Cou	2008;32:985-91.	
among urban American Indian women with impaired fasting glucose. Prev Čhron Dis. 2008;5:A76.         interventions           Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA.         Comparative effectiveness           J999:281:335-40.         Comparative effectiveness         Comparative effectiveness           Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA.         No harms outcomes           J999:281:335-40.         Andersen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;17:687-95.         No harms outcomes           Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.         No to facused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss in mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Couns. 2010;79(3):320-6.         No harms outcomes           Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Ear Behav. 2009;10:178-83.         Sibutramine. Marsoutcomes           Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss and index and mage results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-33.         <	Allen P, Thompson JL, Herman CJ, et al. Impact of periodic follow-up testing	Not one of the specified
Dis.         2008;5:A76.         Comparative effectiveness           Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs         Comparative effectiveness           Structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA.         1999;281:335-40.         No harms outcomes           Anderson JW, Grant L, Gotthelf L, Stiffer LT. Weight loss and long-term follow-up of severely obese individuals treated with an intense behavioral program. Int J Obes.         No harms outcomes           2007;31:488-93.         Anderssen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.         No harms outcomes           Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.         No tharms outcomes           Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.         No harms outcomes           Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss in ediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Cours. 2010;79(3):320-6.         No harms outcomes           Annuziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.         Sibutramine intervention      <	among urban American Indian women with impaired fasting glucose. Prev Chron	interventions
Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs       Comparative effectiveness         structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA.       1999;281:335-40.         Anderson JW, Grant L, Gotthelf L, Stiffer LT. Weight loss and long-term follow-up of severely obsec individuals treated with an intense behavioral program. Int J Obs.       No harms outcomes         2007;31:488-93.       Anderssen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;17:687-95.       No harms outcomes         Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity, and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.       No harms outcomes         1998;8:109-15.       Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss in deltag self-regulation. Patient Educ Cours. 2010;79(3):320-6.       Comparative effectiveness         Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva AMN, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.       Sibutramine intervention         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenan	Dis. 2008;5:A76.	
structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA.       Image: Structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA.         1999:281:335-40.       Anderson JW, Grant L, Gotthelf L, Stiffer LT. Weight loss and long-term follow-up of severely obese individuals treated with an intense behavioral program. Int J Obes.       No harms outcomes         2007:31:488-93.       No harms outcomes       No harms outcomes         Anderssen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;17:687-95.       No harms outcomes         Andersson SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 1998;8:109-15.       No harms outcomes         Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       No harms outcomes         Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss in adiated by eating self-regulation. Patient Edu Cours. 2010;79(3):30-6.       No harms outcomes         Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2001;10:176-83.       Sibutramine intervention         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss adiute and the efficacy and	Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, et al. Effects of lifestyle activity vs	Comparative effectiveness
1999;281:335-40.         Anderson JW, Grant L, Gotthelf L, Stiffer LT. Weight loss and long-term follow-up of severely obese individuals treated with an intense behavioral program. Int J Obes. 2007;31:488-93.       No harms outcomes         Anderssen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;17:687-95.       No harms outcomes         Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.       No harms outcomes         1998;8:109-15.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions         Intervention SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss in mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Cours. 2010;79(3):320-6.       No harms outcomes         Andrade AM, Coutlinho SR, Silva MN, et al. Long-term maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;101:176-83.       Comparative effectiveness         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss and teravely cow-calorie det: a randomized bilinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.       Not one of the specified int	structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA.	
Anderson JW, Grant L, Gotthelf L, Stiffer LT. Weight loss and long-term follow-up of severely obese individuals treated with an intense behavioral program. Int J Obes.       No harms outcomes         2007;31:488-93.       No harms outcomes         Anderssen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;17:687-95.       No harms outcomes         Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.       No harms outcomes         1998;8:109-15.       Not focused on behavioral or program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Couns. 2010;79(3):320-6.       No harms outcomes         Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.       Sibutramine intervention         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss. after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:1719-84.       Not one of the specified interventions         Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et	1999;281:335-40.	
severely obese individuals treated with an intense behavioral program. Int J Obes.       2007;31:488-93.         Anderssen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;17:687-95.       No harms outcomes         Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.       No harms outcomes         1998;8:109-15.       Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention frogram for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Andrace AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Cours. 2010;79(3):320-6.       No harms outcomes         Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;101:176-83.       Sibutramine intervention         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Jeegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight lose abuilty of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.       Not one of the specified interventions         Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.       No harms outcomes	Anderson JW, Grant L, Gotthelf L, Stifler LT. Weight loss and long-term follow-up of	No harms outcomes
2007;31:488-93.       Anderssen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;17:687-95.       No harms outcomes         Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 1998;8:1009-15.       No harms outcomes         Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention from weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       No to focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Cours. 2010;79(3):320-6.       No harms outcomes         Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:170-84.       Comparative effectiveness         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized bilnded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 2009;10:170-84.       No tone of the specified interventions         Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results form the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;101:1685-93.       No harms outcomes         Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in aduitts. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.       Compar	severely obese individuals treated with an intense behavioral program. Int J Obes.	
Anderssen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;17:687-95.       No harms outcomes         Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 1998;8:109-15.       No harms outcomes         Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       No to focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Cours. 2010;79(3):320-6.       No harms outcomes         Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of comprehensive lifestyle inferential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized binded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.       Sibutramine intervention         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.       No harms outcomes         Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intem Med. 2001;161:685-93.       No harms outcom	2007;31:488-93.	
intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from         the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;17:687-95.         Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity, and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.       No harms outcomes         Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Couns. 2010;79(3):320-6.       No harms outcomes         Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.       Comparative effectiveness         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized binded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.       Not one of the specified intervention         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.       No harms outcomes         Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial	Anderssen SA, Carroll S, Urdal P, Holme I. Combined diet and exercise	No harms outcomes
the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;17:687-96.         Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity       No harms outcomes         and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions are specified interventions.         Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Cours. 2010;79(3):320-6.       No tarms outcomes         Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.       Sibutramine intervention         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized bilned trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.       Not one of the specified intervention         Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1685-93.       No harms outcomes         Arterbur D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Onlin	intervention reverses the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged males: results from	
Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 1998;8:109-15.       No harms outcomes         Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Couns. 2010;79(3):320-6.       No harms outcomes         Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:178-83.       Comparative effectiveness         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.       Sibutramine intervention         Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.       No harms outcomes         Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.       Comparative effectiveness         Groups rative effectiveness       Comparative effectiveness         Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults.	the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;17:687-95.	
and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year       intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.         1998;8:109-15.       Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Andarde AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Cours. 2010;79(3):320-6.       Not harms outcomes         Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.       Sibutramine intervention         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized bilnded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.       Sibutramine intervention         Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1685-93.       No harms outcomes         Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Ash S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual courseling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes.	Anderssen SA, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Associations between central obesity	No harms outcomes
intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.       1998;8:109-15.         1998;8:109-15.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Andersson K, Kartstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Andrace AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Cours. 2010;79(3):320-6.       No harms outcomes         Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.       Comparative effectiveness         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized binded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.       Not one of the specified intervention         Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.       Not harms outcomes         Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Inter Med. 2001;161:1685-93.       Not harms outcomes         Arterburm D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online).	and indexes of hemostatic, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism: results of a 1-year	
1998;8:109-15.       Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Couns. 2010;79(3):320-6.       No harms outcomes         Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.       Comparative effectiveness         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.       Sibutramine intervention         Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.       Not one of the specified interventions         Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.       No harms outcomes         Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.       Comparative effectiveness         Comparative effectiveness       Comparative effectiveness         Arterburn B, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician	intervention from the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. Scand J Med Sci Sports.	
Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention program for weight loss in obesity. <i>Food Nutr Res.</i> 2008;52.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. <i>Patient Educ Couns.</i> 2010;79(3):320-6.       No harms outcomes         Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. <i>Eat Behav.</i> 2009;10:176-83.       Comparative effectiveness         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. <i>Am J Med.</i> 1999;106:179-84.       Not one of the specified interventions         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. <i>JAMA.</i> 2003;289:2083-93.       Not one of the specified interventions         Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2001;161:685-93.       No harms outcomes         Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. <i>Clin Evid (Online).</i> 2008.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Ash S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control in the physician's office. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2001;161:1589-604.       Comparative effe	1998;8:109-15.	
program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.       pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Couns. 2010;79(3):320-6.       No harms outcomes         Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.       Comparative effectiveness         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.       Sibutramine intervention         Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.       No to ne of the specified interventions         Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.       No harms outcomes         Areburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Ash S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.       Comparative effectiveness	Andersson K, Karlstrom B, Freden S, et al. A two-year clinical lifestyle intervention	Not focused on behavioral or
InterventionInterventionAndrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weightNo harms outcomesIoss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Couns. 2010;79(3):320-6.No harms outcomesAnnunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.Comparative effectivenessApfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized bilnded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.Sibutramine interventionAppel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.Not one of the specified interventionsAppel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaAsh S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Comparative effectivenessAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing die	program for weight loss in obesity. Food Nutr Res. 2008;52.	pharmacological interventions
Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Couns. 2010;79(3):320-6.       No harms outcomes         Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.       Comparative effectiveness         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.       Sibutramine intervention         Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.       Not one of the specified interventions         Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.       No harms outcomes         Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Ash S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.       Comparative effectiveness         Ashluosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37		designed to promote weight loss
Ioss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Couns. 2010;79(3):320-6.Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.Comparative effectivenessApfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.Sibutramine interventionAppel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.Not one of the specified interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.Apterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008. groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and health outcomes in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Putr Diet. 2004;17:317-35.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria requirements in inclusion criteria	Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, Silva MN, et al. The effect of physical activity on weight	No harms outcomes
Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining       Comparative effectiveness         differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program       Sibutramine         after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.       Sibutramine intervention         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss       Sibutramine intervention         after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and       tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.         Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle       Not one of the specified         modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial.       Not one of the specified         JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.       Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on       No harms outcomes         hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic       Does not meet design         Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.       Comparative effectiveness         Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.       Does not meet design         groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight       Comparative effectiveness         and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.       Comparative effectiveness         Ashuby JM, St Jeor ST, Schra	loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. Patient Educ Couns. 2010;79(3):320-6.	
differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program       after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.         Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.       Sibutramine intervention         Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.       Not one of the specified interventions         Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.       No harms outcomes         Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Ash S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.       Comparative effectiveness         Ashley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1599-604.       Comparative effectiveness         Ashubsh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.       Comparative effectiveness         Avenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long	Annunziato RA, Timko CA, Crerand CE, et al. A randomized trial examining	Comparative effectiveness
after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.Sibutramine interventionApfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.Sibutramine interventionAppel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.Not one of the specified interventionsAppel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.No harms outcomesArterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaAsh S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Comparative effectivenessAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Putr Diet. 2004;17:317:35.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	differential meal replacement adherence in a weight loss maintenance program	
Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.Sibutramine interventionAppel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.Not one of the specified interventionsAppel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.No harms outcomesArterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008. groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaAshush K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Putr Diet. 2004;17:317-35.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	after one-year follow-up. Eat Behav. 2009;10:176-83.	
after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.Not one of the specified interventionsAppel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.Not one of the specified interventionsAppel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.No harms outcomesArterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008. groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2004;17:317-35.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, et al. Long-term maintenance of weight loss	Sibutramine intervention
tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.Not one of the specified interventionsAppel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.Not one of the specified interventionsAppel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.No harms outcomesArterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaAsh S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Comparative effectivenessAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2004:17:317-35.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and	
Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2003;289:2083-93.Not one of the specified interventionsAppel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.No harms outcomesArterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaAsh S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Comparative effectivenessAshley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1599-604.Comparative effectivenessAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2004;17:317-35.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	tolerability of sibutramine. Am J Med. 1999;106:179-84.	
modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial.interventionsJAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.interventionsAppel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.No harms outcomesArterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaAsh S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Comparative effectivenessAshley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1599-604.Comparative effectivenessAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2004;17:317-35.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle	Not one of the specified
JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.       Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on       No harms outcomes         hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic       No harms outcomes         Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.       Does not meet design         Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.       Does not meet design         requirements in inclusion criteria       Ash S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle       Comparative effectiveness         groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight       Comparative effectiveness       Comparative effectiveness         Ashley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office.       Comparative effectiveness       Comparative effectiveness         Ashutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness.       Comparative effectiveness       Comparative effectiveness         1997;37:252-7.       Avenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight requirements in inclusion criteria       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Nutr Diet. 2004;17:317-35.       Meta are the long-term benefits of weight requirements in inclusion criteria       No harms outcomes	modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial.	interventions
Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.No harms outcomesArterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008. Ash S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaAshley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1599-604.Comparative effectivenessAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2004:17:317-35.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	JAMA. 2003;289:2083-93.	
hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaArterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaAsh S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Comparative effectivenessAshley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1599-604.Comparative effectivenessAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2004;17:317-35.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Easter L, et al. Effects of reduced sodium intake on	No harms outcomes
Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.Ash S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Ashley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1599-604.Comparative effectivenessAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J HumDoes not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	hypertension control in older individuals: results from the Trial of Nonpharmacologic	
Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaAsh S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaAshley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1599-604.Comparative effectivenessAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J HumDoes not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	Interventions in the Elderly (TONE). Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:685-93.	
Ash S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Comparative effectivenessAshley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1599-604.Comparative effectivenessAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J HumDoes not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	Arterburn D, DeLaet D, Schauer D. Obesity in adults. Clin Evid (Online). 2008.	Does not meet design
Ash S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Comparative effectivenessAshley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1599-604.Comparative effectivenessAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J HumDoes not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria Nutr Diet. 2004;17:317-35.		requirements in inclusion criteria
groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight and health outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.Comparative effectivenessAshley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1599-604.Comparative effectivenessAshutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.Comparative effectivenessAvenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J HumDoes not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	Ash S, Reeves M, Bauer J, et al. A randomised control trial comparing lifestyle	Comparative effectiveness
And realm outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.       Comparative effectiveness         Ashley JM, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office.       Comparative effectiveness         Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1599-604.       Comparative effectiveness         Ashutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness.       Comparative effectiveness         1997;37:252-7.       Avenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Nutr Diet, 2004;17:317-35.       Comparative effectiveness       Comparative effectiveness	groups, individual counselling and written information in the management of weight	
Astriey JWI, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office.       Comparative effectiveness         Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1599-604.       Comparative effectiveness         Ashutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness.       Comparative effectiveness         1997;37:252-7.       Avenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Nutr Diet, 2004;17:317-35.       Comparative effectiveness       Comparative effectiveness	and nearth outcomes over 12 months. Int J Obes. 2006;30:1557-64.	
Ashutosh K, Methrotra K, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness.       Comparative effectiveness         1997;37:252-7.       Avenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2004;17:317-35.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	Ashiey Jivi, St Jeor ST, Schrage JP, et al. Weight control in the physician's office.	comparative enectiveness
Astructor R, Methodia R, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of sustained weight loss and exercise on aerobic fitness in obese women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1997;37:252-7.       Comparative effectiveness         Avenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Nutr Diet, 2004;17:317-35.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	Arbitrach Webratra K Eragola Jackson L Effects of sustained weight less and	Comparative offectiveness
1997;37:252-7.       Avenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Nutr Diet, 2004;17:317-35.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria	Ashutoshin, ivietiniotrain, Fragale-Jackson J. Effects of Sustained Weight IOSS and	comparative enectiveness
Avenell A, Brown TJ, McGee MA, et al. What are the long-term benefits of weight reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. <i>J Hum</i> <i>Nutr Diet</i> , 2004:17:317-35.	1007.27.252.7	
reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. <i>J Hum</i> requirements in inclusion criteria <i>Nutr Diet</i> , 2004:17:317-35.	Avenell & Brown T I. McGee MA et al. What are the long term benefits of wordst	Does not meet design
Nutr Diet. 2004:17:317-35.	reducing diets in adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. <i>J Hum</i>	requirements in inclusion criteria
	Nutr Diet. 2004:17:317-35.	requiremente in moldolori enteria

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Babamoto KS, Sey KA, Camilleri AJ, et al. Improving diabetes care and health	No harms outcomes
measures among Hispanics using community health workers: results from a	
randomized controlled trial. Health Educ Behav. 2009;36:113-26.	
Bach DS, Rissanen AM, Mendel CM, et al. Absence of cardiac valve dysfunction in	Sibutramine intervention
obese patients treated with sibutramine. Obes Res. 1999;7:363-9.	
Bacon L, Keim NL, Van L, et al. Evaluating a "non-diet" wellness intervention for	Comparative effectiveness
improvement of metabolic fitness, psychological well-being and eating and activity	
behaviors. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2002;26:854-65.	
Balducci S, Zanuso S, Nicolucci A, et al. Anti-inflammatory effect of exercise	Not focused on behavioral or
training in subjects with type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome is dependent	pharmacological interventions
on exercise modalities and independent of weight loss. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.	designed to promote weight loss
2010;20:608-17.	
Barba M, Schunemann HJ, Sperati F, et al. The effects of metformin on	No harms outcomes
endogenous androgens and SHBG in women: a systematic review and meta-	
analysis. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2009;70:661-70.	
Barr SI, McCarron DA, Heaney RP, et al. Effects of increased consumption of fluid	Not one of the specified
milk on energy and nutrient intake, body weight, and cardiovascular risk factors in	interventions
nealthy older adults. J Am Diet Assoc. 2000;100:810-7.	
Bauer C, Fischer A, Keller U. Effect of sibutramine and of cognitive-benavioural	No narms outcomes
Meteb 2006:9:220 05	
Meldu. 2000,0.209-95.	Focus on notionto with obsoity
with heart failure: a pilot study. Congest Heart Fail, 2005:11:118, 23	secondary to gonatic or modical
with heart failure. a pilot study. Congest freat Fail. 2005, 11. 110-25.	conditions or modically induced
	weight gain
Remelmans W I Broer I de Vries IH et al Impact of Mediterranean diet education	Not focused on behavioral or
versus posted leaflet on dietary habits and serum cholesterol in a high risk	nharmacological interventions
population for cardiovascular disease. Public Health Nutr. 2000:3:273-83.	designed to promote weight loss
Bergstrom I. Lombardo C. Brinck J. Physical training decreases waist	Focus on patients in subgroups
circumference in postmenopausal borderline overweight women. Acta Obstet	other than specified conditions
Gynecol Scand. 2009;88:308-13.	·
Berven G, Bye A, Hals O, et al. Safety of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) in	Not one of the specified
overweight or obese human volunteers. Eur J Lipid Sci Tech. 2009;102:455-62.	interventions
Bhargava A, Guthrie JF. Unhealthy eating habits, physical exercise and	Not one of the specified
macronutrient intakes are predictors of anthropometric indicators in the Women's	interventions
Health Trial Feasibility Study in Minority Populations. Br J Nutr. 2002;88:719-28.	
Blankson H, Stakkestad JA, Fagertun H, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid reduces	Not one of the specified
body fat mass in overweight and obese humans. J Nutr. 2000;130:2943-8.	interventions
Blumenthal JA, Sherwood A, Gullette EC, et al. Exercise and weight loss reduce	No harms outcomes
blood pressure in men and women with mild hypertension: effects on	
cardiovascular, metabolic, and hemodynamic functioning. Arch Intern Med.	
2000;160:1947-58.	
Bo S, Ciccone G, Baldi C, et al. Effectiveness of a lifestyle intervention on	Not one of the specified
metabolic syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. J Gen Intern Med.	Interventions
2007,22:1695-703.	Not one of the energified
bo S, Ciccone G, Guidi S, et al. Diet of exercise. What is more effective in preventing or reducing metabolic alterations? <i>Fur J Endocrinol</i> 2008;150:685-01	interventions
Borg P. Kukkopen-Hariula K. Engelholm M. Pasanen M. Effects of walking or	
resistance training on weight loss maintenance in obese middle-aged men: a	Comparative encouveriess
randomized trial. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2002:26:676-83.	
Botomino A, Bruppacher R, Krahenbuhl S, Hersberger KF, Change of body weight	Does not meet design
and lifestyle of persons at risk for diabetes after screening and counselling in	requirements in inclusion criteria
pharmacies. Pharm World Sci. 2008;30:222-6.	
Bowen D, Clifford CK, Coates R, et al. The Women's Health Trial Feasibility Study	Not one of the specified
in Minority Populations: design and baseline descriptions. Ann Epidemiol.	interventions
1996;6:507-19.	
Bowen J, Noakes M, Clifton PM. A high dairy protein, high-calcium diet minimizes	No harms outcomes
bone turnover in overweight adults during weight loss. J Nutr. 2004;134:568-73.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Bowerman S, Bellman M, Saltsman P, et al. Implementation of a primary care	No harms outcomes
physician network obesity management program. Obes Res. 2001;9(Suppl	
4):S321-5.	
Bravata DM, Smith-Spangler C, Sundaram V, et al. Using pedometers to increase	Does not meet design
304.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Brinkworth GD, Noakes M, Keogh JB, et al. Long-term effects of a high-protein,	Comparative effectiveness
low-carbohydrate diet on weight control and cardiovascular risk markers in obese	-
hyperinsulinemic subjects. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2004;28:661-70.	
Brinkworth GD, Noakes M, Parker B, et al. Long-term effects of advice to consume	Comparative effectiveness
a high-protein, low-fat diet, rather than a conventional weight-loss diet, in obese	
Brownell KD. The LEARN Program for Weight Management. New Haven. CT.	Comparative effectiveness
American Health Publishing Company: 2000.	
Bryan J, Tiggemann M. The effect of weight-loss dieting on cognitive performance	No harms outcomes
and psychological well-being in overweight women. Appetite. 2001;36:147-56.	
Buchwald H, Avidor Y, Braunwald E, et al. Bariatric surgery: a systematic review	Does not meet design
and meta-analysis. <i>JAMA</i> . 2004;292:1724-37.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Burke V, Beilin LJ, Cutt HE, et al. A litestyle program for treated hypertensives	No harms outcomes
controlled trial <i>I Clin Endemiol</i> 2007:60:133-41	
Burke V Mansour J Beilin J Mori TA Long-term follow-up of participants in a	No harms outcomes
health promotion program for treated hypertensives (ADAPT). Nutr Metab	
Cardiovasc Dis. 2008;18:198-206.	
Burke V, Mori TA, Giangiulio N, et al. An innovative program for changing health	No harms outcomes
behaviours. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2002;11(Suppl 3):S586-97.	
Caan B, Neuhouser M, Aragaki A, et al. Calcium plus vitamin D supplementation	Not one of the specified
Calle-Pascual AL Rodriguez C. Camacho F. et al. Behaviour modification in obese	Does not meet design
subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract.</i> 1992:15:157-62.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Campbell PT, Campbell KL, Wener MH, et al. A yearlong exercise intervention	Not focused on behavioral or
decreases CRP among obese postmenopausal women. Med Sci Sports Exerc.	pharmacological interventions
2009;41:1533-9.	designed to promote weight loss
Carr DB, Utzschneider KM, Boyko EJ, et al. A reduced-fat diet and aerobic exercise	Comparative effectiveness
In Japanese Americans with impaired glucose tolerance decreases intra-abdominal	
Carr LJ. Bartee RT. Dorozvnski CM. et al. Eight-month follow-up of physical activity	Comparative effectiveness
and central adiposity: results from an Internet-delivered randomized control trial	
intervention. J Phys Act Health. 2009;6:444-55.	
Carter JD, Vasey FB, Valeriano J. The effect of a low-carbohydrate diet on bone	Does not include specified harms
turnover. Osteoporos Int. 2006;17:1398-403.	outcomes
castaneda C, Layne JE, Munoz-Orians L, et al. A randomized controlled that of resistance exercise training to improve glycemic control in older adults with type 2	No namis outcomes
diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2002:25:2335-41.	
Chang MW, Nitzke S, Brown R. Design and outcomes of a Mothers In Motion	No harms outcomes
behavioral intervention pilot study. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2010;42(Suppl 3):11-21.	
Charles MA, Morange P, Eschwege E, et al. Effect of weight change and metformin	No harms outcomes
on fibrinolysis and the von Willebrand factor in obese nondiabetic subjects: the	
Cheatham RA Roberts SR Das SK at al Long term effects of provided low and	Comparative effectiveness
high glycemic load low energy diets on mood and cognition <i>Physiol Behav</i>	
2009;98:374-9.	
Cheyette C. Weight No More: a randomised controlled trial for people with type 2	Does not include specified harms
diabetes on insulin therapy. Pract Diabetes Int. 2007;24:450-6.	outcomes
Chiasson JL, Lau DC, Leiter LA, et al. Fluoxetine has potential in obese NIDDM—	Not one of the specified
municenter Canadian trial. <i>Diabetes</i> . 1989;38(Suppl 2):A154.	interventions

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Clark M, Hampson SE, Avery L, Simpson R. Effects of a tailored lifestyle self- management intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes. <i>Br J Health Psychol.</i>	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions
2004;9:365-79.	designed to promote weight loss
Clarke KK, Freeland-Graves J, Klohe-Lehman DM, et al. Promotion of physical activity in low-income mothers using pedometers. <i>J Am Diet Assoc.</i> 2007;107:962-7.	No harms outcomes
Clifford PA, Tan SY, Gorsuch RL. Efficacy of a self-directed behavioral health change program: weight, body composition, cardiovascular fitness, blood pressure, health risk, and psychosocial mediating variables. <i>J Behav Med</i> , 1991;14:303–23	Comparative effectiveness
Cocco G, Pandolfi S, Rousson V. Sufficient weight reduction decreases cardiovascular complications in diabetic patients with the metabolic syndrome: a randomized study of orlistat as an adjunct to lifestyle changes (diet and exercise). <i>Heart Drug.</i> 2005;5:68-74.	Does not include specified harms outcomes
Coker RH, Williams RH, Yeo SE, et al. The impact of exercise training compared to caloric restriction on hepatic and peripheral insulin resistance in obesity. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2009;94:4258-66.	No harms outcomes
Conradt M, Dierk JM, Schlumberger P, et al. A consultation with genetic information about obesity decreases self-blame about eating and leads to realistic weight loss goals in obese individuals. <i>J Psychosom Res.</i> 2009;66:287-95.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Corpeleijn E, Feskens EJ, Jansen EH, et al. Improvements in glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity after lifestyle intervention are related to changes in serum fatty acid profile and desaturase activities: the SLIM study. <i>Diabetologia</i> . 2006;49:2392-401.	Not one of the specified interventions
Counterweight Project Team. Evaluation of the Counterweight Programme for obesity management in primary care: a starting point for continuous improvement. <i>Br J Gen Pract.</i> 2008;58:548-54.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Counterweight Project Team. Influence of body mass index on prescribing costs and potential cost savings of a weight management programme in primary care. <i>J Health Serv Res Policy</i> . 2008:13:158-66.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Cousins JH, Rubovits DS, Dunn JK, et al. Family versus individually oriented intervention for weight loss in Mexican American women. <i>Public Health Rep.</i> 1992;107:549-55.	Comparative effectiveness
Cox KL, Burke V, Morton AR, et al. Independent and additive effects of energy restriction and exercise on glucose and insulin concentrations in sedentary overweight men. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2004;80:308-16.	No harms outcomes
Cuellar GE, Ruiz AM, Monsalve MC, Berber A. Six-month treatment of obesity with sibutramine 15 mg; a double-blind, placebo-controlled monocenter clinical trial in a Hispanic population. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2000;8:71-82.	Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90
Dale KS, Mann JI, McAuley KA, et al. Sustainability of lifestyle changes following an intensive lifestyle intervention in insulin resistant adults: follow-up at 2-years. <i>Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.</i> 2009;18:114-20.	Comparative effectiveness
Dale KS, McAuley KA, Taylor RW, et al. Determining optimal approaches for weight maintenance: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Can Med Assoc J.</i> 2009;180:E39-46.	Comparative effectiveness
Davies MJ, Heller S, Skinner TC, et al. Effectiveness of the Diabetes Education and Self Management for Ongoing and Newly Diagnosed (DESMOND) Programme for people with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: cluster randomised controlled trial. <i>BMJ</i> . 2008;336:491-5.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Davis BR, Blaufox MD, Oberman A, et al. Reduction in long-term antihypertensive medication requirements: effects of weight reduction by dietary intervention in overweight persons with mild hypertension. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 1993;153:1773-82.	No harms outcomes
de Jager J, Kooy A, Lehert P, et al. Long term treatment with metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes and risk of vitamin B-12 deficiency: randomised placebo controlled trial. <i>BMJ</i> . 2010;340:c2181.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
de Wit LT, Mathus-Vliegen L, Hey C, et al. Open versus laparoscopic adjustable silicone gastric banding: a prospective randomized trial for treatment of morbid obesity. <i>Ann Surg.</i> 1999;230:800-5.	Not one of the specified interventions
Delahanty LM, Nathan DM. Implications of the Diabetes Prevention Program and Look AHEAD clinical trials for lifestyle interventions. <i>J Am Diet Assoc.</i> 2008;108(Suppl 1):66-72.	Comparative effectiveness

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Delecluse C. Colman V. Roelants M. et al. Exercise programs for older men; mode	Does not include specified harms
and intensity to induce the highest possible health-related benefits. Prev Med.	outcomes
2004;39:823-33.	
Dennis KE, Tomoyasu N, McCrone SH, et al. Self-efficacy targeted treatments for	Comparative effectiveness
weight loss in postmenopausal women. Sch Ing Nurs Pract. 2001;15:259-76.	·
Derosa G, Cicero AF, Murdolo G, et al. Efficacy and safety comparative evaluation	Comparative effectiveness
of orlistat and sibutramine treatment in hypertensive obese patients. Diabetes Obes	
Metab. 2005;7:47-55.	
Devine A, Prince RL, Bell R. Nutritional effect of calcium supplementation by skim	Comparative effectiveness
milk powder or calcium tablets on total nutrient intake in postmenopausal women.	
Am J Clin Nutr. 1996;64:731-7.	
Di Francesco V, Sacco T, Zamboni M, et al. Weight loss and quality of life	Sibutramine intervention
improvement in obese subjects treated with sibutramine: a double-blind	
randomized multicenter study. Ann Nutr Metab. 2007;51:75-81.	<b>0</b>
Di Loreto C, Fanelli C, Lucidi P, et al. Validation of a counseling strategy to promote	Comparative effectiveness
the adoption and the maintenance of physical activity by type 2 diabetic subjects.	
Diabetes Care. 2003;26:404-8.	
Ditschuneit HH, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson ID, Adler G. Metabolic and weight-	Comparative effectiveness
loss effects of a long-term dietary intervention in obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr.	
1999;09:198-204.	
Djuric Z, Lababidi S, Helibrun LK, et al. Effect of low-fat and/or low-energy diets on	No narms outcomes
Anthropometric measures in participants of the women's Diet Study. J Am Con	
Null. 2002,21.30-40.	No harma autoomoo
controlled everying trial on body weight and composition in young, evenyoight mon	No harms outcomes
and women: the Midwest Exercise Trial Arch Intern Med 2003:163:1343-50	
Donnelly IF Jacobsen DJ Heelan KS et al. The effects of 18 months of	Comparative effectiveness
intermittent vs. continuous exercise on aerobic capacity, body weight and	Comparative encetiveness
composition and metabolic fitness in previously sedentary moderately obese	
females. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:566-72.	
Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Dunn L, et al. Comparison of a phone vs clinic approach to	No harms outcomes
achieve 10% weight loss. Int J Obes. 2007:31:1270-6.	
Due A. Larsen TM. Mu H. et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss	No harms outcomes
maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized,	
controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1232-41.	
Dujovne CA, Zavoral JH, Rowe E, Mendel CM. Effects of sibutramine on body	Sibutramine intervention
weight and serum lipids: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in	
322 overweight and obese patients with dyslipidemia. Am Heart J. 2001;142:489-	
97.	
Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, et al. Comparison of lifestyle and structured	Comparative effectiveness
interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a	
randomized trial. JAMA. 1999;281:327-34.	
Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, et al. Home-based resistance training is not	Comparative effectiveness
sufficient to maintain improved glycemic control following supervised training in	
older individuals with type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2005;28:3-9.	
Dutton GR, Davis MP, Welsch MA, Brantley PJ. Promoting physical activity for low-	No harms outcomes
income minority women in primary care. Am J Health Behav. 2007;31:622-31.	
Dymek MP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life after gastric bypass	Not focused on benavioral or
surgery: a cross-sectional study. Obes Res. 2002;10:1135-42.	priarmacological Interventions
Dymok MD Lo Crango D. Novan K. Alvardy, L. Ovality of life and payet	Net focused on tabaviard an
Dyniek IVIP, Le Grange D, Neven K, Alverdy J. Quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in patients after Pouv on V gentric hunges, a brief report. Ober Surr	not locused on benavioral of
aujustinent in patients alter roux-en-r gastile bypass, a biler report. Obes Surg. 2001-11-32-0	designed to promote woight loss
Discon PA Hammereley MS Morris PI et al The Easting Hyperalycaemic Study	Not one of the specified
Usion A, naminetister with, worns No, et al. The Fashing Hypergrycaeffild Study,	interventions
increased but not diabetic fasting plasma ducose Metabolism 1007:46:50-5	
Dzator JA Hendrie D Burke V et al. A randomized trial of interactive group	Not focused on behavioral or
sessions achieved greater improvements in nutrition and physical activity at a tiny	pharmacological interventions
increase in cost. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> . 2004;57:610-9.	designed to promote weight loss

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Early JL, Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, et al. Sibutramine plus meal replacement	Sibutramine intervention
therapy for body weight loss and maintenance in obese patients. Obesity.	
2007;15:1464-72.	
Eddy DM, Schlessinger L, Kahn R. Clinical outcomes and cost-effectiveness of	Not focused on behavioral or
strategies for managing people at high risk for diabetes. Ann Intern Med.	pharmacological interventions
2005;143:251-64.	designed to promote weight loss
Einayany A, Lustman A, Abel R, et al. A low carbonydrate Mediterranean diet	Comparative effectiveness
natients with type 2 diabetes mellitus; a 1-year prospective randomized intervention	
study Diabetes Obes Metab 2010.12:204-9	
Elmer PJ, Obarzanek E, Vollmer WM, et al. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle	Comparative effectiveness
modification on diet, weight, physical fitness, and blood pressure control: 18-month	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
results of a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2006;144:485-95.	
Ely AC, Banitt A, Befort C, et al. Kansas primary care weighs in: a pilot randomized	No harms outcomes
trial of a chronic care model program for obesity in 3 rural Kansas primary care	
practices. J Rural Health. 2008;24:125-32.	
Eriksson KM, Westborg CJ, Eliasson MC. A randomized trial of lifestyle intervention	Not one of the specified
In primary healthcare for the modification of cardiovascular risk factors. Scand J	interventions
Public Health. 2006;34:453-61.	Comporativo offectivopece
dysfunction in obese men: a randomized controlled trial / AMA 2004:291:2978-84	Comparative enectiveness
Esposito K. Marfella R. Ciotola M. et al. Effect of a Mediterranean-style diet on	Not focused on behavioral or
endothelial dysfunction and markers of vascular inflammation in the metabolic	pharmacological interventions
syndrome: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2004;292:1440-6.	designed to promote weight loss
Esposito K, Pontillo A, Di Palo C, et al. Effect of weight loss and lifestyle changes	Comparative effectiveness
on vascular inflammatory markers in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA.	·
2003;289:1799-804.	
Eyjolfson V, Spriet LL, Dyck DJ. Conjugated linoleic acid improves insulin	Not one of the specified
sensitivity in young, sedentary humans. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc.</i> 2004;36:814-20.	interventions
Fabricatore AN, Wadden TA, Moore RH, et al. Predictors of attrition and weight	Comparative effectiveness
Eanohanel G. Cortinas I. Sanchez-Reves I. Berber A. A clinical trial of the use of	Does not include specified harms
sibutramine for the treatment of patients suffering essential obesity. Int J Obes	outcomes
Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:144-50.	
Fanghanel G, Cortinas L, Sanchez-Reyes L, et al. Safety and efficacy of	No harms outcomes
sibutramine in overweight Hispanic patients with hypertension. Adv Ther.	
2003;20:101-13.	
Faria AN, Ribeiro Filho FF, Kohlmann NE, et al. Effects of sibutramine on	Not focused on behavioral or
abdominal fat mass, insulin resistance and blood pressure in obese hypertensive	pharmacological interventions
patients. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2005;7:246-53.	designed to promote weight loss
treatment of obesity in patients with arterial hypertension. Ara Bras Cardiol	No namis outcomes
2002.78.172-80	
Faulconbridge LF. Wadden TA. Berkowitz RI. et al. Changes in symptoms of	No placebo in medication trial
depression with weight loss: results of a randomized trial. Obesity. 2009;17:1009-	- F
16.	
Ferre R, Plana N, Merino J, et al. Effects of therapeutic lifestyle changes on	Not focused on behavioral or
peripheral artery tonometry in patients with abdominal obesity. Nutr Metab	pharmacological interventions
Cardiovasc Dis. 2010 Aug 11. [Epub ahead of print]	designed to promote weight loss
Field AE, Malspeis S, Willett WC. Weight cycling and mortality among middle-aged	Other quality issues
UI UIUEI WUITIETI. AICH IIILEHI MEG. 2009, 109:00 1-0.	Not focused on bohaviaral or
replacement therapy on lean and fat mass in postmenopolical women. I Gerontol A	not rocused on penavioral of
Biol Sci Med Sci. 2003:58:266-70.	designed to promote weight loss
Finer N. Bloom SR. Frost GS. et al. Sibutramine is effective for weight loss and	Sibutramine intervention
diabetic control in obesity with type 2 diabetes: a randomised, double-blind.	
placebo-controlled study. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2000;2:105-12.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Finkelstein EA, Linnan LA, Tate DF, Leese PJ. A longitudinal study on the	Conducted primarily in a non-
relationship between weight loss, medical expenditures, and absenteeism among	relevant setting
overweight employees in the WAY to Health study. J Occup Environ Med.	
2009;51:1367-73.	
Finley CE, Barlow CE, Greenway FL, et al. Retention rates and weight loss in a	Does not meet design
commercial weight loss program. Int J Obes (Lond). 2007;31:292-8.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Fitzgibbon ML, Stolley MR, Ganschow P, et al. Results of a faith-based weight loss	Comparative effectiveness
intervention for black women. J Natl Med Assoc. 2005;97:1393-402.	
Fitzgibbon ML, Stolley MR, Schiffer L, et al. A combined breast health/weight loss	No harms outcomes
Intervention for black women. Prev Med. 2005;40:373-83.	
Fitzgibbon ML, Stolley MR, Schiffer L, et al. Obesity Reduction Black Intervention	No narms outcomes
That (ORBIT). To-month results. Obesity (Silver Spinity). 2010, 10.2317-25.	
effects of long term distanciation in obese patients; four year results. Obes	Comparative enectiveness
Page 2000-8-300 402	
Fleming R. Honkinson ZF. Wallace AM, et al. Ovarian function and metabolic	No barms outcomes
factors in women with oligomenorrhea treated with metformin in a randomized	No harms outcomes
double blind placebo-controlled trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2002;87:569-74.	
Eleming RM. The effect of high- moderate- and low-fat diets on weight loss and	Comparative effectiveness
cardiovascular disease risk factors. <i>Prev Cardiol.</i> 2002;5:110-8.	
Flood A, Mitchell N, Jaeb M, et al. Energy density and weight change in a long-term	Study of overweight/obesity
weight-loss trial. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2009;6:57.	prevention
Focht BC, Rejeski WJ, Ambrosius WT, et al. Exercise, self-efficacy, and mobility	Comparative effectiveness
performance in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis	•
Rheum. 2005;53:659-65.	
Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Nenonen A, Pasanen M. Effects of walking	Comparative effectiveness
training on weight maintenance after a very-low-energy diet in premenopausal	
obese women: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med. 2000;160:2177-84.	
Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Oja P. Eating control and physical activity as	Comparative effectiveness
determinants of short-term weight maintenance after a very-low-calorie diet among	
obese women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999;23:203-10.	
Fontana L, Villareal DT, Weiss EP, et al. Calorie restriction or exercise: effects on	Comparative effectiveness
Coronary heart disease risk factors: a randomized, controlled trial. Am J Physiol	
Endocrinol Metab. 2007;293:E197-202.	
Fontbonne A, Diout I, Baccara-Dinet M, et al. Effects of 1-year treatment with methors in pen diabetic upper body	No narms outcomes
chose subjects with mild slucess anomalies; a past has analysis of the PICDPO1	
trial Diabates Metab 2000:35:385-01	
Enserti M Amati F. Painot D, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy with simultaneous	Focus on patients in subgroups
nutritional and physical activity education in obese patients with binge eating	other than specified conditions
disorder. Eat Weight Disord. 2004:9:134-8.	other than specified conditions
Foster GD. Borradaile KE. Sanders MH. et al. A randomized study on the effect of	Comparative effectiveness
weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea among obese patients with type 2 diabetes:	
the Sleep AHEAD study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:1619-26.	
Foster GD, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, et al. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet	Comparative effectiveness
for obesity. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:2082-90.	•
Foster-Schubert KE, McTiernan A, Frayo RS, et al. Human plasma ghrelin levels	No harms outcomes
increase during a one-year exercise program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.	
2005;90:820-5.	
Fujimoto WY, Jablonski KA, Bray GA, et al. Body size and shape changes and the	No harms outcomes
risk of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. <i>Diabetes</i> . 2007;56:1680-5.	
Fujioka K, Seaton TB, Rowe E, et al. Weight loss with sibutramine improves	Sibutramine intervention
glycaemic control and other metabolic parameters in obese patients with type 2	
diabetes mellitus. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2000;2:175-87.	
Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Genghini S, et al. Effect of flutamide and metformin	No harms outcomes
administered alone or in combination in dieting obese women with polycystic ovary	
syndrome. Clin Endocrinol (UXT). 2004;60:241-9.	
Gauilier Jivi, Haise J, Holvik HO, et al. Six months supplementation with conjugated	
Rr. I Nutr. 2007:97:550-60	
Dro Maii, 2001,91.000-00.	

Gauller JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Conjugated linotic acid supplementation for 1 yreduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2004;79:1118-25.         Not one of the specified interventions           2004;79:118-25.         Constructions         Not one of the specified interventions           2004;79:118-25.         Not one of the specified interventions         Not one of the specified interventions           Chroub IS, Eleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dielary measures in the treatment of adult obesity; a comparison of two protocols. <i>Ann Phys Rehab Med.</i> 2009;52:394-413.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Concol G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabelic patients: improvement in glycaenic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. <i>Bur J Olin Pharmacol</i> 1995;44:107-12.         Not harms outcomes           Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief horavoural direlary intervention for patients with diabetes adoptivend from the medical office. <i>Patient Educ Cours.</i> 1997;32:175-84.         Not horms outcomes           Gasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Treatment of obese analysis.         Not no list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Gotoy A, Karaso H, Etrorer EM, et al. Teratment of obsee adolescents with suburamic: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Cold BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pliot study comparing a structured behavioral intervenintion to a commercial program. <i>Desity</i> 1007;15:155-64.	Reference	Reason for Exclusion
yreduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. Am J Clin Nutr.         Interventions           Gauller JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated lincleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.         Not on of the specified interventions           Chroubt S, Elluch H, Chik T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obseity; a companison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;25:294-413.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.00           Glasgion D, Oustitaro A, Consoll G, et al. Melformin for obses, insulin-treated diabele patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. <i>Eur J Clin Pharmacol.</i> 1993;44:107-12.         No harms outcomes           Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief medical office. <i>Patient Educ Couns.</i> 1993;21:75-84.         No harms outcomes           Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Keamery KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11         No to nilist of countries with HDI > 0.90           Color24, Karakose H, Ertorer EM, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjectis with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. J Diabetes Care.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Color24, HSF-60.         Comparative effectiveness         Comparative effectiveness           Corticate S, Printauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Desk	Gaullier JM, Halse J, Hove K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for 1	Not one of the specified
2004.79:1118-25.         Not one of the specified           Callier JM, Halse J, Hoyk K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid         Not one of the specified           Gaulier JM, Halse J, Hoyk K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid         Not on ef the specified           Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dielary         Not on list of countries with HDI >           Glugiano D, Quatraro A, Consol G, et al. Metformin for obses, insulin-treated         No harms outcomes           Glagow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief         No forus of the specified           Glagow RE, La Chance PA, Coosel G, et al. Metformin for obses adolescents with         No harms outcomes           Glagow RE, Nelson CC, Keamey KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Interret-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J         No harms outcomes           Goddoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obses female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care.         Not on list of countries with HDI >           Qodd JE, Buck S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obsetiy.         Comparative effectiveness           Gordor Matos KS, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention for and section program. Obsetiy.         Not nims outcomes           Gold BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weigh	v reduces body fat mass in healthy overweight humans. Am J Clin Nutr.	interventions
Caulier JM, Halse J, Hoye K, et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.         Not one of the specified interventions           Chroubi, S. Leuch H, Chihn T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity; a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-13.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Citugiano D, Oustraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. <i>Eur J Clin Pharmacol.</i> 1993;44:107-12.         No harms outcomes           Glasgow RE, La Chance PA. Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief medical office. <i>Patient Educ Control</i> , 1997;32:175-84.         No farms outcomes           Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney IXA, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with Bultramine: a randomized, ouble-blind, controlled study. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Coll 24: 167-60.         Gokcel A, Karakose H, Ertorer EM, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2001;24: 167-60.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Cordinate A, Weitergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of oristat on bone turnover and body composition. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> 2001;25: 1154-60.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss formed NL or J. et al. Weight obas on the web: ap liot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention in the reatment of Mid Hyperte	2004:79:1118-25.	
for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight humans. JNut. 2005;13:778-84.       Interventions         Chroubi S, Eleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity: a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Gliggiaro D, Ouatraro A, Crosoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. <i>Eur J Clin Pharmacol.</i> 1993;44:107-12.       No harms outcomes         Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the metical office. <i>Patient Educ Cours.</i> 1997;32:175-84.       No harms outcomes         Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Leng-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the metical office. <i>Splottacture</i> 2007;39:11.       No harms outcomes         Internet-based weight Doss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;39:11.       Focus on children or adolescents No ton list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Coty-Matos A, Carraro L, Vierra A, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care.       No ton list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Coth Edsen, A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of oristat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Obes Reid Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-0.       No harms outcomes         Crimar BL J, Grandits GA, Cu	Gaullier JM. Halse J. Hove K. et al. Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid	Not one of the specified
humans. J. Nutr. 2005;135:778-54.         Not on list of countries with HDI >           Groubi, S. Eleuch H. Chikh T. et al. Physical training combined with dietary         Not on list of countries with HDI >           Glugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated         Not on list of countries with HDI >           Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of bried         Not focused on behavioral dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the         Not focused on behavioral dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the         Not focused on behavioral dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the           Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of bried         Not focused on behavioral direventions           Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Keamey KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in         No farms outcomes           Gody-Matos A, Cararo L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with         Focus on children or adolescents           Subutramics a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.         Oson           Coxteal K, Ararakose H, Enforer EM, et al. Effects of subutramine in obese female         Not on list of countries with HDI >           coll:24:157-60.         Compartida structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obesity.         Compartida structured behavioral or pharmacological interventions           Greates C, Middlebroke A, Cuuer JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life	for 24 months is well tolerated by and reduces body fat mass in healthy, overweight	interventions
Chroubi S, Eleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity; a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;62:394-413.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Giugliano D, Quattaro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. <i>Eur J Clin Pharmacol.</i> 1983;44:107-12.         No harms outcomes           Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the metical office. <i>Patient Educ Cours.</i> 1997;3:175-84.         No focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions codes/Matos A, Cararo LV, Veira A, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in No harms outcomes           Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. <i>J Med Internet Res.</i> 2007;9:e11.         Focus on children or adolescents codes/Matos A, Cararo LV, Veira A, et al. Treatment of obese famale subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2001;24:1967-60.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Gotted A, Karakose H, Erlorer EM, et al. Effects of sistura mine in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2001;24:1967-60.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Gotted AC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. <i>Br J Gen Pract.</i> 2008;58:535- 40.         No harms outcomes           Origita Gottege A, Marcan J, et al. Psychopathiologi	humans. J Nutr. 2005;135:778-84.	
measures in the treatment of aduit toesity; a comparison of two protocols. Ann Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-113.         0.90           Gliugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. <i>Eur J Clin Pharmacol.</i> 1993;44:107-12.         No harms outcomes           Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of biref pheradoural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. <i>Patient Educ Cours.</i> 1997;32:175-84.         No tarms outcomes           Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Keamery KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J <i>Med Internet Res.</i> 2007;9:e191.         Not anims outcomes           Godov/Matos A, Cararo L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with subtramic: a randomized, ouble-blind, controlled study. J <i>Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2005;9:1460-5.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Coll 24. IBST-60.         Comparative effectiveness         Comparative effectiveness           Contract V, Weira A, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2001;24:1857-60.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Cold EG, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study 2007;15:15:5-64.         Comparative effectiveness           Grienwes CJ, Middlebroke A, O Loughlin L, et al. Molivational interviewing for mosting aduetes risk: a randomised controloled trial. <i>J Gen Pract.</i> 2006;85:353-40.	Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, et al. Physical training combined with dietary	Not on list of countries with HDI >
Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.         No harms outcomes           Glugliano D, Quataro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated         No harms outcomes           Glusgiano D, Quataro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated         No harms outcomes           Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions           Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief         No harms outcomes           Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J         No harms outcomes           Godoy-Matos A, Cararo L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.         Focus on children or adolescents           2005;90:1460-5.         Goldel S, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study         Comparative effectiveness           2001;24:1957-60.         Golfredsen A, Westergran HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.         No harms outcomes           Grimme RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutter JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the treatment of Mild         No harms outcomes           Guisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alaccon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interventions designed to promote weight loss         Study of overweight/obesity prevention           <	measures in the treatment of adult obesity; a comparison of two protocols. Ann	0.90
Glugiano D, Quataro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated       No harms outcomes         Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toober DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions medical office. Patient Educ Cours. 1997;32:175-84.       No harms outcomes         Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J       No harms outcomes         Gody-Matos A, Cararo L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with subtramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;99:1460-5.       No torn list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Gody-Matos A, Cararo L, Vieira A, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care. 2001;24:1957-60.       No torn list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Godf GE, Burke S, Printaro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study compasition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord, 2001;25:1154-60.       Comparative effectiveness         Gordredsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord, 2001;25:1154-60.       No harms outcomes         Griand RH, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Belationships of quality-of-life freasures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild three-motion display for pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss.         Godif GE, Burke C, Waz FJ	Phys Rehab Med. 2009;52:394-413.	
diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. <i>Eur J Clin Pharmacological</i> interventions behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. <i>Patient Educ Cours.</i> 1937;3:175-84.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss on children or adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> Not anist outcomes         Goddy-Matos A, Cararo L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> Focus on children or adolescents         Colt 2, Support 1, Support 1, Support 2, Support 1, Support 2, Su	Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated	No harms outcomes
factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Mot driver Res. 2007;9:11.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss focused A, Krakose H, Ertorer EM, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with Subutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:1460-5.         Focus on children or adolescents with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care. 2001;24:1957-60.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Codred A, Karakose H, Ertorer EM, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care. 2007;15:155-64.         Not an its of countries with HDI > 0.90           Cording Ge, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Desity.         No harms outcomes           Gotfredsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Debs Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.         No harms outcomes           Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric. Surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:5154-40.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss.           Gurther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to atterations in body weight 0ss in n	diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk	
Clasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the marcacological interventions an internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.       No harms outcomes         Godoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized couble-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.       No to million or adolescents sibutramine: a randomized couble-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.         Godoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Tereatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized couble-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Gots/Edo-5.       Gotcel A, Karakose H, Entorer EM, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Contract A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of onlistat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.       No harms outcomes         Griams RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.       No harms outcomes         Guitada JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpresno	factors. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;44:107-12.	
behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Educ Courts. 1997;32:175-84.         pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss           Glaggow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an intermet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J         No harms outcomes           Gody-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:1460-5.         Focus on children or adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:1460-5.           Gokcel A, Karakose H, Ertorer EM, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care. 2001;24:1957-60.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Cording EG, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obesity. 2007;15:155-64.         Comparative effectiveness           Gotfredsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of otilstat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord, 2001;25:1154-60.         No harms outcomes           Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutter JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-lem lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss           Guita CW, Legowski PA, Lyte RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to altreations Usoy of overweight/obesity in body weight loss in mor	Glasgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, et al. Long-term effects and costs of brief	Not focused on behavioral or
Immedical office. Patient Educ Cours. 1997;32:175-84.         designed to promote weight loss           Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Keamey KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J         No harms outcomes           Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.         No harms outcomes         No harms outcomes           Godoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.         Focus on children or adolescents           Godoy-Mato6-5.         Cokcel A, Karakose H, Enforer EM, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjects with Hpp 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Gold BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obesity.         Comparative effectiveness           2007;15:155-64.         Composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.         No harms outcomes           Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, OLoughin L, et al. Motivational interveniving for measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild         No harms outcomes           Grisma RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:8354-0.         No torcused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss           Grisma R	behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the	pharmacological interventions
Clasgow RE, Nelson CC, Keamey KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.       No harms outcomes         Gody-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with subtramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:1460-5.       Focus on children or adolescents with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care. 2001;24:1957-60.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Codid EG, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obesity. 2007;15:155-64.       Comparative effectiveness         Goffedsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:154-60.       No harms outcomes         Graves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2006;58:355- 40.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss suggend JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss Study of orweneight/obesity prevention         Gurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Desity. 2005;81:751-6.       No harms outcomes         Gu	medical office. Patient Educ Couns. 1997;32:175-84.	designed to promote weight loss
an Infernet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J       Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.         Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.       Focus on children or adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:1440-5.       Focus on children or adolescents with sibutramine: in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care. 2001;24:1957-60.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Gold BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. <i>Dessity.</i> 2007;15:155-64.       Ocmparative effectiveness         Gottredsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2001;25:1154-60.       No harms outcomes         Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutter JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. <i>Br J Gen Pract</i> . 2008;58:535-40.       No thresource on behavioral or pharmacological interventions of guality-of-life diabetes risk: a randomised controlled study. <i>Arch Intern Med</i> . 1997;157:63-64.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions of guality-of-life diabetes risk. a randomised controlled study. <i>Arch Intern Med</i> . 1997;157:63-64.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions of diabetes risk. a randomised controlled study. <i>Comparative</i> effectiveness         Guisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarco J, et al. Distry products do not lead to alterations in the study weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric study of overweight loss: intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. <i>Pr</i>	Glasgow RE, Nelson CC, Kearney KA, et al. Reach, engagement, and retention in	No harms outcomes
Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.	an Internet-based weight loss program in a multi-site randomized controlled trial. J	
Godoy-Matos A, Cararo L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2005;90:1460-5.         Focus on children or adolescents with HD > 0.90           Gokcel A, Karakose H, Ertorer EM, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2001;24:1957-60.         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Gold BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. <i>Obesity.</i> 2007;15:155-64.         Comparative effectiveness           Golf dec M, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.</i> 2001;25:1154-60.         No harms outcomes           Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. <i>Br J Gen Pract.</i> 2005;58:535- 40.         No to focused on behavioral or pharacological interventions designed to promote weight loss Guisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric shorter CW. Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2005;81:751-6.         Study of overweight/obesity prevention           Gustafon A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intoverse women treated with sibutramine. <i>Int J Obes (Lond).</i> 2005;29:208-16.         No harms outcomes           Guy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. E	Med Internet Res. 2007;9:e11.	
sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.         2005;90:1460-5.         Gokcel A, Karakose H, Ertorer EM, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care.       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         Gold BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obesity.       Comparative effectiveness         Gotfredsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.       No harms outcomes         Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2008;58:555- 40.       No to focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric undowing following weight loss in morbidly obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2005;14:1085-92.       No harms outcomes         Gutra MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;9:208-16.       No harms outcomes         Hainer V, Kunesova M, Bellise F, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.       No harms outcomes <td>Godoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with</td> <td>Focus on children or adolescents</td>	Godoy-Matos A, Carraro L, Vieira A, et al. Treatment of obese adolescents with	Focus on children or adolescents
2005;90:1460-5.       Gokcel A, Karakose H, Ertorer EM, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. <i>Diabetes Care</i> .       Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90         2001;24:1957-60.       Gold BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. <i>Obesity</i> .       Comparative effectiveness         2007;15:155-64.       Comparitive effectiveness       No harms outcomes         Golf BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study composition. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2001;25:1154-60.       No harms outcomes         Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. <i>Br J Gen Pract</i> . 2008;58:535-40.       No harms outcomes         Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild pharmacological interventions dugged biological status and interpresonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. <i>Obes Surg</i> . 2002;12:835-40.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Gurther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Lifestyle intervention. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2006;34:1085-92.       Comparative effectiveness         2006;14:1085-92.       Comparative effectiveness       Study or overweight/obesity prevention         Gurta GW, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabete	sibutramine: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.	
Gokcel A, Karakose H, Ertorer EM, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. <i>Diabetes Care</i> .         Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90           Gold BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. <i>Obesity</i> .         Comparative effectiveness           2001;24:1957-60.         Comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. <i>Obesity</i> .         Comparative effectiveness           2007;15:155-64.         Composition. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2001;25:1154-60.         No harms outcomes           Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. <i>Br J Gen Pract</i> . 2008;58:535- 40.         No harms outcomes           Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutter JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study. <i>Arch Intern Med</i> . 1997;157:638-48.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss Gunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. <i>Obesity</i> .         No harms outcomes           Gurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab</i> . 2004;6:375-83.         No harms outcomes           Gury-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseeasen-a six-month randomized trial. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab</i> . 2004;6:	2005;90:1460-5.	
subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care.         0.90           2001;24:1957-60.         Comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obesity.         Comparative effectiveness           2007;15:155-64.         Comparative effectiveness         Comparative effectiveness           Gotfredsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.         No harms outcomes           Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2008;58:535- 40.         No harms outcomes           Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss           Gurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2006;14:1085-92.         Comparative effectiveness           Gurstafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.         No harms outcomes           Gurstafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.         No	Gokcel A, Karakose H, Ertorer EM, et al. Effects of sibutramine in obese female	Not on list of countries with HDI >
2001;24:1957-60.       Comparing structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obesity.         2007;15:155-64.       Comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obesity.       Comparing of the structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obesity.         2007;15:155-64.       Rothersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.       No harms outcomes         Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2008;58:535-40.       No harms outcomes         Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and rug treatment in the Treatment of Mild photension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Guitado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpresonal functioning following weight loss in worbidy bobes patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Guita MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.       Comparative effectiveness         Guy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.       No harms outcomes         Hairer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional	subjects with type 2 diabetes and poor blood glucose control. Diabetes Care.	0.90
Gold BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obesity. 2007;15:155-64.       Comparative effectiveness         Gotfredsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.       No harms outcomes         Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2008;58:535- 40.       No harms outcomes         Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study. Arch Inter Med. 1997;157:638-48.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Guisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undery weight of rat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss:         Gustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;34:1085-92.       No harms outcomes         Guy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Jiabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.       No harms outcomes         Hainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with	2001;24:1957-60.	
comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obesity.       No harms outcomes         2007;15:155-64.       No harms outcomes         Gotfredsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.       No harms outcomes         Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Molivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2008;58:535- 40.       No harms outcomes         Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Guisdo JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obsee patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Gurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.       Study of overweight/obesity prevention         Gurstafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.       No harms outcomes         Guy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.       No harms outcomes <td< td=""><td>Gold BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study</td><td>Comparative effectiveness</td></td<>	Gold BC, Burke S, Pintauro S, et al. Weight loss on the web: a pilot study	Comparative effectiveness
2007;15:155-64.         No harms outcomes           Goffredsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.         No harms outcomes           Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2008;58:535- 40.         No harms outcomes           Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.         Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss Surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.           Gunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight of fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.         Study of overweight/loss           Gurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.         Comparative effectiveness           Gury-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.         No harms outcomes           Hainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight Ioss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.         No harms outcomes           Hakala K, Maasiita P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics	comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. Obesity.	·
Gotfredsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.       No harms outcomes         Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2008;58:535- 40.       No harms outcomes         Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.       No to focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Guisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Gunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.       Comparative effectiveness of a behavioral Guistafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.       No harms outcomes         Hainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.       No harms outcomes         Hakata K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss innolusion criteri	2007;15:155-64.	
and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.No harms outcomesGreaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2008;58:555- 40.No harms outcomesGrimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGuisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpresonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight for fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.Comparative effectivenessGurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.No harms outcomesGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.No harms outcomesHaka K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in	Gotfredsen A, Westergren HH, Andersen T. Influence of orlistat on bone turnover	No harms outcomes
Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2008;58:535- 40.No harms outcomesGrimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGuisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Arm J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.Study of overweight/obesity preventionGurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.Comparative effectivenessGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.No harms outcomesHakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5. <td< td=""><td>and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.</td><td></td></td<>	and body composition. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25:1154-60.	
modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2008;58:535-40.Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGuisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight of at mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.Study of overweight/obesity preventionGurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.Costafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond).No harms outcomesGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria seep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Halka K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss impr	Greaves CJ, Middlebrooke A, O'Loughlin L, et al. Motivational interviewing for	No harms outcomes
40.       Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Guisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Guisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.       Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss         Guista MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.       Comparative effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.       No harms outcomes         Guy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.       No harms outcomes         Hainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.       No harms outcomes         Hakaa K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and	modifying diabetes risk: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2008;58:535-	
Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGuisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight of rat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.Study of overweight/obesity preventionGurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.Comparative effectivenessGustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.No harms outcomesGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria requirements in inclusion criteria requirements in inclusion criteria requirements in inclusion criteria sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.No har	40.	
measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGuisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.Study of overweight/obesity preventionGurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.Comparative effectivenessGustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.No harms outcomesGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria requirements in inclusion criteria sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.No harms outcomesHall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.No harms	Grimm RH Jr, Grandits GA, Cutler JA, et al. Relationships of quality-of-life	Not focused on behavioral or
Hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.designed to promote weight lossGuisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.Study of overweight/obesity preventionGurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.Comparative effectivenessGustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss in obese women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.No harms outcomesGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria teguirements in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. Rev Hall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.Does not include specified harms outcomesHalpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, r	measures to long-term lifestyle and drug treatment in the Treatment of Mild	pharmacological interventions
Guisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatricNo to focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossSurgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.Study of overweight/obesity preventionGunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.Study of overweight/obesity preventionGurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.Comparative effectivenessGustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.No harms outcomesGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria requirements in inclusion criteria seep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.No harms outcomesHall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.Does not include specified harms outcomesHalpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of s	Hypertension Study. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:638-48.	designed to promote weight loss
functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight lossGunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.Study of overweight/obesity preventionGurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.Comparative effectivenessGustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.No harms outcomesGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.No harms outcomesHalka K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria requirements in inclusion criteria telated for Eac Med San Paulo 2002;57:98-102Does not include specified harms outcomesHall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.Does not include specified harms outcomes <td>Guisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal</td> <td>Not focused on behavioral or</td>	Guisado JA, Vaz FJ, Alarcon J, et al. Psychopathological status and interpersonal	Not focused on behavioral or
surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.designed to promote weight lossGunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.Study of overweight/obesity preventionGurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.Comparative effectivenessGustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.No harms outcomesGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.No harms outcomesHakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Hall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.Does not include specified harms outcomesHalpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. RevDoes not include specified harms o	functioning following weight loss in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric	pharmacological interventions
Gunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:751-6.Study of overweight/obesity preventionGurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.Comparative effectivenessGustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.No harms outcomesGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.No harms outcomesHakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaHall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.Does not include specified harms outcomesHalpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. Rev Hoso Clin Fac Med Sap Paulo 2002;57:98-102Does not include specified harms outcomes	surgery. Obes Surg. 2002;12:835-40.	designed to promote weight loss
In body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr.       prevention         2005;81:751-6.       Gurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity.       Comparative effectiveness         2006;14:1085-92.       Gustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev       No harms outcomes         Guy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.       No harms outcomes         Hainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond).       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         2005;29:208-16.       Hakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Hall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.       Does not include specified harms outcomes         Halpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. Rev       Does not include specified harms outcomes	Gunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, et al. Dairy products do not lead to alterations	Study of overweight/obesity
2005;81:751-6.       Comparative effectiveness         Gurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity.       Comparative effectiveness         2006;14:1085-92.       Gustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.       No harms outcomes         Guy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.       No harms outcomes         Hainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond).       No harms outcomes         2005;29:208-16.       Hakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Hall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.       Does not include specified harms outcomes         Halpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. Rev Hoso Clin Fac Med Sao Paulo 2002;57:98-102       Does not include specified harms outcomes	in body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. Am J Clin Nutr.	prevention
Gurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity. 2006;14:1085-92.Comparative effectivenessGustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.No harms outcomesGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.No harms outcomesHakla K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaHall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.No harms outcomesHalpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. Rev Hoso Clin Fac Med Sao Paulo. 2002;57:98-102Does not include specified harms outcomes	2005;81:751-6.	
with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity.2006;14:1085-92.Gustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.No harms outcomesGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.No harms outcomesHakla K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaHall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.Does not include specified harms outcomesHalpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. RevDoes not include specified harms outcomes	Gurka MJ, Wolf AM, Conaway MR, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese patients	Comparative effectiveness
2006;14:1085-92.       Gustafson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev       No harms outcomes         Guy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.       No harms outcomes         Hainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond).       No harms outcomes         2005;29:208-16.       Hakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Halpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. Rev       Does not include specified harms outcomes	with type 2 diabetes: impact of the patient's educational background. Obesity.	
Gustatson A, Khavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a behavioral weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.No harms outcomesGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.No harms outcomesHakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaHall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.No harms outcomesHalpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. Rev Hosn Clin Fac Med Sao Paulo. 2002;57:98-102Does not include specified harms outcomes	2006;14:1085-92.	<b>N I I</b>
Weight loss intervention for low-income women: the Weight-Wise Program. Prev Med. 2009;49:390-5.No harms outcomesGuy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.No harms outcomesHakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaHall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.No harms outcomesHalpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. RevDoes not include specified harms outcomes	Gustafson A, Knavjou O, Stearns SC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a benavioral	No narms outcomes
Med. 2009;49:390-5.Guy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.No harms outcomesHakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteriaHall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.No harms outcomesHalpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. RevDoes not include specified harms outcomes	Weight loss intervention for low-income women: the weight-wise Program. Prev	
Guy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of onistat on obesity-related diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.No harms outcomesHainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.No harms outcomesHakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria of weight loss, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.No harms outcomesHalpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. RevDoes not include specified harms outcomes	Med. 2009;49:390-5.	
diseases—a six-month randomized trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.Hainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, et al. Psychobehavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005;29:208-16.No harms outcomesHakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria of weight loss, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.No harms outcomesHalpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. RevDoes not include specified harms outcomes	Guy-Grand B, Drouin P, Eschwege E, et al. Effects of orlistat on obesity-related	No narms outcomes
Hainer V, Runesova M, Beinsle P, et al. Psychobenavioral and nutritional predictors of weight loss in obese women treated with sibutramine. Int J Obes (Lond).       No name outcomes         2005;29:208-16.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.         Hall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.       No harms outcomes         Halpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. Rev       Does not include specified harms outcomes	diseases—a six-month randomized that. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2004;6:375-83.	
2005;29:208-16.       Does not meet design         Hakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve       Does not meet design         respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive       Does not meet design         sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.       Does not meet design         Hall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood       No harms outcomes         pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.       Does not include specified harms         Halpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and       Does not include specified harms         tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. Rev       Does not include specified harms	namer V, Kunesova IVI, Beilisle F, et al. Psychobenavioral and nutritional predictors	No harms outcomes
Hakala K, Maasilta P, Sovijarvi AR. Upright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. <i>Clin Physiol.</i> 2000;20:50-5.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Hall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. <i>Ethn Dis.</i> 2003;13:337-43.       No harms outcomes         Halpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. <i>Rev</i> Does not include specified harms outcomes		
Presentation P, Sovijativi AR. Opright body position and weight loss improve respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. <i>Clin Physiol.</i> 2000;20:50-5.       Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria         Hall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. <i>Ethn Dis.</i> 2003;13:337-43.       No harms outcomes         Halpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. <i>Rev</i> Does not include specified harms outcomes	2000,23.200-10.	Doop not most dooign
Instruction mechanics and dayline oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.       Intervention mechanics and dayline oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50-5.         Hall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.       No harms outcomes         Halpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. Rev       Does not include specified harms outcomes	nakala K, ividasilia P, Suvijarvi AK. Uprigrit body position and weight loss improve	Dues nut meet design
Hall WD, Feng Z, George VA, et al. Low-fat diet: effect on anthropometrics, blood pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. <i>Ethn Dis.</i> 2003;13:337-43.       No harms outcomes         Halpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. <i>Rev</i> Does not include specified harms outcomes	respiratory mechanics and daytime oxygenation in obese patients with obstructive sleep appoea. Clin Physiol. 2000;20:50.5	
Pressure, glucose, and insulin in older women. Ethn Dis. 2003;13:337-43.       No harms outcomes         Halpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. Rev       Does not include specified harms outcomes         Hosp Clin Fac Med Sap Paulo, 2002;57:98-102       2002;57:98-102	Hall WD Feng Z George VA at al. Low fat diat: officiation anthronomatrice blood	No harms outcomos
Halpern A, Leite CC, Herszkowicz N, et al. Evaluation of efficacy, reliability, and tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. <i>Rev</i> outcomes	nai www.reny 2, George wa, et al. Low-lat ulet. effect of anti-inoportellics, blood pressure alucose and insulin in older women. <i>Ethn Die</i> 2003:13:337_43	
tolerability of sibutramine in obese patients, with an echocardiographic study. Rev outcomes	Halpern A Leite CC Herszkowicz N et al Evaluation of efficacy reliability and	Does not include specified barms
Hosp Clin Fac Med Sao Paulo 2002:57:98-102	tolerability of sibutramine in obese natients with an echocardiographic study. Pay	outcomes
	Hosp Clin Fac Med Sao Paulo 2002:57:98-102	Galoomoo

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Hansen D, Astrup A, Toubro S, et al. Predictors of weight loss and maintenance	No harms outcomes
during 2 years of treatment by sibutramine in obesity: results from the European	
multi-centre STORM trial. Int J Obes Rel Metab Disord. 2001;25:496-501.	
Hansen DL, Toubro S, Stock MJ, et al. The effect of sibutramine on energy	Sibutramine intervention
expenditure and appetite during chronic treatment without dietary restriction. Int J	
Ubes Relat Metab Disord. 1999,23:1010-24.	Comparativo offectivopece
nalvey BJ, Gold BC, Lauber R, Stannski A. The impact of calcium and dairy product consumption on weight loss. Obes Res. 2005;13:1720-6	Comparative enectiveness
Harvey-Bering J. Pintauro S. Buzzell P. et al. Does using the Internet facilitate the	Comparative effectiveness
maintenance of weight loss? Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2002:26:1254-60.	
Harvey-Berino J. Pintauro S. Buzzell P. Gold EC. Effect of Internet support on the	Comparative effectiveness
long-term maintenance of weight loss. Obes Res. 2004;12:320-9.	
Harvey-Berino J, Pintauro SJ, Gold EC. The feasibility of using Internet support for	No harms outcomes
the maintenance of weight loss. Behav Modif. 2002;26:103-16.	
Haskell WL, Alderman EL, Fair JM, et al. Effects of intensive multiple risk factor	Not one of the specified
reduction on coronary atherosclerosis and clinical cardiac events in men and	interventions
Women with coronary aftery disease. <i>Circulation</i> . 1994;89:975-90.	Not one of the energified
Haub MD, Simons TR, Cook CM, et al. Calcium-iortified beverage supplementation	interventions
Hauper H. Meier M. Wendland G. et al. Weight reduction by sibutramine in obese	Sibutramine intervention
subjects in primary care medicine: the SAT Study Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes	
2004:112:201-7.	
Hawley G, Horwath C, Gray A, et al. Sustainability of health and lifestyle	Comparative effectiveness
improvements following a non-dieting randomised trial in overweight women. Prev	
Med. 2008;47:593-9.	
Hays NP, Starling RD, Sullivan DH, et al. Effects of an ad libitum, high	No harms outcomes
carbohydrate diet and aerobic exercise training on insulin action and muscle	
metabolism in older men and women. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2006;61:299-	
304.	Cibutromine intervention
Hazenberg BP. Randomized, double-billid, placebo-controlled, multicenter study of sibutramine in obese hypertensive natients. <i>Cardiology</i> , 2000;94:152-8	Sibutramine Intervention
Hensrud DD. Dietary treatment and long-term weight loss and maintenance in type	Does not meet design
2 diabetes, Obes Res. 2001:9(Suppl 4):S348-53.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Herman WH, Hoerger TJ, Brandle M, et al. The cost-effectiveness of lifestyle	No harms outcomes
modification or metformin in preventing type 2 diabetes in adults with impaired	
glucose tolerance. Ann Intern Med. 2005;142:323-32.	
Hermann LS, Kalen J, Katzman P, et al. Long-term glycaemic improvement after	Not focused on behavioral or
addition of metformin to insulin in insulin-treated obese type 2 diabetes patients.	pharmacological interventions
Diabetes Obes Metab. 2001;3:428-34.	designed to promote weight loss
Heshka S, Anderson JW, Atkinson RL, et al. Weight loss with self-help compared	Comparative effectiveness
With a structured commercial program: a randomized that. JAMA. 2003;289:1792-8.	Not focused on behavioral or
ducose tolerance and progression to type 2 diabetes in obese adults. Arch Intern	not locused on benavioral of
Med. 2000:160:1321-6.	designed to promote weight loss
Hivert MF. Langlois MF. Berard P. et al. Prevention of weight gain in young adults	Not focused on behavioral or
through a seminar-based intervention program. Int J Obes. 2007;31:1262-9.	pharmacological interventions
	designed to promote weight loss
Hoeger KM, Kochman L, Wixom N, et al. A randomized, 48-week, placebo-	Does not include specified harms
controlled trial of intensive lifestyle modification and/or metformin therapy in	outcomes
overweight women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a pilot study. Fertil Steril.	
2004;82:421-9.	
Hooper L. Primary prevention of CVD: diet and weight loss. <i>Clin Evid (Online).</i>	Does not meet design
Hone AA Kumanvika SK Shults I Holmes WC Changes in health-related quality	Does not meet design
of life among African-Americans in a lifestyle weight loss program. Qual Life Res	requirements in inclusion criteria
2010;19:1025-33.	
Howard BV, Manson JE, Stefanick ML, et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and weight	Not focused on behavioral or
change over 7 years: the Women's Health Initiative Dietary Modification Trial.	pharmacological interventions
JAMA. 2006;295:39-49.	designed to promote weight loss

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Hsieh CJ, Wang PW, Liu RT, et al. Orlistat for obesity: benefits beyond weight loss. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract.</i> 2005;67:78-83.	Not on list of countries with HDI > 0.90
Hunter GR, Brock DW, Byrne NM, et al. Exercise training prevents regain of visceral fat for 1 year following weight loss. <i>Obesity</i> . 2010;18:690-5.	Comparative effectiveness
Jacobs DR, Sluik D, Rokling-Andersen MH, et al. Association of 1-y changes in diet pattern with cardiovascular disease risk factors and adipokines: results from the 1-y randomized Oslo Diet and Exercise Study. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2009;89:509-17.	No harms outcomes
Jakicic JM, Jaramillo SA, Balasubramanyam A, et al. Effect of a lifestyle intervention on change in cardiorespiratory fitness in adults with type 2 diabetes: results from the Look AHEAD Study. <i>Int J Obes</i> . 2009;33:305-16.	Comparative effectiveness
Jakicic JM, Marcus BH, Gallagher KI, et al. Effect of exercise duration and intensity on weight loss in overweight, sedentary women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2003;290:1323-30.	Comparative effectiveness
Jakicic JM, Otto AD, Lang W, et al. The effect of physical activity on 18-month weight change in overweight adults. <i>Obesity (Silver Spring)</i> . 2011;19:100-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Jakicic JM, Winters C, Lang W, Wing RR. Effects of intermittent exercise and use of home exercise equipment on adherence, weight loss, and fitness in overweight women: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 1999;282:1554-60.	Comparative effectiveness
Jakubowicz DJ, Seppala M, Jakubowicz S, et al. Insulin reduction with metformin increases luteal phase serum glycodelin and insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 1 concentrations and enhances uterine vascularity and blood flow in the polycystic ovary syndrome. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2001;86:1126-33.	No harms outcomes
Jalkanen L. The effect of a weight reduction program on cardiovascular risk factors among overweight hypertensives in primary health care. <i>Scand J Soc Med.</i> 1991;19:66-71.	Other quality issues
James WP, Astrup A, Finer N, et al. Effect of sibutramine on weight maintenance after weight loss: a randomised trial. <i>Lancet.</i> 2000;356:2119-25.	Sibutramine intervention
Janssen I, Fortier A, Hudson R, Ross R. Effects of an energy-restrictive diet with or without exercise on abdominal fat, intermuscular fat, and metabolic risk factors in obese women. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2002;25:431-8.	No harms outcomes
Jarjou LM, Prentice A, Sawo Y, et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled, calcium supplementation study in pregnant Gambian women: effects on breast-milk calcium concentrations and infant birth weight, growth, and bone mineral accretion in the first year of life. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2006;83:657-66.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Jarrett RJ, Keen H, Murrells T. Changes in blood pressure and body weight over ten years in men selected for glucose intolerance. <i>J Epidemiol Community Health</i> . 1987;41:145-51.	Comparative effectiveness
Jeffery RW, French SA. Preventing weight gain in adults: design, methods and one year results from the Pound of Prevention study. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 1997;21:457-64.	Study of overweight/obesity prevention
Jeffery RW, Wing RR, Sherwood NE, Tate DF. Physical activity and weight loss: does prescribing higher physical activity goals improve outcome? <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2003;78:684-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Jehn ML, Patt MR, Appel LJ, Miller ER III. One year follow-up of overweight and obese hypertensive adults following intensive lifestyle therapy. <i>J Hum Nutr Diet.</i> 2006;19:349-54.	Comparative effectiveness
Jensen LB, Kollerup G, Quaade F, Sorensen OH. Bone minerals changes in obese women during a moderate weight loss with and without calcium supplementation. <i>J Bone Miner Res.</i> 2001;16:141-7.	Comparative effectiveness
Jirik-Babb P, Geliebter A. Comparison of psychological characteristics of binging and nonbinging obese, adult, female outpatients. <i>Eat Weight Disord.</i> 2003;8:173-7.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Jordan J, Scholze J, Matiba B, et al. Influence of sibutramine on blood pressure: evidence from placebo-controlled trials. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2005;29:509-16.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Kajaste S, Brander PE, Telakivi T, et al. A cognitive-behavioral weight reduction program in the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome with or without initial nasal CPAP: a randomized study. <i>Sleep Med.</i> 2004;5:125-31.	Not one of the specified interventions
Kalter-Leibovici O, Younis-Zeidan N, Atamna A, et al. Lifestyle intervention in obese Arab women: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2010;170:970-6.	Comparative effectiveness

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Kamioka H, Nakamura Y, Okada S, et al. Effectiveness of comprehensive health education combining lifestyle education and hot spa bathing for male white-collar	Conducted primarily in a non- relevant setting
employees: a randomized controlled trial with 1-year follow-up. <i>J Epidemiol.</i> 2009;19:219-30.	
Kansanen M, Vanninen E, Tuunainen A, et al. The effect of a very low-calorie diet- induced weight loss on the severity of obstructive sleep apnoea and autonomic nervous function in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. <i>Clin</i> <i>Physiol.</i> 1998;18:377-85.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Karhunen L, Franssila-Kallunki A, Rissanen P, et al. Effect of orlistat treatment on body composition and resting energy expenditure during a two-year weight-reduction programme in obese Finns. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.</i> 2000;24:1567-72.	No harms outcomes
Katzer L, Bradshaw AJ, Horwath CC, et al. Evaluation of a "nondieting" stress reduction program for overweight women: a randomized trial. <i>Am J Health Promot.</i> 2008;22:264-74.	Comparative effectiveness
Kaukua JK, Pekkarinen TA, Rissanen AM. Health-related quality of life in a randomised placebo-controlled trial of sibutramine in obese patients with type II diabetes. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2004;28:600-5.	Sibutramine intervention
Kawano M, Shono N, Yoshimura T, et al. Improved cardio-respiratory fitness correlates with changes in the number and size of small dense LDL: randomized controlled trial with exercise training and dietary instruction. <i>Intern Med.</i> 2009;48:25-32.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Keating GM, Jarvis B. Orlistat: in the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. <i>Drugs</i> . 2120;61:2107-19.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Keller C, Trevino RP. Effects of two frequencies of walking on cardiovascular risk factor reduction in Mexican American women. <i>Res Nurs Health.</i> 2001;24:390-401.	No harms outcomes
Keogh JB, Luscombe-Marsh ND, Noakes M, et al. Long-term weight maintenance and cardiovascular risk factors are not different following weight loss on carbohydrate-restricted diets high in either monounsaturated fat or protein in obese hyperinsulinaemic men and women. <i>Br J Nutr.</i> 2007;97:405-10.	Comparative effectiveness
Keranen AM, Savolainen MJ, Reponen AH, et al. The effect of eating behavior on weight loss and maintenance during a lifestyle intervention. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2009;49:32-8.	Comparative effectiveness
Kerr J, Patrick K, Norman G, et al. Randomized control trial of a behavioral intervention for overweight women: impact on depressive symptoms. <i>Depress Anxiety.</i> 2008;25:555-8.	No harms outcomes
Ketola E, Makela M, Klockars M. Individualised multifactorial lifestyle intervention trial for high-risk cardiovascular patients in primary care. <i>Br J Gen Pract.</i> 2001;51:291-4.	Not one of the specified interventions
Kilicdag EB, Bagis T, Zeyneloglu HB, et al. Homocysteine levels in women with polycystic ovary syndrome treated with metformin versus rosiglitazone: a randomized study. <i>Hum Reprod.</i> 2005;20:894-9.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Kim SH, Lee YM, Jee SH, Nam CM. Effect of sibutramine on weight loss and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of controlled trials. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2003;11:1116-23.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Kim SI, Kim HS. Effectiveness of mobile and Internet intervention in patients with obese type 2 diabetes. <i>Int J Med Inf.</i> 2008;77:399-404.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Kim Y, Pike J, Adams H, et al. Telephone intervention promoting weight-related health behaviors. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2010;50:112-7.	Comparative effectiveness
Kirk SF, Harvey EL, McConnon A, et al. A randomised trial of an Internet weight control resource: the UK Weight Control Trial. <i>BMC Health Serv Res.</i> 2003;3:19.	No harms outcomes
Kjotrod SB, von During V, Carlsen SM. Metformin treatment before IVF/ICSI in women with polycystic ovary syndrome; a prospective, randomized, double blind study. <i>Hum Reprod.</i> 2004;19:1315-22.	No harms outcomes
Knopp RH, Paramsothy P, Retzlaff BM, et al. Undesirable effects of extreme dietary carbohydrate and saturated fat intakes: the search for the middle ground. <i>Curr Atheroscler Rep.</i> 2005;7:409-11.	Comparative effectiveness
Knowler WC, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, et al. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. <i>Lancet.</i> 2009;274:1677-86.	No harms outcomes

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Kocak M, Caliskan E, Simsir C, Haberal A. Metformin therapy improves ovulatory	No harms outcomes
rates, cervical scores, and pregnancy rates in clomiphene citrate-resistant women	
with polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril. 2002;77:101-6.	
Kolotkin RL, Norquist JM, Crosby RD, et al. One-year health-related quality of life	Not one of the specified
outcomes in weight loss trial participants: comparison of three measures. <i>Health</i>	interventions
Qual Life Outcomes. 2009;7:53.	No hormo outoomoo
Rostis JB, Wilson AC, Hooper WC, et al. Association of anglotensin-converting	No narms outcomes
Kostis JB, Wilson AC, Shindler DM, et al. Persistence of normotension after	No harms outcomes
discontinuation of lifestyle intervention in the trial of TONE. Am J Hypertens.	
2002;15:732-4.	
Krakoff J, Clark JM, Crandall JP, et al. Effects of metformin and weight loss on	No harms outcomes
serum alanine aminotransferase activity in the Diabetes Prevention Program.	
Obesity (Silver Spring). 2010;18:1762-7.	· · · · · ·
Kraus WE, Houmard JA, Duscha BD, et al. Effects of the amount and intensity of	Not focused on behavioral or
exercise on plasma lipoproteins. <i>N Engl J Med</i> . 2002;347:1483-92.	pharmacological interventions
Kraider DP. Forreira MD. Croonwood M. at al. Effects of conjugated lingleic acid	Net and of the aposition
supplementation during resistance training on body composition, hope density	interventions
strength and selected hematological markers J Strength Cond Res 2002:16:325-	Interventions
34.	
Kukkonen-Harjula KT, Borg PT, Nenonen AM, Fogelholm MG. Effects of a weight	Comparative effectiveness
maintenance program with or without exercise on the metabolic syndrome: a	
randomized trial in obese men. Prev Med. 2005;41:784-90.	
Kuller LH, Kinzel LS, Pettee KK, et al. Lifestyle intervention and coronary heart	Comparative effectiveness
disease risk factor changes over 18 months in postmenopausal women: the	
Women On the Move through Activity and Nutrition (WOMAN study) clinical trial. J	
Womens Health. 2006;15:962-74.	Comparative offectiveness
through Activity and Nutrition (WOMAN) study. Contemp Clin Trials 2006:28:370-	Comparative enectiveness
Kumanvika SK. Cook NR. Cutler JA. et al. Sodium reduction for hypertension	Not focused on behavioral or
prevention in overweight adults: further results from the Trials of Hypertension	pharmacological interventions
Prevention phase II. J Hum Hypertens. 2005;19:33-45.	designed to promote weight loss
Kumanyika SK, Shults J, Fassbender J, et al. Outpatient weight management in	Comparative effectiveness
African-Americans: the Healthy Eating and Lifestyle Program (HELP) study. Prev	
Med. 2005;41:488-502.	
Kumanyika SK, Wadden TA, Shults J, et al. Trial of family and friend support for	Comparative effectiveness
Weight loss in African American adults. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:1795-804.	
maintenance, ambulatery blood proceure and cardiac autonomic tone in chose	No harms outcomes
nersons with the metabolic syndrome <i>J Hypertens</i> 2003;21:371-8	
Laaksonen DE, Lindstrom J, Lakka TA, et al. Physical activity in the prevention of	No harms outcomes
type 2 diabetes: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. <i>Diabetes</i> . 2005;54:158-65.	
Lally P, Chipperfield A, Wardle J. Healthy habits: efficacy of simple advice on	No harms outcomes
weight control based on a habit-formation model. Int J Obes (Lond). 2008;32:700-7.	
Lambert EV, Goedecke JH, Bluett K, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid versus high-	Not one of the specified
oleic acid sunflower oil: effects on energy metabolism, glucose tolerance, blood	interventions
lipids, appetite and body composition in regularly exercising individuals. Br J Nutr.	
2007;97:1001-11.	Comparative offectiveness
Laisen Tivi, Daiskuv S, vali Daak IVI, et al. The Diel, Obesity and Genes (Diogenes)	Comparative enectiveness
intervention Obes Rev 2009:76-91	
Lasser VI. Raczynski JM. Stevens VJ. et al. Trials of Hypertension Prevention	No harms outcomes
phase II: structure and content of the weight loss and dietary sodium reduction	
interventions. Ann Epidemiol. 1995;5:156-64.	
Laws R; Counterweight Project Team. A new evidence-based model for weight	Does not meet design
management in primary care: the Counterweight Programme. J Hum Nutr Diet.	requirements in inclusion criteria
2004;17:191-208.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Layman DK, Evans EM, Erickson D, et al. A moderate-protein diet produces sustained weight loss and long-term changes in body composition and blood lipids	Comparative effectiveness
In obese adults. J Nutr. 2009;139:514-21.	Not focused on behavioral or
composition: the Health Aging and Redy Composition Study. I Gerentel A Riel Sci	not locused on benavioral of
Med Sci. 2010;65:78-83.	designed to promote weight loss
Leermakers EA, Perri MG, Shigaki CL, Fuller PR. Effects of exercise-focused	Comparative effectiveness
versus weight-focused maintenance programs on the management of obesity. <i>Addict Behav.</i> 1999;24:219-27.	
Lehtovirta M, Forsen B, Gullstrom M, et al. Metabolic effects of metformin in	Not focused on behavioral or
patients with impaired glucose tolerance. Diabet Med. 2001;18:578-83.	designed to promote weight loss
Leibbrand R, Fichter MM. Maintenance of weight loss after obesity treatment: is continuous support necessary? <i>Behav Res Ther.</i> 2002;40:1275-89.	Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions
Leinum CJ, Dopp JM, Morgan BJ. Sleep-disordered breathing and obesity:	Does not meet design
pathophysiology, complications, and treatment. <i>Nutr Clin Pract.</i> 2009;24:675-87.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Lejeune MP, Kovacs EM, Westerterp-Plantenga MS. Additional protein intake limits weight regain after weight loss in humans. <i>Br J Nutr.</i> 2005;93:281-9.	Not one of the specified interventions
Liao D, Asberry PJ, Shofer JB, et al. Improvement of BMI, body composition, and	Comparative effectiveness
body fat distribution with lifestyle modification in Japanese Americans with impaired glucose tolerance. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2002;25:1504-10.	
Lien LF, Brown AJ, Ard JD, et al. Effects of PREMIER lifestyle modifications on	Not focused on behavioral or
participants with and without the metabolic syndrome. <i>Hypertension</i> . 2007;50:609-16.	pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Ligibel JA, Giobbie-Hurder A, Olenczuk D, et al. Impact of a mixed strength and	No harms outcomes
endurance exercise intervention on levels of adiponectin, high molecular weight	
adiponectin and leptin in breast cancer survivors. <i>Cancer Causes Control.</i> 2009;20:1523-8.	
Linde JA, Jeffery RW, Finch EA, et al. Are unrealistic weight loss goals associated	Not focused on behavioral or
with outcomes for overweight women? Obes Res. 2004;12:569-76.	pharmacological interventions
	designed to promote weight loss
Lindegarde F. Orlistat with diet was effective and safe for weight loss and coronary	Not focused on behavioral or
nsk reduction in obesity. Evia Based Med. 2001,6:54.	designed to promote weight loss
Lindholm A, Bixo M, Bjorn I, et al. Effect of sibutramine on weight reduction in	No harms outcomes
women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized, double-blind, placebo- controlled trial. <i>Fertil Steril.</i> 2008;89:1221-8.	
Lindholm LH, Ekbom T, Dash C, et al. The impact of health care advice given in primary care on cardiovascular risk. <i>BMJ</i> . 1995;310:1105-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, et al. Prevention of diabetes mellitus in	No harms outcomes
subjects with impaired glucose tolerance in the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study:	
results from a randomized clinical trial. <i>J Am Soc Nephrol.</i> 2003;14:S108-13.	
Lindstrom J, Ilanne PP, Peltonen M, et al. Sustained reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle intervention: follow-up of the Finnish Diabetes	No harms outcomes
Prevention Study. Lancet. 2006;368:1673-9.	
Lindstrom J, Peltonen M, Eriksson JG, et al. High-fibre, low-fat diet predicts long-	No harms outcomes
term weight loss and decreased type 2 diabetes risk: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. <i>Diabetologia.</i> 2006;49:912-20.	
Littman AJ, Vitiello MV, Foster-Schubert K, et al. Sleep, ghrelin, leptin and changes	No harms outcomes
J Obes. 2007;31:466-75.	
Logue E, Sutton K, Jarjoura D, et al. Transtheoretical model-chronic disease care for obesity in primary care: a randomized trial. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2005;13:917-27.	Comparative effectiveness
Logue EE, Jarjoura DG, Sutton KS, et al. Longitudinal relationship between	Does not meet design
elapsed time in the action stages of change and weight loss. Obes Res. 2004;12:1499-508.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Lojander J, Mustajoki P, Ronka S, et al. A nurse-managed weight reduction	Does not meet design
programme for obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. J Intern Med. 1998;244:251-5.	requirements in inclusion criteria

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Lombard CB, Deeks AA, Ball K, et al. Weight, physical activity and dietary behavior	No harms outcomes
change in young mothers: short term results of the HeLP-HER cluster randomized	
controlled trial. Nutr J. 2009;8:17.	
Bray G, Gregg E, et al; Look AHEAD Research Group. Baseline characteristics of	Comparative effectiveness
the randomised cohort from the Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) study.	
Diabetes Vasc Dis Res. 2006;3:202-15.	
Wadden TA, West DS, et al; Look AHEAD Research Group. The Look AHEAD	Comparative effectiveness
study: a description of the lifestyle intervention and the evidence supporting it.	
Obesity. 2006;14:737-52.	
Lucas CP, Boldrin MN, Reaven GM. Effect of orlistat added to diet (30% of calories	Comparative effectiveness
from fat) on plasma lipids, glucose, and insulin in obese patients with	
hypercholesterolenilla. Am J Cardiol. 2005,91.901-4.	Not focused on behavioral or
Lucas KH, Kapian-Iwachilis B. Offisial—a novel weight loss therapy. Ann Pharmacother 2001:35:314-28	not locused on benavioral of
Filannacouner. 2001,33.314-20.	designed to promote weight loss
Major GC Alarie F. Dore L et al. Supplementation with calcium + vitamin D	Not one of the specified
enhances the beneficial effect of weight loss on plasma linid and linoprotein	interventions
concentrations Am J Clin Nutr 2007:85:54-9	Interventions
Malone DC. Raebel MA. Porter JA. et al. Cost-effectiveness of sibutramine in the	Comparative effectiveness
LOSE Weight Study: evaluating the role of pharmacologic weight-loss therapy	
within a weight management program. J Manag Care Pharm. 2005:11:458-68.	
Malone M. Alger-Mayer S. Binge status and guality of life after gastric bypass	Not focused on behavioral or
surgery: a one-year study. Obes Res. 2004;12:473-81.	pharmacological interventions
	designed to promote weight loss
Malpuech-Brugere C, Verboeket-van de Venne WP, Mensink RP, et al. Effects of	Not one of the specified
two conjugated linoleic acid isomers on body fat mass in overweight humans. Obes	interventions
Res. 2004;12:591-8.	
Manini TM, Newman AB, Fielding R, et al. Effects of exercise on mobility in obese	Not focused on behavioral or
and nonobese older adults. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2010;18:1168-75.	pharmacological interventions
	designed to promote weight loss
Manning RM, Jung RT, Leese GP, Newton RW. The comparison of four weight	Comparative effectiveness
reduction strategies aimed at overweight patients with diabetes mellitus: four-year	
follow-up. <i>Diabet Med.</i> 1998;15:497-502.	
Marinilli PA, Gorin AA, Raynor HA, et al. Successful weight-loss maintenance in	Not focused on benavioral or
relation to method of weight loss. Obesity. 2008, 16:2456-61.	designed to promote weight loss
Marshall NS. Grupstein RP. Losing weight in moderate to severe obstructive sleen	Conducted primarily in a non-
annoea RMI 2009/339:b4363	relevant setting
Maruthur NM Wang NY Appel I L Lifestyle interventions reduce coronary heart	Not one of the specified
disease risk: results from the PREMIER trial. <i>Circulation</i> , 2009:119:2026-31.	interventions
Mata J. Silva MN. Vieira PN, et al. Motivational "spill-over" during weight control:	No harms outcomes
increased self-determination and exercise intrinsic motivation predict eating self-	
regulation. Health Psychol. 2009;28:709-16.	
Mathus-Vliegen EM; Balance Study Group. Long-term maintenance of weight loss	Sibutramine intervention
with sibutramine in a GP setting following a specialist guided very-low-calorie diet:	
a double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group study. Eur J Clin Nutr.	
2005;59(Suppl 1):31-8.	
Matvienko OA, Hoehns JD. A lifestyle intervention study in patients with diabetes or	Does not meet design
impaired glucose tolerance: translation of a research intervention into practice. J	requirements in inclusion criteria
Am Board Fam Med. 2009;22:535-43.	
McConnon A, Kirk SF, Cockroft JE, et al. The Internet for weight control in an	No harms outcomes
obese sample: results of a randomised controlled trial. BMC Health Serv Res.	
2007;7:206.	
resource for weight control: use and views of an obeco sample. J Nutr Educ Pohov	NO Harms outcomes
Mel aughlin T. Carter S. Lamendola C. et al. Clinical efficacy of two hypocaloric	Comparative effectiveness
diets that vary in overweight natients with type 2 diabetes: comparison of moderate	
fat versus carbohydrate reductions. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2007;30:1877-9.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
McMahon FG, Fujioka K, Singh BN, et al. Efficacy and safety of sibutramine in obese white and African American patients with hypertension: a 1-year, double-	Sibutramine intervention
blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial. Arch Intern Med. 2000;160:2185-91.	<u></u>
McMahon FG, Weinstein SP, Rowe E, et al. Sibutramine is safe and effective for	Sibutramine intervention
angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. <i>J Hum Hypertens</i> . 2002;16:5-11.	
McManus K, Antinoro L, Sacks F. A randomized controlled trial of a moderate-fat,	Comparative effectiveness
low-energy diet compared with a low fat, low-energy diet for weight loss in overweight adults. <i>Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord</i> . 2001;25:1503-11.	
McNulty SJ, Ur E, Williams G. A randomized trial of sibutramine in the management of obese type 2 diabetic patients treated with metformin. <i>Diabetes Care</i> .	Sibutramine intervention
2003;26:125-31.	
McTiernan A, Sorensen B, Irwin ML, et al. Exercise effect on weight and body fat in	Not focused on behavioral or
men and women. Obesity. 2007;15:1496-512.	pharmacological interventions
Meanen DT Mast TM Millions AE at al Economic evolution of a worksite	designed to promote weight loss
Meenan RT, Vogi TM, Williams AE, et al. Economic evaluation of a worksite	conducted primarily in a non-
Environ Med 2010:52(Suppl 1):8-13	lelevant setting
Mengham I H. Morris BF. Palmer CR. White AJ. Is intensive dietetic intervention	Comparative effectiveness
effective for overweight patients with diabetes mellitus? A randomised controlled	
trial in a general practice. Pract Diab Int. 1999;16:8.	
Menon T, Quaddus S, Cohen L. Revision of failed vertical banded gastroplasty to	Comparative effectiveness
non-resectional Scopinaro biliopancreatic diversion: early experience. Obes Surg.	
2006;16:1420-4.	<b>D</b>
Messerii-Burgy N, Znoj H, Laederach K. Eating behavior, emotional regulation, and	Does not meet design
rogram Verhaltenstheranie 2007:17:56	requirements in inclusion chiena
Messier SP Loeser RF Miller GD et al. Exercise and dietary weight loss in	Comparative effectiveness
overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis: the Arthritis, Diet, and	
Activity Promotion Trial. Arthritis Rheum. 2004;50:1501-10.	
Meyer HE, Sogaard AJ, Falch JA, et al. Weight change over three decades and the	Not focused on behavioral or
risk of osteoporosis in men: the Norwegian Epidemiological Osteoporosis Studies	pharmacological interventions
(NOREPOS). Am J Epidemiol. 2008;168:454-60.	designed to promote weight loss
inhibitor in therapy of obesity with concomitant hyperlipidemia. Med Pred	No harms outcomes
1999:52:323-33.	
Mitkov M, Pehlivanov B, Terzieva D. Metformin versus rosiglitazone in the	Does not meet design
treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.	requirements in inclusion criteria
2006;126:93-8.	
Miyatake N, Nishikawa H, Morishita A, et al. Daily walking reduces visceral adipose	Does not meet design
Res Clin Pract. 2002;58:101-7.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Molenaar EA, van Ameijden EJ, Vergouwe Y, et al. Effect of nutritional counselling	High or differential attrition.
and nutritional plus exercise counselling in overweight adults: a randomized trial in	
multidisciplinary primary care practice. Fam Pract. 2010;27:143-50.	
Molitch ME, Fujimoto W, Hamman RF, et al. The Diabetes Prevention Program and	Not focused on behavioral or
is global implications. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2003, 14(Suppl 2). 103-7.	designed to promote weight loss
Morgan P.L. Lubans DR. Collins CE, et al. 12-Month outcomes and process	Conducted primarily in a non-
evaluation of the SHED-IT RCT: an Internet-based weight loss program targeting	relevant setting
men. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2011;19:142-51.	5
Mougios V, Matsakas A, Petridou A, et al. Effect of supplementation with	Not one of the specified
conjugated linoleic acid on human serum lipids and body fat. J Nutr Biochem.	interventions
2001;12:585-94.	
Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group. Risk factor changes and	Not one of the specified
mortality results: Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. JAMA. 1982;248:1465-77.	Interventions
treatment of obesity in general practice. Swiss Med Wklv. 2003:133:148-54.	NO HATTIS OULCOTTIES
Murawski ME. Problem solving and the management of obesity in women from	Comparative effectiveness
underserved rural settings. Dissert Abstr Int B Sci Eng. 2008;69:690.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Nahmias J, Kirschner M, Karetzky MS. Weight loss and OSA and pulmonary	Does not meet design
function in obesity. <i>N J Med.</i> 1993;90:48-53.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Nakata Y, Ohkawara K, Lee DJ, et al. Effects of additional resistance training	Comparative effectiveness
during diet-induced weight loss on bone mineral density in overweight	
premenopausal women. J Bone Miner Metab. 2008;26:172-7.	
Nanchahal K, Townsend J, Letley L, et al. Weight-management interventions in	No harms outcomes
primary care: a pilot randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2009;59:e157-66.	
Nauta H, Hospers H, Jansen A. One-year follow-up effects of two obesity	Comparative effectiveness
2001,0.271-04.	Not one of the specified
Risk Factor Intervention Trial (MRFIT) Control Clin Trials 1987;8:S41-53	interventions
Nelson MS, Robbins AS, Thornton JA, An intervention to reduce excess body	No harms outcomes
weight in adults with or at risk for type 2 diabetes. <i>Mil Med</i> . 2006:171:409-14.	
Nicklas BJ, Ambrosius W, Messier SP, et al. Diet-induced weight loss, exercise.	Comparative effectiveness
and chronic inflammation in older, obese adults: a randomized controlled clinical	
trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:544-51.	
Nowson CA, Worsley A, Margerison C, et al. Blood pressure change with weight	Comparative effectiveness
loss is affected by diet type in men. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:983-9.	-
Ockene IS, Hebert JR, Ockene JK, et al. Effect of physician-delivered nutrition	Not focused on behavioral or
counseling training and an office-support program on saturated fat intake, weight,	pharmacological interventions
and serum lipid measurements in a hyperlipidemic population: Worcester Area Trial	designed to promote weight loss
for Counseling in Hyperlipidemia (WATCH). Arch Intern Med. 1999;159:725-31.	
Olson TP, Dengel DR, Leon AS, Schmitz KH. Changes in inflammatory biomarkers	Not focused on behavioral or
following one-year of moderate resistance training in overweight women. Int J Obes	pharmacological interventions
(Lond). 2007;31:996-1003.	designed to promote weight loss
Olson TP, Dengel DR, Leon AS, Schmitz KH. Moderate resistance training and	Not focused on behavioral or
vascular nealth in overweight women. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc.</i> 2006;38:1558-64.	designed to promote weight less
Omsland TK, Schei B, Gronskag AB, et al. Weight loss and distal forearm fractures	Not focused on behavioral or
in postmenopausal women: the Nord-Trondelag health study. Norway. Osteoporos	nharmacological interventions
Int 2009-20-2009-16	designed to promote weight loss
Ortega-Gonzalez C, Luna S, Hernandez L, et al. Responses of serum androgen	No harms outcomes
and insulin resistance to metformin and pioglitazone in obese, insulin-resistant	
women with polycystic ovary syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:1360-5.	
Osei-Assibey G, Kyrou I, Adi Y, et al. Dietary and lifestyle interventions for weight	Does not meet design
management in adults from minority ethnic/non-white groups: a systematic review.	requirements in inclusion criteria
Obes Rev. 2010;11:769-76.	
Ostbye T, Krause KM, Lovelady CA, et al. Active Mothers Postpartum: a	No harms outcomes
randomized controlled weight-loss intervention trial. Am J Prev Med. 2009;37:173-	
	<u> </u>
O Toole ML, Sawicki MA, Artal R. Structured diet and physical activity prevent	Comparative effectiveness
postpartum weight retention. J womens Health (Larchmt). 2003;12:991-8.	Not one of the energified
mage RC, Halliden RE, Cook JT, Turner RC. Call life-styles of subjects with	interventions
	Interventions
Pan XR Li GW Hu YH et al. Effects of diet and exercise in preventing NIDDM in	Not on list of countries with HDL>
people with impaired ducose tolerance: the Da Oing IGT and Diabetes Study	
Diabetes Care, 1997:20:537-44.	0.00
Papalazarou A, Yannakoulia M, Kavouras SA, et al. Lifestvle intervention favorably	Comparative effectiveness
affects weight loss and maintenance following obesity surgery. Obesity (Silver	,
Spring). 2010;18:1348-53.	
Parikh P, Simon EP, Fei K, et al. Results of a pilot diabetes prevention intervention	No harms outcomes
in East Harlem, New York City: Project HEED. Am J Public Health. 2010;100(Suppl	
1):S232-9.	
Park SK, Park JH, Kwon YC, et al. The effect of combined aerobic and resistance	No harms outcomes
exercise training on abdominal fat in obese middle-aged women. J Physiol	
Anthropol Appl Human Sci. 2003;22:129-35.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Pasquali R, Colella P, Cirignotta F, et al. Treatment of obese patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS): effect of weight loss and interference of attribution pathelogy. <i>Int. J. Obes.</i> 1000:14:207.17	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Paul-Ebhohimhen V, Avenell A. A systematic review of the effectiveness of group versus individual treatments for adult obesity. <i>Obesity Facts</i> . 2009;2:17-24.	No harms outcomes
Perreault L, Kahn SE, Christophi CA, et al. Regression from pre-diabetes to normal glucose regulation in the Diabetes Prevention Program. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2009;32:1583-8.	No harms outcomes
Perreault L, Ma Y, Dagogo-Jack S, et al. Sex differences in diabetes risk and the effect of intensive lifestyle modification in the Diabetes Prevention Program. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2008;31:1416-21.	No harms outcomes
Perri MG, Limacher MC, Durning PE, et al. Extended-care programs for weight management in rural communities: the Treatment of Obesity in Underserved Rural Settings (TOURS) randomized trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2008;168:2347-54.	Comparative effectiveness
Petridou A, Mougios V, Sagredos A. Supplementation with CLA: isomer incorporation into serum lipids and effect on body fat of women. <i>Lipids.</i> 2003;38:805-11.	Not one of the specified interventions
Petrofsky J, Batt J, Berk L, et al. The effect of an aerobic dance and diet program on cardiovascular fitness, body composition, and weight loss in women. <i>J Appl Res</i> . 2008;8:179-88.	No harms outcomes
Phelan S, Wadden TA, Berkowitz RI, et al. Impact of weight loss on the metabolic syndrome. <i>Int J Obes</i> . 2007;31:1442-8.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Philippou E, Neary NM, Chaudhri O, et al. The effect of dietary glycemic index on weight maintenance in overweight subjects: a pilot study. <i>Obesity.</i> 2009;17:396-401.	Comparative effectiveness
Pinkston MM, Poston WS, Reeves RS, et al. Does metabolic syndrome mitigate weight loss in overweight Mexican American women treated for 1-year with orlistat and lifestyle modification? <i>Eat Weight Disord</i> . 2006;11:e35-41.	No placebo in medication trial
Pi-Sunyer X, Blackburn G, Brancati FL, et al. Reduction in weight and cardiovascular disease risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes: one-year results of the Look AHEAD trial. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2007;30:1374-83.	Comparative effectiveness
Porter JA, Raebel MA, Conner DA, et al. The Long-term Outcomes of Sibutramine Effectiveness on Weight (LOSE Weight) study: evaluating the role of drug therapy within a weight management program in a group-model health maintenance organization. <i>Am J Manag Care</i> . 2004;10:369-76.	No placebo in medication trial
Poston WS, Haddock CK, Olvera NE, et al. Evaluation of a culturally appropriate intervention to increase physical activity. <i>Am J Health Behav.</i> 2001;25:396-406.	Not one of the specified interventions
Poston WS, Haddock CK, Pinkston MM, et al. Evaluation of a primary care-oriented brief counselling intervention for obesity with and without orlistat. <i>J Intern Med.</i> 2006;260:388-98.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Poston WS, Reeves RS, Haddock CK, et al. Weight loss in obese Mexican Americans treated for 1-year with orlistat and lifestyle modification. <i>Int J Obes Relat</i> <i>Metab Disord.</i> 2003;27:1486-93.	No placebo in medication trial
Potteiger JA, Jacobsen DJ, Donnelly JE, Hill JO. Glucose and insulin responses following 16 months of exercise training in overweight adults: the Midwest Exercise Trial. <i>Metabolism.</i> 2003;52:1175-81.	No harms outcomes
Potteiger JA, Kirk EP, Jacobsen DJ, Donnelly JE. Changes in resting metabolic rate and substrate oxidation after 16 months of exercise training in overweight adults. <i>Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.</i> 2008;18:79-95.	No harms outcomes
Pritchard JE, Nowson CA, Wark JD. A worksite program for overweight middle- aged men achieves lesser weight loss with exercise than with dietary change. <i>J Am</i> <i>Diet Assoc.</i> 1997;97:37-42.	Conducted primarily in a non- relevant setting
Promrat K, Kleiner DE, Niemeier HM, et al. Randomized controlled trial testing the effects of weight loss on nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. <i>Hepatology</i> . 2010;51:121-9.	Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions
Proper KI, Hildebrandt VH, Van der Beek AJ, et al. Effect of individual counseling on physical activity fitness and health: a randomized controlled trial in a workplace setting. <i>Am J Prev Med.</i> 2003;24:218-26.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Provencher V, Begin C, Tremblay A, et al. Health-at-every-size and eating behaviors: 1-year follow-up results of a size acceptance intervention. <i>J Am Diet Assoc</i> . 2009;109:1854-61.	Not one of the specified interventions

Racette SB, Deusinger SS, Inman CL, et al. Worksite Opportunities for Wellness Conducted primarily in a non-
(WOW): effects on cardiovascular disease risk factors after 1 year. Prev Med
(Wew). Checks on cardiovascular disease hist lactors after 1 year. They med.
2009;49:108-14.
Racette SB, Weiss EP, Obert KA, et al. Modest lifestyle intervention and glucose Comparative effectiveness
tolerance in obese African Americans. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2001;9:348-55.
Racette SB, Weiss EP, Villareal DT, et al. One year of caloric restriction in humans: Comparative effectiveness
feasibility and effects on body composition and abdominal adipose tissue. J
Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2006;61:943-50.
Ramachandran A, Shehalatha C, Mary S, et al. The Indian Diabetes Prevention Not on list of countries with HDI >
Programme snows that intestyle modification and metformin prevent type 2 diabetes 0.90
2000,49.209-97.
body image therapy for obese men and women . I Consult Clin Psychol
Randomised trial of ieiunoileal bypass versus medical treatment in morbid obesity Not one of the specified
Lancet. 1979:2:1255-8.
Rapoport L. Clark M. Wardle J. Evaluation of a modified cognitive-behavioural Comparative effectiveness
programme for weight management. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000:24:1726-
37.
Ratner RE; Diabetes Prevention Program. An update on the Diabetes Prevention Not focused on behavioral or
Program. Endocr Pract. 2006;12(Suppl 1):20-4. pharmacological interventions
designed to promote weight loss
Razquin C, Martinez JA, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, et al. A 3 years follow-up of a Not focused on behavioral or
Mediterranean diet rich in virgin olive oil is associated with high plasma antioxidant pharmacological interventions
capacity and reduced body weight gain. <i>Eur J Clin Nutr.</i> 2009;63:1387-93. designed to promote weight loss
Reaven G, Segal K, Hauptman J, et al. Effect of orlistat-assisted weight loss in Other quality issues
decreasing coronary heart disease risk in patients with syndrome X. Am J Cardiol.
2001;87:827-31.
Redman LM, Rood J, Anton SD, et al. Calorie restriction and bone health in young, No harms outcomes
overweight individuals. Arch Intern Med. 2008;168:1859-66.
Reamon JB, Bertoni AG, Connelly S, et al. Effect of the Look AHEAD study Comparative effectiveness
Intervention on medication use and related cost to treat cardiovascular disease risk
Beid ID. Home A. Meson B. et al. Effects of coloium supplementation on body.
weight and blood pressure in pormal older women: a randomized controlled trial // interventions
Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005:90:3824-9
Rejeski W.I. Focht BC. Messier SP. et al. Obese. older adults with knee. Comparative effectiveness
osteoarthritis: weight loss, exercise, and quality of life. <i>Health Psychol</i> .
2002;21:419-26.
Renzaho AM, Mellor D, Boulton K, Swinburn B. Effectiveness of prevention Does not meet design
programmes for obesity and chronic diseases among immigrants to developed requirements in inclusion criteria
countries—a systematic review. Public Health Nutr. 2010;13:438-50.
Ricci TA, Chowdhury HA, Heymsfield SB, et al. Calcium supplementation No harms outcomes
suppresses bone turnover during weight reduction in postmenopausal women. J
Bone Miner Res. 1998;13:1045-50.
Riedt CS, Schlussel Y, von Thun N, et al. Premenopausal overweight women do Not focused on behavioral or
not lose bone during moderate weight loss with adequate or higher calcium intake. pharmacological interventions
Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;85:972-80. designed to promote weight loss
Rimmer JH, Rauworth A, Wang E, et al. A randomized controlled trial to increase No harms outcomes
physical activity and reduce obesity in a predominantly African American group of
women with mobility disabilities and severe obesity. <i>Prev Med.</i> 2009;48:473-9.
Riserus U, Arner P, Brismar K, Vessby B. Treatment with dietary transflucisfiz
with the metabolic syndrome. Disbetes Care, 2002:25:1516-21
with the metabolic synutome. Diabetes Care, 2002,23,1310-21.
fibrinolvsis in healthy obese women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001:25:212-8

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Rock CL, Flatt SW, Sherwood NE, et al. Effect of a free prepared meal and Co	Comparative effectiveness
incentivized weight loss program on weight loss and weight loss maintenance in	
obese and overweight women: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA.	
2010;304:1803-10.	
Rock CL, Pakiz B, Flatt SW, Quintana EL. Randomized trial of a multifaceted Commercial weight loss program. <i>Obesity</i> . 2007;15:939-49.	Comparative effectiveness
Rosenfalck AM, Hendel H, Rasmussen MH, et al. Minor long-term changes in No	lo harms outcomes
weight have beneficial effects on insulin sensitivity and beta-cell function in obese	
subjects. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2002;4:19-28.	
Ross R, Blair SN, Godwin M, et al. Prevention and Reduction of Obesity through	lo harms outcomes
Active Living (PROACTIVE): rationale, design and methods. Br J Sports Med.	
2009,43.57-03.	le harma outoomoo
insulin resistance in women: a randomized controlled trial. Ones Res. 2004:12:780-	
Rothacker DQ. Staniszewski BA. Ellis PK. Liquid meal replacement vs traditional	Comparative effectiveness
food a potential model for women who cannot maintain eating habit change ./ Am	
Diet Assoc. 2001:101:345-7.	
Rothert K, Strecher VJ, Doyle LA, et al. Web-based weight management programs No	lo harms outcomes
in an integrated health care setting: a randomized, controlled trial. Obesity.	
2006;14:266-72.	
Ryan DH, Johnson WD, Myers VH, et al. Nonsurgical weight loss for extreme No	lo placebo in medication trial
obesity in primary care settings: results of the Louisiana Obese Subjects Study.	
Arch Intern Med. 2010;170:146-54.	
Sabbioni ME, Dickson MH, Eychmuller S, et al. Intermediate results of health	lot one of the specified
related quality of life after vertical banded gastroplasty. Int J Obes Relat Metab	nterventions
Disord. 2002;26:277-80.	
Saccone A, Israel A. Effects of experimenter versus significant other-controlled	recedes search period
Salas S L Fernández B L Ros E et al Effect of a Mediterranean diet supplemented N	lot focused on behavioral or
with nuts on metabolic syndrome status: one-year results of the PREDIMED	harmacological interventions
randomized trial. Arch Intern Med. 2008;168:2449-58.	lesigned to promote weight loss
Samaras K. Ashwell S. Mackintosh AM, et al. Will older sedentary people with non- No	lot one of the specified
insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus start exercising? A health promotion model.	nterventions
Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 1997;37:121-8.	
Sampol G, Munoz X, Sagales MT, et al. Long-term efficacy of dietary weight loss in Do	Does not meet design
sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome. <i>Eur Respir J.</i> 1998;12:1156-9. re	equirements in inclusion criteria
Samsa GP, Kolotkin RL, Williams GR, et al. Effect of moderate weight loss on Do	loes not meet design
health-related quality of life: an analysis of combined data from 4 randomized trials   re	equirements in inclusion criteria
of sibutramine vs placebo. Am J Manag Care. 2001;7:875-83.	
Sanchez-Reyes L, Fanghanel G, Yamamoto J, et al. Use of sibutramine in	Not on list of countries with HDI >
overweight aduit Hispanic patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 12-month, 0.1	.90
Sarac S. Sarac F. Cardiac valve evaluation and adipokine levels in obese women Si	Sibutramine intervention
treated with sibutramine. Anadolu Kardivoloii Dergisi. 2010:10:226-32.	
Sari R, Eray E, Ozdem S, et al. Comparison of the effects of sibutramine versus Si	Bibutramine intervention
sibutramine plus metformin in obese women. Clin Exp Med. 2010;10:179-84.	
Sarwer DB, von Sydow GA, Vetter ML, Wadden TA. Behavior therapy for obesity: Do	Does not meet design
where are we now? Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes. 2009;16:347-52.	equirements in inclusion criteria
Sbrocco T, Nedegaard RC, Stone JM, Lewis EL. Behavioral choice treatment Co	Comparative effectiveness
promotes continuing weight loss: preliminary results of a cognitive-behavioral	
decision-based treatment for obesity. <i>J Consult Clin Psychol</i> . 1999;67:260-6.	
Schmitz KH, Hannan PJ, Stovitz SD, et al. Strength training and adiposity in	lo harms outcomes
premenopausal women: Strong, Healtny, and Empowered Study. Am J Clin Nutr.	
2007,00.000-72.	lo harme outcomes
day goal in overweight adults. Am J Health Promot. 2006:21:85-9.	a namis outcomes

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Scholze J, Grimm E, Herrmann D, et al. Optimal treatment of obesity-related hypertension: the Hypertension-Obesity-Sibutramine (HOS) study. <i>Circulation</i> . 2007;115:1991-8.	Sibutramine intervention
Schuler G, Hambrecht R, Schlierf G, et al. Regular physical exercise and low-fat diet: effects on progression of coronary artery disease. <i>Circulation.</i> 1992;86:1-11.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Schuster RJ, Tasosa J, Terwoord NA. Translational research—implementation of NHLBI Obesity Guidelines in a primary care community setting: the Physician Obesity Awareness Project. <i>J Nutr Health Aging</i> . 2008;12:S764-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Serrano-Rios M, Melchionda N, Moreno-Carretero E. Role of sibutramine in the treatment of obese type 2 diabetic patients receiving sulphonylurea therapy. <i>Diabet Med.</i> 2002;19:119-24.	Sibutramine intervention
Shapses SA, Heshka S, Heymsfield SB. Effect of calcium supplementation on weight and fat loss in women. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab.</i> 2004;89:632-7.	Not one of the specified interventions
Shea MK, Houston DK, Nicklas BJ, et al. The effect of randomization to weight loss on total mortality in older overweight and obese adults: the ADAPT study. <i>J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.</i> 2010;65:519-25.	Comparative effectiveness
Sherwood NE, Jeffery RW, Pronk NP, et al. Mail and phone interventions for weight loss in a managed-care setting: Weigh-To-Be 2-year outcomes. <i>Int J Obes.</i> 2006;30:1565-73.	No harms outcomes
Short KR, Vittone JL, Bigelow ML, et al. Impact of aerobic exercise training on age- related changes in insulin sensitivity and muscle oxidative capacity. <i>Diabetes</i> . 2003;52:1888-96.	No harms outcomes
Siegel JM, Prelip ML, Erausquin JT, Kim SA. A worksite obesity intervention: results from a group-randomized trial. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 2010;100:327-33.	Conducted primarily in a non- relevant setting
Silva MN, Markland D, Carraca EV, et al. Exercise autonomous motivation predicts three-year weight loss in women. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc.</i> 2011;43:728-37.	Study of overweight/obesity prevention
Simmons D, Rush E, Crook N; Te Wai o Rona Diabetes Prevention Strategy Team. Development and piloting of a community health worker-based intervention for the prevention of diabetes among New Zealand Maori in Te Wai o Rona: Diabetes Prevention Strategy. <i>Public Health Nutr.</i> 2008;11:1318-25.	Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions
Sircar AR, Kumar A, Lal M. Clinical evaluation of sibutramine in obese type 2 diabetic patients refractory to dietary management. <i>J Assoc Physicians India</i> . 2001;49:885-8.	Other quality issues
Sjostrom L. Analysis of the XENDOS study (Xenical in the Prevention of Diabetes in Obese Subjects). <i>Endocr Pract.</i> 2006;12(Suppl 1):31-3.	No harms outcomes
Skender ML, Goodrick GK, Del Junco DJ, et al. Comparison of 2-year weight loss trends in behavioral treatments of obesity: diet, exercise, and combination interventions. <i>J Am Diet Assoc.</i> 1996;96:342-6.	Comparative effectiveness
Skinner TC, Carey ME, Cradock S, et al. Diabetes Education and Self- Management for Ongoing and Newly Diagnosed (DESMOND): process modelling of pilot study. <i>Patient Educ Couns.</i> 2006;64:369-77.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Slentz CA, Aiken LB, Houmard JA, et al. Inactivity, exercise, and visceral fat— STRRIDE: a randomized, controlled study of exercise intensity and amount. <i>J Appl Physiol</i> . 2005;99:1613-8.	No harms outcomes
Slentz CA, Duscha BD, Johnson JL, et al. Effects of the amount of exercise on body weight, body composition, and measures of central obesity: STRRIDE—a randomized controlled study. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2004;164:31-9.	No harms outcomes
Smedman A, Vessby B. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation in humans— metabolic effects. <i>Lipids</i> . 2001;36:773-81.	Not one of the specified interventions
Smith IG, Goulder MA. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of long-term treatment with sibutramine in mild to moderate obesity. <i>J Fam Pract.</i> 2001;50:505-12.	Sibutramine intervention
Smith PL, Gold AR, Meyers DA, et al. Weight loss in mildly to moderately obese patients with obstructive sleep apnea. <i>Ann Intern Med.</i> 1985;103:850-5.	No harms outcomes
Southard BH, Southard DR, Nuckolls J. Clinical trial of an Internet-based case management system for secondary prevention of heart disease. <i>J Cardiopulm Rehabil.</i> 2003;23:341-8.	No harms outcomes

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Sramek JJ, Leibowitz MT, Weinstein SP, et al. Efficacy and safety of sibutramine	No harms outcomes
for weight loss in obese patients with hypertension well controlled by beta-	
adrenergic blocking agents: a placebo-controlled, double-blind, randomised trial. J	
Stabre I. Hallstrom T. A short-term cognitive group treatment program gives	No barms outcomes
substantial weight reduction up to 18 months from the end of treatment: a	No hanna outcomes
randomized controlled trial. Eat Weight Disord. 2005;10:51-8.	
Stefanick ML, Mackey S, Sheehan M, et al. Effects of diet and exercise in men and	Not focused on behavioral or
postmenopausal women with low levels of HDL cholesterol and high levels of LDL	pharmacological interventions
cholesterol. N Engl J Med. 1998;339:12-20.	designed to promote weight loss
Stenius-Aarniala B, Poussa T, Kvarnstrom J, et al. Immediate and long term effects	Comparative effectiveness
$BMI_2000:320:827-32$	
Stensel DJ, Brooke-Wavell K, Hardman AE, et al. The influence of a 1-year	Not focused on behavioral or
programme of brisk walking on endurance fitness and body composition in	pharmacological interventions
previously sedentary men aged 42-59 years. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol.	designed to promote weight loss
1994;68:531-7.	
Stern L, Iqbal N, Seshadri P, et al. The effects of low-carbohydrate versus	Comparative effectiveness
conventional weight loss diets in severely obese adults: one-year follow-up of a	
Stuart RB A three-dimensional program for the treatment of obesity <i>Behav Res</i>	Precedes search period
<i>Ther.</i> 1971;9:177-86.	
Sun Q, Townsend MK, Okereke OI, et al. Adiposity and weight change in mid-life in	Does not meet design
relation to healthy survival after age 70 in women: prospective cohort study. BMJ.	requirements in inclusion criteria
2009;339:b3796.	
Suratt PM, McTier RF, Findley LJ, et al. Effect of very-low-calorie diets with weight	Does not meet design
Svendsen M. Helgeland M. Tonetad S. The long term influence of erligtation diotance	No barms outcomos
intake in obese subjects with components of metabolic syndrome. <i>J Human Nutr</i>	No fiamis outcomes
Diet. 2009;22:55-63.	
Svetkey LP, Pollak KI, Yancy WS Jr, et al. Hypertension Improvement Project:	Not one of the specified
randomized trial of quality improvement for physicians and lifestyle modification for	interventions
patients. Hypertension. 2009;54:1226-33.	
Swartz AM, Strath SJ, Bassett DR, et al. Increasing daily walking improves glucose	No harms outcomes
Swinburn BA Metcalf PA Lev S L Long-term (5-year) effects of a reduced-fat diet	Conducted primarily in a pop-
intervention in individuals with glucose intolerance. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2001;24:619-24.	relevant setting
Swinburn BA, Woollard GA, Chang EC, Wilson MR. Effects of reduced-fat diets	Conducted primarily in a non-
consumed ad libitum on intake of nutrients, particularly antioxidant vitamins. J Am	relevant setting
Diet Assoc. 1999;99:1400-5.	
Tanco S, Linden W, Earle T. Well-being and morbid obesity in women: a controlled	No harms outcomes
Taner FD, Yavuz B, Okhan AK, et al. An obesity drug sibutramine reduces brain	Does not include specified harms
natriuretic peptide (BNP) levels in severely obese patients. Int J Clin Pract.	outcomes
2010;64:518-22.	
Tang T, Glanville J, Hayden CJ, et al. Combined lifestyle modification and	No harms outcomes
metformin in obese patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized,	
placebo-controlled, double-blind multicentre study. <i>Hum Reprod.</i> 2006;21:80-9.	
Tanuminardjo SA, Valentine AR, Zhang Z, et al. Strategies to increase vegetable or reduce energy and fat inteke induce weight less in adults. Exp Riel Med	Comparative effectiveness
2009-234-542-52	
Tate DF. Jackvony EH. Wing RR. A randomized trial comparing human e-mail	No harms outcomes
counseling, computer-automated tailored counseling, and no counseling in an	
Internet weight loss program. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166:1620-5.	
Tate DF, Jackvony EH, Wing RR. Effects of Internet behavioral counseling on	Comparative effectiveness
weight loss in adults at risk for type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. JAMA.	
2003,203,1033-0.	Comparative effectiveness
with prescription of higher physical activity goals: are higher levels of physical	Comparative encouveness
activity protective against weight regain? <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2007;85:954-9.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Tate DF, Wing RR, Winett RA. Using Internet technology to deliver a behavioral weight loss program. <i>JAMA</i> . 2001;285:1172-7.	No harms outcomes
Taylor JS, Williams SR, Rhys R, et al. Conjugated linoleic acid impairs endothelial function. <i>Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.</i> 2006;26:307-12.	Not one of the specified interventions
Teixeira PJ, Going SB, Houtkooper LB, et al. Resistance training in postmenopausal women with and without hormone therapy. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc</i> .	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions
2003;35:555-62.	designed to promote weight loss
ODES Investigators. The Oslo Diet and Exercise Study (ODES): design and objectives. <i>Control Clin Trials</i> . 1993;14:229-43.	No harms outcomes
Thomas TR, Warner SO, Dellsperger KC, et al. Exercise and the metabolic syndrome with weight regain. <i>J Appl Physiol.</i> 2010;109:3-10.	Comparative effectiveness
Thompson WG, Rostad HN, Janzow DJ, et al. Effect of energy-reduced diets high in dairy products and fiber on weight loss in obese adults. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2005;13:1344-53.	Comparative effectiveness
Thorpe MP, Jacobson EH, Layman DK, et al. A diet high in protein, dairy, and calcium attenuates bone loss over twelve months of weight loss and maintenance relative to a conventional high-carbohydrate diet in adults. <i>J Nutr.</i> 2008;138:1096-100.	Comparative effectiveness
Tiikkainen M, Bergholm R, Rissanen A, et al. Effects of equal weight loss with orlistat and placebo on body fat and serum fatty acid composition and insulin resistance in obese women. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2004;79:22-30.	Does not include specified harms outcomes
Tinker LF, Bonds DE, Margolis KL, et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and risk of treated diabetes mellitus in postmenopausal women: the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled dietary modification trial. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2008;168:1500-11.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Toft U, Kristoffersen L, Ladelund S, et al. The effect of adding group-based counselling to individual lifestyle counselling on changes in dietary intake: the Inter99 Study—a randomized controlled trial. <i>Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.</i> 2008;5:59.	No harms outcomes
Toobert DJ, Glasgow RE, Radcliffe JL. Physiologic and related behavioral outcomes from the Women's Lifestyle Heart Trial. <i>Ann Behav Med.</i> 2000;22:1-9.	Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions
What is TOPS (Take Off Pounds Sensibly). Milwaukee, WI: TOPS Club, Inc; 2011. http://www.tops.org/TOPSInformation/AboutTOPS.aspx	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Trento M, Passera P, Tomalino M, et al. Group visits improve metabolic control in type 2 diabetes: a 2-year follow-up. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2001;24:995-1000.	Comparative effectiveness
Tsai AG, Wadden TA, Rogers MA, et al. A primary care intervention for weight loss: results of a randomized controlled pilot study. <i>Obesity (Silver Spring)</i> . 2010;18:1614-8.	Comparative effectiveness
Tsai AG, Wadden TA. Treatment of obesity in primary care practice in the United States: a systematic review. <i>J Gen Intern Med.</i> 2009;24:1073-9.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Tseng MC, Lee MB, Chen SY, et al. Response of Taiwanese obese binge eaters to a hospital-based weight reduction program. <i>J Psychosom Res.</i> 2004;57:279-85.	Focus on patients in subgroups other than specified conditions
Turnin MC, Bourgeois O, Cathelineau G, et al. Multicenter randomized evaluation of a nutritional education software in obese patients. <i>Diabetes Metab</i> . 2001;27:139-47.	Comparative effectiveness
Tuthill A, Quinn A, McColgan D, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention on quality of life and cardiovascular risk score in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2007;9:917-9.	No harms outcomes
Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Long-term effects of low- intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in weight-reduced obese men. <i>Metabolism.</i> 2002;51:1003-10.	Comparative effectiveness
Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Hul GB, van Baak MA. Short-term effects of weight loss with or without low-intensity exercise training on fat metabolism in obese men. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2001;73:523-31.	No harms outcomes
Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Wagenmakers AJ, et al. The effect of low- intensity exercise training on fat metabolism of obese women. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2001;9:86-96.	No harms outcomes
Van Aggel-Leijssen DP, Saris WH, Wagenmakers AJ, et al. Effect of exercise training at different intensities on fat metabolism of obese men. <i>J Appl Physiol.</i> 2002;92:1300-9.	No harms outcomes

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
van Sluijs EM, van Poppel MN, Twisk JW, et al. Effect of a tailored physical activity	Not focused on behavioral or
intervention delivered in general practice settings: results of a randomized	pharmacological interventions
controlled trial. Am J Public Health. 2005;95:1825-31.	designed to promote weight loss
van Wier MF, Ariens GA, Dekkers JC, et al. ALIFE@Work: a randomised controlled	No harms outcomes
trial of a distance counselling lifestyle programme for weight control among an	
overweight working population. BMC Public Health. 2006;6:140.	
van Wier MF, Ariens GA, Dekkers JC, et al. Phone and e-mail counselling are	No harms outcomes
effective for weight management in an overweight working population: a	
randomized controlled trial. BMC Public Health. 2009;9:6.	Comparativa offectivances
telemonitoring: the Weigh by Day Trial Am. I Health Behav 2009:33:445-54	Comparative ellectiveness
Velthuis MJ. Schuit AJ. Peeters PH. Monninkhof FM. Exercise program affects	Not focused on behavioral or
body composition but not weight in postmenopausal women. <i>Menopause</i> .	pharmacological interventions
2009;16:777-84.	designed to promote weight loss
Venditti EM, Bray GA, Carrion-Petersen ML, et al. First versus repeat treatment	No harms outcomes
with a lifestyle intervention program: attendance and weight loss outcomes. Int J	
Obes. 2008;32:1537-44.	
Veverka DV, Anderson J, Auld GW, et al. Use of the stages of change model in	No harms outcomes
improving nutrition and exercise habits in enlisted Air Force men. <i>Mil Med.</i>	
2003;168:373-9.	
Vidgren HM, Agren JJ, Valve RS, et al. The effect of orlistation the fatty acid	No harms outcomes
composition of serum lipid fractions in obese subjects. <i>Clin Pharmacol Ther.</i>	
1999;00:313-22.	No hormo outoomoo
villareal DT, Bariks WR, Patterson Bw, et al. Weight loss therapy improves	No harms outcomes
Villareal DT Eontana I. Weiss ED et al. Bone mineral density response to caloric	Comparative effectiveness
restriction_induced weight loss or exercise_induced weight loss; a randomized	Comparative enectiveness
controlled trial. Arch Intern Med. 2006:166:2502-10.	
Vissers D. Verrijken A. Mertens I. et al. Effect of long-term whole body vibration	No harms outcomes
training on visceral adipose tissue: a preliminary report. Obesity Facts. 2010;3:93-	
100.	
Volpe SL, Kobusingye H, Bailur S, Stanek E. Effect of diet and exercise on body	Comparative effectiveness
composition, energy intake and leptin levels in overweight women and men. J Am	
Coll Nutr. 2008;27:195-208.	
von Huth SL, Ladelund S, Borch-Johnsen K, Jorgensen T. A randomized	No harms outcomes
multifactorial intervention study for prevention of ischaemic heart disease (Inter99):	
the long-term effect on physical activity. Scand J Public Health. 2008;30:380-8.	Comparativa offectivances
wadden TA, berkowitz Ri, Salwer DB, et al. Benefits of mestyle mounication in the pharmacologic treatment of obosity: a randomized trial. Arch Intern Med	Comparative enectiveness
Wadden TA Berkowitz RI Womble I G et al Randomized trial of lifestyle	No placebo in medication trial
modification and pharmacotherapy for obesity. N Engl J Med. 2005:353:2111-20.	No placebo in medication that
Wadden TA, Foster GD, Sarwer DB, et al. Dieting and the development of eating	Comparative effectiveness
disorders in obese women: results of a randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr.	
2004;80:560-8.	
Wadden TA, West DS, Neiberg RH, et al. One-year weight losses in the Look	Comparative effectiveness
AHEAD study: factors associated with success. Obesity. 2009;17:713-22.	-
Wardle J, Rogers P, Judd P, et al. Randomized trial of the effects of cholesterol-	No harms outcomes
lowering dietary treatment on psychological function. <i>Am J Med.</i> 2000;108:547-53.	
Waring ME, Roberts MB, Parker DR, Eaton CB. Documentation and management	Not focused on behavioral or
of overweight and obesity in primary care. <i>J Am Board Fam Med</i> . 2009;22:544-52.	pharmacological interventions
Warrishi MT. Caraika CM. Chur MA, at al. Changes in solf officery, and distant	designed to promote weight loss
warziski Mil, Sereika SM, Styn MA, et al. Changes in seit-enicacy and dietary	Comparative enectiveness
2008:31:81-92	
Wassertheil-Smoller S. Oberman A. Blaufox MD, et al. The Trial of	No harms outcomes
Antihypertensive Interventions and Management (TAIM) study: final results with	
regard to blood pressure, cardiovascular risk, and guality of life. Am J Hypertens.	
1992;5:37-44.	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Wee CC, Davis RB, Phillips RS. Stage of readiness to control weight and adopt weight control behaviors in primary care. <i>J Gen Intern Med.</i> 2005;20:410-5.	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions designed to promote weight loss
Weiner R, Bockhorn H, Rosenthal R, Wagner D. A prospective randomized trial of different laparoscopic gastric banding techniques for morbid obesity. <i>Surg Endosc.</i> 2001;15:63-8.	Not one of the specified interventions
Weiss EP, Racette SB, Villareal DT, et al. Improvements in glucose tolerance and insulin action induced by increasing energy expenditure or decreasing energy intake: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2006;84:1033-42.	Comparative effectiveness
Whittemore R, Melkus G, Wagner J, et al. Translating the Diabetes Prevention Program to primary care: a pilot study. <i>Nurs Res.</i> 2009;58:2-12.	No harms outcomes
Williamson DA, Rejeski J, Lang W, et al. Impact of a weight management program on health-related quality of life in overweight adults with type 2 diabetes. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 2009;169:163-71.	Comparative effectiveness
Williamson DF. Re: randomized trial of weight loss and total mortality. <i>J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci</i> . 2010;65:904.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Wing RR, Anglin K. Effectiveness of a behavioral weight control program for blacks and whites with NIDDM. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 1996;19:409-13.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR, Creasman JM, West DS, et al. Improving urinary incontinence in overweight and obese women through modest weight loss. <i>Obstetrics Gynecol.</i> 2010;116:284-92.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR, Epstein LH, Paternostro-Bayles M, et al. Exercise in a behavioural weight control programme for obese patients with type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes. <i>Diabetologia.</i> 1988;31:902-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR, Tate DF, Gorin AA, et al. STOP regain: are there negative effects of daily weighing? <i>J Consult Clin Psychol</i> . 2007;75:652-6.	No harms outcomes
Wing RR, Tate DF, Gorin AA, et al. A self-regulation program for maintenance of weight loss. <i>New Engl J Med</i> . 2006;355:1563-71.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR, West DS, Grady D, et al. Effect of weight loss on urinary incontinence in overweight and obese women: results at 12 and 18 months. <i>J Urol.</i> 2010;184:1005-10.	Comparative effectiveness
Wing RR. Behavioral approaches to the treatment of obesity. In: Bray G, Bouchard C, James WP, eds. <i>Handbook of Obesity</i> . New York: Marcel Dekker; 1998:855-73.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Wing RR. Behavioral weight control. In: Wadden TA, Stunkard AJ, eds. <i>Handbook</i> of Obesity Treatment. New York: Guilford Press; 2002:301-16.	Does not meet design requirements in inclusion criteria
Wirth A, Krause J. Long-term weight loss with sibutramine: a randomized controlled trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2001;286:1331-9.	Sibutramine intervention
Wister A, Loewen N, Kennedy-Symonds H, et al. One-year follow-up of a therapeutic lifestyle intervention targeting cardiovascular disease risk. <i>Can Med Assoc J.</i> 2007;177:859-65.	Not one of the specified interventions
Wolf AM, Conaway MR, Crowther JQ, et al. Translating lifestyle intervention to practice in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: Improving Control with Activity and Nutrition (ICAN) study. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2004;27:1570-6.	Comparative effectiveness
Wolf AM, Siadaty MS, Crowther JQ, et al. Impact of lifestyle intervention on lost productivity and disability: improving control with activity and nutrition. <i>J Occup Environ Med.</i> 2009;51:139-45.	Comparative effectiveness
Womble LG, Wadden TA, McGuckin BG, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a commercial Internet weight loss program. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2004;12:1011-8.	Comparative effectiveness
Wong SY, Lau EM, Lau WW, Lynn HS. Is dietary counselling effective in increasing dietary calcium, protein and energy intake in patients with osteoporotic fractures? A	Not focused on behavioral or pharmacological interventions
randomized controlled clinical trial. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2004;17:359-64.	designed to promote weight loss
Woo J, Sea MM, Tong P, et al. Effectiveness of a lifestyle modification programme in weight maintenance in obese subjects after cessation of treatment with orlistat. <i>J Eval Clin Pract.</i> 2007;13:853-9.	Does not include specified harms outcomes
Wosje KS, Kalkwarf HJ. Lactation, weaning, and calcium supplementation: effects on body composition in postpartum women. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2004;80:423-9.	Not one of the specified interventions
Wright AD, Cull CA, MacLeod KM, et al. Hypoglycemia in type 2 diabetic patients randomized to and maintained on monotherapy with diet, sulfonylurea, metformin, or insulin for 6 years from diagnosis: UKPDS73. <i>J Diabetes Complications</i> . 2006;20:395-401.	Comparative effectiveness

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Wylie-Rosett J, Swencionis C, Ginsberg M. et al. Computerized weight loss	Comparative effectiveness
intervention optimizes staff time: the clinical and cost results of a controlled clinical	
trial conducted in a managed care setting. J Am Diet Assoc. 2001;101:1155-62.	
Yalcin AA, Yavuz B, Ertugrul DT, et al. Elevation of QT dispersion after obesity	Sibutramine intervention
drug sibutramine. J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown). 2010;11:832-5.	
Yancey AK, McCarthy WJ, Harrison GG, et al. Challenges in improving fitness:	Not one of the specified
results of a community-based, randomized, controlled lifestyle change intervention.	interventions
J Womens Health. 2006;15:412-29.	
Yarali H, Yildiz BO, Demirol A, et al. Co-administration of metformin during rFSH	No harms outcomes
treatment in patients with clomiphene citrate-resistant polycystic ovarian syndrome:	
a prospective randomized trial. Hum Reprod. 2002;17:289-94.	
Yassine HN, Marchetti CM, Krishnan RK, et al. Effects of exercise and caloric	Comparative effectiveness
restriction on insulin resistance and cardiometabolic risk factors in older obese	
adults—a randomized clinical trial. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2009;64:90-5.	
Yates T, Davies M, Gorely T, et al. Effectiveness of a pragmatic education program	Not one of the specified
designed to promote walking activity in individuals with impaired glucose tolerance:	interventions
a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:1404-10.	
Yeh MC, Rodriguez E, Nawaz H, et al. Technical skills for weight loss: 2-y follow-up	Comparative effectiveness
results of a randomized trial. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2003;27:1500-6.	
Zannad F, Gille B, Grentzinger A, et al. Effects of sibutramine on ventricular	Sibutramine intervention
dimensions and heart valves in obese patients during weight reduction. Am Heart J.	
2002;144:508-15.	
Zavoral JH. Treatment with orlistat reduces cardiovascular risk in obese patients. J	Other quality issues
Hypertens. 1998;16:2013-7.	
Zemel MB, Richards J, Mathis S, et al. Dairy augmentation of total and central fat	Not one of the specified
loss in obese subjects. Int J Obes. 2005;29:391-7.	interventions
Zemel MB, Richards J, Milstead A, Campbell P. Effects of calcium and dairy on	No harms outcomes
body composition and weight loss in African-American adults. Obes Res.	
2005;13:1218-25.	
Zemel MB, Thompson W, Milstead A, et al. Calcium and dairy acceleration of	Comparative effectiveness
weight and fat loss during energy restriction in obese adults. Obes Res.	
2004;12:582-90.	
The Hypertension Prevention Trial: three-year effects of dietary changes on blood	No harms outcomes
pressure. Arch Intern Med. 1990;150:153-62.	
Anderssen S, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I. Diet and exercise intervention have	No harms outcomes
Tavourable effects on blood pressure in mild hypertensives: the Usio Diet and	
Exercise Study (ODES). Blood Press. 1995,4:343-9.	
Burke V, Bellin LJ, Cutt HE, et al. Effects of a lifestyle programme on ambulatory	No narms outcomes
controlled trial / Hypertens, 2005:22:1241.0	
Controlled that J Hypertens. 2005,25,1241-9.	No hormo outoomoo
Chinstian JG, Bessesen DR, Byers TE, et al. Clinic-based support to help	No harms outcomes
Arch Intern Med 2008:168:141-6	
Cohen MD D'Amico El Merenstein IH Weight reduction in obese hypertensive	No harms outcomes
nation to Eam Med 1001:23:25-8	No harms outcomes
Cussler EC Teixeira D I Going SB et al Maintenance of weight loss in overweight	No harms outcomes
middle-aged women through the Internet. Obesity, 2008:16:1052-60	No harms outcomes
Davis BR, Oberman A, Blaufox MD, et al. Effect of antibynertensive therapy on	No barms outcomes
weight loss Hypertension 1992:19:393-9	No harms outcomes
Davis BR Blaufox MD Hawkins CM et al. Trial of antibupertensive interventions	No barms outcomes
and management: design methods and selected baseline results. Control Clin	No harms outcomes
Trials 1989-10:11-30	
Friksson J Lindstrom J Valle T et al Prevention of type II diabetes in subjects	No harms outcomes
with impaired glucose tolerance: the Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS) in Finland	
study design and 1-year interim report on the feasibility of the lifestyle intervention	
programme. <i>Diabetologia</i> . 1999:42:793-801.	
Frev-Hewitt B. Vranizan KM. Dreon DM. Wood PD. The effect of weight loss by	No harms outcomes
dieting or exercise on resting metabolic rate in overweight men. Int J Obes	
1990:14:327-34	

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Haapala I, Barengo NC, Biggs S, et al. Weight loss by mobile phone: a 1-year effectiveness study. <i>Public Health Nutr.</i> 2009;12:2382-91.	No harms outcomes
Hansson L, Zanchetti A. The Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) study— patient characteristics: randomization, risk profiles, and early blood pressure results. <i>Blood Press</i> . 1994;3:322-7.	No harms outcomes
Hollis JF, Satterfield S, Smith F, et al. Recruitment for phase II of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention: effective strategies and predictors of randomization. <i>Ann Epidemiol.</i> 1995;5:140-8.	No harms outcomes
Jeffery RW, Wing RR. Long-term effects of interventions for weight loss using food provision and monetary incentives. <i>J Consult Clin Psychol.</i> 1995;63:793-6.	No harms outcomes
Jeffery RW, Wing RR, Thorson C, et al. Strengthening behavioral interventions for weight loss: a randomized trial of food provision and monetary incentives. <i>J Consult Clin Psychol.</i> 1993;61:1038-45.	No harms outcomes
Jones DW, Miller ME, Wofford MR, et al. The effect of weight loss intervention on antihypertensive medication requirements in the Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) study. <i>Am J Hypertens.</i> 1999;12:1175-80.	No harms outcomes
Kastarinen MJ, Puska PM, Korhonen MH, et al. Non-pharmacological treatment of hypertension in primary health care: a 2-year open randomized controlled trial of lifestyle intervention against hypertension in eastern Finland. <i>J Hypertens.</i> 2002;20:2505-12.	No harms outcomes
Kiernan M, King AC, Stefanick ML, Killen JD. Men gain additional psychological benefits by adding exercise to a weight-loss program. <i>Obes Res.</i> 2001;9:770-7.	No harms outcomes
Kulzer B, Hermanns N, Gorges D, et al. Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program (PREDIAS): effects on weight, metabolic risk factors, and behavioral outcomes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2009;32:1143-6.	No harms outcomes
Langford HG, Blaufox MD, Oberman A, et al. Dietary therapy slows the return of hypertension after stopping prolonged medication. <i>JAMA</i> . 1985;253:657-64.	No harms outcomes
Langford HG, Davis BR, Blaufox D, et al. Effect of drug and diet treatment of mild hypertension on diastolic blood pressure. <i>Hypertension</i> . 1991;17:210-7.	No harms outcomes
Lindstrom J, Louheranta A, Mannelin M, et al. The Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS): lifestyle intervention and 3-year results on diet and physical activity. <i>Diabetes Care.</i> 2003;26:3230-6.	No harms outcomes
Martin DP, Rhode PC, Dutton GR, et al. A primary care weight management intervention for low-income African-American women. <i>Obesity.</i> 2006;14:1412-20.	No harms outcomes
Martin PD, Dutton GR, Rhode PC, et al. Weight loss maintenance following a primary care intervention for low-income minority women. <i>Obesity</i> . 2008;16:2462-7.	No harms outcomes
Mayer-Davis EJ, D'Antonio AM, Smith SM, et al. Pounds Off With Empowerment (POWER): a clinical trial of weight management strategies for black and white adults with diabetes who live in medically underserved rural communities. <i>Am J Public Health</i> . 2004;94:1736-42.	No harms outcomes
Mitsui T, Shimaoka K, Tsuzuku S, et al. Gentle exercise of 40 minutes with dietary counseling is effective in treating metabolic syndrome. <i>Tohoku J Exp Med.</i> 2008;215:355-61.	No harms outcomes
Moore H, Summerbell CD, Greenwood DC, et al. Improving management of obesity in primary care: cluster randomised trial. <i>BMJ</i> . 2003;327:1085.	No harms outcomes
Narayan KM, Hoskin M, Kozak D, et al. Randomized clinical trial of lifestyle interventions in Pima Indians: a pilot study. <i>Diabet Med.</i> 1998;15:66-72.	No harms outcomes
Perri MG, McAllister DA, Gange JJ, et al. Effects of four maintenance programs on the long-term management of obesity. <i>J Consult Clin Psychol.</i> 1988;56:529-34.	No harms outcomes
Pritchard DA, Hyndman J, Taba F. Nutritional counselling in general practice: a cost effective analysis. <i>J Epidemiol Community Health</i> . 1999;53:311-6.	No harms outcomes
Silva MN, Markland D, Minderico CS, et al. A randomized controlled trial to evaluate self-determination theory for exercise adherence and weight control: rationale and intervention description. <i>BMC Public Health.</i> 2008;8:234.	No harms outcomes
Silva MN, Vieira PN, Coutinho SR, et al. Using self-determination theory to promote physical activity and weight control: a randomized controlled trial in women. <i>J Behav Med.</i> 2010;33:110-22.	No harms outcomes
Stevens VJ, Obarzanek E, Cook NR, et al. Long-term weight loss and changes in blood pressure: results of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention, phase II. <i>Ann Intern Med.</i> 2001;134:1-11.	No harms outcomes

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Stevens VJ, Corrigan SA, Obarzanek E, et al. Weight loss intervention in phase 1 of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 1993;153:849-58.	No harms outcomes
Svetkey LP, Stevens VJ, Brantley PJ, et al. Comparison of strategies for sustaining weight loss: the weight loss maintenance randomized controlled trial. <i>JAMA</i> . 2008;299:1139-48.	No harms outcomes
Teixeira PJ, Silva MN, Coutinho SR, et al. Mediators of weight loss and weight loss maintenance in middle-aged women. <i>Obesity (Silver Spring)</i> . 2010;18:725-35.	No harms outcomes
ter Bogt NC, Bemelmans WJ, Beltman FW, et al. Preventing weight gain: one-year results of a randomized lifestyle intervention. <i>Am J Prev Med.</i> 2009;37:270-7.	No harms outcomes
HOT Study Group. The Hypertension Optimal Treatment Study (the HOT Study). <i>Blood Press.</i> 1993;2:62-8.	No harms outcomes
Trials of Hypertention Prevention Collaborative Research Group. Effects of weight loss and sodium reduction intervention on blood pressure and hypertension incidence in overweight people with high-normal blood pressure. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 1997;157:657-67.	No harms outcomes
Trials of Hypertension Prevention Collaborative Research Group. The effects of nonpharmacologic interventions on blood pressure of persons with high normal levels: results of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention, phase I. <i>JAMA</i> . 1992;267:1213-20.	No harms outcomes
Tuomilehto J, Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2001;344:1343-50.	No harms outcomes
Uusitupa M, Peltonen M, Lindstrom J, et al. Ten-year mortality and cardiovascular morbidity in the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study—secondary analysis of the randomized trial. <i>PLoS One.</i> 2009;4:e5656.	No harms outcomes
Wassertheil-Smoller S, Langford HG, Blaufox MD, et al. Effective dietary intervention in hypertensives: sodium restriction and weight reduction. <i>J Am Diet Assoc.</i> 1985;85:423-30.	No harms outcomes
Weight Loss Maintenance Trial: Protocol. Portland, OR: Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research; 2008. http://www.kpchr.org/wlmpublic/public/common/getdoc.aspx?docid=02E06ADF-	No harms outcomes
Werkman A, Hulshof PJ, Stafleu A, et al. Effect of an individually tailored one-year energy balance programme on body weight, body composition and lifestyle in recent retirees: a cluster randomised controlled trial. <i>BMC Public Health.</i> 2010;10:110.	No harms outcomes
Whelton PK, Hebert PR, Cutler J, et al. Baseline characteristics of participants in phase I of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention. <i>Ann Epidemiol.</i> 1992;2:295-310.	No harms outcomes
Wood PD, Stefanick ML, Dreon DM, et al. Changes in plasma lipids and lipoproteins in overweight men during weight loss through dieting as compared with exercise. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 1988;319:1173-9.	No harms outcomes
Wood PD, Stefanick ML, Williams PT, Haskell WL. The effects on plasma lipoproteins of a prudent weight-reducing diet, with or without exercise, in overweight men and women. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 1991;325:461-6.	No harms outcomes
Woollard J, Burke V, Beilin LJ, et al. Effects of a general practice-based intervention on diet, body mass index and blood lipids in patients at cardiovascular risk. <i>J Cardiovasc Risk</i> . 2003;10:31-40.	No harms outcomes
Riserus U, Vessby B, Arnlov J, Basu S. Effects of cis-9,trans-11 conjugated linoleic acid supplementation on insulin sensitivity, lipid peroxidation, and proinflammatory markers in obese men. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2004;80:279-83.	Not one of the specified interventions
Lakerveld J, Bot SD, Chinapaw MJ, et al. Primary prevention of diabetes mellitus type 2 and cardiovascular diseases using a cognitive behavior program aimed at lifestyle changes in people at risk: design of a randomized controlled trial. <i>BMC Endocr Disord</i> . 2008;8:6.	No harms outcomes
Davey SG, Bracha Y, Svendsen KH, et al. Incidence of type 2 diabetes in the randomized Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. <i>Ann Intern Med.</i> 2005;142:313-22.	Not one of the specified interventions
Kosaka K, Noda M, Kuzuya T. Prevention of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle intervention: a Japanese trial in IGT males. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract.</i> 2005;67:152-62.	Comparative effectiveness

Reference	Reason for Exclusion
Jakicic JM, Marcus BH, Lang W, Janney C. Effect of exercise on 24-month weight loss maintenance in overweight women. <i>Arch Intern Med.</i> 1559;168:1550-9.	Comparative effectiveness
Jacob S, Rabbia M, Meier MK, Hauptman J. Orlistat 120 mg improves glycaemic control in type 2 diabetic patients with or without concurrent weight loss. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab.</i> 2009;11:361-71.	No harms outcomes
Gaciong Z, Placha G. Efficacy and safety of sibutramine in 2225 subjects with cardiovascular risk factors: short-term, open-label, observational study. <i>J Hum Hypertens</i> . 2005;19:737-43.	Sibutramine intervention

Investigator, Study Name	Location	Number of Participants	Intervention	Outcomes	2010 Status
Dr. R. Ross PROACTIVE	Ontario, Canada	491	Behaviorally based physical activity and diet composition program	Primary: waist circumference and prevalence of metabolic syndrome Secondary: body composition, serum cholesterol, physical activity, barriers to physical activity and other psychosocial barriers	Last data collection planned for January 2010
Dr. M. Silva	Lisbon, Portugal	259	Behavioral group sessions covering physical activity, eating/nutrition, body image, and other cognitive- behavioral contents	Weight, physical activity and exercise levels, dietary intake, psychosocial measures	Completed July 2009, results not yet published
Dr. Marieke F van Wier	The Netherlands	1386	Phone-based and internet-based behavioral intervention addressing diet and physical activity	Body weight, BMI, diet, physical activity, perceived health, empowerment, stage of change and self-efficacy concerning weight control, physical activity and eating and eating habits, work performance/productivity, waist circumference, sum of skin folds, blood pressure, total blood cholesterol level, and aerobic fitness	Results at 6 months published. 12, 18, and 24 months not yet published
Dr. Neree Claes PreCardio	Belgium	350	Prevention consultations using a cardiovascular risk calculator with personalized feedback on behavioral risk factors, followup with intensive support of health behavior change	Cardiovascular risk factors, cardiovascular events, quality of life, costs, and incremental cost effectiveness ratios	Protocol published in 2007, 3-year followup planned
Dr. Karen Hosper Exercise on Prescription	The Netherlands	360	Weekly exercise sessions for 20 weeks	Minutes of self-reported physical activity per week, mediating motivational factors regarding physical activity, wellbeing, perceived health, fitness, body size, and use of health care	Protocol published in December 2008, 12 months of followup planned
Dr. Jacqueline Kerr Illinois WISEWOMAN	Chicago, Illinois	1021	CVD risk factor screening, educational materials, and a 12-week lifestyle intervention	Dietary intake, physical activity, blood pressure, cholesterol, blood glucose, BMI	Baseline results published in 2009
Dr. Philip Merriam LLDPP	Lawrence, Massachusetts	312	13 group sessions and 3 individual home visits intended to increase awareness of diabetes prevention strategies, foster positive diabetes prevention attitudes, and promote healthy lifestyle behaviors.	Stern equation components, weight, glycosylated hemoglobin, diet, physical activity, depression, social support, and quality of life	Baseline results published in 2009, 12 months of followup planned
Dr. Truls Ostbye AMP	Durham, North Carolina	450	10 physical activity group sessions, 8 healthy eating classes, 6 telephone counseling sessions promoting a reduction in BMI up to 2 years postpartum	Teachable moment factors, intervention participation, Nutrition Data System, brief food frequency questions, 7-Day Physical Activity Recall, weight, and height	Baseline results published in 2008, 10.5 month results published in 2009, 24 months of followup planned

Investigator, Study Name	Location	Number of Participants	Intervention	Outcomes	2010 Status
Dr. Kristin Schneider	Massachusetts	174	Behavioral Activation condition: 10 weekly individual visits of behavior therapy for treatment of depression followed by 16 group behavioral weight loss visits Standard Weight Loss condition: 10 individual visits of health education (attention control) followed by 16	Weight, depression, physical activity and dietary intake, emotional eating, quality of life, blood pressure, serum lipids, C- reactive protein	Protocol published 2008, 24 months of followup planned
Dr. Mark Vander Weg The Treatment and Prevention Study	Iowa City, Iowa Memphis, Tennessee Rochester, Minnesota	1267	group behavioral weight loss visits 3-4 individual smoking-cessation sessions; 5 individual and 12 weekly group sessions for modifying diet and physical activity; weight loss and sodium restriction modeled after the TONE study	Blood pressure, height, weight, body composition, waist circumference, smoking status, dietary intake, urinary chloride excretion, physical activity, and assessment of predictor, mediator, and moderator variables	Protocol published 2008, 5 years of followup planned
Dr. Deborah Parra-Medina HHER	Columbia and Orangeburg, SC	266	Stage-based behavioral counseling from primary-care provider, nurse- assisted goal setting, community resource guide of free or low-cost programs and facilities, and ethnically tailored educational materials. 12 newsletters, 14 brief telephone counseling calls over 12 months.	Physical activity, food consumption, BMI, waist circumference, total cholesterol, barriers-based self-efficacy for exercise, self-efficacy for low-fat diet, social support for physical activity and low-fat diet, decisional balance for physical activity and low-fat diet	Protocol and baseline measures published 2010, 12 months of followup planned
Dr. Juan Jose Rodriguez Cristobal	Spain	1200	32 group sessions. 4 sessions to provide information about the benefits of change and recommended diets. 8 sessions to have patients feel motivated to make a change and be committed to continuing the program. 20 sessions to work with changed and maintenance.	Age, ethnicity, sex, medical history, medications, quality of life, dietary survey, height, weight, BP, pulse, fasting serum glucose, fasting lipid panel	Protocol published in 2010, 26 moths of followup planned
Dr. Jun Ma BE WELL	California	324	Goal-based approach with the same weight loss and physical activity goals for each participant. Physical activity time gradually increased and a moderate reduction of calories. 12 weekly small group sessions, 2 individual counseling sessions, optional contact with interventionist.	QOL, 3-day food record, pedometer, angina and peripheral vascular disease, depression, adverse events, height, weight, waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, blood pressure, current medical problems	Protocol published in 2010, 12 moths of followup planned
Dr. Gianluca Castelnuovo TECNOB	Italy	154	In hospital treatment for 1 month for diet, physical activity, psychological and dietitian counseling. Extensive outpatient telecare through a web platform and mobile phones for 12 months.	Weight, height, binge eating, eating disorder inventory, psychological problems, QOL	Protocol published in 2010, 13 months of followup planned.

Investigator, Study Name	Location	Number of Participants	Intervention	Outcomes	2010 Status
Dr. Giovanni Cizza	Maryland	150	During first 12 months strive to increase sleep duration. During subsequent 36 months, individual counseling on sleep, nutrition, and physical activity offered to all participants; individualized sleep plans, long-term lifestyle changes to daily routine encouraged.	Body composition, psychological assessment, insulin resistance, endocrine assessment, metabolic assessment, QOL	Protocol published in 2010, 48 months of followup planned.
Dr. Elizabeth Eakin Living Well with Diabetes	Australia	300	Repeated assessment of study outcomes and participant self- monitoring; feedback provided for weight, dietary intake, and physical activity using motivational interviewing techniques; collaborative goals for weight, physical activity, and dietary change with telephone counselor; behaviorally-specific action plan; barriers and supports identified; confidence is assessed and problem-solving discussed as necessary (up to 27 calls).	Weight, physical activity, HbA1c, dietary and energy intake, waist circumference, percent body fat, fasting plasma glucose, blood lipids, liver function enzymes, blood pressure, health-related QOL.	Protocol published in 2010, 24 months of followup planned
Dr. Kate Jolly Lighten Up	UK	740	<ul> <li>Weight Watchers: Food points system, beating hunger, taking more physical activity, keeping motivated</li> <li>Slimming World: Encouraged to eat low energy dense foods plus some extras rich in calcium and fiber with controlled amounts of high energy dense foods.</li> <li>Rosemary Conley: Weight loss and improved diet, fitness, and improvement of physical condition, motivation and self esteem, use of group support.</li> <li>NHS Size Down: Managing behavior around food and relapse prevention, eatwell plate, nutrition information.</li> <li>General practice/pharmacy: Client- led sessions, weight and dieting history, goals and expectations, eatwell plate, goals to reduce calorie intake and increase physical activity.</li> </ul>	Weight, physical activity.	Protocol published in 2010, 12 months of followup planned.

Investigator, Study Name	Location	Number of Participants	Intervention	Outcomes	2010 Status
Dr. Hsin-Chieh Yeh POWER Trials Collaborative Group	Maryland, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts	~1100	Be Fit, Be Well: Behavior change prescription and skills training via internet or a combination of tailored print materials and an interactive voice response system. POWER Hopkins: Phone calls with Healthways coach, interactive website, PCP reinforcement (IG1). Individual and group meetings and phone calls with Hopkins interventionist, interactive website, PCP reinforcement (IG2). POWER-UP: Usual medical care plus 26 brief counseling sessions with auxiliary health care provider (IG1). Usual medical care plus 26 brief counseling sessions plus the choice of adjunctive meal replacements or pharmacotherapy (IG2).	Be Fit, Be Well: Blood pressure, dietary change, physical activity, medication adherence POWER Hopkins: Weight, BMI, blood pressure, hypertension control, lipid levels, HOMA-IR, Framingham risk score POWER-UP: Weight, BMI, metabolic syndrome, eating and activity habit changes, quality of life, cardiovascular disease risk factors, HOMA-IR	Protocol for all 3 published in 2010. Be Fit, Be Well: Followup at 24 months POWER Hopkins: Followup at 24 months POWER-UP: Followup at 12 and 24 months

# Key Questions 4 and 4a. What Are the Adverse Effects of Primary Care–Relevant Interventions in Obese or Overweight Adults? Are There Differences in Adverse Effects Between Patient Subgroups?

In addition to evaluating all 61 studies from KQs 2 and 3 for harms, we abstracted an additional 27 weight loss studies for harms data (see methods for inclusion and quality criteria for additional studies).

# Orlistat

**General characteristics of studies.** We included a total of 23 studies on the harms of orlistat (120 mg tid) (Table 16). Seventeen were RCTs from KQs 2 and 3, <sup>180-184,187,189-191,193,194,197-202</sup> five were additional published RCTs, <sup>126,127,129,130,132</sup> and one was an event monitoring study from the United Kingdom. <sup>133</sup> The event monitoring study relied on doctors' retrospective reports of adverse events and had low response rates. We chose to include the study because we wanted to capture rare adverse events that might not be picked up in relatively small RCTs. Of the RCTs, eight recruited unselected populations <sup>129,182,184,189,190,193,199,200</sup> and 14 recruited participants with at least one clinical or subclinical cardiovascular risk factor. <sup>126,127,130,132,180,181,183,187,191,194,197,198, 201,202</sup>

Seven of the 22 trials (32 percent) were conducted in the United States.<sup>126,127,182,189-191,197</sup> All trials included both men and women (overall weighted average percent of female participants, 66 percent). The overall weighted average age of the entire group was 46.9 years (range, 41 to 59 years). Only nine of 23 trials reported ethnicity of the participants, and in these trials the weighted average percent of nonwhite participants was 15.6 percent (range, 0 to 28 percent). The median trial duration was 52 weeks (range, 24 to 208 weeks), but five provided data beyond 52 weeks.

Withdrawals due to adverse effects. More participants who were randomized to orlistat were withdrawn from the study due to adverse effects compared with those who were randomized to placebo. Twenty-two trials included data on withdrawals due to harms and were combined by meta-analysis.<sup>126,127,129,130,132,180-184,187,189-191,193,194,197-202</sup> Participants taking orlistat were 1.6 times more likely to withdraw from the study due to adverse effects (RR, 1.63 [95% CI, 1.28-2.09];  $I^2$ =51.1%; k=22; n=11,920) (Figure 14). In absolute terms, the weighted mean withdrawal rates in the orlistat and placebo groups were 8 (range, 2 to 15 percent) and 4 percent (range, 2 to 14 percent), respectively. Many studies did not list specific adverse effects that led to withdrawal. In three of the four studies that listed reasons for withdrawal, gastrointestinal-related symptoms were the main cause of withdrawal.<sup>126,129,133</sup> The fourth study reported that syncope, bradycardia, vomiting, and vomiting/trauma led to withdrawal.<sup>295</sup>

**Total number reporting adverse effects.** More participants reported adverse effects in the orlistat group compared with the placebo group. Data on the total proportion of participants with adverse effects from eight of 22 orlistat trials were combined by meta-analysis. Participants given orlistat were 1.1 times more likely to have an adverse effect than participants in the

#### Appendix F. Details on Pharmacology Harms

placebo group (RR, 1.10 [95% CI, 1.03-2.17];  $I^2$ =70.8%; k=8; n=11,920) (Figure 13). In absolute terms, the weighted mean rate of adverse effects was 78 percent (range, 32 to 95 percent) in the orlistat group and 70 percent (range, 26 to 93 percent) in the placebo group. Gastrointestinal events were the leading etiology of excess adverse effects.<sup>126,129,130,200</sup>

**Number with serious adverse effects.** Serious adverse effects were those labeled by the authors as "serious" or "severe" adverse effects. A similar number of participants reported serious adverse effects in the orlistat group compared with the placebo groups. Data on serious adverse effects from 12 of 22 studies were combined in a meta-analysis. Those taking orlistat were not more likely to suffer serious adverse effects compared with those in the placebo group (RR, 1.21 [95% CI, 0.88-21.68];  $I^2$ =62.3%; k=12; N=7724) (Figure 15). In absolute terms, the weighted mean average serious rate of adverse effects was 10 percent (range, 0 to 15 percent) in the orlistat group and 9 percent (range, 0 to 18 percent) in the placebo group. Three trials reported an elevated risk of serious adverse effects in the orlistat group compared with the control group (RR, 3.11-6.15).<sup>129,199,209</sup> The rate of serious adverse effects in these three orlistat trials ranged from less than 1 percent<sup>199</sup> to 10 percent.<sup>194</sup> The serious adverse effects in these studies included fecal incontinence, diverticulitis, and abdominal pain.

**Number with gastrointestinal-related adverse effects.** Orlistat was associated with more gastrointestinal-related adverse effects than the placebo group. Data on gastrointestinal adverse effects from 18 studies were combined in a meta-analysis. Participants given orlistat had a 1.4 greater risk of suffering from a gastrointestinal-related adverse effect than those given placebo (RR, 1.42 [95% CI, 1.33-1.52];  $I^2$ =81.5%; k=18; N=10,401) (Figure 16). In absolute terms, the weighted mean average rate of gastrointestinal side effects in the orlistat group was 83 percent (range, 63 to 95 percent) and 59 percent (range, 39 to 82 percent) in the placebo group. Gastrointestinal side effects included loose stools, increased defecation, uncontrolled oily discharge/oily evacuation, oily spotting, fatty/oily stool, fecal urgency, discolored feces, flatus with discharge, fecal incontinence, and abdominal pain. Most gastrointestinal adverse effects were mild to moderate in intensity, occurred early in treatment, and resolved spontaneously. In an orlistat event monitoring study from the United Kingdom, gastrointestinal symptoms were the main adverse effect that general practitioners reported as the cause of patients stopping orlistat treatment.<sup>133</sup>

**Hypoglycemia.** Data were limited and contradictory regarding whether orlistat led to hypoglycemia in drug-treated patients with type 2 diabetes. Two studies found an increased incidence of hypoglycemia in participants treated with orlistat compared with placebo (16.9 vs. 9.7 percent; 10 percent in intervention group vs. 4 percent in control group),<sup>127,197</sup> although the difference was not statistically significant in one study.<sup>127</sup> A third study found no difference in the number of hypoglycemic episodes between treatment arms.<sup>187</sup>

**Bone density.** Data were insufficient to determine whether orlistat had detrimental effects on bone density. In a small subsample (N=30) of participants from a larger study,<sup>200</sup> bone density did not differ between orlistat and placebo groups.<sup>216</sup>

**Vitamins.** Orlistat treatment appeared to be associated with a decrease in some fat-soluble vitamin levels compared with placebo. Data were strongest for vitamins E and beta-carotene, but there were also several reports for vitamin D. Evidence was sparser and/or conflicting for

#### Appendix F. Details on Pharmacology Harms

vitamins A and K. Five trials examined the effects of orlistat on changes in vitamin E levels, and all found that orlistat resulted in a greater decrease in vitamin E compared with placebo.<sup>129,190,191, 199,202</sup> All four trials examining beta-carotene<sup>129,190,191,199</sup> and all three examining vitamin D<sup>129,199, 202</sup> noted a greater decrease in vitamin levels in the orlistat group compared with placebo. One trial noted a decrease in vitamins A and K in the orlistat group compared with placebo;<sup>202</sup> however, another study did not find that 120 mg of orlistat resulted in a lower vitamin A level compared with placebo.<sup>129</sup>

Two trials compared the number of participants in the orlistat and placebo groups with low vitamin levels at multiple measurement time points throughout the trial.<sup>200,202</sup> More orlistat participants compared with placebo participants experienced at least two low vitamin E levels (3.2 to 4.6 percent in orlistat groups vs. 0.5 to 0.9 percent in placebo groups).<sup>200,202</sup> Neither trial found that more orlistat participants had two or more low vitamin A levels.<sup>200,202</sup> Data on orlistat's effects on the development of low vitamin D and beta-carotene levels were mixed.<sup>200,202</sup>

More orlistat participants than placebo participants required vitamin supplementation during the study.<sup>129,182,191,199,200</sup> In the one study that listed the type of vitamin supplementation required, vitamins D and beta-carotene, but not vitamin E, were required more in the orlistat group compared with placebo.<sup>191</sup>

**Liver injury.** Data to evaluate orlistat's effects on the liver were insufficient. No trial reported specifically screening for liver disease. No trial recorded liver injury as an adverse effect. In an orlistat event monitoring study in the United Kingdom, no cases of serious hepatic adverse reactions were reported.<sup>133,134</sup> There were reports of elevated liver tests with two cases felt to be causally related to orlistat treatment.<sup>133</sup>

**Dosage effect.** In terms of dosing, all 22 trials prescribed orlistat 120 mg tid.<sup>126,127,129,130,132,180-184, <sup>187,189-191,193,194,197-202</sup> Four trials included more than just a 120 mg tid dosage group (30 to 240 mg tid).<sup>129,189,190,199</sup> Although none of the studies presented statistical comparisons between dosing groups, their data do not suggest that dosage was associated with different adverse effect rates, although the results were somewhat mixed. Three of the four trials reported similar adverse effect rates with increasing dose. For example, in one study,<sup>129</sup> withdrawal rates due to adverse effect were 6, 5, 2, and 3 percent in the 30, 60, 120, and 240 mg tid treatment groups, respectively. In another study, severe gastrointestinal event rates were 6.6 percent in the 60 mg tid group and 10.3 percent in the 120 mg tid group; however, withdrawals for gastrointestinal events were 5 percent in the 60 mg tid group and 3.7 percent in the 120 mg tid group.<sup>199</sup> In contrast, in the fourth trial, a weight maintenance trial, overweight and obese unselected/low risk participants who took 30, 60, and 120 mg tid of orlistat had 5.4, 7.0, and 11.7 percent, respectively, withdrawal from adverse effects (however, no statistical testing was reported to determine if these were statistically different).<sup>190</sup></sup>

**Subgroup analysis.** Withdrawals from adverse effects were more likely in trials of unselected participants taking orlistat than in participants with cardiovascular risk factors, regardless of age. In eight studies of unselected populations, <sup>129,182,184,189,190,193,199,200</sup> those who were randomized to orlistat were 2.2 times more likely to withdraw due to adverse effects than those taking placebo (RR, 2.18 [95% CI, 1.57-3.01];  $I^2$ =21.2%; k=8; N=4029). In contrast, in the 12 studies of participants with type 2 diabetes, hypertension, or dyslipidemia, <sup>126,127,130,132,180,181,183,187,191,194,197</sup>,
<sup>198,201,202</sup> the orlistat group had no greater risk of withdrawing due to adverse effects (RR, 1.34 [95% CI, 0.93-1.94];  $I^2$ =50.5%; k=12; N=4277). Similarly, in the four trials of participants with a mean age of at least 55 years who had type 2 diabetes, hypertension, or dyslipidemia, <sup>127,180,187, 191</sup> the orlistat group did not withdraw more than the placebo group (RR, 0.8 [95% CI, 0.43-1.49];  $I^2$ =46.9%; k=4; N=1475).

Similarly, serious adverse effects from orlistat may also be less likely in those with cardiovascular risk factors than unselected participants. In eight studies of participants with type 2 diabetes, hypertension, or dyslipidemia,  $^{126,132,181,183,194,198,201,202}$  serious adverse effects were not increased in the orlistat group compared with placebo (RR, 1.08 [95% CI, 0.59-1.97];  $I^2$ =63.3%; k=6; N=1992). In the four studies of unselected populations,  $^{129,193,199,200}$  however, there was a nonsignificant increase in the risk of serious adverse effects in those who were randomized to orlistat (RR, 2.01 [95% CI, 0.91-4.47];  $I^2$ =65.9%; k=4; N=2118). This elevated risk ratio was primarily the result of two studies.  $^{129,199}$  The serious adverse effects in these two studies included fecal incontinence, diverticulitis, and abdominal pain.

## Metformin

**General characteristics of studies.** We included a total of four studies on the harms of metformin (850 mg twice daily) (Table 16). Three trials were RCTs from KQs 2 and 3<sup>142,185,186</sup> and one was an additional published RCT.<sup>131</sup> Recruitment criteria included impaired fasting glucose or impaired glucose tolerance,<sup>142</sup> high waist-to-hip ratios,<sup>185</sup> or PCOS.<sup>131,186</sup> Only one trial was conducted in the United States.<sup>142</sup> The overall weighted average percent of female participants in all trials was 83.6 percent (range, 66 to 100 percent; two trials included only women). The overall weighted average age of participants was 39.8 years (range, 27 to 50 years), and 45.3 percent of the participants in the largest trial of metformin were nonwhite.<sup>142</sup> The other trials did not describe ethnicity. The average trial duration was 84 weeks (range, 26 to 208 weeks).

Withdrawals due to adverse effects. More participants who were randomized to metformin withdrew from the study due to adverse effects compared with those who were randomized to placebo. Two of the four trials included data on withdrawals due to harms and were combined by meta-analysis. Participants taking metformin were almost four times more likely to withdraw from the study due to adverse events (RR, 3.92 [95% CI, 1.23-12.57]; k=2;  $I^2$ =0%; N=4118) (Figure 14). In absolute terms, the weighted mean average rate of withdrawal due to adverse effects was 5 percent (range, 0 to 7 percent) in the metformin group and 1 percent (range, 0 to 1 percent) in the placebo group. Studies did not list what adverse effects led to withdrawal. The largest trial and only study rated as good quality, DPP, did not list withdrawals due to adverse effects.<sup>142</sup>

**Total number with adverse effects.** More participants experienced adverse effects in the metformin group compared with the placebo group. Two of the four metformin trials listed the total proportion of participants with adverse effects and were combined by meta-analysis. Participants given metformin were almost five times more likely to suffer an adverse effect compared with those in the placebo group (RR, 4.83 [95% CI, 0.84-27.63];  $I^2$ =87.6%; k=2; N=517) (Figure 13). In absolute terms, the weighted mean average rate of adverse effects was 46 percent (range, 4 to 100 percent) in the metformin group and 16 percent (range, 6 to 17 percent)

### Appendix F. Details on Pharmacology Harms

in the placebo group. Excess adverse effects were mostly due to gastrointestinal events in these two trials. DPP was not combined in the meta-analysis because it did not record the total number of adverse effects; it only reported gastrointestinal and musculoskeletal adverse effects.<sup>206</sup>

**Number with serious adverse effects.** No studies reported the number of participants with serious adverse effects in the two treatment groups.

**Number with gastrointestinal-related adverse effects.** Gastrointestinal adverse effects were more likely to occur in participants who were randomized to metformin compared with placebo. One small study of 40 women with PCOS found that two women had transient abdominal gastrointestinal events (abdominal swelling, mild diarrhea, and flatulence) during the first two weeks of treatment (RR, 5.0 [95% CI, 0.26-98.00]; N=40).<sup>185</sup> In DPP, participants taking metformin had an increased risk of gastrointestinal symptoms (diarrhea, flatulence, nausea, vomiting) compared with the placebo group (77.8 vs. 30.7 events/100 person-years; p<0.05).<sup>206</sup> This pattern was consistent across age groups.<sup>210</sup> In another study of 457 people with high waist-to-hip ratio, diarrhea and nausea/vomiting were more common in the metformin group compared with placebo (diarrhea: 45/457 [9.8 percent] vs. 10/457 [2.2 percent]; nausea/vomiting: 14/457 [3.1 percent] vs. 6/457 [1.3 percent]).<sup>185</sup> However, the incidence of abdominal pain and cramps was not different between treatment groups.<sup>185</sup>

Bone density. There were no data about the effects of metformin on bone density.

Hypoglycemia. No metformin study reported rates of hypoglycemia in treatment groups.

**Dosage effects.** We were unable to examine the relationship between metformin dose and adverse effects, as all four studies prescribed the same dose of metformin (850 mg twice daily).

**Subgroup analysis.** In DPP, the relative increase in gastrointestinal adverse events in the metformin group did not appear to differ by age.<sup>210</sup> No other subgroup analyses were reported in DPP or could be done with meta-analysis.

## **Comparison of the Two Drugs**

One study randomized 150 obese women to 6 months of one of three weight loss drugs: sibutramine, orlistat, and metformin.<sup>136</sup> Abdominal discomfort occurred in the orlistat (22/50) and metformin groups (14/50). Both metformin and orlistat resulted in decreases in blood pressure and heart rate.<sup>136</sup>

## Heterogeneity of Medication Studies (Meta-Regression Analysis)

We performed meta-regression to examine whether certain study characteristics influenced the association between the medication and the proportion of participants withdrawing due to adverse effects, reporting any adverse effects, reporting any serious adverse effects, and reporting gastrointestinal-related adverse effects in all cases controlling for risk status of participants and medication type. We examined multiple trial factors, including how many participants returned for followup, whether the study was conducted in the United States, and duration of the study. None of these trial factors influenced the harms effect size of the

#### Appendix F. Details on Pharmacology Harms

medications. Sex and age did not predict effect size for any adverse effect associated with medications. We were unable to examine ethnicity because of the paucity of reporting (nine studies) and low percentage of nonwhite participants in all of the medication studies combined (13 percent).

We had limited ability to detect differences in harms between medications, since we did not include trials that did not have placebo comparison groups. Only one trial of medication harms included head-to-head comparisons of orlistat and metformin in 150 obese women (50 in each medication group and 50 in a sibutramine group) after 6 months of treatment.<sup>136</sup> The trial reported only two participants withdrawing from the orlistat group due to side effects, none of which were reported as serious, and there were no differences in blood pressure or heart rate. The type of medication did not influence withdrawal due to adverse effects, total adverse effects, or serious adverse effects in any of the meta-regression models.

# **Surgical Interventions to Treat Obesity**

The use of bariatric surgery to treat obesity in adults is increasing in the United States. This increase is likely due to advancing surgical expertise and a recognition of bariatric surgery's effectiveness for weight loss and reducing obesity-related health problems. Current practice is to refer patients to specialized multidisciplinary centers in order to reduce risks of surgery, while providing support before and after bariatric surgery.<sup>296</sup> Bariatric surgery results in significant short- and intermediate-term weight loss for patients who meet current criteria for surgery.<sup>239,244, 296</sup> Criteria for bariatric surgery are usually defined as class III obesity (BMI of >40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) or class II obesity (BMI of 35 to 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) with comorbidity such as diabetes.<sup>297</sup>

## **Health Outcomes**

A recent Health Technology Assessment (HTA) summarized evidence on the clinical and cost effectiveness of bariatric surgery for obesity.<sup>239</sup> This HTA identified 26 studies with a followup of at least 12 months that included outcomes on weight change, quality of life, perioperative and postoperative morbidity and mortality, and change in obesity-related comorbidity.<sup>239</sup>

**Weight reduction.** Although the degree of weight reduction varied, all surgical methods resulted in significant weight loss. The Swedish Obese Subjects (SOS) study was the largest and longest study included in the HTA. The SOS study is an ongoing prospective cohort study of 2010 subjects who underwent bariatric surgery and 2037 matched controls.<sup>244</sup> After 15 years of followup, the vertical banded gastroplasty (VBG) group had a weight reduction of 16 percent, the gastric bypass (GBP) group had a reduction of 25 percent, and the banding group had a reduction of 14 percent. This translates to an average sustained weight loss of 19.7 kg from the presurgical weight and BMI reduction from 42 to 35.3 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

The remaining included studies generally reported followup of 1 to 3 years and a range of weight reduction from baseline of 16 to 29 percent. BMI losses were as much as 8 to  $11 \text{ kg/m}^2$  below baseline, and average weight lost ranged from around 21 kg to over 50 kg. Weight loss differed significantly depending on procedure, sex, and baseline weight and/or BMI.

**Harms of surgery.** Deaths rarely occurred due to surgical complications. In the SOS study, postoperative mortality was 0.25 percent (5 of 2010 at 90 days).<sup>244</sup> Long- and short-term complications, however, can be quite significant. Common complications included infections, bleeding, deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, leakage, symptomatic ulcer and gastroesophageal reflux disease, diarrhea, gallstones, and vitamin deficiency. Complications requiring reoperation in the postoperative period occurred in 2 to 13 percent of patients. Surgical reoperations or conversions during 10 years of followup in the SOS study were high at 17 percent for GBP, 21 percent for VBG), and 31 percent for banding (excluding early postoperative complications requiring surgery).<sup>239,243,244</sup> However, reporting of adverse events has not been standardized, and they were generally not reported well.

**Other health outcomes.** The SOS study provides the only longer-term data on bariatric surgery's mortality benefit. At 10 years, unadjusted overall mortality was reduced by 23.7 percent in the surgery group (p=0.0419). Sex-, age-, and risk factor-adjusted mortality reduction

### **Appendix G. Surgical Interventions**

was 30.7 percent (p=0.0102). The most common cause of death were myocardial infarction and cancer.<sup>244</sup>

Physiologic measures also improved with weight loss. The most significant reduction was apparent in the development of metabolic syndrome and remission of type 2 diabetes. For diabetes resolution, data at 2 years reported that 72 percent of those with type 2 diabetes had reversed, and 36 percent were still in remission at 10 years. Other studies reported higher rates, but did not have as long of followup. In one small study included in the HTA, for example, diabetes resolution was reported as high as 100 percent at 3 years, but it referred to only five of 59 patients after laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass.<sup>239</sup> Even using the most conservative estimates available, the treatment effect is quite marked for surgery and diabetes reversal. In modeling of cost effectiveness over 20 years, the delay in developing or redeveloping diabetes still results in a quality-adjusted life year improvement.

Comorbidity improved after surgery in all groups, but the quality of this data was poor in general. At 10 years, the SOS study found a statistically significant reduction in the incidence of diabetes, hypertriglyceridemia, and hyperuricemia compared with conventional therapy. Other reported improved (although not necessarily significant) comorbidities include sleep apnea, pulmonary problems, joint problems, reflux disease, and psychological problems. Although the SOS study found that cancer rates were statistically significantly lower for women treated with surgery, men did not show the same results. More data on cancer and obesity is needed to further characterize this effect. In surgical patients, triglycerides and low HDL cholesterol did improve even after 10 years, but there was no statistically significant recovery from hypercholesterolemia; hypertension also improved at 2 years, but not to statistical significance at 10 years. Pooled comparisons of comorbidity across different surgical procedure groups showed no significant difference between procedures.

### Generalizability

Data included in the referenced review was strongest for women, whites, patients with diabetes, and those meeting current surgical criteria. This is probably because these groups were the most likely to have been recommended for surgery, and thus the most studied. The positive effects of surgery on health over time were significant for these populations. Unfortunately, there is a paucity of data related to race and ethnicity, as the vast majority of patients studied thus far have been of European origin/ethnicity. More studies targeting specific populations are needed, especially because many nonwhite populations have higher rates of diabetes and other obesity-related diseases.<sup>298</sup> We cannot generalize the current recommendations for surgery without specific data. For example, morbidity and mortality do not follow the same BMI data curves in some groups—most notably blacks. More information is also needed on whether other methods of classification of obesity should be used, such as waist-to-hip ratio or waist circumference instead of (or in addition to) BMI, and/or different cutoff values.<sup>86,98,99,299</sup>

There are likely other factors that may influence obesity's complex relationship with health outcomes that differ based on genetic susceptibility and other societal and cultural factors that have not yet been identified. For example, one of the few studies that examined differences in obesity and surgical weight loss between black and white females found that the former had greater adiposity and lost significantly less body fat after surgery.<sup>300</sup> The clinical significance of

### **Appendix G. Surgical Interventions**

this is not clear, but is suggestive of the need for more and larger studies to examine these questions.

The complexity of evaluating bariatric surgery, with multiple surgeons and surgical techniques, staffing-related factors, and range of outcomes, makes it very difficult to eliminate bias and standardize results. Improved study techniques are needed for more accurate conclusions based on effects of surgical interventions.<sup>301</sup> This is particularly true for the evolution of management and study of surgical weight loss, where techniques and effects are still being studied and additional innovations tried, at the same time as recommendations and payer coverage are changing.